Minutes of the Regular Joint Meeting of the Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency November 10, 2015

1.0 OPENING MATTERS

Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency

1.1 Call to Order and Roll Call of the Oakley City Council and Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency

Mayor Doug Hardcastle called the meeting to order at 6:30pm in the Oakley City Council Chambers located at 3231 Main Street, Oakley, California. In addition to Mayor Doug Hardcastle, Vice Mayor Kevin Romick, Councilmembers Randy Pope and Vanessa Perry were present. Councilmember Sue Higgins was absent for roll call, but arrived shortly thereafter during Item 1.3.

1.2 Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

Mayor Hardcastle led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

1.3 Presentation by State Senator Steve Glazer

Senator Glazer provided information regarding the State budget, debt payments, mandate reimbursements, active and enacted bills, and a proposed breast cancer awareness license plate. He introduced Chief of Staff Stephen Whittaker and Field Representative George Escutia, Jr. He thanked the City Councilmembers who met with him to discuss issues of importance to Oakley.

Mayor Hardcastle thanked Senator Glazer for his presentation.

1.4 Update from Contra Costa Transportation Authority Citizens Advisory Committee Representative, Michael Dupray

Contra Costa Transportation Authority Citizens Advisory Committee Representative Michael Dupray provided information regarding rechargeable battery technology related to transportation, a grant opportunity for Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Station Demonstration Program, alternative and renewable fuel and vehicle technology, and power supply battery stations benefits versus old peaker plant hazards.

Mayor Hardcastle thanked Mr. Dupray for his presentation.

2.0 REGULAR CALENDAR

Oakley City Council

2.1 Adopt a Resolution Accepting the Recommendations of a Task Force Formed to Identify and Recommend Short-Term and Long-Term Solutions to Improve Fire and Medical Response in the East Contra Costa Fire Protection District (Bryan Montgomery, City Manager)

City Manager Bryan Montgomery presented the staff report and mentioned if the City Council approves the resolution, the next step will be for the Brentwood City Council to consider the resolution this evening, then the County Board of Supervisors to consider the resolution next week. He introduced Hugh Henderson, Fire Chief for East Contra Costa Fire Protection District and Task Force member, to provide additional information.

Chief Henderson explained the East Contra Costa Fire Protection District is in a time of crisis with only three fire stations open and nine firefighters. He mentioned the current staffing model cannot adequately meet community service levels for public safety. He provided examples of emergency situations in which all units in the District were required to be dispatched at the same time. He mentioned there have been sixty of these situations since the District went from four stations to only three open stations. He requested the Council's support to adopt the resolution. He introduced Brentwood City Manager and Task Force Member Gus Vina.

Mr. Vina discussed the Task Force and its desire to have community and elected input. He reviewed its summary findings regarding financial deficiencies, long-term sustainability, public safety risks, fire suppression services, grass root community resolution, involving the community in master planning, and temporary short-term mitigation. He shared its recommendations including exploring funding mechanisms through a possible ballot initiative, developing a comprehensive master plan for the District, and a short-term fix to provide support by opening a fourth station. He explained all agencies involved must adopt the resolution; it is an "all or nothing" situation, and if the resolution is adopted by all agencies involved, the next step would be to return with a Memorandum of Understanding for the parties to review.

Mayor Hardcastle called upon Task Force members Gil Guerrero and Vincent Wells to speak.

Mr. Guerrero urged the City Council to adopt the resolution. He mentioned that the Oakley station often responds to calls outside of Oakley leaving emergency resources limited for Oakley residents due to the current staffing levels. He commented this is an opportunity for the District to move forward to request support.

Mr. Wells thanked Mr. Guerrero for creating the Task Force and requested the City Council support adopting the resolution. He explained there is an immediate safety concern for the Oakley community with the District's limited response resources. He mentioned in 2006, a report was issued indicating that the District needs ten stations with three personnel per station to adequately respond to the community and the District currently falls short of the recommended numbers.

