### 3.7 Transportation and Circulation

## INTRODUCTION

This section describes the existing and future conditions for transportation and circulation both with and without the proposed project. The analysis provides information on local roadway networks, levels of service, and potential effects on the local transportation system associated with traffic generated by project. In addition, this section provides an assessment of the site access and internal site circulation.

## Environmental Setting

The Emerson Property includes 140 acres and is located north of Cypress Road within the proposed 303 -acre Dutch Slough Area in the City of Oakley. The Emerson Property is proposed for residential development consisting of 578 single-family residential units. The project is proposing to include four different neighborhoods with lot sizes ranging from approximately 3,000 to 6,000 square feet. The project would also include a shopping center with approximately 280,000 square feet of commercial space anchored by a supermarket.

Implementation of the project would increase vehicular traffic in the area, which could adversely affect traffic operations, particularly at critical intersections in the area. Figure 1 shows the project location and the study intersections that were included in the analysis. Figure 2 shows the project site plan. A discussion of the existing traffic and transportation conditions in the project study area is provided below.



## Existing Conditions

Land Use

The project site has historically been used for dairy and agricultural purposes and is located to the east of the approved and partially developed Cypress Grove residential project, the Delta Vista Middle School and the Iron House Elementary School. The project site is bounded on the north by the Contra Costa Water District Canal (CCWD/USBR Canal), which segregates the project site from the open space acreage to the north currently owned by the State of California. A 55-acre portion of land immediately to the north of the CCWD/USBR canal and the project site at the end of Sellers Avenue is held in escrow for future conveyance to the City of Oakley as a community park.

## Roadways

Abrams Associates conducted an extensive analysis of the existing roadways in the vicinity of the project site. The following are descriptions of the primary roadways studied: State Route 4 (SR4)/Main Street, Cypress Road, Sellers Avenue, Knightsen Avenue, Laurel Road, and Delta Road.

State Route 4 (SR-4) / Main Street is a two-lane major arterial that carries approximately 25,500 vehicles per day. Main Street is currently the only major north-south transportation corridor in the vicinity of the project that provides direct access from Oakley to the greater Bay Area and a link between Contra Costa County and San Joaquin County to the east. Mixed residential, commercial, and agricultural uses characterize the lands along both sides of SR-4 between Rose Avenue and Laurel Road. Maximum speeds posted on SR-4 in the project vicinity are: 35 miles per hour (mph) west of Rose Avenue, 45 mph between Rose and Bernard Road, and 40 mph south of Bernard Road.

Cypress Road is an east-west, two-lane residential arterial west of SR-4 and a two- to four lane arterial east of SR-4 that is referred to as East Cypress Road. The posted speed limit on Cypress Road is 50 mph east of SR-4 in the vicinity of the project site.

Sellers Avenue is a north-south, two-lane rural road that currently has residential lots south of Cypress Road and farmlands to the north.

Knightsen Avenue is a north-south, two-lane rural road that extends north from Eden Plains Road to terminate at East Cypress Road.

Laurel Road is an east-west two-lane residential collector street with residential and vacant land on both sides. The posted speed on Laurel Road is 45 mph . Laurel Road is located approximately onehalf mile south of the project site, parallel to Cypress Road, and is planned to be extended to Sellers Avenue.

Delta Road is an east-west, two-lane rural road that extends east from Main Street and provides a connection to the north end of the Byron Highway.
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## Traffic Operations

During the AM peak hour, the primary direction of traffic in the vicinity of the project is westbound as area residents use SR 4 and other roadways to travel to employment in the Bay Area. During the PM peak hour, the primary direction of traffic is eastbound as residents return home. Main Street is currently used as the primary route of travel to the nearest freeway (SR 4). Since Main Street is designated as a state highway in the study area, it also serves a high truck volume (about 10 percent of vehicles are multi-axle trucks) that contributes to the congestion along the corridor. As mentioned previously, the Union Pacific (UP) Railroad crosses East Cypress Road about 650 feet east of Main Street. The crossing is currently at-grade and controlled by gates on East Cypress Road. Based on current observations, when trains cross East Cypress Road the eastbound East Cypress Road traffic can back to Main Street and interfere with the regular operations at the East Cypress Road/Main Street intersection, mainly during the PM peak hour. Although East Cypress Road is being improved in the area there are no plans to grade-separate the railroad crossing.

## Intersection Operations

Traffic count information for the project study intersections were obtained from the River Oaks Crossing Specific Plan FEIR ${ }^{1}$ and calibrated with data from the East Cypress Road Corridor Specific Plan Traffic Study ${ }^{2}$. In addition, new traffic counts were conducted at three key intersections in May, 2008 to verify that the traffic volumes are accurately portrayed. The existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown on Figure 3 and the existing lane configurations are shown in Figure 4. Each project study intersection was analyzed according to the methodology and standards set forth in the "Impacts and Mitigations" section.

Existing intersection operations were evaluated for the weekday AM and PM peak hours at the study intersections. Detailed intersection LOS calculation worksheets are provided in Appendix. All signalized study intersections currently operate at acceptable levels-of-service (LOS) which is LOS D or better according to City and County standards. However, there were two unsignalized intersections that had side street approaches operating at LOS F.
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The stop-controlled T-intersections of Main Street with Rose Avenue and with Delta Road, though operating at LOS A overall, both operate at LOS F on the stop-controlled side street movements during the peak hours. The motorists on unsignalized side streets such as these often have substantial delays before they can enter the stream of traffic on Main Street. The Main Street/Rose Avenue intersection currently does not meet any of the Caltrans' traffic signal warrants. However, the intersection of Main Street with Delta Road already meets the peak hour volume warrant under existing conditions. A review of the queue lengths for the southbound left-turn movement on Main Street indicates that the current traffic controls do not cause problems to the mainline operations. Observations at this intersection indicate that the current operations are acceptable with stop control on the Delta Road approach since the majority of traffic on the side street turns right onto Main Street. It should be noted that the majority of this side street traffic appears to be generated by commuters attempting to bypass congestion on SR 4 in Brentwood by using side streets such as the Byron Highway and Delta Road. Table 1 summarizes the existing conditions at the project study intersections.

## $\underline{\text { Transit Service }}$

Tri-Delta Transit provides transit service in the area, providing three lines connecting Brentwood and the Pittsburg/Bay Point Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) station. Tri-Delta Transit Route 391 operates during the commute hours on weekdays and Route 392 operates on weekends only. Both routes travel through local streets in Brentwood, Oakley, and Antioch. Route 300 is an express route on SR-4 with only four stops between Brentwood and the BART station. In the vicinity of the project, all three lines have bus stops located at the Main Street (SR-4)/Cypress Road intersection just to the southwest of the project site. However, service is not currently provided on Cypress Road east of SR-4/Main Street.

## Baseline Conditions

In order to provide a more accurate forecast of the impact of the Emerson Ranch Project on traffic in the area an analysis was also conducted to determine the traffic that will be added from approved projects that could affect the study area. The adjusted data is based on a complete list of approved projects provided by the City of Oakley and contained in the East Cypress Road Specific Plan Traffic Study ${ }^{1}$. For the purposes of this analysis it was assumed that no more than about $50 \%$ of the East Cypress Road Specific Plan development could be constructed and occupied before the proposed project is completed. Figure 5 shows the Baseline traffic volumes that were used in this analysis. The data was used to analyze the baseline (or "background") traffic conditions from which the effects of the Emerson Ranch project will be measured. The baseline represents the traffic conditions that are forecast to exist once already approved projects (and other reasonably foreseeable projects) are completed and occupied.

TABLE 1
EXISTING INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION |  | CONTROL | PEAK HOUR | EXISTING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEASURE |  | LOS |
| 1 | Main Street (SR 4) and Cypress Road |  | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.44 \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | East Cypress Road/Frank Hengel Way | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 13.7 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | East Cypress Road/Sellers Avenue | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.20 \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | East Cypress Road/Main Project Entrance | Future | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | East Cypress Road/Knightsen Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.3 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 13.1 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | East Cypress Road/Jersey Island Road | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \mathrm{sec} \\ 9.8 \mathrm{sec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | East Cypress Road/Bethel Island Road | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \mathrm{sec} \\ 10.1 \mathrm{sec} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | West Cypress Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 7.9 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \mathrm{sec} \\ 8.9 \mathrm{sec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Sellers Avenue at Laurel Road | Future | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Sellers Avenue at Delta Road | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 8.5 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12 | Main Street (SR 4) at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.5 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 37.3 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | D |
| 13 | Main Street (SR 4) at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.49 \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.61 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 14 | Main Street (SR 4) at Vintage Parkway | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.40 \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 15 | Main Street (SR 4) at Laurel Road | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} & =0.45 \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} & =0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Main Street (SR 4) at Malicoat Avenue | Traffic Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} & =0.42 \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} & =0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Main Street (SR 4) at Brownstone Rd | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36.6 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 26.3 \mathrm{sec} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Main Street (SR 4) at Delta Road | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >50 \mathrm{sec} \\ & >50 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Laurel Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 12.0 \mathrm{sec} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Laurel Road at O'Hara Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.1 \mathrm{sec} \\ & 10.6 \mathrm{sec} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline B \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Laurel Road at Empire Avenue | Stop Sign | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.4 \mathrm{sec} \\ 12.7 \mathrm{sec} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |
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## Baseline Roadway Improvements

Funded roadway improvements planned for the next few years were assumed to be in place under the Baseline conditions. Major roadway improvements planned in the study area include:

- Extension of Neroly Avenue from its current terminus east to Main Street.
- Extension of East Cypress Road from Bethel Island Road to Sandmound Boulevard as a four-lane arterial.
- Signalization of East Cypress Road/Bethel Island Road intersection.
- Signalization of the Main Street/Live Oak Avenue intersection.
- Widening of East 18th Street to four lanes between Willow Avenue and SR 4
- Addition of a northern leg and signalization of the East 18th Street/Phillips Lane intersection
- Signalization of the Wilbur Avenue/Minaker Drive intersection
- Addition of a second left turn lane on northbound Neroly Road at the Main Street/Bridgehead Road/Neroly Road intersection


## Intersections

With the addition of the approved projects traffic to existing traffic volumes several intersections would exceed the standards set forth by the City of Oakley and Contra Costa County (LOS D or better). Since Main Street provides the primary access to regional transportation facilities, most of the expected Baseline traffic would be added to Main Street. As a result, several study intersections along Main Street (SR 4) would degrade to LOS E or LOS F including the intersections at O'Hara Avenue, Malicoat Avenue, and Brownstone Road.

One other unsignalized intersection would also operate at LOS F on the side street approach - East Cypress Road at Knightsen Avenue. In general, it is clear that some additional roadway improvements are already needed to adequately accommodate the projected traffic growth due to approved projects. Although they are not assumed to be in place as part of the Baseline, the improvements required to address these problems are already planned for the area and are discussed in the "Impacts and Mitigations Measures" section. The results of the levels of service analysis for the baseline conditions are given in Table 2. Detailed level-of-service calculations are contained in Appendix.

## Regulatory Context

Existing policies, laws and regulations that would apply to the proposed project are summarized below.

TABLE 2
BASELINE INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION |  | CONTROL | PEAK HOUR | BASELINE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEASURE |  | LOS |
| 1 | Main Street (SR 4) and Cypress Road |  | Traffic Signal |  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ |  |
|  |  | PM |  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.50$ | A |
| 2 | East Cypress Road/Frank Hengel Way | Traffic Signal | AM | $v / \mathrm{c}=0.35$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.24$ | A |
| 3 | East Cypress Road/Sellers Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.34$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.40$ | A |
| 4 | East Cypress Road/Main Project Entrance | Future | AM | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  | PM | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | East Cypress Road/Knightsen Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 14.2 sec | B |
|  |  |  | PM | 23.6 sec | C |
| 6 | East Cypress Road/Jersey Island Road | Stop Sign | AM | 9.5 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 10.7 sec | B |
| 7 | East Cypress Road/Bethel Island Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.22$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0 . .30$ | A |
| 8 | West Cypress Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 8.5 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 8.3 sec | A |
| 9 | West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 11.4 sec | B |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.9 sec | A |
| 10 | Sellers Avenue at Laurel Road | Stop Sign | AM | 9.2 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.3 sec | A |
| 11 | Sellers Avenue at Delta Road | Stop Sign | AM | 7.9 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.1 sec | A |
| 12 | Main Street (SR 4) at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 40.2 sec | E |
| 12 | Main Street (SR 4) at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 13 | Main Street (SR 4) at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.60$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=.92$ | E |
| 14 | Main Street (SR 4) at Vintage Parkway | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.44$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.53$ | A |
| 15 | Main Street (SR 4) at Laurel Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $v / \mathrm{c}=0.60$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.81$ | D |
| 16 | Main Street (SR 4) at Malicoat Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=037$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.27$ | A |
| 17 | Main Street (SR 4) at Brownstone Rd | Stop Sign | AM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | 28.5 sec | D |
| 18 | Main Street (SR 4) at Delta Road | Stop Sign | AM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 19 | Laurel Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 40.3 sec | E |
|  |  |  | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 20 | Laurel Road at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.50$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.49$ | A |
| 21 | Laurel Road at Empire Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.53$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.70$ | B |

## State

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) has jurisdiction over state highways. Therefore, Caltrans controls all construction, modification, and maintenance of state highways, such as SR-4. Any improvements to SR-4 would require Caltrans' approval.

## Contra Costa County Transportation Authority

The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) serves as the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for Contra Costa County. CCTA adopted the county's first Congestion Management Program (CMP) in October 1991. The most recent CMP, referred to as the 2001 CMP Update, represents the fifth biennial update that the Authority has prepared.

## Measure C

The overall goal of the CCTA Growth Management Program (GMP) called for in Measure C-1988 is to "achieve a cooperative process for Growth Management on a countywide basis, while maintaining local authority over land use decisions and the establishment of performance standards." Using a formula based on road miles and population, CCTA allocates 18 percent of the sales tax revenues it receives to local jurisdictions that comply with GMP requirements. Oakley participates in the Measure C program as a member of the TRANSPLAN subregional transportation planning committee, which consists of Antioch, Brentwood, Oakley, Pittsburg, and Contra Costa County.

## Local

## General Plan Policies

The Transportation and Circulation Element included in the General Plan is prepared pursuant to Section 65302(b) of the California Government Code, and has been a mandatory component of local General Plans since 1955. The Transportation and Circulation Element is required to address the location and extent of existing and planned transportation routes, terminals, and other local public utilities and facilities. Furthermore, the Transportation and Circulation Element must be consistent with the other elements of the General Plan, accommodating future travel demand and contributing to, rather than inhibiting, the attainment of desired land use patterns in the Land Use Element.

The General Plan identifies several roadway and transit goals and policies that have been adopted to ensure that the transportation system of the city will have adequate capacity to serve planned growth. These goals and policies are intended to provide a plan and implementation measures for an integrated, multi-modal transportation system that will safely and efficiently meet the transportation needs of all economic and social segments of the city and provide for the transport of
goods and services within the City. The following applicable goals and policies are from the Oakley 2020 General Plan ${ }^{3}$ :

Roadway and Transit Goals:
Goal 3.1 Provide an efficient and balanced transportation system.
Policy 3.1.1 Strive to maintain Level of Service D as the minimum acceptable service standard for intersections during peak periods (except those facilities identified as Routes of Regional Significance).
Policy 3.1.2 For those facilities identified as Routes of Regional Significance, maintain the minimum acceptable service standards specified in the East County Action Plan Final 2000 Update, or future Action Plan updates as adopted.
Policy 3.1.3 Keep roadway facilities in optimal condition.
Policy 3.1.5 Encourage a multi-modal circulation system that supports non-automobile travel.
Policy 3.1.6 Address future roadway needs through both new road construction and management of existing and planned roadway capacity.
Policy 3.1.8 Mitigate conflicts between new roadway improvements and existing rural roadways when the identified conflicts threaten public health, safety and welfare.

Bicycles and Pedestrians:
Goal 3.2 Promote and encourage walking and bicycling.
Policy 3.2.1 Provide maximum opportunities for bicycle and pedestrian circulation on existing and new roadway facilities.
Policy 3.2.2 Enhance opportunities for bicycle and pedestrian activity in new public and private development projects.
Policy 3.2.3 Create a bicycle and pedestrian system that provides connections throughout Oakley and with neighboring areas, and serves both recreational and commuter users.
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## Public Transportation:

Goal 3.3 Provide adequate, convenient, and affordable public transportation.
Policy 3.3.1 Design new roadways and facilities to accommodate public transit.
Policy 3.3.2 Ensure that new public and private development supports public transit.
Policy 3.3.3 Encourage transit providers to improve transit routes, frequency, and level of service to adequately serve the mobility needs of Oakley residents, including those dependent on public transit.

## Neighborhood Traffic Management:

Goal 3.4 Minimize the intrusion of through traffic on residential streets.
Policy 3.4.1 Direct non-local traffic onto collector streets and arterials.
Policy 3.4.2 Maintain traffic speeds and volumes on neighborhood streets consistent with residential land uses.
Policy 3.4.3 Provide adequate capacity on collector and arterial streets to accommodate travel within the City.

## Impacts and Mitigations

## Standards of Significance

Based on the adopted policies of CCTA, the City of Oakley, and Contra Costa County a traffic impact would be considered significant if any of the following conditions, or potential thereof, would result from implementation of the proposed project.

- Substantially increased traffic volumes in relation to existing traffic load and capacity of the street system;
- A decline in LOS at a signalized intersection to unacceptable Level $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}=0.90)$ or lower;
- A decline in LOS at an unsignalized intersection to unacceptable level - LOS E (Average Delay $=35$ seconds) or lower;
- An unsignalized intersection is forecast to meet the warrants for installation of a traffic signal, as set forth by Caltrans;
- Failure of any street or portion of a street to meet accepted safety and design standards or guidelines;
- Failure to meet adopted alternative transportation policies, plans, or programs.
- Inadequate access for emergency vehicles.


## Analysis Methodology

Abrams Associates Traffic Engineering, Inc. conducted a Traffic Impact Analysis for the Emerson Ranch Project. The analysis is intended to quantify the traffic impacts of the project and to address the circulation and roadway improvements needed to mitigate these impacts. The analysis, summarized herein, addresses traffic conditions occurring during the morning and evening peak hours, and the area studied encompasses all of the major intersections that would be affected by the proposed project. The analysis considers the project's impacts on the baseline traffic conditions as well as conditions occurring in the future under the City of Oakley and Contra Costa County General Plans.

## Intersections Studied

The following intersections were studied for project-related impacts:

| No. | Intersection Name |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Traffic Control |
| :--- |
| 1) | East Cypress Road and Main Street (State Route 4) $\quad$ Traffic Signal

## Levels of Service Evaluations

Levels of service at each of the intersections studied were evaluated to demonstrate how the proposed project would impact the transportation and circulation system. Three near-term and two long-term cumulative scenarios were considered:

- Existing Conditions - The current (2001) traffic volumes and roadway conditions were evaluated.
- Existing-Plus-Approved-Projects (Baseline) Conditions - This scenario evaluates conditions that would result when adding traffic generated by already approved projects that might affect the study intersections to existing traffic conditions.
- Baseline-Plus-Project Conditions - This scenario begins with the conditions determined for the existing-plus-approved-projects scenario and adds traffic that would be generated by the proposed Emerson Ranch Project.
- Year 2030 Conditions - Future traffic conditions at the study intersections were projected based on "Eastern Contra Costa County Travel Demand Model" developed by the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA).
- Year 2030 Plus Project Conditions - This scenario begins with the conditions determined for the year 2030 conditions above and adds traffic that would be generated by the proposed Emerson Ranch Project.

Already approved projects consist of developments that are either under construction, are completed but fully or partially unoccupied, or that are not yet built but have final developmentplan approval from the City. The methodology used assumes that all approved projects are completed and fully occupied in the year 2030 traffic scenarios.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation is defined as the number of one-way vehicle trips produced by a particular land use or study site. Trips generated by the Emerson Ranch Project were estimated using the rates contained in Trip Generation, Seventh Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

Trip distribution is the process of determining in what proportion vehicle trips will travel between different locations within a traffic study area. Trip assignment is the allocation of vehicle trips to available routes (local streets) between locations in the traffic study area. Traffic was distributed to the roadway system manually based on existing travel patterns. Future traffic generated by approved and buildout developments was distributed and assigned to the local street system using information from the City of Oakley and Contra Costa County General Plans and from the "Eastern Contra Costa County Travel Demand Model," which takes into account likely peak-hour route choices.

## Roadway Improvements Assumptions

Based on information provided to Abrams Associates by the City and the data contained in the East County Travel Demand Model, the long-term scenarios include major improvements to the traffic network including a SR-4 bypass, improvements to Laurel Road, an extension of Laurel Road connecting to Sellers Avenue, and improvements to Sellers Avenue between Cypress Road and Laurel Road. The Year 2030 analyses were prepared based on the assumption that these key roadway improvements in the study area will be fully completed as planned.

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

The level of service (LOS) measurement is a qualitative description of traffic operating conditions for intersections and roadways. Levels of service describe these conditions in terms of such factors as speed, travel time, delays, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort, convenience, and safety. Levels of service are given letter designations ranging from A to F, which are defined in Tables 3 and 4 below. The LOS measurement that is used to determine the significance of any impacts a project might have on traffic and circulation is an intersection's overall LOS. Separate methodologies are used to determine levels of service at signalized and unsignalized intersections.

## Signalized Intersections

The operating conditions at the signalized study intersections were evaluated using the most recent 1995 update of the Contra Costa County Transportation Authority's CCTALOS Program (Version 2.35). This is the intersection analysis methodology currently required by the CCTA. This program uses the TRB (Transportation Research Board) Circular 212 methodology to analyze the operations at signalized intersections based on the utilization of intersection capacity. The LOS definitions for signalized intersections are included in Table 3.