Online Comment Forms

Mike Burkholder recommended the City Council consider using funds from the City's General Fund rather than use funds from the Summer Lake Development fees which have already been collected for opening a future fire station. He mentioned the current funding plan as proposed does not support community safety long-term and if the District collapses, he believes the City is obligated by State law to fund the District in which the City will incur a substantial increase in cost. He also encouraged the City Council to remove a condition by the Fire Board which gives the Fire Chief the decision on which fire station to open.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 2.1.

Mayor Hardcastle expressed that safety is of primary concern to him for the City; therefore he supports adopting the resolution.

Vice Mayor Romick commented that the Summer Lake assessments are operational funds, not development fees, and the City does not tell the Fire District how to run its operations.

Councilmember Perry commented the District is in an emergency situation and more response coverage is needed. She mentioned the Summer Lake assessments were budgeted for operations which should be used, Shea Homes is responsible for building the fire station in that development, and it is not these fees that will build it. She also mentioned if a ballot initiative is proposed for District funding next year she will support it 100%.

Councilmember Pope commented he is a member of the Fire District Board and is confident all items have been resolved to move forward with the resolution which he supports and encourages others to support.

Mayor Hardcastle thanked the District and Task Force for its efforts.

It was moved by Councilmember Higgins and seconded by Councilmember Perry to adopt the resolution. Motion was unanimous and so ordered. (5-0)

2.2 Adopt a Resolution Accepting the Bid from Cemo Commercial to Purchase a Portion of the Undeveloped Real Property located at 1420-1480 Neroly Road, also known as Parcel 3 within the Neroly Commercial Center (APN 034-040-017-5) (Dwayne Dalman, Economic Development Manager)

Note: Item 2.2 was heard at the Regular Joint Meeting of the Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency held October 27, 2015 and was continued to the current meeting.

Economic Development Manager Dwayne Dalman presented the staff report and mentioned both bidders on the project would like to make their presentations to the City Council to be considered for award of the bid. He added that staff's recommendation is to accept the bid from Cemo Commercial based on their experience.

Bidders Vijay and Rashu Khare provided a handout to the City Council of their presentation highlighting their strengths, including they own and operate Kiddie Academy, a nationally accredited program which core concept is to develop life essentials such as curriculum, health and fitness, technology and character development for children 6 weeks of age to 12 years of age. They explained the national brand academy will help attract other national brand companies to the site. They mentioned no one can match the speed of which they can start up; they are ready with plans, have funding approved and already know residents' expectations as they have been involved with the previous developer for the site for the past two years. They also explained that there is no risk in the project moving forward with them because they are ready to move forward now; whereas with another developer there could be some uncertainty as they are not as far along in the process. They added that if their bid amount is the only reason why the City does not wish to accept their bid, they are willing to match the bid amount submitted by Cemo Commercial.

Councilmember Perry inquired if the Khare's already have a builder secured for the project and who will operate the childcare facility if they have other locations in which their time is already committed.

Mr. Khare responded that they have already selected architects and developers for the project. He explained that staff can manage their Vacaville location which is currently operated by Mrs. Khare and they would stagger the openings of the Livermore and Oakley sites to allow for Mrs. Khare to start up the Livermore location and then work permanently in Oakley once it opens.

Bidder Doug Quiroz with Cemo Commercial commented that Cemo's experience in real estate development is superior and they provide a more qualified approach through careful site selection to add value to the community and careful tenant selection to sustain the community. He added they have strength to do sizeable projects and professional offices are needed in the project site area.

Councilmember Perry inquired when Cemo estimates it could open the child care facility.

Mr. Quiroz responded the estimated opening would be between 12-24 months.

Councilmember Higgins commented at the last Council meeting a real estate broker mentioned that it is difficult to find tenants to come to Oakley. She inquired if Cemo can guarantee bringing medical and/or dental tenants to the site.

Mr. Quiroz responded that he cannot make any guarantees, but the commercial portion of the project would provide existing tenants in Oakley an option to relocate to a professional building rather than remain in strip mall areas throughout the City.