## Table 3

## Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

The $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ HIGHWAY CAPCITY MANUAL methodology for analyzing signalized intersections measures the performance by the control delay per vehicle in seconds. The CRITICAL MOVEMENT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY ${ }^{4}$, required by the CCTA is described in Transportation Research Board's Circular 212, defines Level of Service (LOS) for signalized intersections in terms of the ratio of critical movement traffic volumes to an estimate of the maximum capacity for critical volume at an intersection. Critical movements at an intersection are calculated by determining the maximum traffic volumes for conflicting traffic movements (i.e., left-turns plus opposing through traffic) per single stream of traffic (by lane). For the Critical Movement Methodology the LOS for intersections is determined by the ratio of critical movement volume to critical movement capacity (volume-to-capacity ratio $=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ) for the entire intersection. Six categories of LOS are defined, ranging from LOS "A" with minor delay to LOS " F " with delays averaging more than 40 seconds during the peak hour.

| Level-of-Service |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS "A" } \\ & \text { V/C Range } \\ & \quad \text { Average Stop Delay (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00-0.60 \\ & 0.0-10.0 \end{aligned}$ | Free flow. If signalized, conditions are such that no vehicle phase is fully utilized and no vehicle waits through more than one red indication. Very slight or no delay. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOS "B" } \\ & \quad \text { V/C Range } \\ & \quad \text { Average Stop Delay (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61-0.70 \\ & 10.1-20.0 \end{aligned}$ | Stable flow. If signalized, an occasional approach phase is fully utilized; vehicle platoons are formed. Slight delay. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS "C" } \\ & \quad \text { V/C Range } \\ & \quad \text { Average Stop Delay (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71-0.80 \\ & 20.1-35.0 \end{aligned}$ | Stable flow or operation. If signalized, drivers occasionally may have to wait through more than one red indication. Acceptable delay. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS "D" } \\ & \quad \text { V/C Range } \\ & \quad \text { Average Stop Delay (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81-0.90 \\ & 35.1-55.0 \end{aligned}$ | Approaching unstable flow or operation; queues develop but quickly clear. Tolerable delay. |
| LOS "E" <br> V/C Range <br> Average Stop Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91-1.00 \\ & 55.1-80.0 \end{aligned}$ | Unstable flow or operation; the intersection has reached ultimate capacity; Congestion and intolerable delay. |
| LOS "F" <br> V/C Range ${ }^{5}$ <br> - Measured <br> - Forecast <br> Average Stop Delay (seconds) | 1.00 or less <br> 1.01 or more $>80$ | Forced flow or operation. Intersection operates below capacity. Jammed |

## Unsignalized Intersections

For unsignalized intersections the methodology set forth in Chapter 10 of the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual was used. This methodology is based on average total delay (seconds/vehicle).

[^2]CHAPTER 3.7 - TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

The HCM analysis was conducted using Traffix 7.7 and the level-of-service calculations are included in the appendix to this report.

As with signalized intersections, there are six levels of service for unsignalized intersections, A through F, which represent conditions from best to worst, respectively. Table 4 shows the corresponding average total delay per vehicle at unsignalized intersections for each LOS category from $A$ to $F$.

Table 4
Level-of-Service for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service <br> (LOS) | Ave Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Traffic <br> Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ | No Delay |
| B | $>10-15$ | Short Delay |
| C | $>15-25$ | Moderate Delay |
| D | $>25-35$ | Long Delay |
| E | $>35-50$ | Very Long Delay |
| F | $>50$ | Volume>Capacity |

## Baseline Plus Project Conditions

Trip Generation - Emerson Ranch Project

As mentioned previously, the Emerson Ranch is proposed for residential development consisting of 578 single-family residential units and would also include an approximately 278,000 square-foot neighborhood shopping center. The trip generation rates for this project were based on the most current ITE rates from the seventh edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual for Single-family Detached Housing (Land Use Code 210) and Shopping Center (Land Use Code 820) as shown in Table 5. Based on these ITE trip rates, the daily and peak hour project trips have been calculated. At the three proposed entrances the project is expected to generate about 13,408 vehicle trips per day, with about 623 trips during the AM peak hour and about 1,272 trips during the PM peak hour. A summary of the estimated trip generation during the AM and PM peak hours is shown on Table 6. The project trips forecast to be added to each of the study intersections are shown on Figure 6.

## Table 5

Trip Generation Rates for the Emerson Ranch Project

| ITE Trip Generation Rates (Trips per 1,000 sq ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour(8:00-9:00 AM) |  |  | PM Peak Hour (5:00-6:00 PM) |  |  |
| Development | Daily | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 9.57 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.37 | 1.01 |
| Shopping Center | 42.92 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 1.03 | 1.80 | 1.95 | 3.75 |

Table 6
Trip Generation for the Emerson Ranch Project

| Number of Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour (8:00-9:00 AM) |  |  | PM Peak Hour (5:00-6:00 PM) |  |  |
| Development | Daily Trips | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single-Family Detached Housing (578 units) | 5,531 | 110 | 324 | 434 | 370 | 214 | 584 |
| Shopping Center (278,000 square feet) | 11,934 | 175 | 112 | 286 | 500 | 542 | 1,043 |
| Shopping Center Pass-By Traffic (34 percent) | 4,057 | 59 | 38 | 97 | 170 | 184 | 355 |
| Net New Shopping Center Trips | 7,876 | 115 | 74 | 189 | 330 | 358 | 688 |
| Total Project Trips | 13,408 | 225 | 397 | 623 | 700 | 572 | 1,272 |

## Pass-By Traffic

Pass-by trips are project trips that are assumed to enter the site and then resume travel in the same direction. They are trips made as intermediate stops on the way from an origin to a primary destination. For the purposes of this analysis the pass-by adjustments have only been applied to the shopping center component of the Emerson project.
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## Trip Distribution - Emerson Project

Figure 7 shows the trip distribution percentages that were used in the analysis. Figure 8 shows the resulting existing plus project turning movements at each of the study intersections. Although Cypress Road would remain the primary access to the project, in the future a large portion of the traffic from this area is assumed to travel to and from the south on Sellers Avenue to access the SR 4 Bypass via the planned extension of Laurel Road. It should also be noted that it is forecast that approximately 22 percent of the project traffic would be internal trips within the Oakley city limits.

## Project Roadway Improvements

Consistent with the Oakley 2020 General Plan, roadway infrastructure would be constructed to meet the needs of new residential neighborhoods and provide access to this portion of Oakley. Street widths would be designed in accordance with traffic studies completed for the project as well as the Oakley 2020 General Plan.

Cypress Road will be improved along the project boundary with a landscaped median, as well as a landscaped corridor with a trail on the north side of the road. The project would complete the northern half of Cypress Road with two westbound through lanes from Sellers Avenue to the western boundary of the project.

Sellers Avenue will be constructed as a two lane divided road from Cypress Road north to the project boundary with the CCWD/USBR Right of Way (see Figure 2.4), as adopted by the Development Agreement.

Local streets will be designed and constructed per City of Oakley and Contra Costa County standards.



Other roadway improvements associated with the Emerson Ranch project include the following:

- Transition of Sellers Avenue north to the future community park;
- Modification of existing traffic signal at Sellers Avenue and East Cypress Road and installation of two new traffic signals at the main entrances to the residential area and to the shopping center.
- Modification of existing driveways to adjacent properties.
- Modification of existing Cypress Road improvements (adjacent to Cypress Grove development) along western boundary for connection;
- Transition of Sellers Avenue north to the future community park;


## Intersection Operations

The capacity calculations for the Baseline Plus Project scenario are shown in Table 7. As seen in this table, the addition of traffic from the Proposed Project does not result in any other intersections degrading to unacceptable levels. In general, the analysis indicated the project would not cause any other significant impacts on traffic operations in the area.

The project LOS shown in Table 7 does not include the mitigation measures discussed in the "2006 Baseline Plus Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures" section. Many of the proposed mitigation measures are intended to accommodate cumulative traffic conditions. With these mitigations in place all intersections would have acceptable operations (LOS "D" or better) and the project's impacts on traffic operations would be mitigated to a less than significant level. The complete LOS calculations for all the alternatives are included in the appendix.

## Intersection Signalization Needs

Traffic signals are used to provide for an orderly flow of traffic through an intersection. Many times they are needed to provide side street traffic and opportunity to access a major road where high volumes and/or high vehicle speeds block crossing or turn movements. They do not, however, necessarily increase the capacity of an intersection (i.e., increase the intersection's ability to accommodate additional vehicles) and, in fact, often slightly reduce the number of total vehicles

TABLE 7
BASELINE PLUS PROJECT INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION |  | CONTROL | PEAK HOUR | BASELINE |  | CONTROL | BASELINE + EMERSON |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEASURE |  | LOS | MEASURE |  | LOS |
| 1 | Main Street (SR 4) and Cypress Road |  | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.49$ | A |
|  |  | PM |  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.50$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.69$ | B |
| 2 | East Cypress Road/Frank Hengel Way | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.35$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.44$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.24$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ | A |
| 3 | East Cypress Road/Sellers Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $v / c=0.34$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.40$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.51$ | A |
| 4 | East Cypress Road/Main Project Entrance | Future | AM | N/A | N/A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.23$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | N/A | N/A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.49$ | A |
| 5 | East Cypress Road/Knightsen | Stop Sign | AM | 14.2 sec | B | Stop Sign | 14.6 sec | B |
|  | Avenue | Stop Sign | PM | 23.6 sec | C |  | 25.7 sec | D |
| 6 | East Cypress Road/Jersey Island | Stop Sign | AM | 9.5 sec | A | Stop Sign | 9.5 sec | A |
|  | Road | Stop Sign | PM | 10.7 sec | B |  | 10.9 sec | B |
| 7 | East Cypress Road/Bethel Island | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.22$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.23$ | A |
|  | Road |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0 . .30$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0 . .31$ | A |
| 8 | West Cypress Road at Rose | Stop Sign | AM | 8.5 sec | A | Stop Sign | 8.8 sec | A |
|  | Avenue | Stop Sign | PM | 8.3 sec | A |  | 8.9 sec | A |
| 9 | West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 11.4 sec | B | Stop Sign | 12.6 sec | B |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.9 sec | A |  | 11.2 sec | B |
| 10 | Sellers Avenue at Laurel Road | Stop Sign | AM | 9.2 sec | A | Stop Sign | 9.7 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.3 sec | A |  | 10.5 sec | B |
| 11 | Sellers Avenue at Delta Road | Stop Sign | AM | 7.9 sec | A | Stop Sign | 8.1 sec | A |
|  |  |  | PM | 9.1 sec | A | Stop Sign | 9.8 sec | A |
| 12 | Main Street (SR 4) at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 40.2 sec | E | Stop Sign | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |  | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 13 | Main Street (SR 4) at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.60$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.64$ | B |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.92$ | E | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=1.00$ | E |
| 14 | Main Street (SR 4) at Vintage Parkway | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.44$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.45$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.53$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.54$ | A |
| 15 | Main Street (SR 4) at Laurel Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $v / c=0.60$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.67$ | B |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.64$ | B | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.80$ | C |
| 16 | Main Street (SR 4) at Malicoat Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.37$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.38$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.27$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.31$ | A |
| 17 | Main Street (SR 4) at Brownstone Rd | Stop Sign | AM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F | Stop Sign | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | 28.5 sec | D |  | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 18 | Main Street (SR 4) at Delta Road | Stop Sign | AM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F | Stop Sign | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |  | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 19 | Laurel Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 40.3 sec | E | Stop Sign | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
|  |  |  | PM | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F | Stop Sign | $>50 \mathrm{sec}$ | F |
| 20 | Laurel Road at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.50$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.52$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.49$ | A | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.54$ | A |
| 21 | Laurel Road at Empire Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.53$ | A | Traffic | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.55$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.70$ | B | Signal | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.73$ | C |

that can pass through an intersection in a given period of time. Signals can also cause an increase in traffic accidents if installed at improper locations.

There are eleven possible tests (called "warrants") set forth by Caltrans (and the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices) for determining whether a traffic signal should be considered for installation. These tests consider criteria such as traffic volumes and delay, pedestrian volumes, presence of school children, and accident history. Usually, two or more warrants must be met before a signal is installed. If the Peak Hour Volume Warrant (Warrant \#11) is met at an intersection that is usually a strong indication that a more detailed signal warrant analysis covering all possible warrants is appropriate.

For this report observations of peak hour traffic conditions and a test for peak hour volumes were conducted at all unsignalized project study intersections. Future traffic signals are already planned at the four unsignalized intersections that have side streets that operate at LOS E or LOS F. Although the project will contribute to the need for these traffic signals, they would not be required as mitigations since the overall LOS at these intersections would remain at acceptable levels and the traffic from the proposed project alone would not cause any intersections to meet the warrants where they were not already warranted. In summary, our review indicated that no new unsignalized study intersections would meet Caltrans warrants for installation of a traffic signal as a result of project traffic.

## Site Access and Circulation

On Cypress Road the Emerson Ranch Project would have a signalized primary entrance on Cypress Road at the main residential entrance, another signalized entrance into the shopping center, and a secondary stop controlled entrance for the shopping center. Please note that the stop controlled exit from the shopping center onto Cypress Road would need to be restricted to right turns only. On Sellers Avenue there would be two unsignalized entrances to the project, one of which would be aligned with the potential future entrance to the Gilbert Property. The project would also have two internal connections to the existing Cypress Grove neighborhood to the west.

The proposed site plan should function well and would not cause any safety or operational problems. The project site design has been required to conform to City design standards and would not create any significant impacts to pedestrians, bicyclists or traffic operations. We also reviewed the site plan for truck access and found that that all necessary truck turning movements can be accommodated.

## Emergency Vehicle Access

Factors such as number of access points, roadway width, and proximity to fire stations determine whether a project has sufficient emergency access. In this case the proposed project would provide multiple access points from the arterials in the area. Therefore, if one of the roadways is blocked or obstructed, an emergency vehicle could use an alternate route to access the project. All lane widths within the project would meet the minimum width that can accommodate an emergency vehicle; therefore the width of the internal roadways is adequate. A fire station located on East Cypress Road, just east of Bethel Island Road would allow for timely emergency response within the project area. Based on these considerations, there would be no significant impacts associated with the planned emergency vehicle access.

## Alternative Transportation Access

This section discusses the pedestrian, bicycle and transit access and circulation within the project site and the consistency with adopted policies, plans and programs. For pedestrian access the roadways within the project would provide sidewalks on at least one side of the roadway. Trails would also be provided on top of the levees surrounding the project site. For bicycles off-street multi-use trails (class I facilities) would be located along the on top of the levees surrounding the project site, and through some of the parks within the site. On-street bicycle lanes (class II) would be provided along both sides of East Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue. Dedicated bicycle facilities would not be provided along the internal roads or local streets within the neighborhoods.

There is currently no transit at the site. However, given the amount of planned development in the area surrounding the project, Tri Delta Transit, the local transit service provider, may decide to provide regular transit service in the area. The arterials and collectors within the project area would provide adequate lane widths to accommodate future transit vehicles and bus pullouts are currently planned for East Cypress Road at Sellers Avenue. In general, the project's current design would not conflict with the City's adopted alternative transportation policies and plans.

## Parking

The proposed project is expected to provide a minimum of two off-street parking spaces for each residential unit and will provide adequate parking for the shopping center to ensure consistency with the County requirements. There will also be new on-street parking spaces created along the new internal project roadways. Therefore the proposed project is not expected to create negative parking impacts on the surrounding area.

## Potential Elementary School Site

An analysis was conducted of the potential trip generation effects of a new elementary school planned to be constructed in the area. Our review indicated the presence of a school in any of the proposed locations would not change any of the results or conclusions in this report. This assumes that the future school access intersections will be properly designed so that no new safety problems are created. At this stage of planning it appears that vehicle and pedestrian access can be safely accommodated since there will be numerous new signalized intersections in the area.

A large portion of the students for any elementary school would come from the planned homes in the Cypress corridor. Therefore, many of the school trips in question would already be using Cypress Road and would not be new trips to the area. It should also be noted that a school would not significantly affect the PM commute peak hour (which is the design hour / critical hour in this area). In summary, a new elementary school in the project area would not result in a substantial increase in trips generated during the critical peak hour and should not require additional analysis as part of this report. A detailed comparison of the trip generation from a potential school has been included in the appendix as Table A-1.

## Baseline Plus Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

## 3.7-1 The addition of project traffic would contribute to unacceptable LOS F operations during the peak hours at East Cypress Road and the minor (stopcontrolled) shopping center entrance and on Main Street at O'Hara Avenue, Cypress Road, and at Malicoat Avenue.

## Mitigation Measure(s)

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
3.7-1 (a) Mitigation of the unacceptable conditions at intersections on Main Street will be partially achieved through the planned completion of the SR 4 Bypass and improvements to Laurel Road and Sellers Avenue as discussed below. This mitigation would provide an alternative to route to Main Street and alleviate some of its congestion. The proposed project would contribute to this mitigation by paying its fair share of the cost through the payment of regional traffic fees to the East Contra Costa Regional Fee and Finance Authority (ECCRFFA) and the East County Transportation Improvement Authority (ECTIA).

Improvements to Laurel Road would include widening it to a four-lane arterial between Empire Avenue and Main Street, and extending it on the east from its current terminus just west of the Union Pacific Railroad to Sellers Avenue. Sellers Avenue would be upgraded to a four-lane arterial between East Cypress Road and Laurel Road. These roadway improvements on Laurel Road and Sellers Avenue in conjunction with the construction of Segment 1 of the SR 4 Bypass would provide access to and from the SR 4 freeway, and improve operations along East Cypress Road and Main Street. These roadway improvements have been identified in the City's General Plan and are included in the City's Transportation Impact Fee Program.
3.7-5(b) Complete mitigation of the unacceptable conditions at Main Street/ O'Hara Avenue intersection can be achieved through the construction of the Main Street Downtown Bypass. This project would realign Main Street north of its current alignment as a new four-lane arterial between west of Vintage Parkway and 2nd Street and provide an alternative to Main Street through Downtown Oakley. The Main Street Downtown Bypass was included in the Old Town Oakley Specific Plan in 1999. The project is also included in the City's General Plan and the City's Transportation Impact Fee Program. The proposed project would contribute to this mitigation by paying its fair share of the cost through the payment of the City's Transportation Impact Fee.
3.7-5(c) Restrict the minor (stop-controlled) shopping center driveway on East Cypress Road to right-turns only. This location would exceed the City's Standards without the proposed restriction and it is also clear that it would not be possible to safely accommodate left-turns unless the driveway were located in advance of the eastbound left-turn lane for the traffic signal at Sellers Avenue.

## 3.7-2 The addition of project traffic would contribute to the need for traffic signals at Main Street and Rose Avenue, Main Street and Brownstone Road, Main Street and Delta Road, and East Cypress Road and Knightsen Avenue.

CHAPTER 3.7 - TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

## Mitigation Measure(s)

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
3.7-2 (a) Although the overall LOS at these unsignalized intersections would remain acceptable with the addition of project generated trips, traffic would be added to minor movements that would continue to operate at LOS F. Traffic signals will ultimately be warranted at each of these locations regardless of whether or not the proposed project is implemented. However, the proposed project would be required to contribute by paying its fair share of the signals through the payment of the City's Transportation Impact Fee. The project does not require installation of these signals to meet the LOS standards but it is recommended that the City continue to monitor these intersections in the interim for higher than normal accident rates.

## 3.7-3 The project could result in impacts to the Railroad Crossing on Cypress Road.

The proposed project is expected to generate 232 eastbound trips on Cypress Road during the evening peak hour. Even with the widening of Cypress Road to four lanes a potentially significant impact would result from the proposed project to the railroad crossing on Cypress Road.

## Mitigation Measure(s)

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

## 3.7-3(a) Implement mitigation measure 3.7-1(a).

## 3.7-4 The project could create a need for expanded access to public transit.

The project area is currently provided transit service by Tri-Delta Transit. The proposed project would increase demand for public transit service. The proposed roadway improvements are designed to meet minimum City of Oakley standards, which could accommodate transit services. Tri-Delta Transit, after reviewing the Final Development Plan, indicated that the proposed project could be served in the future if bus stops and/or shelters are included in the designs, which has been proposed. The lack of service to the project area would be a potentially significant impact.

## Mitigation Measure

Implementation of the following mitigation measure would reduce the impact from the proposed project to a less-than-significant level.
3.7-4(a) The project shall include bus stops on both sides of Cypress Road near Sellers Avenue. The final design and location of these bus stops are subject to the approval of the Oakley City Engineer and Tri-Delta Transit.

## Cumulative Conditions

## Cumulative (2030) Traffic Forecasts

Cumulative traffic forecasts for this study were based on information obtained from the East County Travel Demand Model and the East Cypress Road Specific Plan Traffic Study ${ }^{1}$. The model was executed with the following land use assumptions:

- Buildout of the Oakley General Plan within the City of Oakley.
- The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Projections 2000 land use forecasts extended to year 2030 for areas outside of Oakley.
The resulting Cumulative (no project) traffic volumes at each of the project study intersections are shown on Figure 9.



## Cumulative (2030) Planned Roadway Improvements

This analysis assumes that several roadway improvements would be constructed in the interim period between the Baseline and Cumulative analysis years. Only roadway improvements with identified funding or identified as mitigation measures under the Baseline conditions were included in this scenario. Please note that some portions of these improvements would be constructed as part of the proposed project. Major roadway improvements that are fully funded and planned to be completed by 2030 include:

- Completion of SR 4 Bypass Segment 2 as a four-lane freeway between Lone Tree Way and Balfour Road with interchanges at Sand Creek Road and Balfour Road
- Completion of SR 4 Bypass Segment 3 as a two-lane expressway between Balfour Road and Vasco Road with at-grade intersections at Marsh Creek Road and Walnut Boulevard
- Widening of Main Street to a six-lane arterial between Big Break Road and SR 160
- Extension of Laurel Road from Empire Avenue to Antioch City Limits
- Completion of a two-lane bridge over Rock Slough connecting Bethel Island Road and Byron Highway
- Widening of East Cypress Road to a six-lane arterial between Sellers Avenue and Jersey Island Road
- Extension of Laurel Road between Union Pacific Railroad and Sellers Avenue as a four-lane arterial
- Widening of Sellers Road to a four-lane arterial between East Cypress Road and Laurel Road
- Widening of Laurel Road to a four-lane arterial between Empire Avenue and Main Street
- Signalization of the intersections of Main Street with Rose Avenue, Brownstone Road, and Delta Road and the intersections of Sellers Avenue with Laurel Road and Delta Road.
- Completion of the Main Street Downtown Bypass


## Cumulative (Year 2030) Without Project Scenario

The results of the Year 2030 (No Project) levels of service are summarized in Table 8. Under the No Project scenario, the above-listed assumptions were made as to transportation improvements. Based on the information provided by the City and the data contained in the East County Travel Demand Model, the long-term scenarios considered major improvements to the traffic network including the SR-4 Bypass and the extension of Laurel Road to Sellers Avenue. Assuming completion

TABLE 8
CUMULATIVE AND CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION |  | CONTROL | PEAK HOUR | CUMULATIVE NO PROJECT |  | CUMULATIVE + PROJECT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MEASURE |  | LOS | MEASURE | LOS |
| 1 | Main Street (SR 4) and Cypress Road |  | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.65$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.71$ | C |
|  |  | PM |  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.75$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.88$ | D |
| 2 | East Cypress Road/Frank Hengel Way | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.63$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.72$ | C |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.46$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.61$ | B |
| 3 | East Cypress Road/Sellers Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.67$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.69$ | B |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.83$ | D | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.89$ | D |
| 4 | East Cypress Road/Main Project Entrance | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.72$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.72$ | C |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.76$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.78$ | C |
| 5 | East Cypress Road/Knightsen Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.59$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.60$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.74$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.77$ | C |
| 6 | East Cypress Road/Jersey Island Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.73$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.73$ | C |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.70$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.71$ | C |
| 7 | East Cypress Road/Bethel Island Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.73$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.74$ | C |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.79$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.80$ | C |
| 8 | West Cypress Road at Rose Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 10.6 sec | B | 11.9 sec | B |
|  |  |  | PM | 10.5 sec | B | 13.0 sec | B |
| 9 | West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue | Stop Sign | AM | 16.4 sec | C | 20.0 sec | C |
|  |  |  | PM | 38.8 sec | E | 46.0 sec | E |
| 10 | Sellers Avenue at Laurel Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.58$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.58$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.71$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.75$ | C |
| 11 | Sellers Avenue at Delta Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.47$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.51$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.47$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.59$ | A |
| 12 | Main Street (SR 4) at Rose Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.36$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.55$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.61$ | B |
| 13 | Main Street (SR 4) at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.43$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.47$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.66$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.74$ | C |
| 14 | Main Street (SR 4) at Vintage Parkway | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.24$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.25$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.30$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.31$ | A |
| 15 | Main Street (SR 4) at Laurel Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.72$ | C | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.79$ | C |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.70$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.84$ | D |
| 16 | Main Street (SR 4) at Malicoat Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.41$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.43$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.39$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.42$ | A |
| 17 | Main Street (SR 4) at Brownstone Rd | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.38$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.40$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.41$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.44$ | A |
| 18 | Main Street (SR 4) at Delta Road | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.45$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.46$ | A |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.57$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.61$ | B |
| 19 | Laurel Road at Rose Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.62$ | B | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.64$ | B |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.47$ | A | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.51$ | A |
| 20 | Laurel Road at O'Hara Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.82$ | D | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.85$ | D |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.82$ | D | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.87$ | D |
| 21 | Laurel Road at Empire Avenue | Traffic Signal | AM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.97$ | E | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.99$ | E |
|  |  |  | PM | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.93$ | L | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.96$ | E |

of the proposed transportation network improvements, only the intersection of West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue is forecast to degrade to unacceptable operations with the traffic growth estimated by the year 2030 .

## Year 2030 With Project Scenario

The Cumulative (2030) traffic volumes with the addition of traffic from the proposed project are shown in Figure 10 and the future lane configurations are shown in Figure 11. The resulting levels of service for the "Cumulative plus Project" scenario are compared to the "No Project" scenario in Table 8.

Assuming completion of the proposed transportation network improvements, only the intersection of West Cypress Road at O'Hara Avenue is forecast to have unacceptable operations with the traffic growth estimated by the year 2030. As mentioned above, this intersection is forecast to operate at LOS F in the PM peak hour regardless of whether or not the proposed project is implemented. Although some of the intersections would be operating at a high LOS D (Near LOS E), all study intersections would have acceptable operations with the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures outlined in this report.

## 3.7-5 The project would result in cumulative impacts to the intersection at West Cypress Road/O'Hara Avenue

The proposed project would contribute to the deterioration of the all-way stop-controlled West Cypress Road/ O'Hara Avenue intersection to LOS F during the PM peak hour. The degradation of the intersection from an LOS D to an LOS F during a peak hour is considered a significant impact.

## Mitigation Measure(s)

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would mitigate potential impacts to a less-than-significant level. These measures would minimize impacts to the intersection and change the LOS F to an LOS C during the evening peak hour.
3.7-7(a) Applicant shall be responsible for the project's fair share of a traffic signal or additional turn lanes and the project's fair share funding shall be submitted as determined by the City Engineer prior to the recording offinal maps.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 308 \text { (9) } \\ 668 \text { (2087) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 12 & \\ & \leftarrow{ }^{1155(\text { (1380) }} \\ & \text { MAN STREET }^{120}(25) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $17 \times 1{ }^{17}$ | 18 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 90(40) \\ 430(903) \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{672(1949)} \xrightarrow{67}$ |  | LEGEND <br> AM (PM) <br> VOLUMES |
| FIGURE 10\| AM (PM) TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY Emerson Ranch City of Oakley | CUMULATIVE PLUS | OJECT VOLUMES |  |  | ams Associates <br> TRAFFIC ENGINEERING, INC. |


| $116$ |  | $3$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{9}{\rightrightarrows}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ | テ ${ }^{\text {\＃3 }}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\rightrightarrows}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{7}$ |
|  |  | 114 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3.7-6 The addition of project traffic would contribute to the unacceptable LOS E or LOS F operations at the Laurel Road/Empire Avenue intersection during both AM and PM peak hours under Cumulative with Project conditions.

The proposed project would contribute to the intersection of Laurel Road and Empire Avenue deteriorating to unacceptable operations. Please note that this intersection is forecast to have unacceptable operations regardless of whether or not the proposed project is implemented.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would mitigate potential impacts to a less-than-significant level. These measures would minimize impacts to the intersection and change the LOS F to an LOS D during the evening peak hour.
3.7-6(a) Mitigation of the unacceptable conditions at the Laurel Road/Empire Avenue intersection can be achieved through providing exclusive right-turn lanes on all approaches. This improvement project is not included in the City's Transportation Impact Fee Program. The proposed project would contribute to this mitigation by paying its fair share of the cost.

## APPENDIX

# EMERSON RANCH CITY OF OAKLEY 

Prepared by:
Abrams Associates
1660 Olympic Boulevard, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Tel: 925.945.020।


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 226 | 0 * | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 320 | 320 | 3300 | 0.0970 | 0.0970 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 78 | 78 | 1650 | 0.0473 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 8 | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 253 | 253 | 3300 | 0.0767 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 284 | 284 | 3000 | 0.0947 | 0.0947 |
|  | T + R |  | 261 | 3300 | 0.0791 |  |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 58 | 58 | 1650 | 0.0352 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 201 | 201 | 3300 | 0.0609 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 80 | 80 | 1650 | 0.0485 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 259 | 3300 | 0.0785 | 0.0785 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 318 | 162 | 3000 | 0.0540 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 190 | 190 | 1650 | 0.1152 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 273 | 273 | 1650 | 0.1655 | 0.1655 |
|  | T + R |  | 352 | 3000 | 0.1173 |  |

```
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.44
```

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRITICAL } \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 39 | 39 | 1650 | 0.0236 |  |
|  | THRU ( T ) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 97 | 97 | 1650 | 0.0588 | 0.0588 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 41 | 1650 | 0.0248 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 3 | 3 | 1650 | 0.0018 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 144 | 144 | 1650 | 0.0873 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 210 | 210 | 3300 | 0.0636 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 1 | 1 | 3000 | 0.0003 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 354 | 3300 | 0.1073 | 0.1073 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 290 | 290 | 3300 | 0.0879 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 83 | 83 | 3000 | 0.0277 | 0.0277 |
| TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |
| INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: |  |  |  |  |  | A |

[^3]CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================10 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street Count Date

Time
Peak Hour

STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 20 | 20 | 1650 | 0.0121 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 52 | 52 | 1650 | 0.0315 | 0.0315 |
|  | T + R |  | 20 | 1650 | 0.0121 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 3 | 3 | 1650 | 0.0018 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 3 | 1650 | 0.0018 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}$ |  | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 46 | 0 * | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 670 | 670 | 1650 | 0.4061 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 750 | 750 | 1650 | 0.4545 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 17 | 17 | 1650 | 0.0103 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 751 | 1650 | 0.4552 | 0.4552 |

A
============================================================================1

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Existing AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour


## TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

0.40

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Existing AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 68 | 68 | 1650 | 0.0412 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 455 | 455 | 3300 | 0.1379 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 99 | 99 | 1650 | 0.0600 | 0.0600 |
|  | T + R |  | 523 | 3300 | 0.1585 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 52 | 52 | 1650 | 0.0315 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 571 | 571 | 3300 | 0.1730 |  |
| LEFT (L) | 82 | 82 | 1650 | 0.0497 |  |
| T + R |  | 623 | 3300 | 0.1888 | 0.1888 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 239 | 140 | $*$ | 1650 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 0.0848 |  |  |  |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 72 | 72 | 1650 | 0.0436 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 98 | 98 | 1650 | 0.0594 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 130 | 48 | * | 1650 | 0.0291 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 94 | 94 |  | 1650 | 0.0570 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 140 | 140 |  | 1650 | 0.0848 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 234 |  | 1650 | 0.1418 | 0.1418 |
| TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 |
| INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |



* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CAS. INT, VOL= . . .EX. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================1 Condition: Existing AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================10 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
$\begin{array}{rlrl}========================================================================== \\ & \text { ORIGINAL ADJUSTED } & \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} & \text { CRITICAL }\end{array}$

| NB | RIGHT (R) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 | 0.0242 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 572 | 572 | 1650 | 0.3467 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 40 | 40 | 1650 | 0.0242 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 577 | 1650 | 0.3497 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 163 | 154 | 1650 | 0.0933 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 633 | 633 | 1650 | 0.3836 | 0.3836 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 18 | 18 | 1650 | 0.0109 | 0.0109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 9 | 9 | 1650 | 0.0055 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 18 | 1650 | 0.0109 |  |


| WB | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RIGHT (R) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
| THRU (T) | 2 | 9 | 1650 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 9 | 4 | 1650 | 0.0024 |  |
| T + R |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$=======================================================================$ TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.42
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . .CAS. INT, VOL= . . .EX. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 181 | 50 * | 1650 | 0.0303 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 302 | 302 | 3300 | 0.0915 | 0.0915 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 98 | 98 | 1650 | 0.0594 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 8 | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 329 | 329 | 3300 | 0.0997 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 329 | 329 | 3000 | 0.1097 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T + R |  | 337 | 3300 | 0.1021 |  |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 48 | 48 | 1650 | 0.0291 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 133 | 133 | 3300 | 0.0403 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 41 | 41 | 1650 | 0.0248 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 181 | 3300 | 0.0548 | 0.0548 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 232 | 51 | 3000 | 0.0170 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 126 | 126 | 1650 | 0.0764 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 131 | 131 | 1650 | 0.0794 | 0.0794 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 177 | 3000 | 0.0590 |  |

===========================================================================10 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.34
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CAS.INT, VOL= . . .EX.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================10 INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRITICAL } \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 90 | 90 | 1650 | 0.0545 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 113 | 113 | 1650 | 0.0685 | 0.0685 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 92 | 1650 | 0.0558 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 8 | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 7 | 7 | 1650 | 0.0042 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 18 | 1650 | 0.0109 | 0.0109 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 118 | 118 | 1650 | 0.0715 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 349 | 349 | 3300 | 0.1058 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 2 | 2 | 3000 | 0.0007 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 467 | 3300 | 0.1415 | 0.1415 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 1 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 296 | 296 | 3300 | 0.0897 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 61 | 61 | 3000 | 0.0203 | 0.0203 |
| TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |

[^4]CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 40 | 40 | 1650 | 0.0242 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 56 | 56 | 1650 | 0.0339 | 0.0339 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 40 | 1650 | 0.0242 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | T + R + L |  | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 157 | 101 | 1650 | 0.0612 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 930 | 930 | 1650 | 0.5636 | 0.5636 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 3 | 3 | 1650 | 0.0018 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 580 | 580 | 1650 | 0.3515 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 12 | 12 | 1650 | 0.0073 | 0.0073 |
|  | T + R |  | 582 | 1650 | 0.3527 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.61 \\
\text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }
\end{array}
$$

==============================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CAS.INT, VOL= . . .EX.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================10 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.39
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRITICAL } \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 95 | 95 | 1650 | 0.0576 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 468 | 468 | 3300 | 0.1418 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 129 | 129 | 1650 | 0.0782 | 0.0782 |
|  | T + R |  | 563 | 3300 | 0.1706 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 32 | 32 | 1650 | 0.0194 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 522 | 522 | 3300 | 0.1582 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 122 | 122 | 1650 | 0.0739 |
| T + R |  | 554 | 3300 | 0.1679 | 0.1679 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 72 | 0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | * | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 69 | 69 | 1650 | 0.0418 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 39 | 39 | 1650 | 0.0236 | 0.0236 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 79 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 67 | 67 | 1650 | 0.0406 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 62 | 62 | 1650 | 0.0376 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 129 | 1650 | 0.0782 | 0.0782 |

$=======================================================================$
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.35

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

```
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT= . .CAS.INT,VOL= . . EX.PMV,CAP=
```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Existing PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRITICAL } \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 12 | 12 | 1650 | 0.0073 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 724 | 724 | 1650 | 0.4388 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 736 | 1650 | 0.4461 | 0.4461 |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 30 | 14 * | 1650 | 0.0085 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 694 | 694 | 1650 | 0.4206 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 11 | 11 | 1650 | 0.0067 | 0.0097 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 16 | 16 | 1650 | 0.0097 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 11 | 1650 | 0.0067 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 8 | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 |  |

===========================================================================10
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.47
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A


* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CAS.INT, VOL= . . .EX.PMV, CAP=


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F' | \% | 4 | \% | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 152 | 50 | 72 | 288 | 63 | 40 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 152 | 50 | 72 | 288 | 63 | 40 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) | 663 |  |  | 590 |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 202 |  | 584 | 152 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 202 |  | 584 | 152 |  |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 95 |  | 86 | 96 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1370 |  | 449 | 894 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 152 | 50 | 72 | 288 | 63 | 40 |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 63 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1370 | 1700 | 449 | 894 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.04 |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 9.2 |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  | B | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 1.6 |  | 12.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 25.5\% |  | CU Leve | l of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 「 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 208 | 325 | 2 | 0 | 16 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 208 | 325 | 2 | 0 | 16 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  | 449 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 327 |  |  |  | 560 | 326 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 327 |  |  |  | 560 | 326 |  |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  |  | 100 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1233 |  |  |  | 484 | 715 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 221 | 327 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1233 | 1700 | 1700 | 715 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.2\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 32 | 126 | 110 | 60 | 136 | 98 | 107 | 136 | 96 | 81 | 153 | 81 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 32 | 126 | 110 | 60 | 136 | 98 | 107 | 136 | 96 | 81 | 153 | 81 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 268 | 294 | 243 | 96 | 234 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 32 | 60 | 107 | 0 | 81 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 110 | 98 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.7 | 0.2 | -0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 546 | 502 | 486 | 559 | 499 | 566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.4 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.4 | 10.6 | 9.6 |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 62.4\% |  | ICU Leve | of Ser |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }_{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 662 | 80 | 7 | 786 | 32 | 11 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 662 | 80 | 7 | 786 | 32 | 11 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 742 |  | 1502 | 702 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 742 |  | 1502 | 702 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 99 |  | 76 | 97 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 865 |  | 133 | 438 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 742 | 793 | 32 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 7 | 32 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 80 | 0 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 865 | 133 | 438 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 0 | 1 | 22 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 40.5 | 13.4 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | E | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 33.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 56.9\% |  | ICU Leve | of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 56 | 41 | 568 | 728 | 12 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 19 | 56 | 41 | 568 | 728 | 12 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1384 | 734 | 740 |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1556 | 615 | 623 |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 76 | 83 | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 80 | 339 | 661 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 75 | 609 | 740 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 19 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 56 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 187 | 661 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 45 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 36.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 36.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 74.8\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 7 |  |  | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 56 | 170 | 441 | 55 | 162 | 607 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 56 | 170 | 441 | 55 | 162 | 607 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 1124 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1400 | 468 |  |  | 496 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1471 | 468 |  |  | 496 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 44 | 71 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 101 | 595 |  |  | 1068 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 226 | 496 | 162 | 607 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 56 | 0 | 162 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 170 | 55 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 269 | 1700 | 1068 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 173 | 0 | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 62.3 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 62.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | I |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 127 | 93 | 47 | 202 | 113 | 35 | 30 | 219 | 127 | 33 | 309 | 132 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 127 | 93 | 47 | 202 | 113 | 35 | 30 | 219 | 127 | 33 | 309 | 132 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 267 | 350 | 376 | 342 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 127 | 202 | 30 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 47 | 35 | 127 | 0 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 445 | 461 | 494 | 462 | 517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.6 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.6 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.3\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 2 | 72 | 16 | 4 | 70 | 34 | 18 | 46 | 18 | 36 | 74 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 72 | 16 | 4 | 70 | 34 | 18 | 46 | 18 | 36 | 74 | 1 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 90 | 108 | 82 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 2 | 4 | 18 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 16 | 34 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 786 | 616 | 777 | 780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.8\% |  | ICU Leve | ef Ser | vice |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $t$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 532 | 515 | 1 | 4 | 12 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 532 | 515 | 1 | 4 | 12 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  | 794 | 1015 |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 516 |  |  |  | 1062 | 516 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 516 |  |  |  | 1062 | 516 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1050 |  |  |  | 246 | 559 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 7 | 532 | 516 | 4 | 12 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 |  |  |
| cSH | 1050 | 1700 | 1700 | 246 | 559 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | C | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 38.0\% |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | \% | 4 | \% | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 330 | 99 | 25 | 182 | 102 | 61 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 330 | 99 | 25 | 182 | 102 | 61 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) | 663 |  |  | 590 |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 429 |  | 562 | 330 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 429 |  | 562 | 330 |  |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 98 |  | 79 | 91 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1130 |  | 477 | 712 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 330 | 99 | 25 | 182 | 102 | 61 |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 102 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1130 | 1700 | 477 | 712 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.09 |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 7 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 10.5 |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 13.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 33.1\% |  | CU Leve | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis




HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\longleftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 23 | 73 | 56 | 23 | 52 | 21 | 88 | 210 | 38 | 12 | 135 | 16 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 23 | 73 | 56 | 23 | 52 | 21 | 88 | 210 | 38 | 12 | 135 | 16 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 152 | 96 | 336 | 163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 23 | 23 | 88 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 56 | 21 | 38 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.44 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 654 | 531 | 731 | 697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }_{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 844 | 141 | 7 | 626 | 28 | 7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 844 | 141 | 7 | 626 | 28 | 7 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 985 |  | 1554 | 914 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 985 |  | 1554 | 914 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 99 |  | 77 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 701 |  | 123 | 331 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 985 | 633 | 28 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 7 | 28 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 141 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 701 | 123 | 331 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 42.6 | 16.1 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | E | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 37.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 63.0\% |  | ICU Leve | of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 46 | 53 | 751 | 679 | 22 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 46 | 53 | 751 | 679 | 22 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1547 | 690 | 701 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1771 | 563 | 579 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 88 | 88 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 60 | 373 | 706 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 53 | 804 | 701 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 53 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 46 | 0 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 221 | 706 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 23 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | D | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 92.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | F |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 54 | 125 | 694 | 100 | 120 | 529 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 54 | 125 | 694 | 100 | 120 | 529 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 1124 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1513 | 744 |  |  | 794 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1517 | 744 |  |  | 794 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 51 | 70 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 111 | 415 |  |  | 827 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 179 | 794 | 120 | 529 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 54 | 0 | 120 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 125 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 227 | 1700 | 827 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.79 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.31 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 143 | 0 | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 61.9 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 61.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 69.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 25 | 173 | 20 | 61 | 89 | 113 | 16 | 388 | 42 | 121 | 254 | 19 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 25 | 173 | 20 | 61 | 89 | 113 | 16 | 388 | 42 | 121 | 254 | 19 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 218 | 263 | 446 | 375 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 25 | 61 | 16 | 121 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 20 | 113 | 42 | 0 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 456 | 475 | 548 | 496 | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.4 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.4 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 80.3\% |  | ICU Leve | ef Ser | vice |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 2 | 22 | 35 | 3 | 20 | 42 | 52 | 129 | 1 | 4 | 82 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 22 | 35 | 3 | 20 | 42 | 52 | 129 | 1 | 4 | 82 | 3 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 59 | 65 | 182 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 2 | 3 | 52 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 35 | 42 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 799 | 622 | 803 | 806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.0\% |  | ICU Leve | ef Ser | vice |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
```


INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 101 | 1 * | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 462 | 462 | 3300 | 0.1400 | 0.1400 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 419 | 419 | 3300 | 0.1270 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 357 | 357 | 3000 | 0.1190 | 0.1190 |
|  | T + R |  | 429 | 3300 | 0.1300 |  |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 60 | 60 | 1650 | 0.0364 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 128 | 128 | 3300 | 0.0388 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 39 | 39 | 1650 | 0.0236 | 0.0236 |
|  | T + R |  | 188 | 3300 | 0.0570 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 382 | 186 | 3000 | 0.0620 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 150 | 150 | 1650 | 0.0909 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 100 | 100 | 1650 | 0.0606 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 336 | 3000 | 0.1120 | 0.1120 |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.39

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


## TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

0.35 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$===================================================================$
Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes $\quad 11 / 14 / 08$ INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

===========================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASFUT2 . INT, VOL= . . . BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08


INTERSECTION Count Date

7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Time

Peak Hour


TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.22

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$====================================================================$
Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes
Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
============================================================================1
INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 122 | 122 | 1650 | 0.0739 | 0.0739 |
|  | T + R |  | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | T + R + L |  | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 118 | 0 * | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 754 | 754 | 1650 | 0.4570 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 854 | 854 | 1650 | 0.5176 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 859 | 1650 | 0.5206 | 0.5206 |

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.60 \\
\text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }
\end{array}
$$

==============================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour


> TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.44 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================= Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 86 | 86 | 1650 | 0.0521 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 593 | 593 | 3300 | 0.1797 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 140 | 140 | 1650 | 0.0848 | 0.0848 |
|  | T + R |  | 679 | 3300 | 0.2058 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 74 | 74 | 1650 | 0.0448 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 750 | 750 | 3300 | 0.2273 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 99 | 99 | 1650 | 0.0600 |
|  | T R R |  | 824 | 3300 | 0.2497 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 281 | 141 | 1650 | 0.0855 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 82 | 82 | 1650 | 0.0497 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 119 | 119 | 1650 | 0.0721 | 0.0721 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 180 | 81 | * | 1650 | 0.0491 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 124 | 124 |  | 1650 | 0.0752 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 195 | 195 |  | 1650 | 0.1182 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 319 |  | 1650 | 0.1933 | 0.1933 |
| TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 |
| INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |



* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 769 | 769 | 3300 | 0.2330 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 40 | 40 | 1650 | 0.0242 | 0.0242 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 774 | 3300 | 0.2345 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 163 | 163 | 1650 | 0.0988 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 909 | 909 | 3300 | 0.2755 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 1072 | 3300 | 0.3248 | 0.3248 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 18 | 18 | 1650 | 0.0109 | 0.0109 |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 9 | 9 | 1650 | 0.0055 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 18 | 1650 | 0.0109 |  |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 2 | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 9 | 9 | 1650 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 |
|  | T + R |  | 4 | 1650 | 0.0024 |  |

$====================================================================$
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.37
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
===========================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 131 | 11 * | 1650 | 0.0067 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 225 | 225 | 1650 | 0.1364 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 31 | 31 | 1650 | 0.0188 | 0.0188 |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 138 | $4^{*}$ | 1650 | 0.0024 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 315 | 315 | 1650 | 0.1909 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 37 | 37 | 1650 | 0.0224 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 50 | 19 | * | 1650 | 0.0115 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 315 | 315 |  | 3300 | 0.0955 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 134 | 134 |  | 1650 | 0.0812 | 0.0812 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 39 | 39 | 1650 | 0.0236 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 640 | 640 | 3300 | 0.1939 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 120 | 120 | 1650 | 0.0727 |
|  |  | 679 | 3300 | 0.2058 | 0.2058 |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.50
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
=============================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$====================================================================$
Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline AM Peak Hour Volumes $\quad 11 / 14 / 08$ INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 126 | 126 | 3300 | 0.0382 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 60 | 60 | 1650 | 0.0364 | 0.0364 |
|  | T + R |  | 156 | 3300 | 0.0473 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 239 | 239 | 1650 | 0.1448 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 247 | 247 | 3300 | 0.0748 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 71 | 71 | 1650 | 0.0430 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 486 | 3300 | 0.1473 | 0.1473 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 148 | 148 | 1650 | 0.0897 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 400 | 400 | 3300 | 0.1212 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 193 | 193 | 1650 | 0.1170 | 0.1170 |
|  | T + R |  | 548 | 3300 | 0.1661 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 43 | 43 | 1650 | 0.0261 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 709 | 709 | 3300 | 0.2148 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 50 | 50 | 1650 | 0.0303 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 752 | 3300 | 0.2279 | 0.2279 |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.53
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL=...BA. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1

INTERSECTION Count Date

1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.
Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 98 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | THRU ( T ) | 514 | 514 | 3300 | 0.1558 | 0.1558 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 29 | 29 | 1650 | 0.0176 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 52 | 52 | 1650 | 0.0315 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 638 | 638 | 3300 | 0.1933 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 585 | 585 | 3000 | 0.1950 | 0.1950 |
|  | T + R |  | 690 | 3300 | 0.2091 |  |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 61 | 61 | 1650 | 0.0370 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 156 | 156 | 3300 | 0.0473 |  |
| LEFT (L) | 31 | 31 | 1650 | 0.0188 | 0.0188 |
|  | T + R |  | 217 | 3300 | 0.0658 |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 351 | 29 | * | 3000 | 0.0097 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 212 | 212 |  | 1650 | 0.1285 | 0.1285 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 101 | 101 |  | 1650 | 0.0612 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 241 |  | 3000 | 0.0803 |  | TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.50 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BA.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =======ニ===================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.24
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$===================================================================$
Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes $\quad 11 / 14 / 08$ INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRITICAL } \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 192 | 67 | 1650 | 0.0406 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 81 | 81 | 1650 | 0.0491 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 137 | 137 | 1650 | 0.0830 | 0.0830 |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 94 | $0{ }^{*}$ | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | THRU (T) | 56 | 56 | 1650 | 0.0339 | 0.0339 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |



| EB | RIGHT (R) | 132 | 132 | 1650 | 0.0800 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 540 | 540 | 3300 | 0.1636 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 154 | 154 | 1650 | 0.0933 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 672 | 3300 | 0.2036 | 0.2036 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 41 | 41 | 1650 | 0.0248 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 420 | 420 | 3300 | 0.1273 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 125 | 125 | 1650 | 0.0758 | 0.0758 |
|  | T + R |  | 461 | 3300 | 0.1397 |  |


| $===========================================================================$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |
|  | INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE : |

=========================================================================

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASFUT2 . INT, VOL= . . . BA. PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.30
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$====================================================================$
Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 48 | 48 | 1650 | 0.0291 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 208 | 208 | 1650 | 0.1261 | 0.1261 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 48 | 1650 | 0.0291 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 1 | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}$ |  | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 1 | 1650 | 0.0006 |  |
|  | T + R + L |  | 2 | 1650 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 273 | 65 * | 1650 | 0.0394 |  |
|  | THRU ( T ) | 1279 | 1279 | 1650 | 0.7752 | 0.7752 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 5 | 5 | 1650 | 0.0030 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 892 | 892 | 1650 | 0.5406 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 21 | 21 | 1650 | 0.0127 | 0.0127 |
|  | T + R |  | 897 | 1650 | 0.5436 |  |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.92
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E


* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BA.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour


## TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

0.53

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 158 | 158 | 1650 | 0.0958 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 496 | 496 | 3300 | 0.1503 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 197 | 197 | 1650 | 0.1194 | 0.1194 |
|  | T + R |  | 654 | 3300 | 0.1982 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 98 | 98 | 1650 | 0.0594 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 521 | 521 | 3300 | 0.1579 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 120 | 120 | 1650 | 0.0727 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 619 | 3300 | 0.1876 | 0.1876 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 141 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THRU (T) | 430 | 430 | 1650 | 0.2606 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 70 | 70 | 1650 | 0.0424 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 112 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 387 | 387 | 1650 | 0.2345 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 98 | 98 | 1650 | 0.0594 |  |
|  | T + L |  | 485 | 1650 | 0.2939 | 0.2939 |
| TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |
| INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: |  |  |  |  |  | B |



* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BA.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
============================================================================ Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 12 | 12 | 1650 | 0.0073 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 820 | 820 | 3300 | 0.2485 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 832 | 3300 | 0.2521 | 0.2521 |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 698 | 698 | 3300 | 0.2115 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 |
|  | T + R |  | 728 | 3300 | 0.2206 |  |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 11 | 11 | 1650 | 0.0067 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 16 | 16 | 1650 | 0.0097 | 0.0097 |
|  | T + R |  | 11 | 1650 | 0.0067 |  |


| WB | RIGHT (R) | 8 | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 8 | 1650 | 0.0048 |  |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.27

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL=...BA.PMV, CAP=


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 110 | 12 * | 1650 | 0.0073 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 222 | 222 | 1650 | 0.1345 | 0.1345 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 43 | 43 | 1650 | 0.0261 |  |


| SB | RIGHT (R) | 98 | 3 | $*$ | 1650 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 212 | 212 | 1650 | 0.0018 |
|  | 67 | 67 | 1650 | 0.0406 | 0.0406 |


| EB | RIGHT (R) | 50 | 7 | * | 1650 | 0.0042 | 0.2576 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THRU (T) | 850 | 850 |  | 3300 | 0.2576 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 95 | 95 |  | 1650 | 0.0576 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 84 | 84 |  | 1650 | 0.0509 | 0.0594 |
|  | THRU ( T ) | 644 | 644 |  | 3300 | 0.1952 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 98 | 98 |  | 1650 | 0.0594 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 728 |  | 3300 | 0.2206 |  |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.49
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BA.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
$====================================================================$
Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline PM Peak Hour Volumes $\quad 11 / 14 / 08$ INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue

| MOVEMENT |  | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 89 | 89 | 1650 | 0.0539 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 524 | 524 | 3300 | 0.1588 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 149 | 149 | 1650 | 0.0903 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 613 | 3300 | 0.1858 | 0.1858 |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 278 | 278 | 1650 | 0.1685 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 396 | 396 | 3300 | 0.1200 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 207 | 207 | 1650 | 0.1255 | 0.1255 |
|  | T + R |  | 674 | 3300 | 0.2042 |  |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 88 | 88 | 1650 | 0.0533 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 795 | 795 | 3300 | 0.2409 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 235 | 235 | 1650 | 0.1424 | 0.1424 |
|  | T + R |  | 883 | 3300 | 0.2676 |  |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 120 | 120 | 1650 | 0.0727 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 678 | 678 | 3300 | 0.2055 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 80 | 80 | 1650 | 0.0485 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 798 | 3300 | 0.2418 | 0.2418 |

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.70
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B


* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . BA.PMV, CAP=



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 22 | 253 | 18 | 7 | 218 | 38 | 26 | 37 | 8 | 22 | 18 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 253 | 18 | 7 | 218 | 38 | 26 | 37 | 8 | 22 | 18 | 18 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 293 | 263 | 71 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 22 | 7 | 26 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 18 | 38 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 765 | 573 | 617 | 645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 36.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 59 | 120 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 40 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 59 | 120 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1316 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 114 | 59 | 179 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 114 | 59 | 179 |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 95 | 100 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 873 | 1007 | 1397 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 40 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 59 | 120 |  |
| Volume Left | 40 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |  |
| cSH | 873 | 1007 | 1397 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.2 |  | 2.9 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 17.5\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | $\hat{\square}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 56 | 209 | 599 | 55 | 217 | 827 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 56 | 209 | 599 | 55 | 217 | 827 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 1124 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1888 | 626 |  |  | 654 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 2355 | 626 |  |  | 654 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 0 | 57 |  |  | 77 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 20 | 484 |  |  | 933 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 265 | 654 | 217 | 827 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 56 | 0 | 217 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 209 | 55 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 81 | 1700 | 933 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 3.27 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.49 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | Err | 0 | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | Err | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | Err | 0.0 | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1350.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 72.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis





|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 32 | 176 | 46 | 62 | 220 | 44 | 71 | 111 | 70 | 63 | 162 | 75 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 32 | 176 | 46 | 62 | 220 | 44 | 71 | 111 | 70 | 63 | 162 | 75 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 254 | 326 | 182 | 70 | 225 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 32 | 62 | 71 | 0 | 63 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 46 | 44 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.7 | 0.2 | -0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 554 | 516 | 480 | 550 | 510 | 579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.1 | 10.8 | 9.1 |  | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay HCM Level of Service |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of ServiceIntersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 88 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 145 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 88 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1316 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 35 | 35 | 123 |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 35 | 35 | 123 |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 85 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 978 | 1038 | 1464 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 145 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 88 |  |
| Volume Left | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |  |
| cSH | 978 | 1038 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 18.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 63 | 94 | 56 | 23 | 104 | 21 | 88 | 210 | 38 | 17 | 145 | 36 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 63 | 94 | 56 | 23 | 104 | 21 | 88 | 210 | 38 | 17 | 145 | 36 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 213 | 148 | 336 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 63 | 23 | 88 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 56 | 21 | 38 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, $x$ | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 614 | 510 | 658 | 647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.9\% |  | CU Leve | of Ser |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 46 | 53 | 809 | 683 | 22 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 46 | 53 | 809 | 683 | 22 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1609 | 694 | 705 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1862 | 567 | 583 |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 87 | 88 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 52 | 370 | 701 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 53 | 862 | 705 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 53 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 46 | 0 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 206 | 701 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 25 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 28.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | D | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 28.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 96.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | F |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | 7 |  |  | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | * | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 54 | 197 | 680 | 100 | 181 | 572 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 54 | 197 | 680 | 100 | 181 | 572 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 1124 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1664 | 730 |  |  | 780 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1696 | 730 |  |  | 780 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 29 | 53 |  |  | 78 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 76 | 422 |  |  | 837 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 251 | 780 | 181 | 572 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 54 | 0 | 181 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 197 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 213 | 1700 | 837 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 1.18 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 0.34 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length (ft) | 309 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 164.2 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 164.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 24.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 77.0\% |  | ICU Level | of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis



STREET NAME: Main Street

|  | MOVEMENT | ORIGINAL VOLUME | ADJUSTED VOLUME* | CAPACITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | CRITICAL <br> V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | RIGHT (R) | 185 | 0 * | 1650 | 0.0000 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 462 | 462 | 3300 | 0.1400 | 0.1400 |
|  | LEFT (L) | 30 | 30 | 1650 | 0.0182 |  |
| SB | RIGHT (R) | 10 | 10 | 1650 | 0.0061 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 419 | 419 | 3300 | 0.1270 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 414 | 414 | 3000 | 0.1380 | 0.1380 |
|  | T + R |  | 429 | 3300 | 0.1300 |  |
| EB | RIGHT (R) | 60 | 60 | 1650 | 0.0364 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 152 | 152 | 3300 | 0.0461 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 39 | 39 | 1650 | 0.0236 |  |
|  | T + R |  | 212 | 3300 | 0.0642 | 0.0642 |
| WB | RIGHT (R) | 483 | 255 | 3000 | 0.0850 |  |
|  | THRU (T) | 192 | 192 | 1650 | 0.1164 |  |
|  | LEFT (L) | 248 | 248 | 1650 | 0.1503 | 0.1503 |
|  | T + R |  | 447 | 3000 | 0.1490 |  |

```
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\[
\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } 0.44
\]

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\)

INTERSECTION Count Date

3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd.
Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 73 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 37 & 37 & 1650 & 0.0224 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 122 & 122 & 1650 & 0.0739 & 0.0739 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 227 & 127 & 1650 & 0.0770 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.0770} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 94 & 94 & 1650 & 0.0570 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 44 & 44 & 1650 & 0.0267 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 196 & 196 & 1650 & 0.1188 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 243 & 243 & 3300 & 0.0736 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 100 & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 439 & 3300 & 0.1330 & 0.1330 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 17 & 17 & 1650 & 0.0103 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 259 & 259 & 3300 & 0.0785 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 171 & 171 & 1650 & 0.1036 & 0.1036 \\
\hline & T + R & & 276 & 3300 & 0.0836 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.39
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2 . INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . AMV , CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(=====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants

INTERSECTION Count Date

7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Time

Peak Hour


TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

0.23

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(=====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 43 & 43 & 1650 & 0.0261 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 122 & 122 & 1650 & 0.0739 & 0.0739 \\
\hline & T + R & & 43 & 1650 & 0.0261 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 118 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 788 & 788 & 1650 & 0.4776 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & 0.0030 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 914 & 914 & 1650 & 0.5539 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 52 & 52 & 1650 & 0.0315 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 919 & 1650 & 0.5570 & 0.5570 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.64
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =======ニ================================================================== INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\[
\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } 0.45
\]

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\) INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 86 & 86 & 1650 & 0.0521 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 629 & 629 & 3300 & 0.1906 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 140 & 140 & 1650 & 0.0848 & 0.0848 \\
\hline & T + R & & 715 & 3300 & 0.2167 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 158 & 158 & 1650 & 0.0958 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 814 & 814 & 3300 & 0.2467 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 99 & 99 & 1650 & 0.0600 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 972 & 3300 & 0.2945 & 0.2945 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrrrrr} 
EB & RIGHT (R) & 281 & 141 & \(*\) & 1650 & 0.0855 \\
& THRU (T) & 82 & 82 & 1650 & 0.0497 & \\
& LEFT (L) & 167 & 167 & 1650 & 0.1012 & 0.1012
\end{tabular}


* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2 . INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\) INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 805 & 805 & 3300 & 0.2439 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & 0.0242 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 810 & 3300 & 0.2455 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 163 & 163 & 1650 & 0.0988 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 973 & 973 & 3300 & 0.2948 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1136 & 3300 & 0.3442 & 0.3442 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 18 & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & 0.0109 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & \(\bigcirc\) & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 9 & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 9 & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & 0.0055 \\
\hline & T + R & & 4 & 1650 & 0.0024 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.38

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
===========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 136 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 225 & 225 & 1650 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 31 & 31 & 1650 & 0.0188 & 0.0188 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrrrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 138 & \(4^{*}\) & 1650 & 0.0024 \\
& THRU (T) & 315 & 315 & 1650 & 0.1909 \\
& LEFT (L) & 37 & 37 & 1650 & 0.0224
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 50 & 19 & 1650 & 0.0115 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 358 & 358 & 3300 & 0.1085 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 134 & 134 & 1650 & 0.0812 & 0.0812 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
WB & RIGHT (R) & 39 & 39 & 1650 & 0.0236 \\
& THRU (T) & 715 & 715 & 3300 & 0.2167 \\
& LEFT (L) & 130 & 130 & 1650 & 0.0788 \\
\\
T + R & & 754 & 3300 & 0.2285 & 0.2285
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.52
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2. INT, VOL= . . .BAPR. AMV , CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\) INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 37 & 37 & 1650 & 0.0224 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 126 & 126 & 3300 & 0.0382 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & 0.0364 \\
\hline & T + R & & 163 & 3300 & 0.0494 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 239 & 239 & 1650 & 0.1448 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 247 & 247 & 3300 & 0.0748 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 71 & 71 & 1650 & 0.0430 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 486 & 3300 & 0.1473 & 0.1473 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 148 & 148 & 1650 & 0.0897 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 436 & 436 & 3300 & 0.1321 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 193 & 193 & 1650 & 0.1170 & 0.1170 \\
\hline & T + R & & 584 & 3300 & 0.1770 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 43 & 43 & 1650 & 0.0261 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 772 & 772 & 3300 & 0.2339 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 62 & 62 & 1650 & 0.0376 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 815 & 3300 & 0.2470 & 0.2470 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.55
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\) INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 359 & 45 & 1650 & 0.0273 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 514 & 514 & 3300 & 0.1558 & 0.1558 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 29 & 29 & 1650 & 0.0176 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 52 & 52 & 1650 & 0.0315 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 638 & 638 & 3300 & 0.1933 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 762 & 762 & 3000 & 0.2540 & 0.2540 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 690 & 3300 & 0.2091 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 61 & 61 & 1650 & 0.0370 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 231 & 231 & 3300 & 0.0700 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 31 & 31 & 1650 & 0.0188 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 292 & 3300 & 0.0885 & 0.0885 \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 496 & 77 & 3000 & 0.0257 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 273 & 273 & 1650 & 0.1655 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 314 & 314 & 1650 & 0.1903 & 0.1903 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 350 & 3000 & 0.1167 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.69
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B
```

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT= . . CASFUT2.INT,VOL= . . BAPR.PMV, CAP=

```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.39
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\)

INTERSECTION Count Date

3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd.
Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 192 & 67 & 1650 & 0.0406 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 117 & 117 & 1650 & 0.0709 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 192 & 192 & 1650 & 0.1164 & 0.1164 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrrrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 231 & \(0{ }^{*}\) & 1650 & 0.0000 \\
& THRU (T) & 86 & 86 & 1650 & 0.0521 \\
& LEFT (L) & 41 & 41 & 1650 & 0.0248 \\
&
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 177 & 177 & 1650 & 0.1073 & \multirow{4}{*}{0.1952} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 557 & 557 & 3300 & 0.1688 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 322 & 322 & 1650 & 0.1952 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 734 & 3300 & 0.2224 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 55 & 55 & 1650 & 0.0333 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 441 & 441 & 3300 & 0.1336 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 125 & 125 & 1650 & 0.0758 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 496 & 3300 & 0.1503 & 0.1503 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:

0.51

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2 . INT, VOL= . . . BAPR . PMV , CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(======================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION Count Date

7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd.
Time Peak Hour

\[
\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } 0.31
\]

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(=====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 87 & 87 & 1650 & 0.0527 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 208 & 208 & 1650 & 0.1261 & 0.1261 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 87 & 1650 & 0.0527 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 1 & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 1 & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & 0.0012 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 273 & 65 * & 1650 & 0.0394 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1384 & 1384 & 1650 & 0.8388 & 0.8388 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 978 & 978 & 1650 & 0.5927 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 53 & 53 & 1650 & 0.0321 & 0.0321 \\
\hline & T + R & & 983 & 1650 & 0.5958 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
1.00
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(===================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
=============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 158 & 158 & 1650 & 0.0958 & \multirow{4}{*}{0.1194} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 608 & 608 & 3300 & 0.1842 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 197 & 197 & 1650 & 0.1194 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 766 & 3300 & 0.2321 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 220 & 220 & 1650 & 0.1333 & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{0.2524} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 613 & 613 & 3300 & 0.1858 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 833 & 3300 & 0.2524 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 141 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{0.1327} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 430 & 430 & 1650 & 0.2606 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 219 & 219 & 1650 & 0.1327 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 112 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{0.2939} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 387 & 387 & 1650 & 0.2345 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 98 & 98 & 1650 & 0.0594 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 485 & 1650 & 0.2939 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:}} & 0.80 \\
\hline & & & & & & C \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes \(\quad 11 / 14 / 08\) INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 932 & 932 & 3300 & 0.2824 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 944 & 3300 & 0.2861 & 0.2861 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 30 & 30 & 1650 & 0.0182 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 790 & 790 & 3300 & 0.2394 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline & T + R & & 820 & 3300 & 0.2485 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 16 & 16 & 1650 & 0.0097 & 0.0097 \\
\hline & T + R & & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 8 & 8 & 1650 & 0.0048 & 0.0048 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 0 & \(\bigcirc\) & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 8 & 1650 & 0.0048 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.31
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
===========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . . BAPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 127 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 222 & 222 & 1650 & 0.1345 & 0.1345 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 43 & 43 & 1650 & 0.0261 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 98 & 3 & * & 1650 & 0.0018 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 212 & 212 & & 1650 & 0.1285 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 67 & 67 & & 1650 & 0.0406 & 0.0406 \\
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 50 & 7 & * & 1650 & 0.0042 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 982 & 982 & & 3300 & 0.2976 & 0.2976 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 95 & 95 & & 1650 & 0.0576 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 84 & 84 & & 1650 & 0.0509 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 752 & 752 & & 3300 & 0.2279 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 112 & 112 & & 1650 & 0.0679 & 0.0679 \\
\hline & T + R & & 836 & & 3300 & 0.2533 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.54 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: A

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BAPR. PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(=====================================================================\)
Condition: Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 111 & 111 & 1650 & 0.0673 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 524 & 524 & 3300 & 0.1588 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 149 & 149 & 1650 & 0.0903 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 635 & 3300 & 0.1924 & 0.1924 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 278 & 278 & 1650 & 0.1685 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 396 & 396 & 3300 & 0.1200 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 207 & 207 & 1650 & 0.1255 & 0.1255 \\
\hline & T + R & & 674 & 3300 & 0.2042 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 88 & 88 & 1650 & 0.0533 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 905 & 905 & 3300 & 0.2742 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 235 & 235 & 1650 & 0.1424 & 0.1424 \\
\hline & T + R & & 993 & 3300 & 0.3009 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 768 & 768 & 3300 & 0.2327 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 98 & 98 & 1650 & 0.0594 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 888 & 3300 & 0.2691 & 0.2691 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.73
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