Mayor Romick inquired if staff has reviewed the background and financial ability of both bidders and if staff has checked references.

Mr. Dalman confirmed staff has researched this information.

Mr. Montgomery added that staff has a commitment letter from the Khare's bank and Cemo is capable to handle the project based on the successful projects it has developed and maintained.

Vice Mayor Romick thanked both bidders. He commented that he appreciate the Khare's enthusiasm for the project; however, he believes they lack detail in the commercial portion of the project.

Councilmember Perry commented that she is in favor of the Khare's proposal as they already two years into the project and they appear most qualified to run the child care facility whereas Cemo does not know who will run the facility and likely will not be involved in the day-to-day operations of the facility. She added the Khare's may not have the experience Cemo has, but they can find someone like Cemo.

Councilmember Higgins shared that she likes the idea of the Khare's developing the commercial portion of the project as a learning center. She mentioned it would add to the Diamond Hills area.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 2.2.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 2.2.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romick and seconded by Councilmember Pope to adopt the resolution to accept the bid from Cemo Commercial. AYES: Hardcastle, Pope, Romick. NOES: Higgins, Perry. (3-2)

2.3 Adopt a Resolution Approving a Community Benefit Program (Kevin Rohani, Public Works Director/City Engineer)

Public Works Director / City Engineer Kevin Rohani presented the staff report and thanked Special Counsel William Galstan for his help in developing the proposed program.

The City Council had no questions, comments or discussion.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 2.3

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 2.3

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romick and seconded by Councilmember Pope to adopt the resolution. Motion was unanimous and so ordered. (5-0)

2.4 Accept the Strategic Energy Plan and Direct City Staff to Implement the Plan (Ken Strelo, Senior Planner)

Senior Planner Ken Strelo presented the staff report and introduced Matt Sullivan and Danielle Moultak with Newcomb, Anderson, McCormick, consultants for the Strategic Energy Plan.

Mr. Sullivan mentioned they presented the Plan in August and now the final Plan is available for review and acceptance. He mentioned the Plan is funded through a grant from the East Bay Energy Watch (EBEW), it will reduce energy costs, decrease greenhouse gas emissions, and will allow the City to leverage sustainable development and be an energy leader among East Bay cities.

Ms. Moultak commented that they identified five goals in the Plan: energy reduction, green building, economics, greenhouse gas reduction and community awareness and engagement.

Mr. Sullivan mentioned that the City Hall Energy audit performed by QuEST through EBEW in September resulted in recommendations including installing lighting occupancy sensors, adding lighting schedule controls, adding daylight controls for parking lot lights and reprogramming thermostats.

Mr. Sullivan also discussed funding and financing opportunities. He mentioned next steps include measuring and reporting performance, tracking progress against established criteria, reporting back and establishing a webpage on the City's website.

Vice Mayor Romick thanked Mr. Sullivan and Ms. Moultak for their work and recommendations.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 2.4.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 2.4.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romick and seconded by Councilmember Perry to accept and implement the Plan. Motion was unanimous and so ordered. (5-0)

3.0 PUBLIC COMMENTS

At this time, the public is permitted to address the Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency on non-agendized items. PUBLIC COMMENTS ARE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. In accordance with State Law, however, no action or discussion may take place on any item not appearing on the posted agenda. The Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency may respond to statements made or questions asked or may request Staff to report back at a future meeting on the matter. The exceptions under which the Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency MAY discuss and/or take action on items not appearing on the agenda are contained in Government Code §54954.2(b)(1)(2)(3). Members of the public should submit any Speaker Cards for Public Comments in advance of the Mayor calling for Public Comments.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Public Comments.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Public Comments.

4.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

Consent Calendar items are typically non-controversial in nature and are considered for approval by the Oakley City Council/ Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency with one single action. Members of the audience, Staff or the Oakley City Council/ Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency who would like an item removed from the Consent Calendar for purposes of public input may request the Mayor remove the item. Members of the public should submit any Speaker Cards related to the Consent Calendar in advance of the Consent Calendar being considered.

Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency

4.1 Approve the Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Oakley City Council and Regular Joint Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency Meeting held October 27, 2015 (Libby Vreonis, City Clerk)

Oakley City Council

- 4.2 Accept Report Out of Closed Session Memo (Derek Cole, City Attorney)
- 4.3 Waive the Second Reading and Adopt an Ordinance Amending Sections 4.7.002 and 4.7.004 of the Oakley Municipal Code, Dealing with Abandoned Vehicles (Troy Edgell, Code Enforcement Manager)
- 4.4 Waive the Second Reading and Adopt an Ordinance Establishing a Special Tax for Zone 155 for Police Protection Services (Kevin Rohani, Public Works Director/City Engineer)
- 4.5 Award of Construction Contract to R & R Pacific Construction for the Dry Utility Infrastructure to 1530 Neroly Road Project-Capital Improvement Project No. 174 (Kevin Rohani, Public Works Director/City Engineer)
- 4.6 Request to Eliminate Conditions of Approval #55 and #56 and to Revise #41, 42, 46, 58 and 59 of Resolution No. 76-06 Related to Subdivision 9027-Duarte Ranch, Southeast Corner of Laurel Road and Rose Avenue (Kevin Rohani, Public Works Director/City Engineer)
- 4.7 Accept Quarterly Investment Report (1st Quarter Fiscal Year 2015-2016) (Deborah Sultan, Finance Director)
- 4.8 Adopt a Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Contract between the City of Oakley and Tiburon Software Systems for the Provision of a Records Management System, Automated Report Writing System and Evidence Management System (Chris Thorsen, Chief of Police)

Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency

4.9 Accept Quarterly Investment Report (1st Quarter Fiscal Year 2015-2016) (Deborah Sultan, Finance Director)

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for the Consent Calendar.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for the Consent Calendar.

Item 4.6 was pulled by Mayor Hardcastle for discussion.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romick and seconded by Councilmember Perry to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar. Motion was unanimous and so ordered. (5-0)

Item 4.6

Mayor Hardcastle requested clarification that the developer is seeking to be relieved of conditions it previously agreed to perform. He expressed concern that with the proposed resolution, the developer is being relieved of its obligation to place a signal light at the intersection of Rose Avenue and Laurel Road and there is a lot of traffic at that intersection now which will only increase with the developer's proposed housing.

Mr. Rohani explained that the developer is not being relieved of its obligation; the developer will be required to pay its portion for the intersection based upon the number of homes built. He explained that the developer cannot move forward under the conditions adopted in 2006 because the developer does not own and is unable to acquire the property needed to widen the road and install the traffic signal.

City Manager Bryan Montgomery added that the 2006 conditions make it almost impossible for the developer to perform and the City would not be relieving the developer of any cost; it will still have to pay its portion of the traffic signal. He mentioned the south side of Laurel Avenue will be expanded to two lanes if this project is approved to help with traffic flow.

Councilmember Pope suggested eminent domain may be something the City needs to explore.

Special Counsel William Galstan explained that pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act, if the City by condition requires a developer to build an improvement on property it doesn't own and the developer cannot voluntarily acquire the property, the developer can request the City to condemn the property in which the developer would pay for the costs; however, if the City doesn't acquire the property through eminent domain, the developer is excused from the condition. He advised the City Council that staff can explore options if the Council does not wish to make a decision this evening.

Mr. Montgomery mentioned eminent domain may be the only option to move forward with obtaining the right-of-way needed for the road and signal for the last major arterial road in the City (Laurel Road).