\section*{* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED}

INT=...CASFUT2.INT, VOL= . . .BAPR.PMV, CAP=




HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBR & NBL & NBT & SBT & SBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \% & 「 & \% & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Free & Free & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 40 & 5 & 15 & 54 & 111 & 120 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 40 & 5 & 15 & 54 & 111 & 120 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (fts) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & None & & & & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & 1316 & & \\
\hline pX, platoon unblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC , conflicting volume & 195 & 111 & 231 & & & & \\
\hline vC1, stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu , unblocked vol & 195 & 111 & 231 & & & & \\
\hline tC , single (s) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.1 & & & & \\
\hline tC, 2 stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.2 & & & & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & 95 & 99 & 99 & & & & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & 785 & 942 & 1337 & & & & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & EB 2 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & \\
\hline Volume Total & 40 & 5 & 15 & 54 & 111 & 120 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 40 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & \\
\hline cSH & 785 & 942 & 1337 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.01 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0.07 & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 9.8 & 8.8 & 7.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & A & A & A & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 9.7 & & 1.7 & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 1.6 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 17.5\% & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{ICU Level of Service}} & A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rangle\) & & & & & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \(\uparrow\) & & & ¢ & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & ¢ & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 47 & 127 & 9 & 5 & 148 & 40 & 10 & 35 & 14 & 46 & 93 & 56 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 47 & 127 & 9 & 5 & 148 & 40 & 10 & 35 & 14 & 46 & 93 & 56 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 183 & 193 & 59 & 195 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 47 & 5 & 10 & 46 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 9 & 40 & 14 & 56 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 4.8 & 4.6 & 4.9 & 4.7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.24 & 0.25 & 0.08 & 0.26 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 709 & 595 & 667 & 724 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 8.2 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 8.2 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 8.2 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 8.2 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & A & A & A & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 8.1 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & A & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & 46.8\% & & ICU Leve & of Ser & vice & & A & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rightarrow\) & & \(\dagger\) & & 4 & 7 & \\
\hline Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & 个 & & & \(\uparrow\) & \({ }_{1}\) & 「 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Free & & & Free & Stop & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 777 & 85 & 25 & 931 & 35 & 18 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 777 & 85 & 25 & 931 & 35 & 18 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (fts) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & & & & & None & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, platoon unblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC, conflicting volume & & & 862 & & 1800 & 820 & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC2, stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu , unblocked vol & & & 862 & & 1800 & 820 & \\
\hline tC, single (s) & & & 4.1 & & 6.4 & 6.2 & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tC}, 2\) stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & & & 2.2 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & & & 97 & & 59 & 95 & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & & & 780 & & 85 & 375 & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & & & \\
\hline Volume Total & 862 & 956 & 35 & 18 & & & \\
\hline Volume Left & 0 & 25 & 35 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 85 & 0 & 0 & 18 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 1700 & 780 & 85 & 375 & & & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.51 & 0.03 & 0.41 & 0.05 & & & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 0 & 2 & 42 & 4 & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 0.0 & 0.9 & 74.4 & 15.1 & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & & A & F & C & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 0.0 & 0.9 & 54.3 & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & & F & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 2.0 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 79.1\% & & ICU Leve & of Service & D \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 7 & & & \(p\) & & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Movement & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & M & & \(\stackrel{1}{1}\) & & * & \(\uparrow\) & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & Free & & & Free & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & 0\% & & & 0\% & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 56 & 209 & 635 & 55 & 217 & 891 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 56 & 209 & 635 & 55 & 217 & 891 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (ft/s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & None & & & & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & & 1124 & \\
\hline pX , platoon unblocked & 0.61 & & & & & & \\
\hline vC , conflicting volume & 1988 & 662 & & & 690 & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC2, stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu, unblocked vol & 2626 & 662 & & & 690 & & \\
\hline tC, single (s) & 6.4 & 6.2 & & & 4.1 & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tC}, 2\) stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & 3.5 & 3.3 & & & 2.2 & & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & 0 & 55 & & & 76 & & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & 12 & 462 & & & 905 & & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & \\
\hline Volume Total & 265 & 690 & 217 & 891 & & & \\
\hline Volume Left & 56 & 0 & 217 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 209 & 55 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 52 & 1700 & 905 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 5.06 & 0.41 & 0.24 & 0.52 & & & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & Err & 0 & 23 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & Err & 0.0 & 10.2 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & F & & B & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & Err & 0.0 & 2.0 & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & F & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 1285.5 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 74.8\% & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{ICU Level of Service}} & D \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rightarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) & & 4 & 7 & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR & & & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & 性 & \(\stackrel{7}{ }\) & \({ }^{*}\) & 个4 & \% & \(\overline{7}\) & & & \\
\hline Sign Control & Free & & & Free & Stop & & & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & & & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 679 & 112 & 27 & 581 & 110 & 62 & & & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & & & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 679 & 112 & 27 & 581 & 110 & 62 & & & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (ft/s) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & & & & & None & & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & 663 & & & 590 & & & & & \\
\hline pX, platoon unblocked & & & 0.95 & & 0.95 & 0.95 & & & \\
\hline vC, conflicting volume & & & 791 & & 1024 & 340 & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC2}\), stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu , unblocked vol & & & 724 & & 969 & 248 & & & \\
\hline tC , single (s) & & & 4.1 & & 6.8 & 6.9 & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tC}, 2\) stage (s) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & & & 2.2 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & & & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & & & 97 & & 52 & 91 & & & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & & & 828 & & 230 & 713 & & & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & EB 2 & EB 3 & WB 1 & WB 2 & WB 3 & NB 1 & NB 2 & \\
\hline Volume Total & 340 & 340 & 112 & 27 & 290 & 290 & 110 & 62 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 110 & 0 & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 0 & 112 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 62 & \\
\hline cSH & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & 828 & 1700 & 1700 & 230 & 713 & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.20 & 0.20 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.48 & 0.09 & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 7 & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 9.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 34.2 & 10.5 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & & & & A & & & D & B & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 0.0 & & & 0.4 & & & 25.7 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & & & & & & D & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 3.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 35.2\% & & CU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \$ & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 32 & 211 & 46 & 85 & 249 & 52 & 71 & 111 & 98 & 73 & 162 & 75 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 32 & 211 & 46 & 85 & 249 & 52 & 71 & 111 & 98 & 73 & 162 & 75 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 289 & 386 & 182 & 98 & 235 & 75 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 32 & 85 & 71 & 0 & 73 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 46 & 52 & 0 & 98 & 0 & 75 & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & -0.7 & 0.2 & -0.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 6.5 & 6.6 & 7.4 & 6.5 & 7.3 & 6.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.52 & 0.71 & 0.37 & 0.18 & 0.48 & 0.13 & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 522 & 502 & 448 & 509 & 475 & 534 & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 11.2 & 13.1 & 10.3 & 8.7 & 10.7 & 8.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 11.2 & 13.1 & 9.7 & & 10.2 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & B & B & A & & B & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline Delay & & & 11.2 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HCM Level of Service & & & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 68.9\% & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{ICU Level of Service} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{C} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Analysis Period (min) & & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rangle\) & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBR & NBL & NBT & SBT & SBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \% & 「 & \% & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Free & Free & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 145 & 5 & 0 & 91 & 109 & 88 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 145 & 5 & 0 & 91 & 109 & 88 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (ft/s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & None & & & & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & 1316 & & \\
\hline pX , platoon unblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC, conflicting volume & 200 & 109 & 197 & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC2}\), stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu, unblocked vol & 200 & 109 & 197 & & & & \\
\hline tC, single (s) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.1 & & & & \\
\hline tC, 2 stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.2 & & & & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & 82 & 99 & 100 & & & & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & 789 & 945 & 1376 & & & & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & EB 2 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & \\
\hline Volume Total & 145 & 5 & 0 & 91 & 109 & 88 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 145 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 88 & \\
\hline cSH & 789 & 945 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.18 & 0.01 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.05 & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 17 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 10.6 & 8.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & B & A & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 10.5 & & 0.0 & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & B & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 3.6 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 20.4\% & & CU Leve & of Service & A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & \(\leftarrow\) & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & * & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \$ & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & ¢ & & & ¢ & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 63 & 94 & 56 & 23 & 104 & 63 & 88 & 259 & 38 & 51 & 185 & 36 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 63 & 94 & 56 & 23 & 104 & 63 & 88 & 259 & 38 & 51 & 185 & 36 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 213 & 190 & 385 & 272 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 63 & 23 & 88 & 51 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 56 & 63 & 38 & 36 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & -0.1 & -0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 5.9 & 5.7 & 5.5 & 5.6 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.35 & 0.30 & 0.59 & 0.43 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 557 & 502 & 622 & 608 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 9.7 & 9.3 & 10.3 & 9.7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 9.7 & 9.3 & 10.3 & 9.7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & A & B & A & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline Delay & & & 9.8 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HCM Level of Service & & & A & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 60.1\% & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{ICU Level of Service} & & B & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 7 & & & & & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Movement & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & M & & \(\hat{\beta}\) & & \% & \(\uparrow\) & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & Free & & & Free & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & 0\% & & & 0\% & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 54 & 197 & 792 & 100 & 181 & 664 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 54 & 197 & 792 & 100 & 181 & 664 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (ft/s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & None & & & & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & & 1124 & \\
\hline pX, platoon unblocked & 0.75 & & & & & & \\
\hline vC, conflicting volume & 1868 & 842 & & & 892 & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC2, stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu , unblocked vol & 2155 & 842 & & & 892 & & \\
\hline tC , single (s) & 6.4 & 6.2 & & & 4.1 & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tC}, 2\) stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & 3.5 & 3.3 & & & 2.2 & & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & 0 & 46 & & & 76 & & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & 30 & 364 & & & 760 & & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & \\
\hline Volume Total & 251 & 892 & 181 & 664 & & & \\
\hline Volume Left & 54 & 0 & 181 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 197 & 100 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 108 & 1700 & 760 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 2.33 & 0.52 & 0.24 & 0.39 & & & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 554 & 0 & 23 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 692.5 & 0.0 & 11.2 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & F & & B & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 692.5 & 0.0 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & F & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 88.5 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 82.9\% & & ICU Leve & of Service & E \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 220 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 520 & 520 & 3300 & 0.1576 & 0.1576 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 90 & 90 & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 450 & 450 & 3300 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 410 & 410 & 3000 & 0.1367 & 0.1367 \\
\hline & T + R & & 460 & 3300 & 0.1394 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{llrrrr}\text { EB } & \text { RIGHT (R) } & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 \\ \\ \text { THRU (T) } & 98 & 98 & 3300 & 0.0297 & \\ & \text { LEFT (L) } & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 \\ \hline & 0.0242 \\ & \text { T R } & & 148 & 3300 & 0.0448\end{array}\right]\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 946 & 721 & * & 3000 & 0.2403 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 280 & 280 & & 1650 & 0.1697 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 239 & 239 & & 1650 & 0.1448 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1001 & & 3000 & 0.3337 & 0.3337 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.65
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

B
```

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT=...CASCUM.INT,VOL=...CUM.AMV,CAP=

```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


\section*{TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:}
0.63 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

B

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & \begin{tabular}{l}
ADJUSTED \\
VOLUME*
\end{tabular} & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 330 & 330 & 1650 & 0.2000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 56 & 56 & 1650 & 0.0339 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 184 & 184 & 1650 & 0.1115 & 0.1115 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrlrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 23 & 11 & \(*\) & 1650 \\
0.0067 & \\
& THRU (T) & 133 & 133 & 1650 & 0.0806 \\
& LEFT (L) & 13 & 13 & 1650 & 0.0806 \\
&
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
WB & RIGHT (R) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 \\
\\
THRU (T) & 1169 & 1169 & 3300 & 0.3542 & \\
& LEFT (L) & 920 & 920 & 3000 & 0.3067 \\
\hline & 0.3067 \\
T + R & & 1174 & 3300 & 0.3558 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==========================================================================1 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.67 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

B

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT \(=\). . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUM. AMV , CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd.
Count Date
Time
Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.72

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C


\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 5 Kightsen Road/E. Cypress Rd Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Kightsen Road
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 48 & 0 * & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 66 & 66 & 1720 & 0.0384 & 0.0384 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 92 & 26 & 1720 & 0.0151 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 880 & 880 & 3440 & 0.2558 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1910 & 1910 & 3440 & 0.5552 & 0.5552 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 140 & 140 & 1720 & 0.0814 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
========================================================================== TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.59 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 6 Jersey Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.73 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.73
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10 INTERSECTION 10 Sellers Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\(=======================================================================\)
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.58

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 11 Sellers Avenue/Delta Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 15 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 21 & 21 & 1650 & 0.0127 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & 0.0073 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 36 & 1650 & 0.0218 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 33 & 1650 & 0.0200 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 48 & 1650 & 0.0291 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 127 & 127 & 1650 & 0.0770 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 114 & 114 & 1650 & 0.0691 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 36 & 36 & 1650 & 0.0218 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 241 & 1650 & 0.1461 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 150 & 1650 & 0.0909 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 277 & 1650 & 0.1679 & 0.1679 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 96 & 96 & 1650 & 0.0582 & 0.0582 \\
\hline & T + R & & 182 & 1650 & 0.1103 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 268 & 1650 & 0.1624 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 278 & 1650 & 0.1685 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 219 & 219 & 1650 & 0.1327 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & \(\bigcirc\) & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 391 & 1650 & 0.2370 & 0.2370 \\
\hline & T + L & & 219 & 1650 & 0.1327 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 391 & 1650 & 0.2370 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.47
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

```

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT \(=\). . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUM. AMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 12 Rose Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 61 & 0 * & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 41 & 41 & 1720 & 0.0238 & 0.0238 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 92 & 92 & 1720 & 0.0535 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 686 & 686 & 3440 & 0.1994 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 778 & 3440 & 0.2262 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1052 & 1052 & 3440 & 0.3058 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 120 & 120 & 1720 & 0.0698 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 1172 & 3440 & 0.3407 & 0.3407 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.36

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
```

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT= . .CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . CUM. AMV, CAP=

```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & 0.0667 \\
\hline & T + R & & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 90 & 90 & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}\) & & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & 0.0727 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 80 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 420 & 420 & 1650 & 0.2545 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 450 & 450 & 1650 & 0.2727 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 470 & 1650 & 0.2848 & 0.2848 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.43

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==============================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street

Count Date

Time

 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.24 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL=. . .CUM.AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 139 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 594 & 594 & 3300 & 0.1800 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 200 & 200 & 1650 & 0.1212 & 0.1212 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 177 & 177 & 1650 & 0.1073 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 582 & 582 & 3300 & 0.1764 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 200 & 200 & 3000 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 759 & 3300 & 0.2300 & 0.2300 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 220 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 322 & 322 & 3300 & 0.0976 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 137 & 137 & 1650 & 0.0830 & 0.0830 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 230 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 943 & 943 & 3300 & 0.2858 & 0.2858 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 391 & 391 & 1650 & 0.2370 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: & 0.72 \\
\hline INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: & C \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT \(=\). . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUM. AMV , CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 917 & 917 & 3300 & 0.2779 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 44 & 44 & 1650 & 0.0267 & 0.0267 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 923 & 3300 & 0.2797 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1007 & 1007 & 3300 & 0.3052 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1187 & 3300 & 0.3597 & 0.3597 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 22 & 22 & 1650 & 0.0133 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 24 & 1650 & 0.0145 & 0.0145 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 4 & 4 & 1650 & 0.0024 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & 0.0073 \\
\hline & T + R & & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.41

```

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
===========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 17 Main Street/Brownstrone Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & THRU (T) & 926 & 926 & 3440 & 0.2692 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 45 & 45 & 1720 & 0.0262 & 0.0262 \\
\hline & T + L & & 971 & 3440 & 0.2823 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 14 & 14 & 1720 & 0.0081 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1027 & 1027 & 3440 & 0.2985 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1041 & 3440 & 0.3026 & 0.3026 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 62 & 62 & 1720 & 0.0360 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 21 & 21 & 1720 & 0.0122 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 83 & 1720 & 0.0483 & 0.0483 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.38

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUM. AMV , CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 18 Main Street/
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 72 & 72 & 1650 & 0.0436 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 724 & 724 & 3300 & 0.2194 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 796 & 3300 & 0.2412 & 0.2412 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SB} & THRU (T) & 863 & 863 & 3300 & 0.2615 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 226 & 226 & 1650 & 0.1370 & 0.1370 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 247 & 21 * & 1650 & 0.0127 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 111 & 111 & 1650 & 0.0673 & 0.0673 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.45 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 19 Rose Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 8 & 8 & 1650 & 0.0048 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline & T + R & & 14 & 1650 & 0.0085 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 33 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 25 & 25 & 1650 & 0.0152 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 197 & 1650 & 0.1194 & 0.1194 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 15 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 499 & 499 & 3300 & 0.1512 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 160 & 160 & 1650 & 0.0970 & 0.0970 \\
\hline & T + R & & 514 & 3300 & 0.1558 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1287 & 1287 & 3300 & 0.3900 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 23 & 23 & 1650 & 0.0139 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1297 & 3300 & 0.3930 & 0.3930 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.62
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road

Count Date

Time
Peak Hour

\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.82 \\ \text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }\end{array}\)

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 450 & 450 & 3300 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & 0.1091 \\
\hline & T + R & & 500 & 3300 & 0.1515 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 420 & 420 & 1650 & 0.2545 & 0.2545 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 220 & 220 & 3300 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 640 & 3300 & 0.1939 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 150 & 150 & 1650 & 0.0909 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 710 & 710 & 3300 & 0.2152 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 220 & 220 & 1650 & 0.1333 & 0.1333 \\
\hline & T + R & & 860 & 3300 & 0.2606 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 260 & 260 & 1650 & 0.1576 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1295 & 1295 & 3300 & 0.3924 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1555 & 3300 & 0.4712 & 0.4712 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.97
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E

\section*{* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED}

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL=...CUM.AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 372 & 231 * & 1650 & 0.1400 & 0.1400 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 400 & 400 & 3300 & 0.1212 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 130 & 130 & 1650 & 0.0788 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 780 & 780 & 3300 & 0.2364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 1059 & 1059 & 3000 & 0.3530 & 0.3530 \\
\hline & T + R & & 800 & 3300 & 0.2424 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { EB } & \text { RIGHT (R) } & 70 & 70 & 1650 & 0.0424 \\ \\ & \text { THRU (T) } & 145 & 145 & 3300 & 0.0439\end{array}\right]\)
 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.75 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: C
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 \(======================================================================\) INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 938 & 938 & 1650 & 0.5685 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 170 & 170 & 1650 & 0.1030 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & 0.1042 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrrrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 31 & \(2^{*}\) & 1650 & 0.0012 \\
& THRU (T) & 126 & 126 & 1650 & 0.0764 \\
& LEFT (L) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 157 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \multirow{3}{*}{0.4703} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1552 & 1552 & 3300 & 0.4703 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 29 & 29 & 1650 & 0.0176 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 15 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \multirow{4}{*}{0.1820} \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1111 & 1111 & 3300 & 0.3367 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 546 & 546 & 3000 & 0.1820 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1126 & 3300 & 0.3412 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
===========================================================================1 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.83 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

D
```

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT= . .CASCUM.INT,VOL= . . CUM.PMV,CAP=

```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd.
Count Date
Time
Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.76
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C


\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUM. PMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 5 Kightsen Road/E. Cypress Rd Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Kightsen Road
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 67 & 40 * & 1720 & 0.0233 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1720 & 0.0640 & 0.0640 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 105 & 0 & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2284 & 2284 & 3440 & 0.6640 & 0.6640 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1722 & 1722 & 3440 & 0.5006 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 27 & 27 & 1720 & 0.0157 & 0.0157 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.74

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ==========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 6 Jersey Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.70
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.79 \\ \text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }\end{array}\)

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 10 Sellers Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date

Time
RIGHT THRU LEFT
Peak Hour


TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.71

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 42 & 42 & 1650 & 0.0255 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 235 & 235 & 1650 & 0.1424 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 80 & 80 & 1650 & 0.0485 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 277 & 1650 & 0.1679 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 315 & 1650 & 0.1909 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 357 & 1650 & 0.2164 & 0.2164 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 75 & 75 & 1650 & 0.0455 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 169 & 169 & 1650 & 0.1024 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & 0.0121 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 244 & 1650 & 0.1479 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 189 & 1650 & 0.1145 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}\) & & 264 & 1650 & 0.1600 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 61 & 61 & 1650 & 0.0370 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 145 & 145 & 1650 & 0.0879 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 170 & 170 & 1650 & 0.1030 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 206 & 1650 & 0.1248 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 315 & 1650 & 0.1909 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 376 & 1650 & 0.2279 & 0.2279 \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 19 & 19 & 1650 & 0.0115 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 170 & 170 & 1650 & 0.1030 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 25 & 25 & 1650 & 0.0152 & 0.0152 \\
\hline & T + R & & 189 & 1650 & 0.1145 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 195 & 1650 & 0.1182 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 214 & 1650 & 0.1297 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.47
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

```

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM. PMV , CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 12 Rose Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 9 & 0 * & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 29 & 29 & 1720 & 0.0169 & 0.0169 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 154 & 154 & 1720 & 0.0895 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1640 & 1640 & 3440 & 0.4767 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1794 & 3440 & 0.5215 & 0.5215 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1215 & 1215 & 3440 & 0.3532 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 25 & 25 & 1720 & 0.0145 & 0.0145 \\
\hline & T + L & & 1240 & 3440 & 0.3605 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.55

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
```