Vice Mayor Romick commented the 2006 development environment is much different than today; the 2006 conditions are not normal in today's environment. He suggested the Council relieve the developer of the conditions and bring back the item on December 8 with a proposal regarding how the City can get involved in securing the property along Laurel Road.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Romick and seconded by Councilmember Pope to adopt the resolution and bring the item back on December 8 with a proposal regarding how the City can get involved in securing the property along Laurel Road. Motion was unanimous and so ordered. (5-0)

5.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS

Oakley City Council

5.1 Acorn Self-Storage Preliminary General Plan Amendment (PA 06-15) (Joshua McMurray, Planning Manager)

Planning Manager Joshua McMurray presented the staff report.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 5.1.

Public Comment Cards

Howard Hobbs requested the City Council consider performing a traffic study on Neroly Road to determine any traffic impact the project may cause. He commented that there have been two fatality accidents at the corner of Neroly and there are current issues with vehicles speeding.

Paul Walowic expressed opposition to any development that will adversely impact the surrounding neighborhoods. He also expressed concern regarding lighting, hours of operation, traffic impact of vehicles traveling through neighborhoods, graffiti and changing to commercial zoning opening the door for more commercial business to come into a predominantly residential area.

Councilmember Perry commented she does not like the site for self-storage due to traffic safety concerns and potential for accidents to occur.

Councilmember Higgins inquired how many self-storage facilities exist in Oakley and commented that she does not see a benefit to the City in revenue with this type of facility.

Mr. McMurray responded that there are two existing self-storage facilities and one approved. He added that there is benefit in property tax value to the site but not for sales tax revenue.

Councilmember Pope commented he is okay with the concept and there is concern with traffic in general at the location, but traffic controls could be reserved in the conditions for safety. He mentioned he would prefer to see design elements such as presented by another self-storage applicant at the October 27 Council meeting.

Vice Mayor Romick mentioned traffic would likely occur on weekends, but would not be excessive and if the railroad line behind the proposed storage building became active again, the building could serve as a nice buffer for the noise. He commented that he would expect landscaping, curb, gutter, sidewalk, a bike lane and a more attractive design. He added that with Highway 160 improvements, the site would be better designated commercial than single family housing due to traffic concerns.

Mayor Hardcastle commented he is opposed to placing a storage facility may not be ideal for surrounding residents and he does not want the City to become overly populated with

City Manager Bryan Montgomery commented that the City Council could require the building design to be changed so it would be more aesthetically pleasing and blend in with the surrounding residential neighborhoods.

Mr. McMurray added that staff has discussed extensive landscaping with the applicant so that the building is least obtrusive.

Applicant, Jim Moyta, expressed he would be willing to work with staff to provide adequate landscaping, aesthetically pleasing building, color, etc. and they would love to become part of the business community. He explained their business hours are limited to regular business hours and only a handful of licensed businesses will have access 24-hours. He added that a resident manager is on site to help deter after-hours activity, they have security cameras and require fingerprints of persons who wish to rent units.

Applicant, Vince Moyta, mentioned the facility is the best use of the site and traffic counts are fairly low in this area. He commented landscaping and fencing would help provide some cover of the facility. He explained they are flexible to work with staff.

Mayor Hardcastle explained if it is architecturally pleasing he would not have a problem with the storage facility.

No action was required by the City Council. Direction was provided to staff.

5.2 Pagano Parcel Map MS 15-977 (TPM 03-15) (Ken Strelo, Senior Planner)

Mayor Hardcastle opened the public hearing, announced there are no public comment cards, and that Item 5.2 will be continued to December 8.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 5.2.

Public Comment Card

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 5.2.

6.0 REPORTS

6.1 CITY MANAGER

(a) City Manager

City Manager Bryan Montgomery announced the Veterans Day ceremony will be held tomorrow at 11 a.m., but everyone is invited to attend earlier to hear the Freedom Marching Band. He mentioned the second November City Council meeting will not be held; the next meeting will be held December 8 beginning at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

6.2 OAKLEY CITY COUNCIL/OAKLEY CITY COUNCIL ACTING AS THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE OAKLEY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

(a) Reports from Council Liaisons to Regional Committees, Commissions and Boards AND Oakley City Council/Oakley City Council Acting as the Successor Agency to the Oakley Redevelopment Agency Comments

Councilmember Perry announced she attended the final Safe Keeper Training Saturday for suicide awareness and prevention in which the City's You, Me, We=Oakley! program, Contra Costa Crisis Center and local clergy are partners.

Councilmember Pope announced he attended the East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board meeting and the Ad-Hoc committee had a meeting with Shea Homes regarding the fire station at Summer Lakes, there is concern with the financial ability for Shea to build it and the District is looking at alternative options for it to be built. He also announced he attended the Water Managers Association meeting through the Habitat Conservancy meeting last week. He mentioned recycled water projects, drought projects and twin tunnels project were discussed and that the Water Managers have some grave concerns with the twin tunnels project. He welcomed everyone to attend the Veterans Day event and the next Fire Board meeting to be held December 7 beginning at 6:30p.m. at City Hall.

Councilmember Higgins mentioned Freedom High School won the Bell Game; therefore, Mayor Bob Taylor in Brentwood should be wearing Freedom colors in which she hopes to obtain pictures to share. She announced she attended the Water Board meeting to discuss the feasibility of a new location for the Oakley Library, the national Multiple Sclerosis conference in Dallas, and she plans to attend the Veterans Day event tomorrow.

Mayor Hardcastle announced he attended "Mayor at the Market" Saturday and received comments from residents who really appreciate the LED lighting throughout the City. He mentioned he also received comments from residents who wish that all residents would move their cars for street sweeping and inquired if tickets could be issued. He explained that there may be an alternative way to encourage residents to move their cars.

(b) Requests for Future Agendas

Councilmember Perry requested a feasibility study to bring back the Planning Commission as the City is seeing an increase in design review, building and conditional use permit matters. She commented that it could enable staff to focus more on the transition with the police department and other matters as the community continues to grow, as well as provide an opportunity to Leadership Academy graduates to participate in City business.

7.0 WORK SESSION

Oakley City Council

7.1 Possible Medical Marijuana Cultivation Ordinance (William Galstan, Special Counsel)

Special Counsel William Galstan presented the staff report.

Vice Mayor Romick inquired if the ordinance only applies for medical use.

Mr. Galstan confirmed the ordinance only applies for medical use.

Councilmember Pope expressed concern regarding public safety and suggested including provisions that would require screened growth areas so the plants are not visible, a secured area where plants will be grown, plant set-backs and prevent clustering of plants to mitigate any nuisance impacts on neighbors.

City Manager Bryan Montgomery mentioned medical marijuana cultivation is much like group homes, day cares and recovery homes; they are state-licensed with no real local control; however, the City has some control over regulating cultivation pursuant to the new State law.

Mayor Hardcastle commented he wants the ordinance effective before the March 1 deadline the State has provided.

Councilmember Perry commented she is in favor of limiting the number of plants. She inquired if the State could change the numbers and if the setbacks could potentially cause someone to have to grow it in close proximity to their home or within their home.

Mr. Galstan responded that it is possible the State could change the number of plants; however, the intent of the ordinance is to prevent large grows. He added the ordinance is not intended to address indoor cultivation. He mentioned he will bring an ordinance before the City Council on December 8 for its consideration.

Online Comment Forms

No online comment forms were submitted for Item 7.1.

Public Comment Cards

No public comment cards were submitted for Item 7.1.

Mayor Hardcastle announced a 5 minute recess at 9:32 p.m. The City Council reconvened at 9:37 p.m.

8.0 CLOSED SESSION

Oakley City Council

8.1 CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6

City Designated Representative: Bryan Montgomery, City Manager

Unrepresented Anticipated Employees: Police Chief, Police Lieutenants, Police Sergeants, and Police Officers.

8.2 Reporting Out of Closed Session (William Galstan, Special Counsel)

Special Counsel William Galstan announced direction was provided to staff and there was no reportable action.

9.0 ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Libby Vreonis City Clerk