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
INT=...CASCUM.INT,VOL= . . CUM.PMV,CAP=

```

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(==========================================================================\)
Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes
\(==========================================================================\) INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MOVEMENT} & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 140 & 140 & 1650 & 0.0848 & 0.0848 \\
\hline & T + R & & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 30 & 30 & 1650 & 0.0182 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 70 & 1650 & 0.0424 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 80 & 1650 & 0.0485 & 0.0485 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 130 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 810 & 810 & 1650 & 0.4909 & 0.4909 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 640 & 640 & 1650 & 0.3879 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & 0.0364 \\
\hline & T + R & & 660 & 1650 & 0.4000 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.66
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street

Count Date

Time
-----
Peak Hour

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road Count Date Time Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 13 & 13 & 1650 & 0.0079 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1194 & 1194 & 3300 & 0.3618 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1207 & 3300 & 0.3658 & 0.3658 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 33 & 33 & 1650 & 0.0200 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1117 & 1117 & 3300 & 0.3385 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & 0.0067 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1150 & 3300 & 0.3485 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 18 & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & 0.0109 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 14 & 1650 & 0.0085 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 9 & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1 & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.39

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
============================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 17 Main Street/Brownstrone Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & THRU (T) & 1237 & 1237 & 3440 & 0.3596 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 58 & 58 & 1720 & 0.0337 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 1295 & 3440 & 0.3765 & 0.3765 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 24 & 24 & 1720 & 0.0140 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1078 & 1078 & 3440 & 0.3134 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1102 & 3440 & 0.3203 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 50 & 50 & 1720 & 0.0291 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 8 & 8 & 1720 & 0.0047 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 58 & 1720 & 0.0337 & 0.0337 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:}} & 0.41 \\
\hline & & & & & & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM. PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 18 Main Street/ Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 167 & 167 & 1650 & 0.1012 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1064 & 1064 & 3300 & 0.3224 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1231 & 3300 & 0.3730 & 0.3730 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SB} & THRU (T) & 918 & 918 & 3300 & 0.2782 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 234 & 234 & 1650 & 0.1418 & 0.1418 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 230 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 94 & 94 & 1650 & 0.0570 & 0.0570 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.57 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 19 Rose Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time Peak Hour
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CCTA METHOD} & & RIGHT & THRU & U L & EFT & & & & & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{4-PHASE SIGNAL} \\
\hline & & & & 110 & 35 & & 50 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & | & & & | & & & & & \\
\hline & & \(\wedge\) & & & & & , & \(\wedge\) & & & & \\
\hline & & | & & <-- & v & & ---> & & & lit? & N & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LEFT} & 42 & - & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.1 & & 1.1 & 1.1 & - & 22 & RIGHT & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
STREET NAME: \\
Laurel Road
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline THRU & 1296 & -> & 2.1 & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(NO. OF LANES)} & \(2.1<-\) & - & 792 & THRU & \\
\hline RIGHT & 6 & - & 1.1 & 1.0 & 1.1 & & 1.1 & 1.0 & - & 29 & LEFT & \\
\hline & & | & & \(<\) & \(\wedge\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & v & & | & | & & | & v & & & & \\
\hline N & & & & | & & & | & & & & & SIG WARRANTS: \\
\hline \(W+E\) & & & & 5 & 18 & 8 & 17 & & & & & Urb=Y, Rur=Y \\
\hline S & & & & LEFT & THRU & U R & RIGHT & Split? & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 17 & 17 & 1650 & 0.0103 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 18 & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & 0.0030 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 35 & 1650 & 0.0212 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 110 & 68 & 1650 & 0.0412 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 35 & 35 & 1650 & 0.0212 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 85 & 1650 & 0.0515 & 0.0515 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1296 & 1296 & 3300 & 0.3927 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 42 & 42 & 1650 & 0.0255 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1302 & 3300 & 0.3945 & 0.3945 \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 22 & 22 & 1650 & 0.0133 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 792 & 792 & 3300 & 0.2400 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 29 & 29 & 1650 & 0.0176 & 0.0176 \\
\hline & T + R & & 814 & 3300 & 0.2467 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.47
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUM.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL
V/C V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 129 & 23 * & 1650 & 0.0139 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 431 & 431 & 1650 & 0.2612 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 220 & 220 & 1650 & 0.1333 & 0.1333 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 200 & 10 * & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 481 & 481 & 1650 & 0.2915 & 0.2915 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 310 & 90 * & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1105 & 1105 & 3300 & 0.3348 & 0.3348 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 190 & 190 & 1650 & 0.1152 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 210 & 100 * & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 753 & 753 & 3300 & 0.2282 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 106 & 106 & 1650 & 0.0642 & 0.0642 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.82
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
D

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
\(=====================================================================\)
Condition: Cumulative no Project PM Peak Hour Volumes =======ニ===================================================================1 INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road

Count Date

Time

4-PHASE SIGNAL


SIG WARRANTS: Urb=Y, Rur=Y

STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 430 & 430 & 3300 & 0.1303 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 160 & 160 & 1650 & 0.0970 & 0.0970 \\
\hline & T + R & & 550 & 3300 & 0.1667 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 330 & 330 & 1650 & 0.2000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 360 & 360 & 3300 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 690 & 3300 & 0.2091 & 0.2091 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 310 & 310 & 1650 & 0.1879 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1393 & 1393 & 3300 & 0.4221 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 460 & 460 & 1650 & 0.2788 & 0.2788 \\
\hline & T + R & & 1703 & 3300 & 0.5161 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1030 & 1030 & 3300 & 0.3121 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1150 & 3300 & 0.3485 & 0.3485 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.93
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & \(\checkmark\) & 4 & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢ & & & * & & & ¢ & & & * & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 25 & 126 & 30 & 60 & 348 & 11 & 45 & 113 & 18 & 28 & 140 & 60 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 25 & 126 & 30 & 60 & 348 & 11 & 45 & 113 & 18 & 28 & 140 & 60 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 181 & 419 & 176 & 228 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 25 & 60 & 45 & 28 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 30 & 11 & 18 & 60 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 5.7 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 5.8 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, x & 0.29 & 0.69 & 0.29 & 0.37 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 572 & 551 & 536 & 588 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 9.3 & 12.1 & 9.7 & 9.7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 9.3 & 12.1 & 9.7 & 9.7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & B & A & A & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 10.6 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 54.0\% & & ICU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & A & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \$ & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & F \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 40 & 88 & 60 & 85 & 301 & 64 & 100 & 310 & 43 & 48 & 240 & 90 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 40 & 88 & 60 & 85 & 301 & 64 & 100 & 310 & 43 & 48 & 240 & 90 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 188 & 450 & 410 & 43 & 288 & 90 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 40 & 85 & 100 & 0 & 48 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 60 & 64 & 0 & 43 & 0 & 90 & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & -0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & -0.7 & 0.1 & -0.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 7.9 & 7.4 & 7.8 & 6.9 & 8.0 & 7.2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.41 & 0.93 & 0.88 & 0.08 & 0.64 & 0.18 & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 410 & 478 & 451 & 500 & 433 & 482 & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 12.2 & 20.9 & 18.3 & 8.9 & 13.0 & 9.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 12.2 & 20.9 & 17.4 & & 12.1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & B & C & C & & B & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 16.4 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & C & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & 78.7\% & & CU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & D & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rightarrow\) & 7 & \(\dagger\) & & 4 & 7 & \\
\hline Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & 性 & & & \({ }_{\text {* }}\) ¢ & \% & 「 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Free & & & Free & Stop & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Volume (veh/h) & 686 & 92 & 120 & 1052 & 41 & 61 & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 686 & 92 & 120 & 1052 & 41 & 61 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Width (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (fts) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockage & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Median type & & & & & None & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream signal (ft) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, platoon unblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC, conflicting volume & & & 778 & & 1498 & 389 & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC1}\), stage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{vC2}\), stage 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu , unblocked vol & & & 778 & & 1498 & 389 & \\
\hline tC , single (s) & & & 4.1 & & 6.8 & 6.9 & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tC}, 2\) stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (s) & & & 2.2 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & \\
\hline p0 queue free \% & & & 86 & & 58 & 90 & \\
\hline cM capacity (veh/h) & & & 834 & & 97 & 610 & \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & EB 2 & WB 1 & WB 2 & NB 1 & NB 2 & \\
\hline Volume Total & 457 & 321 & 471 & 701 & 41 & 61 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 0 & 0 & 120 & 0 & 41 & 0 & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 92 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 61 & \\
\hline cSH & 1700 & 1700 & 834 & 1700 & 97 & 610 & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.27 & 0.19 & 0.14 & 0.41 & 0.42 & 0.10 & \\
\hline Queue Length (ft) & 0 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 44 & 8 & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.9 & 0.0 & 67.1 & 11.6 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & & & A & & F & B & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 0.0 & & 1.6 & & 33.9 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & & & & D & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 2.6 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 67.8\% & & CU Leve & of Service & C \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & \(\checkmark\) & \(\leftarrow\) & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢ & & & * & & & ¢ & & & * & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 3 & 313 & 31 & 12 & 388 & 34 & 28 & 0 & 14 & 28 & 135 & 25 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 3 & 313 & 31 & 12 & 388 & 34 & 28 & 0 & 14 & 28 & 135 & 25 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 347 & 434 & 42 & 188 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 3 & 12 & 28 & 28 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 31 & 34 & 14 & 25 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 5.2 & 5.8 & 6.3 & 5.9 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.50 & 0.70 & 0.07 & 0.31 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 659 & 562 & 488 & 572 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 9.5 & 11.9 & 9.4 & 9.6 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 9.5 & 11.9 & 9.4 & 9.6 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & B & A & A & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 10.5 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 46.9\% & & ICU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & A & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rangle\) & & & & & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \({ }_{4}\) & & & \({ }_{4}\) & & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & F' \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 100 & 195 & 130 & 66 & 259 & 55 & 70 & 280 & 59 & 92 & 520 & 110 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 100 & 195 & 130 & 66 & 259 & 55 & 70 & 280 & 59 & 92 & 520 & 110 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 425 & 380 & 350 & 59 & 612 & 110 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 100 & 66 & 70 & 0 & 92 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 130 & 55 & 0 & 59 & 0 & 110 & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & -0.1 & 0.0 & 0.1 & -0.7 & 0.1 & -0.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 8.5 & 8.6 & 9.2 & 8.4 & 8.9 & 8.2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 1.00 & 0.90 & 0.89 & 0.14 & 1.52 & 0.25 & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 425 & 410 & 382 & 419 & 415 & 435 & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 27.3 & 21.8 & 21.1 & 10.5 & 75.2 & 10.6 & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 27.3 & 21.8 & 19.6 & & 65.4 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & D & C & C & & F & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 38.8 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & E & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & 96.7\% & & ICU Leve & el of Ser & vice & & F & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =======ニ====ニ============================================================1 INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 304 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 520 & 520 & 3300 & 0.1576 & 0.1576 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 90 & 90 & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 450 & 450 & 3300 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 467 & 467 & 3000 & 0.1557 & 0.1557 \\
\hline & T + R & & 460 & 3300 & 0.1394 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 122 & 122 & 3300 & 0.0370 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & 0.0242 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 172 & 3300 & 0.0521 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 1047 & 790 * & 3000 & 0.2633 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 322 & 322 & 1650 & 0.1952 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 387 & 387 & 1650 & 0.2345 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1112 & 3000 & 0.3707 & 0.3707 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.71
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.AMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.72
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd.
Count Date
Time
Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.72
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED INT=. . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUMPR. AMV, CAP=} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 5 Kightsen Road/E. Cypress Rd Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Kightsen Road
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 48 & 0 * & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 66 & 66 & 1720 & 0.0384 & 0.0384 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 92 & 26 * & 1720 & 0.0151 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 900 & 900 & 3440 & 0.2616 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1921 & 1921 & 3440 & 0.5584 & 0.5584 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 140 & 140 & 1720 & 0.0814 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.60 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: A
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 6 Jersey Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.73
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.74
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 \(======================================================================\) INTERSECTION 10 Sellers Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\(=======================================================================\)
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.58

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 11 Sellers Avenue/Delta Road Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 15 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 37 & 37 & 1650 & 0.0224 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & 0.0073 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 52 & 1650 & 0.0315 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 49 & 1650 & 0.0297 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 64 & 1650 & 0.0388 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
SB & 127 & 127 & 1650 & 0.0770 & \\
RIGHT (R) & 142 & 142 & 1650 & 0.0861 & \\
THRU (T) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & \\
LEFT (L) & & 269 & 1650 & 0.1630 & \\
T + R & & 202 & 1650 & 0.1224 & \\
T + L & 329 & 1650 & 0.1994 & 0.1994 \\
T + R + L & & & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 96 & 96 & 1650 & 0.0582 & 0.0582 \\
\hline & T + R & & 182 & 1650 & 0.1103 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 268 & 1650 & 0.1624 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 278 & 1650 & 0.1685 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 186 & 186 & 1650 & 0.1127 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 219 & 219 & 1650 & 0.1327 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 0 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 405 & 1650 & 0.2455 & 0.2455 \\
\hline & T + L & & 219 & 1650 & 0.1327 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 405 & 1650 & 0.2455 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.51
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

```

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUMPR . AMV , CAP=
}
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 73 & 73 & 1650 & 0.0442 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & 0.0667 \\
\hline & T + R & & 113 & 1650 & 0.0685 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 90 & 90 & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & 0.0727 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 80 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 454 & 454 & 1650 & 0.2752 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 510 & 510 & 1650 & 0.3091 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 62 & 62 & 1650 & 0.0376 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 530 & 1650 & 0.3212 & 0.3212 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.47

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
============================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR . AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour


\section*{TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:}
0.25

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 953 & 953 & 3300 & 0.2888 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 44 & 44 & 1650 & 0.0267 & 0.0267 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 959 & 3300 & 0.2906 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1071 & 1071 & 3300 & 0.3245 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1251 & 3300 & 0.3791 & 0.3791 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 22 & 22 & 1650 & 0.0133 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 24 & 1650 & 0.0145 & 0.0145 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 4 & 4 & 1650 & 0.0024 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & 0.0073 \\
\hline & T + R & & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.43
A

```

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
============================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR. AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 17 Main Street/Brownstrone Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & THRU (T) & 962 & 962 & 3440 & 0.2797 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 45 & 45 & 1720 & 0.0262 & 0.0262 \\
\hline & T + L & & 1007 & 3440 & 0.2927 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 14 & 14 & 1720 & 0.0081 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1091 & 1091 & 3440 & 0.3172 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1105 & 3440 & 0.3212 & 0.3212 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 62 & 62 & 1720 & 0.0360 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 21 & 21 & 1720 & 0.0122 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}\) & & 83 & 1720 & 0.0483 & 0.0483 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:}} & 0.40 \\
\hline & & & & & & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUMPR . AMV , CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 18 Main Street/ Count Date Time

Peak Hour
 TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.46 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 19 Rose Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 8 & 8 & 1650 & 0.0048 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0.0061 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 14 & 1650 & 0.0085 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 33 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 25 & 25 & 1650 & 0.0152 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 172 & 172 & 1650 & 0.1042 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 197 & 1650 & 0.1194 & 0.1194 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 15 & 15 & 1650 & 0.0091 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 547 & 547 & 3300 & 0.1658 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 160 & 160 & 1650 & 0.0970 & 0.0970 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 562 & 3300 & 0.1703 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1371 & 1371 & 3300 & 0.4155 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 23 & 23 & 1650 & 0.0139 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1381 & 3300 & 0.4185 & 0.4185 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.64
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL=. . .CUMPR.AMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL
V/C V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 228 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 580 & 580 & 1650 & 0.3515 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 200 & 200 & 1650 & 0.1212 & 0.1212 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 110 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 511 & 511 & 1650 & 0.3097 & 0.3097 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 100 & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 210 & 10 * & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 559 & 559 & 3300 & 0.1694 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & 0.0667 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 210 & 110 * & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1150 & 1150 & 3300 & 0.3485 & 0.3485 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 295 & 295 & 1650 & 0.1788 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.85 \\ \text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }\end{array}\)

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project AM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 57 & 57 & 1650 & 0.0345 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 450 & 450 & 3300 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & 0.1091 \\
\hline & T + R & & 507 & 3300 & 0.1536 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 420 & 420 & 1650 & 0.2545 & 0.2545 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 220 & 220 & 3300 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 640 & 3300 & 0.1939 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 150 & 150 & 1650 & 0.0909 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 746 & 746 & 3300 & 0.2261 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 220 & 220 & 1650 & 0.1333 & 0.1333 \\
\hline & T + R & & 896 & 3300 & 0.2715 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 260 & 260 & 1650 & 0.1576 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1358 & 1358 & 3300 & 0.4115 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 72 & 72 & 1650 & 0.0436 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1618 & 3300 & 0.4903 & 0.4903 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.99
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 1 Main Street/W.Cypress Rd.
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 633 & 279 & 1650 & 0.1691 & 0.1691 \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 400 & 400 & 3300 & 0.1212 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 130 & 130 & 1650 & 0.0788 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 \\
\\
THRU (T) & 780 & 780 & 3300 & 0.2364 & \\
LEFT (L) & 1236 & 1236 & 3000 & 0.4120 & 0.4120 \\
T + R & & 800 & 3300 & 0.2424 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 70 & 70 & 1650 & 0.0424 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 220 & 220 & 3300 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 100 & 100 & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{T + R} & 290 & 3300 & 0.0879 & 0.0879 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 915 & 235 & 3000 & 0.0783 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 380 & 380 & 1650 & 0.2303 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 354 & 354 & 1650 & 0.2145 & 0.2145 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{T + R} & 615 & 3000 & 0.2050 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.88
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: D

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 2 Frank Hengel Way/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.61 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
B

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10, INTERSECTION 3 Sellers Avenue/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 938 & 938 & 1650 & 0.5685 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 206 & 206 & 1650 & 0.1248 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 227 & 227 & 1650 & 0.1376 & 0.1376 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SB & RIGHT (R) & 168 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 156 & 156 & 1650 & 0.0945 & 0.0945 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 22 & 22 & 1650 & 0.0133 & \\
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 202 & 0 & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1569 & 1569 & 3300 & 0.4755 & 0.4755 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 197 & 197 & 1650 & 0.1194 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 29 & 29 & 1650 & 0.0176 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1132 & 1132 & 3300 & 0.3430 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 546 & 546 & 3000 & 0.1820 & 0.1820 \\
\hline & T + R & & 1161 & 3300 & 0.3518 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.89 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

D

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . CUMPR. PMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 4 Project Entrance/E. Cypress Rd.
Count Date
Time
Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.77
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C


\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=
}

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 5 Kightsen Road/E. Cypress Rd Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Kightsen Road
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 67 & 40 & 1720 & 0.0233 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1720 & 0.0640 & 0.0640 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 105 & 0 & 1720 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2313 & 2313 & 3440 & 0.6724 & 0.6724 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & THRU (T) & 1757 & 1757 & 3440 & 0.5108 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 27 & 27 & 1720 & 0.0157 & 0.0157 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.75

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

\section*{* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED}

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 6 Jersey Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.71
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 7 Bethel Island Rd/E. Cypress Rd. Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: } & 0.80 \\ \text { INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE: }\end{array}\)

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 \(======================================================================\) INTERSECTION 10 Sellers Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour

\(=======================================================================\)
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.75

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 11 Sellers Avenue/Delta Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Sellers Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 42 & 42 & 1650 & 0.0255 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 284 & 284 & 1650 & 0.1721 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 80 & 80 & 1650 & 0.0485 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 326 & 1650 & 0.1976 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 364 & 1650 & 0.2206 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}\) & & 406 & 1650 & 0.2461 & 0.2461 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
SB & RIGHT (R) & 75 & 75 & 1650 & 0.0455 \\
\\
THRU (T) & 209 & 209 & 1650 & 0.1267 & \\
LEFT (L) & 54 & 54 & 1650 & 0.0327 & 0.0327 \\
T + R & 284 & 1650 & 0.1721 & \\
T + L & 263 & 1650 & 0.1594 & \\
T + R + L & 338 & 1650 & 0.2048 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EB & RIGHT (R) & 61 & 61 & 1650 & 0.0370 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 145 & 145 & 1650 & 0.0879 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 170 & 170 & 1650 & 0.1030 & 0.1030 \\
\hline & T + R & & 206 & 1650 & 0.1248 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 315 & 1650 & 0.1909 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 376 & 1650 & 0.2279 & \\
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 61 & 61 & 1650 & 0.0370 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 170 & 170 & 1650 & 0.1030 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 25 & 25 & 1650 & 0.0152 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 231 & 1650 & 0.1400 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 195 & 1650 & 0.1182 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 256 & 1650 & 0.1552 & 0.1552 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.54
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

```

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR . PMV , CAP=
}
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 13 0'Hara Avenue/Main Street
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 79 & 79 & 1650 & 0.0479 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 60 & 60 & 1650 & 0.0364 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 140 & 140 & 1650 & 0.0848 & 0.0848 \\
\hline & T + R & & 139 & 1650 & 0.0842 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 10 & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 40 & 40 & 1650 & 0.0242 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 30 & 30 & 1650 & 0.0182 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 70 & 1650 & 0.0424 & \\
\hline & T + R + L & & 80 & 1650 & 0.0485 & 0.0485 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 130 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 915 & 915 & 1650 & 0.5545 & 0.5545 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 20 & 20 & 1650 & 0.0121 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 726 & 726 & 1650 & 0.4400 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 92 & 92 & 1650 & 0.0558 & 0.0558 \\
\hline & T + R & & 746 & 1650 & 0.4521 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.74
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
C


\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED
}

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL=. . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10 INTERSECTION 14 Vintage Parkway/Main Street Count Date Time

Peak Hour

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.31
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 15 Main Street/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================1 INTERSECTION 16 Main Street/Malicoat Lane Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 13 & 13 & 1650 & 0.0079 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1306 & 1306 & 3300 & 0.3958 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1319 & 3300 & 0.3997 & 0.3997 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 33 & 33 & 1650 & 0.0200 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1209 & 1209 & 3300 & 0.3664 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 11 & 11 & 1650 & 0.0067 & 0.0067 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1242 & 3300 & 0.3764 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 12 & 12 & 1650 & 0.0073 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 18 & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & 0.0109 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 14 & 1650 & 0.0085 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WB & RIGHT (R) & 9 & 9 & 1650 & 0.0055 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1 & 1 & 1650 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 2 & 2 & 1650 & 0.0012 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 10 & 1650 & 0.0061 & 0. 0061 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.42
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 17 Main Street/Brownstrone Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & THRU (T) & 1349 & 1349 & 3440 & 0.3922 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 58 & 58 & 1720 & 0.0337 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 1407 & 3440 & 0.4090 & 0.4090 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM. INT, VOL= . . . CUMPR . PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 ===========================================================================10 INTERSECTION 18 Main Street/ Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Main Street
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & CRITICAL V/C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 167 & 167 & 1650 & 0.1012 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1176 & 1176 & 3300 & 0.3564 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1343 & 3300 & 0.4070 & 0.4070 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SB} & THRU (T) & 1010 & 1010 & 3300 & 0.3061 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 234 & 234 & 1650 & 0.1418 & 0.1418 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 230 & 0 * & 1650 & 0.0000 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 94 & 94 & 1650 & 0.0570 & 0.0570 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.61 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:

B

* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT= . . CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08
 INTERSECTION 19 Rose Avenue/Laurel Road
Count Date Time Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Rose Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 17 & 17 & 1650 & 0.0103 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 18 & 18 & 1650 & 0.0109 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 5 & 5 & 1650 & 0.0030 & 0.0030 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 35 & 1650 & 0.0212 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 110 & 68 * & 1650 & 0.0412 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 35 & 35 & 1650 & 0.0212 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 50 & 50 & 1650 & 0.0303 & \\
\hline & T + L & & 85 & 1650 & 0.0515 & 0.0515 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 6 & 6 & 1650 & 0.0036 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1445 & 1445 & 3300 & 0.4379 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 42 & 42 & 1650 & 0.0255 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1451 & 3300 & 0.4397 & 0.4397 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 22 & 22 & 1650 & 0.0133 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 914 & 914 & 3300 & 0.2770 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 29 & 29 & 1650 & 0.0176 & 0.0176 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 936 & 3300 & 0.2836 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.51
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
A
==========================================================================1
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
=========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 20 O'Hara Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: O'Hara Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CRITICAL \\
V/C
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 146 & 26 * & 1650 & 0.0158 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 431 & 431 & 1650 & 0.2612 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 220 & 220 & 1650 & 0.1333 & 0.1333 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 200 & 10 * & 1650 & 0.0061 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 481 & 481 & 1650 & 0.2915 & 0.2915 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 110 & 110 & 1650 & 0.0667 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 310 & 90 * & 1650 & 0.0545 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1237 & 1237 & 3300 & 0.3748 & 0.3748 \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 190 & 190 & 1650 & 0.1152 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 210 & 100 * & 1650 & 0.0606 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 861 & 861 & 3300 & 0.2609 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & 0.0727 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO:
0.87
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
D

CCTALOS Software ver. 2.35 by TJKM Transportation Consultants
========================================================================== Condition: Cumulative plus Project PM Peak Hour Volumes 11/14/08 =============================================================================1 INTERSECTION 21 Empire Avenue/Laurel Road Count Date Time

Peak Hour


STREET NAME: Empire Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MOVEMENT & ORIGINAL VOLUME & ADJUSTED VOLUME* & CAPACITY & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V/C } \\
\text { RATIO }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { CRITICAL } \\
\text { V/C }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NB} & RIGHT (R) & 142 & 142 & 1650 & 0.0861 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 430 & 430 & 3300 & 0.1303 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 160 & 160 & 1650 & 0.0970 & 0.0970 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 572 & 3300 & 0.1733 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{SB} & RIGHT (R) & 330 & 330 & 1650 & 0.2000 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 360 & 360 & 3300 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 180 & 180 & 1650 & 0.1091 & \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 690 & 3300 & 0.2091 & 0.2091 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{EB} & RIGHT (R) & 310 & 310 & 1650 & 0.1879 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1503 & 1503 & 3300 & 0.4555 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 460 & 460 & 1650 & 0.2788 & 0.2788 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R}\) & & 1813 & 3300 & 0.5494 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{WB} & RIGHT (R) & 120 & 120 & 1650 & 0.0727 & \\
\hline & THRU (T) & 1120 & 1120 & 3300 & 0.3394 & \\
\hline & LEFT (L) & 128 & 128 & 1650 & 0.0776 & \\
\hline & T + R & & 1240 & 3300 & 0.3758 & 0.3758 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO: 0.96
INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE:
E

\footnotetext{
* ADJUSTED FOR RIGHT TURN ON RED

INT=...CASCUM.INT, VOL= . . .CUMPR.PMV, CAP=
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & 4 & & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢ & & & * & & & ¢ & & & * & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 25 & 150 & 30 & 60 & 389 & 12 & 45 & 113 & 18 & 29 & 140 & 60 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 25 & 150 & 30 & 60 & 389 & 12 & 45 & 113 & 18 & 29 & 140 & 60 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 205 & 461 & 176 & 229 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 25 & 60 & 45 & 29 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 30 & 12 & 18 & 60 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 5.9 & 6.3 & 6.3 & 6.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, x & 0.34 & 0.80 & 0.31 & 0.39 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 555 & 461 & 509 & 561 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 9.7 & 14.4 & 10.1 & 10.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 9.7 & 14.4 & 10.1 & 10.1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & B & B & B & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 11.9 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 57.0\% & & CU Leve & of Ser & & & B & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \(\uparrow\) & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & F \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 40 & 99 & 60 & 101 & 321 & 70 & 100 & 310 & 52 & 51 & 240 & 90 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 40 & 99 & 60 & 101 & 321 & 70 & 100 & 310 & 52 & 51 & 240 & 90 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 199 & 492 & 410 & 52 & 291 & 90 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 40 & 101 & 100 & 0 & 51 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 60 & 70 & 0 & 52 & 0 & 90 & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & -0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & -0.7 & 0.1 & -0.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 8.2 & 7.7 & 8.0 & 7.2 & 8.3 & 7.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.45 & 1.05 & 0.92 & 0.10 & 0.67 & 0.19 & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 417 & 473 & 439 & 488 & 420 & 466 & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 12.9 & 29.3 & 20.2 & 9.2 & 13.9 & 9.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 12.9 & 29.3 & 19.0 & & 12.9 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & B & D & C & & B & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 20.0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & C & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 83.4\% & & CU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & E & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & \(\leftarrow\) & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & * & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \$ & & & \(\uparrow\) & & & ¢ & & & ¢ & \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 3 & 386 & 31 & 12 & 447 & 36 & 28 & 0 & 14 & 30 & 135 & 25 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 3 & 386 & 31 & 12 & 447 & 36 & 28 & 0 & 14 & 30 & 135 & 25 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & SB 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 420 & 495 & 42 & 190 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 3 & 12 & 28 & 30 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 31 & 36 & 14 & 25 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 5.4 & 6.3 & 6.8 & 6.4 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 0.64 & 0.87 & 0.08 & 0.34 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 638 & 550 & 457 & 533 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 10.7 & 16.3 & 9.9 & 10.2 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 10.7 & 16.3 & 9.9 & 10.2 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & B & C & A & B & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delay} & 13.0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HCM Level of Service} & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & 50.5\% & & CU Leve & of Ser & & & A & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & & \(\leftarrow\) & 4 & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 7 & * & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢ & & & ¢ & & & \(\uparrow\) & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & F \\
\hline Sign Control & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & & & Stop & \\
\hline Volume (vph) & 100 & 230 & 130 & 89 & 288 & 63 & 70 & 280 & 87 & 102 & 520 & 110 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 100 & 230 & 130 & 89 & 288 & 63 & 70 & 280 & 87 & 102 & 520 & 110 \\
\hline Direction, Lane \# & EB 1 & WB 1 & NB 1 & NB 2 & SB 1 & SB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Total (vph) & 460 & 440 & 350 & 87 & 622 & 110 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left (vph) & 100 & 89 & 70 & 0 & 102 & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right (vph) & 130 & 63 & 0 & 87 & 0 & 110 & & & & & & \\
\hline Hadj (s) & -0.1 & 0.0 & 0.1 & -0.7 & 0.1 & -0.7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Departure Headway (s) & 8.9 & 9.0 & 9.4 & 8.6 & 9.3 & 8.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Degree Utilization, \(x\) & 1.13 & 1.09 & 0.91 & 0.21 & 1.60 & 0.26 & & & & & & \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 419 & 412 & 375 & 413 & 392 & 420 & & & & & & \\
\hline Control Delay (s) & 37.9 & 34.7 & 22.7 & 11.0 & 84.3 & 11.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach Delay (s) & 37.9 & 34.7 & 20.3 & & 73.3 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & E & D & C & & F & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline Delay & & & 46.0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HCM Level of Service & & & E & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization} & & 96.7\% & & ICU Leve & ef Ser & vice & & F & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

This report describes the effects of the Emerson Ranch Project on local and regional air quality. This report is intended to meet the requirements of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's guidance for environmental documents. \({ }^{1}\) It addresses existing air quality conditions, the impacts of the project during construction, and permanent local and regional air quality impacts. Where significant air quality impacts are identified, mitigation measures are described that would reduce or eliminate the impact.

\section*{EXISTING CONDITIONS}

\section*{Air Pollution Climatology}

Oakley is located on the south side of the San Joaquin River delta, east of the Carquinez Straits. Its location between the greater Bay Area and the Central Valley has great influence on the climate and air quality of the area. It is located at the eastern boundary of the 9-county San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. Oakley is a few miles west of San Joaquin County, which is part of the 8-county San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.

Oakley has a relatively low potential for air pollution given the persistent and strong winds typical of the area. Wind records from the closest wind-measuring sites show a strong predominance of westerly winds. Average wind speed is relatively high and the frequency of calm winds is quite low. \({ }^{2}\) These winds dilute pollutants and transport them away from the area, so that emissions released in the project area have more influence on air quality in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys than they do locally. There are, however, several major stationary sources in upwind cities that can influence local air quality and the project's location downwind of the greater Bay Area also means that pollutants from other areas are transported to the area.

\section*{Ambient Air Quality Standards}

\section*{Criteria Pollutants}

Both the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency and the California Air Resources Board have established ambient air quality standards for common pollutants. These ambient air quality standards are levels of contaminants which represent safe levels that avoid specific adverse health effects associated with each pollutant. The ambient air quality standards cover what are called "criteria" pollutants because the health and other effects of each pollutant are described in criteria documents. Table 1 identifies the major criteria

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Bay Area Air Quality Management District, BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, 1996 (Revised 1999).
\({ }^{2}\) California Department of Water Resources, Wind in California, Bulletin No. 185, January 1978.
}
pollutants, characteristics, health effects and typical sources. The federal and California state ambient air quality standards are summarized in Table 2.

The federal and state ambient standards were developed independently with differing purposes and methods, although both processes attempted to avoid health-related effects. As a result, the federal and state standards differ in some cases. In general, the California state standards are more stringent. This is particularly true for ozone and particulate matter \(\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right.\) and \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) )

\section*{Toxic Air Contaminants}

In addition to the criteria pollutants discussed above, Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs) are another group of pollutants of concern. There are many different types of TACs, with varying degrees of toxicity. Sources of TACs include industrial processes such as petroleum refining and chrome plating operations, commercial operations such as gasoline stations and dry cleaners, and motor vehicle exhaust. Cars and trucks release at least forty different toxic air contaminants. The most important, in terms of health risk, are diesel particulate, benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene and acetaldehyde.

Public exposure to TACs can result from emissions from normal operations, as well as accidental releases. Health effects of TACs include cancer, birth defects, neurological damage and death.

\section*{Ambient Air Quality}

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District has for many years operated a multipollutant monitoring site in nearby Bethel Island. Table 3 shows historical occurrences of pollutant levels exceeding the state/federal ambient air quality standards for the three-year period 2005-2007. The number of days that each standard was exceeded is shown.

Table 3 shows that all federal ambient air quality standards are met in the Oakley area with the exception of ozone. Additionally, the state ambient standards for ozone and \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) are regularly exceeded.

\section*{Attainment Status and Regional Air Quality Plans}

The federal Clean Air Act and the California Clean Air Act of 1988 require that the State Air Resources Board, based on air quality monitoring data, designate portions of the state where the federal or state ambient air quality standards are not met as "nonattainment areas". Because of the differences between the national and state standards, the designation of nonattainment areas is different under the federal and state legislation.

Table 1: Major Criteria Pollutants
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Pollutant & Characteristics & Health Effects & Major Sources \\
\hline Ozone & A highly reactive photochemical pollutant created by the action of sunshine on ozone precursors (primarily reactive hydrocarbons and oxides of nitrogen. Often called photochemical smog. & \begin{tabular}{l}
- Eye Irritation \\
-Respiratory function impairment.
\end{tabular} & The major sources ozone precursors are combustion sources such as factories and automobiles, and evaporation of solvents and fuels. \\
\hline Carbon Monoxide & Carbon monoxide is an odorless, colorless gas that is highly toxic. It is formed by the incomplete combustion of fuels. & \begin{tabular}{l}
- Impairment of oxygen transport in the bloodstream. \\
- Aggravation of cardiovascular disease. \\
-Fatigue, headache, confusion, dizziness. \\
- Can be fatal in the case of very high concentrations.
\end{tabular} & Automobile exhaust, combustion of fuels, combustion of wood in woodstoves and fireplaces. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Nitrogen \\
Dioxide
\end{tabular} & Reddish-brown gas that discolors the air, formed during combustion. & - Increased risk of acute and chronic respiratory disease. & Automobile and diesel truck exhaust, industrial processes, fossil-fueled power plants. \\
\hline Sulfur Dioxide & Sulfur dioxide is a colorless gas with a pungent, irritating odor. & \begin{tabular}{l}
- Aggravation of chronic obstruction lung disease. \\
- Increased risk of acute and chronic respiratory disease.
\end{tabular} & Diesel vehicle exhaust, oil-powered power plants, industrial processes. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Particulate \\
Matter ( \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) \\
and \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) )
\end{tabular} & Solid and liquid particles of dust, soot, aerosols and other matter which are small enough to remain suspended in the air for a long period of time. & - Aggravation of chronic disease and heart/lung disease symptoms. & Combustion, automobiles, field burning, factories and unpaved roads. Also a result of photochemical processes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2: Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Pollutant & Averaging Time & Federal Primary Standard & State Standard \\
\hline Ozone & 1-Hour 8-Hour & \[
0.075 \text { PPM }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 0.09 PPM } \\
& \text { 0.07 PPM }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Carbon Monoxide & \begin{tabular}{l}
8-Hour \\
1-Hour
\end{tabular} & 9.0 PPM 35.0 PPM & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 9.0 PPM } \\
\text { 20.0 PPM }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Nitrogen Dioxide & Annual Average 1-Hour & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 0.05 PPM } \\
& --
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { 0.03 PPM } \\
& \text { 0.18 PPM }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Sulfur Dioxide & \begin{tabular}{l}
Annual Average 24-Hour \\
1-Hour
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 0.03 PPM } \\
& \text { 0.14 PPM } \\
& \text {-- }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.04 PPM 0.25 PPM \\
\hline \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) & Annual Average 24-Hour & \[
150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& 50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) & Annual 24-Hour & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& 35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\] \\
\hline Lead & Calendar Quarter 30 Day Average & \[
\begin{gathered}
1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
--
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\] \\
\hline Sulfates & 24 Hour & \(25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & -- \\
\hline Hydrogen Sulfide & 1-Hour & 0.03 PPM & -- \\
\hline Vinyl Chloride & 24-Hour & 0.01 PPM & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PPM \(=\) Parts per Million
\(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=\) Micrograms per Cubic Meter
Source: California Air Resources Board, Ambient Air Quality Standards (04/01/08) http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf

Table 3: Air Quality Data Summary for Bethel Island, 2005-2007
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Pollutant & Standard & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Days Standard Exceeded During:} \\
\hline & & 2005 & 2006 & 2007 \\
\hline Ozone & 1-Hour State 8-Hour State 8-Hour Federal & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 2 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \\
14 \\
1 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 4 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Carbon Monoxide & 8-Hour St. Fed. 1-Hour State & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Nitrogen Dioxide & 1-Hour State & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Sulfur Dioxide & 1-Hour State 24-Hour State & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline PM 10 & 24-Hour State 24-Hour Federal & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Air Resources Board, Aerometric Data Analysis and Management (ADAM), 2008. (http: //www.arb.ca.gov./adam/cgi-bin/adamtop/d2wstart)

The Bay Area is currently designated as a nonattainment area for 1-hour ozone standard. However, in April 2004, U.S. EPA made a final finding that the Bay Area has attained the national 1-hour ozone standard. The finding of attainment does not mean the Bay Area has been reclassified as an attainment area for the 1-hour standard. The region must submit a re-designation request to EPA in order to be reclassified as an attainment area.

The U.S. EPA has classified the San Francisco Bay Area as a nonattainment area for the federal 8 -hour ozone standard. The Bay Area is designated as attainment for the annual condition, and unclassifiable for the 24-hour federal \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) standards.

Under the California Clean Air Act, Contra Costa County is a nonattainment area for ozone and particulate matter ( \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) and \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) ). The County is either attainment or unclassified for other pollutants. The California Clean Air Act requires local air pollution control districts to prepare air quality attainment plans. These plans must provide for district-wide emission reductions of five percent per year averaged over consecutive three-year periods or, provide for adoption of "all feasible measures on an expeditious schedule."

\section*{Sensitive Receptors}

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District defines sensitive receptors as facilities where sensitive receptor population groups (children, the elderly, the acutely ill and the chronically ill) are likely to be located. These land uses include residences, schools, playgrounds, childcare centers, retirement homes, convalescent homes, hospitals and medical clinics. Sensitive land uses near the project site include the existing Cypress Grove subdivision, Delta Vista Middle School and Iron House Elementary School, all located directly west of the project site. Scattered single family homes are located south of the site across Cypress Road.

\section*{Global Warming Gases}

The greenhouse effect is a natural process by which some of the radiant heat from the sun is captured in the lower atmosphere of the earth. The gases that help capture the heat are called greenhouse gases (GHG). While greenhouse gases are not normally considered air pollutants, all of these gases have been identified as forcing the earth's atmosphere and oceans to warm above naturally occurring temperatures. Some greenhouse gases occur naturally in the atmosphere, while others result from human activities. Naturally occurring greenhouse gases include water vapor, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide and ozone. Certain human activities add to the levels of most of these natural occurring gases.

According to the 2006 California Climate Action Team Report \({ }^{3}\) (CCAT), the following climate change effects are predicted in California over the course of the next century:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) California Environmental Protection Agency Climate Action Team, Climate Action Team Report to Governor Scharzenegger and the Legislation, March 2006.
}
- A diminishing Sierra snowpack declining by \(70 \%\) to \(90 \%\), threatening the state's water supply.
- Increasing temperatures from 8 to 10.4 degrees Fahrenheit under the higher emission scenarios, leading to a 25 to \(35 \%\) increase in the number of days ozone pollution levels are exceeded in most urban areas.
- Coastal erosion along the length of California and sea water intrusion into the Delta from a 4- to 33 -inch rise in sea level. This would exacerbate flooding in already vulnerable regions.
- Increased vulnerability of forests due to pest infestation and increased temperatures.
- Increased challenges for the state's important agriculture industry from limited water shortage, increasing temperatures, and saltwater intrusion into the Delta.
- Increased electricity demand, particularly in the hot summer months.

In September 2006, the California legislature passed the California Global Warming Solutions Act (CGWSA), which was added to Health and Safety Code Section 38500 (also commonly referred to as AB32). The CGWSA states that global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California. Many scientists believe that anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) (defined as carbon dioxide \(\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]\), methane \(\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]\), nitrous oxide \(\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\), hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride) are having a significant impact on the global environment by accelerating or even causing global warming.

The CGWSA requires that the state reduce emissions of GHG to 1990 levels by 2020. This reduction will be accomplished through an enforceable statewide cap on GHG emissions that will be phased-in starting in 2012. To effectively implement the cap, CGWSA directs CARB to develop appropriate regulations and establish a mandatory reporting system to track and monitor GHG emission levels.

The CGWSA mandates that by January 1, 2008, CARB must determine what the statewide GHG emissions level was in 1990 and approve a statewide GHG emissions limit that is equivalent to the level to be achieved by 2020. On or before January 1, 2011, CARB must adopt GHG emission limits and emission reduction measures by regulation to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions in GHG emissions in furtherance of achieving the statewide GHG emissions limit, to become operative beginning on January 1, 2012.

The scientific community has largely agreed that the earth is warming, and that humans are contributing to that change. However, the earth's climate is composed of many complex mechanisms, including: ocean currents, cloud cover, as well as the jet-stream and other pressure/temperature weather guiding systems. These systems are in turn influenced by changes in ocean salinity, changes in the evapotranspiration of vegetation, the reflectivity (albedo) of groundcover, as well as numerous other factors. Some changes have the potential to reduce climate change, while others could form a feedback mechanism that would speed the warming process beyond what is currently projected. The climate system
is inherently dynamic; however, the overall trend is towards a gradually warming planet.

\section*{REGULATORY CONTEXT}

Air quality is monitored through the efforts of various federal, State, regional, and local government agencies. These agencies work jointly and individually to improve air quality through legislation, regulations, planning, policy-making, education, and a variety of programs. The agencies responsible for regulating and improving the air quality within the Brentwood area are discussed below.

\section*{Federal}

\section*{U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)}

The U.S. EPA is responsible for enforcement of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The EPA has adopted policies requiring states to prepare State Implementation Plans (SIP) that demonstrate attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS. After a review of the SIP, the EPA will further classify non-attainment areas according to a District's projected date of attainment. Districts that project attainment of standards in three to five years would be classified as near-term non-attainment, whereas Districts that cannot meet standards within five years would be classified as long-term non-attainment. For an area to be classified as near-term non-attainment, the District would be required to demonstrate that pollutant reductions of three-percent-per-year are obtainable and that maintenance of standards could occur for ten years.

The USEPA has been directed to develop regulations to address the GHG emissions of cars and trucks. At the time of this writing, USEPA regulations for GHGs do not exist, and are not expected until late 2008 at the earliest.

\section*{State}

\section*{California Clean Air Act}

The California Clean Air Act (CCAA) requires that air quality plans be prepared for areas of the State that have not met State air quality standards for ozone, \(\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{x}\), and \(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\). Among other requirements of the CCAA, the plans must include a wide range of implemental control measures, which often include transportation control measures and performance standards. In order to implement the transportation-related provisions of the CCAA, local air pollution control districts have been granted explicit authority to adopt and implement transportation controls.

\section*{Assembly Bill 1493}

In 2002, then-Governor Gray Davis signed Assembly Bill (AB) 1493. AB 1493 requires that the California Air Resources Board (ARB) develop and adopt, by January 1, 2005,
regulations that achieve "the maximum feasible reduction of greenhouse gases emitted by passenger vehicles and light-duty truck and other vehicles determined by the Air Resources Board (ARB) to be vehicles whose primary use is noncommercial personal transportation in the state." Currently, the State is waiting for a determination on the State's request for a waiver from the USEPA to begin regulation of GHG emissions from vehicles.

\section*{Executive Order S-3-05}

In 2005, Governor Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-3-05, which established total GHG emission targets. Specifically, emissions are to be reduced to year 2000 levels by 2010, 1990 levels by 2020, and to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. The Executive Order directed the Secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA) to coordinate a multi-agency effort to reduce GHG emissions to the target levels. The Secretary is also directed to submit biannual reports to the governor and state legislature describing: (1) progress made toward reaching the emission targets; (2) impacts of global warming on California's resources; and (3) mitigation and adaptation plans to combat these impacts.

To comply with the Executive Order, the Secretary of the Cal-EPA created a Climate Act Team (CAT) made up of members from various state agencies and commissions. In March 2006, CAT released their first report. In addition, the CAT has released several "white papers" addressing issues pertaining to the potential impacts of climate change on California.

\section*{Assembly Bill 32, The California Climate Solutions Act of 2006}

In September 2006, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed Assembly Bill (AB) 32, the California Climate Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires that statewide GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020 . This reduction will be accomplished through an enforceable statewide cap on GHG emissions that will be phased starting in 2012. To implement the cap, AB 32 directs ARB to develop and implement regulations to reduce statewide GHG emissions from stationary sources. AB 32 specifies that regulations adopted in response to \(A B 1493\) should be used to address \(G H G\) emissions from vehicles. However, AB 32 also includes language stating that if the AB 1493 regulations cannot be implemented, then ARB should develop new regulations to control vehicle GHG emissions under the authorization of \(A B 32\).

\section*{Senate Bill 1368}

Senate Bill (SB) 1368 is the companion bill of AB 32 and was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger in September 2006. SB 1368 requires the California Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to establish a GHG emission performance standard for baseload generation from investor owned utilities by February 1, 2007. The California Energy Commission (CEC) must establish a similar standard for local publicly owned utilities by June 30, 2007. These standards cannot exceed the GHG emission rate from a baseload
combined-cycle natural gas fired plant. On January 27, 2007, the PUC adopted an interim Greenhouse Gas Emissions Performance Standard to require that all new long-term commitments for baseload power generation to serve Californians do not exceed the emissions of a combined cycle gas turbine plant. The legislation further requires that all electricity provided to California, including imported electricity, must be generated from plants that meet the standards set by the PUC and CEC. On May 28, 2007 the Energy Commission adopted regulations pursuant to SB 1368 establishing and implementing a GHG emission performance standard for baseload generation of local publicly owned electric utilities. The final rulemaking package was submitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on June 1, 2007 with a request for expedited review. On June 29, 2007 OAL issued a decision disapproving the rulemaking action. Revised regulations have not been submitted as of the writing of this DEIR (March 2008).
Senate Bill 1078
SB 1078 establishes a renewable portfolio standard (RPS) for electricity supply. The RPS requires that retail sellers of electricity, including investor-owned utilities and community choice aggregators, provide 20 percent of their supply from renewable sources by 2017. This target date was moved forward by SB 107 to require compliance by 2010. In addition, electricity providers subject to the RPS must increase their renewable share by at least 1 percent each year. The outcomes of this legislation will impact regional transportation powered by electricity.

\section*{Executive Order S-01-07}

On January 18, 2007, Governor Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-01-07, which mandates that a statewide goal be established to reduce carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by at least 10 percent by 2020. The Order also requires that a Low Carbon Fuel Standard for transportation fuels be established for California.

\section*{California Air Resources Board (CARB)}

The CARB is the agency responsible for coordination and oversight of State and local air pollution control programs in California and for implementing California's own air quality legislation called the California Clean Air Act (CCAA) adopted in 1988. The CARB has primary responsibility in California to develop and implement air pollution control plans designed to achieve and maintain the NAAQS established by the U.S. EPA. As discussed above, the CARB is charged with developing rules and regulations to cap and reduce GHG emissions.

The CCAA requires that air quality plans be prepared for areas of the State that have not met State air quality standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide. Areas that met standards by 1994 were classified as moderate, those that attained standards between 1994 and 1997 were classified as serious, and those that could not attain standards until after 1997 were classified as severe. In order to implement the transportation-related provisions of the CCAA, local air pollution control districts have been
granted explicit authority to adopt and implement transportation controls.

\section*{IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES}

\section*{Significance Criteria}

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines provide that a project would have a significant air quality impact if it would:
- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan,
- Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation,
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative threshold for ozone precursors),
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, or
- Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

The document BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines \({ }^{4}\) provide the following refinements to the definition of a significant air quality impact:
- A project contributing to carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations exceeding the State Ambient Air Quality Standard of 9 parts per million (ppm) averaged over 8 hours or 20 ppm for 1 hour would be considered to have a significant impact.
- A project that generates criteria air pollutant emissions in excess of the BAAQMD annual or daily thresholds would be considered to have a significant air quality impact. The current thresholds are 15 tons/year or 80 pounds/day for Reactive Organic Gases (ROG), Nitrogen Oxides \(\left(\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\) or \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\). Any proposed project that would individually have a significant air quality impact would also be considered to have a significant cumulative air quality impact.
- Any project with the potential to frequently expose members of the public to objectionable odors would be deemed to have a significant impact.
- Any project with the potential to expose sensitive receptors or the general public to substantial levels of toxic air contaminants would be deemed to have a significant impact.

Despite the establishment of both federal and state standards for \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) (particulate matter,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{4}\) Bay Area Air Quality Management District, BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, 1996 (Revised December 1999).
}
2.5 microns), the BAAQMD has not developed a threshold of significance for this pollutant. For this analysis, \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) impacts would be considered significant if project emissions of \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) exceed 80 pounds per day.

The BAAQMD significance threshold for construction dust impacts is based on the appropriateness of construction dust controls. The BAAQMD guidelines provide feasible control measures for construction emission of \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\). If the appropriate construction controls are to be implemented, then air pollutant emissions for construction activities would be considered less-than-significant.

Impact 1: Construction Dust Emissions. Construction activities such as demolition, clearing, excavation and grading operations, construction vehicle traffic and wind blowing over exposed earth would generate fugitive particulate matter emissions that would temporarily affect local air quality. This impact is potentially significant, but normally mitigable.

Construction dust would affect local air quality during implementation of the project. The dry, windy climate of the area during the summer months creates a high potential for dust generation when and if underlying soils are exposed to the atmosphere. The proposed project would involve substantial excavation and earthmoving. The movement of earth on the site is a construction activity with a high potential for creating air pollutants. After grading of the site, dust would continue to affect local air quality during construction of the project.

According to the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, emissions of ozone precursors (ROG and NOx ) and carbon monoxide related to construction equipment are already included in the emission inventory that is the basis for regional air quality plans, and thus are not expected to impede attainment or maintenance of ozone and carbon monoxide standards in the Bay Area. Thus, the effects of construction activities would be increased dustfall and locally elevated levels of \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) and \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) downwind of construction activity. Construction dust has the potential for creating a nuisance at nearby properties. This is considered a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure 1: Consistent with guidance from the BAAQMD, the following measures shall be required of construction contracts and specifications for the project:
- Water all active construction areas at least twice daily and more often during windy periods; active areas adjacent to existing land uses shall be kept damp at all times, or shall be treated with non-toxic stabilizers or dust palliatives;
- Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least 2 feet of freeboard;
- Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas, and staging areas at construction sites;
- Sweep daily (preferably with water sweepers) all paved access roads, parking areas, and staging areas at construction sites; water sweepers shall vacuum up excess water to avoid runoff-related impacts to water quality;
- \(\quad\) Sweep streets daily (preferably with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent public streets;
- Apply non-toxic soil stabilizers to inactive construction areas;
- Enclose, cover, water twice daily, or apply non-toxic soil binders to exposed stockpiles (dirt, sand, etc.);
- Limit traffic speeds on unpaved roads to 15 mph ;
- Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff to public roadways;
- Replant vegetation in disturbed areas as quickly as possible.

The above measures include all feasible measures for construction emissions identified by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for large sites. According to the District threshold of significance for construction impacts, implementation of the measures would reduce construction impacts of the project to a less-than-significant level.

Impact 2: Construction TAC Emissions. During construction various diesel-powered vehicles and equipment would be in use on the site. Exposure of sensitive receptors to diesel particulate would represent a less-than-significant impact.

In 1998 the California Air Resources Board identified particulate matter from diesel-fueled engines as a toxic air contaminant (TAC). CARB has completed a risk management process that identified potential cancer risks for a range of activities using diesel-fueled engines. \({ }^{5}\) High volume freeways, stationary diesel engines and facilities attracting heavy and constant diesel vehicle traffic (distribution centers, truckstop) were identified as having the highest associated risk.

Health risks from Toxic Air Contaminants are function of both concentration and duration of exposure. Unlike the above types of sources, construction diesel emissions are temporary, affecting an area for a period of days or perhaps weeks. Additionally, construction related sources are mobile and transient in nature, and the bulk of the emission occurs within the project site at a substantial distance from nearby receptors. Because of its short duration and the fact that nearby sensitive receptors would not be down-wind of construction activity when the wind is from the prevailing west direction, health risks from construction emissions of diesel particulate would be a less-than-significant impact.

Mitigation Measure 2: None required.
Impact 3: Permanent Local Impacts. Project traffic would add to carbon monoxide

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{5}\) California Air Resources Board, Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles, October 2000.
}
concentrations near streets and intersections providing access to the site. This impact would be less-than-significant.

On the local scale, the project would change traffic on the local street network, changing carbon monoxide levels along roadways used by project traffic. Carbon monoxide is an odorless, colorless poisonous gas whose primary source in the Bay Area is automobiles. Concentrations of this gas are highest near intersections of major roads.

Carbon monoxide concentrations under worst-case meteorological conditions have been predicted for the intersections most impacted by project traffic and/or operating at the lowest Level of Service. PM peak traffic volumes were applied to the a screening form of the CALINE-4 dispersion model to predict maximum 1-and 8-hour concentrations near these intersections under the worst-case assumption that project traffic changes would occur in 2008. Attachment 1 provides a description of the model and a discussion of the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The model results were used to predict the maximum 1- and 8-hour concentrations, corresponding to the 1- and 8-hour averaging times specified in the state and federal ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide.

Table 4 shows the results of the CALINE-4 analysis for the peak 1-hour and 8-hour traffic periods in parts per million (PPM). The 1-hour values are to be compared to the federal 1hour standard of 35 PPM and the state standard of 20 PPM. The 8 -hour values in Table 4 are to be compared to the state and federal standard of 9 PPM.

Table 4 shows that existing predicted concentrations near the intersections meet the 1-hour and 8-hour standards. Traffic from approved and pending projects would increase concentrations by up to 1.5 PPM. Traffic from the proposed project would increase concentrations by up to 0.5 Parts Per Million, but concentrations would remain well below the state/federal standards. Concentrations with project and cumulative traffic growth in 2030 would also not exceed the state/federal ambient air quality standards.

Since project traffic would not cause any new violations of the 8-hour standards for carbon monoxide, nor contribute substantially to an existing or projected violation, project impacts on local carbon monoxide concentrations are considered to be less-than-significant.

\section*{Mitigation Measure 3: None required.}

Impact 4: Permanent Regional Impacts. Additional trips to and from the project would result in new air pollutant emissions within the air basin. The emissions from these new trips would exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, and therefore represent a significant and unavoidable impact.

Vehicle trips generated by the project would result in air pollutant emissions affecting the entire San Francisco Bay Air Basin. Regional emissions associated with project vehicle use have been calculated using the URBEMIS-2007 emission model. The methodology
used in estimating vehicular emissions is described in Attachment 2.

Table 4: Worst Case Carbon Monoxide Concentrations Near Selected Intersections, in Parts Per Million
\begin{tabular}{|l|cc|cc|cc|cc|}
\hline Intersection & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Existing \\
(2008)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Existing + \\
Background \\
\(\mathbf{( 2 0 0 8 )}\)
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Existing + \\
Background+ \\
Project (2008)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Cumulative + \\
Project (2030)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Laurel Road/ \\
Empire Avenue
\end{tabular} & 4.4 & 2.4 & 5.7 & 3.3 & 5.7 & 3.3 & 4.0 & 2.2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Laurel Road/ \\
O'Hara Avenue
\end{tabular} & 4.2 & 2.3 & 5.2 & 3.0 & 5.3 & 3.1 & 4.0 & 2.1 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Laurel Road/ \\
Main Street
\end{tabular} & 4.7 & 2.6 & 5.1 & 2.9 & 5.6 & 3.3 & 4.0 & 2.2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Main Street/ \\
Cypress Road
\end{tabular} & 4.8 & 2.7 & 5.6 & 3.2 & 5.9 & 3.5 & 4.2 & 2.3 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
E. Cypress \\
Road/Sellers \\
Avenue
\end{tabular} & 4.3 & 2.3 & 4.8 & 2.7 & 5.2 & 3.0 & 4.2 & 2.3 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Main Street/ \\
O'Hara Avenue
\end{tabular} & 4.8 & 2.7 & 5.6 & 3.3 & 5.7 & 3.4 & 3.8 & 2.0 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Most Stringent \\
Standard
\end{tabular} & 20.0 & 9.0 & 20.0 & 9.0 & 20.0 & 9.0 & 20.0 & 9.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Land use projects also generate area source emissions. Area sources are sources that individually emit fairly small quantities of air pollutants, but which cumulatively may represent significant quantities of emissions. The URBEMIS-2007 program quantifies five types of area source emissions: natural gas combustion, hearth emissions, landscape equipment, architectural coatings and consumer products. Some of these area sources vary seasonally. The URBEMIS-2007 program was used to quantify emissions separately for summer and winter. Summertime emissions were utilized for reactive organic gases (ROG) and oxides of nitrogen \(\left(\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\), as both are ozone precursors (ozone is a summer time pollutant). Winter emissions were utilized for \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) when emissions of this pollutant are at a maximum, primarily due to hearth emissions.

The incremental daily emission increase associated with project area source emissions is identified in Table 5 for reactive organic gases and oxides of nitrogen (two precursors of ozone) and \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\). Table 5 also shows emissions for the School Alternative, which would replace 58 housing units with a 600-student elementary school.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District has established threshold of significance for ozone precursors and \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) of 80 pounds per day, applicable to vehicular emissions. Project vehicular emissions shown in Table 5 would exceed these thresholds of significance, so the proposed project would have a significant effect on regional air quality.

Mitigation Measure 4: The BAAQMD has identified mitigation measures for reducing vehicle emissions from residential projects. Many of these measures, however, are predicated on the availability of substantial transit service. The site is suburban in nature with only limited transit service available. Feasible mitigation measures to reduce vehicle emissions for a suburban project would include:
- Provide bicycle lanes, sidewalks and/or paths, connecting project residences to adjacent schools, parks, the nearest transit stop and nearby commercial areas. Provide a satellite tele-commute center within or near the development.
- Provide secure and conveniently placed bicycle parking and storage facilities at parks and other facilities.
- Implement feasible travel demand management (TDM) measures for a project of this type. This would include a ride-matching program, coordination with regional ride-sharing organizations, provision of transit information, and provision of shuttle service to major destinations such as the Pittsburg BART station.
- Allow only natural gas fireplaces, pellet stoves or EPA-Certified wood-burning fireplaces or stoves should be permitted. Conventional open-hearth fireplaces should not be permitted. EPA-Certified fireplaces and fireplace inserts are 75 percent effective in reducing emissions from this source.
- Use electric lawn and garden equipment for landscaping.
- Construct transit amenities such as bus turnouts/bus bulbs, benches, shelters, etc.
- Provide direct, safe, attractive pedestrian access from project land uses to transit stops and adjacent development.

Table 5: Project Regional Emissions in Pounds Per Day
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|r|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Reactive \\
Organic \\
Gases
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Nitrogen \\
Oxides
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ PM \(_{10}\)} \\
\hline Proposed Project: & & & \\
Vehicular Emissions & 114.6 & 119.3 & 155.2 \\
Area Source Emissions & 43.9 & 10.2 & 47.4 \\
Total & 158.5 & 129.5 & 202.6 \\
\hline School Alternative: & 121.1 & 119.3 & 9.9
\end{tabular}
- Utilize reflective (or high albedo) and emissive roofs and light colored construction materials to increase the reflectivity of roads, driveways, and other paved surfaces, and include shade trees near buildings to directly shield them from the sun's rays and reduce local air temperature and cooling energy demand.

The commercial portion of the project should be required to apply TSM measures to reduce trips. Appropriate strategies would be:
- Provide physical improvements, such as sidewalk improvements, landscaping and bicycle parking that would act as incentives for pedestrian and bicycle modes of travel.
- Connect site with regional bikeway/pedestrian trail system.
- Provide transit information kiosks.
- Implement feasible travel demand management (TDM) measures for a project of this type. This would include a ride-matching program, guaranteed ride home programs, coordination with regional ridesharing organizations and transit incentives program.
- Provide showers and lockers for employees bicycling or walking to work.
- Provide secure and conveniently located bicycle parking and storage for workers and patrons.
- Provide electric vehicle charging facilities.
- Provide preferential parking for Low Emission Vehicles (LEVs).
- Utilize reflective (or high albedo) and emissive roofs and light colored construction materials to increase the reflectivity of roads, driveways, and other paved surfaces, and include shade trees near buildings to directly shield them from the sun's rays and reduce local air temperature and cooling energy demand.

The above measures have the potential to reduce project-related regional emissions by \(10-20 \%\). Even with a reduction of this magnitude, project emissions would remain well above the BAAQMD significance threshold of 80 pounds per day. Project regional air quality impacts and cumulative impacts would remain significant after mitigation.

Impact 5: Cumulative Impacts. According to BAAQMD significance criteria, any proposed project that would individually have a significant air quality impact would also be considered to have a significant cumulative air quality impact. Since the proposed project would exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance for Reactive Organic Gases, Nitrogen Oxides and particulate matter, the project would have a significant cumulative impact on regional air quality.

The cumulative air quality impacts of development projects are primarily related to automobile traffic and areas sources of pollutants such as fuel combustion for heating, maintenance equipment emissions, certain consumer products, evaporation of solvents, etc. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District considers these types of emissions to
be secondary in importance to vehicle emissions, so the recommended BAAQMD thresholds of significance is to be compared to vehicular emissions only.

Emissions from development projects have several cumulative impacts. Growth in emissions will delay attainment of the ambient air quality standards for which the region is non-attainment (ozone, particulate matter), contribute to visibility reduction and contribute to mobile-source toxic air contaminant concentrations.

Since ozone, particulate matter and some constituents of ROG that are also TACs have been shown to be correlated with adverse heath effects cumulative emissions increases in the region would have potential cumulative health effects. Studies have shown that children who participated in several sports and lived in communities with high ozone levels were more likely to develop asthma than the same active children living in areas with less ozone pollution. Other studies have found a positive association between some volatile organic compounds and symptoms in asthmatic children. A large body of evidence has shown significant associations between measured levels of particulate matter outdoors and worsening of both asthma symptoms and acute and chronic bronchitis. It is not possible, however, to predict increases in severity of disease, hospital visits or deaths from respiratory diseases such as asthma, bronchitis or lung cancer because:
- It is not currently possible to estimate long-term concentrations of pollutants such as ozone, the TAC components of ROG or particulate matter ( \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) and \(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\) ) resulting from an indirect source of air pollutants such as the project.
- Dose-response relationships are lacking that would allow a quantitative analysis of health effects.

In recognition of the incremental health effects associated with these pollutants, air quality management districts have established thresholds for each pollutant which indicate the limits of acceptability in terms of effect on health. The exceedance of these established thresholds by a project is considered to represent a cumulatively significant air quality impact. Based on the BAAQMD cumulative impact threshold, this project would have a significant cumulative air quality impact.

Mitigation Measure 5: Same as Mitigation Measure 4.
Impact 6: Greenhouse Gas Impacts. Additional trips to and from the project, combustion of fuels and increased electrical demand would result in greenhouse gas emissions.

Carbon dioxide emissions associated with the proposed project were estimated using the URBEMIS-2007 program. The estimated annual emission of carbon dioxide (the primary greenhouse gas associated with development projects) is 17,940 tons per year.

There are currently no federal, state, county or air district thresholds of significance by
which the above emissions can be determined to be significant or not. Greenhouse gas impacts of a single project are therefore considered too speculative to allow a determination of significance.

Mitigation Measure 6: None required.

\section*{ATTACHMENT 1}

\section*{CALINE-4 MODELING}

The CALINE-4 model is a fourth-generation line source air quality model that is based on the Gaussian diffusion equation and employs a mixing zone concept to characterize pollutant dispersion over the roadway. Given source strength, meteorology, site geometry and site characteristics, the model predicts pollutant concentrations for receptors located within 150 meters of the roadway. The CALINE-4 model allows roadways to be broken into multiple links that can vary in traffic volume, emission rates, height, width, etc.

A screening-level form of the CALINE-4 program was used to predict concentrations. \({ }^{6}\) Normalized concentrations for each roadway size (2 lanes, 4 lanes, etc.) are adjusted for the two-way traffic volume and emission factor. Calculations were made for a receptor at a corner of the intersection, located at the curb. Emission factors were derived from the California Air Resources Board EMFAC7-2002 computer program based on a 2008 and 2030 Bay Area vehicle mix.

The screening form of the CALINE-4 model calculates the local contribution of nearby roads to the total concentration. The other contribution is the background level attributed to more distant traffic. The 1-hour background level was taken as 3.5 PPM and the 8-hour background concentration was taken as 1.8 PPM . These backgrounds were estimated using isopleth maps and correction factors developed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.

Eight-hour concentrations were obtained from the 1-hour output of the CALINE-4 model using a persistence factor of 0.7.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{6}\) Bay Area Air Quality Management District, BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, 1996.
}

\section*{ATTACHMENT 2: URBEMIS 2007 PROGRAM}

Estimates of construction phase emissions and operational emissions generated by project traffic and area sources were made using a program called URBEMIS-2007 (Version 9.2.4). URBEMIS-2007 is a program that estimates the emissions that result from development projects. Land use projects can include residential uses such as single-family dwelling units, apartments and condominiums, and nonresidential uses such as shopping centers, office buildings, and industrial facilities. URBEMIS-2007 contains default values for much of the information needed to calculate emissions. However, project-specific, usersupplied information can also be used when it is available.

\section*{On-Road Vehicular Emissions}

Inputs to the URBEMIS-2007 program include trip generation rates, vehicle mix, average trip length by trip type and average speed. Default trip lengths and average trip speeds for the Contra Costa County were used. The analysis was carried out assuming a 2009 vehicle mix.

\section*{Area Source Emissions}

Area source emissions were also quantified using the URBEMIS-2007 program. The URBEMIS-2007 program identifies 5 categories or area source emissions:

Natural Gas Combustion
Hearth Emissions
Landscaping Emissions
Architectural Coatings
Consumer Products

Natural gas emissions result from the combustion of natural gas for space heating and water heating. Estimates are based on the size of project.

Hearth emissions consist of emissions from wood stoves, wood fireplaces, and natural gas fireplaces related to residential uses. The URBEMIS program utilizes county-wide statistics for fuel consumption and the percentage of homes utilizing each type of fireplace.

URBEMIS-2007 calculates emissions from fuel combustion and evaporation of unburned fuel by landscape maintenance equipment. Equipment in this category includes lawn mowers, roto-tillers, shredders/grinders, blowers, trimmers, chain saws, and hedge trimmers used in maintenance of the site.

Architectural coating emissions result from the evaporation of solvents contained in paints, varnished, primers and other surface coatings associated with maintenance of structures.

This category of emission is associated with operation of project land uses rather than with initial construction of the project. The default assumption is that \(10 \%\) of structures will be painted each year. The URBEMIS 2007 program utilizes VOC (volatile organic compounds) content limits as they have been specified by each air district.

Consumer product emissions are generated by a wide range of product categories, including air fresheners, automotive products, household cleaners and personal care produces. Emissions associated with these products primarily depend on the increase in population in the area associated with residential development.

The URBEMIS-2007 program was used to quantify emissions separately for summer and winter. Summertime emissions were utilized for reactive organic gases (ROG) and oxides of nitrogen \(\left(\mathrm{NO}_{x}\right)\), as both are ozone precursors (ozone is a summer time pollutant). Winter emissions were utilized for \(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\) when emissions of this pollutant are at a maximum, primarily due to hearth emissions. The program was also used to estimate project carbon dioxide emissions on an annual basis. The program output is attached.
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\section*{Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4 \\ Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)}

\section*{File Name:}

Project Name: Emerson Ranch Project
Project Location: Contra Costa County
On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006
Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007
Summary Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES
```

                                    CO2
    ```
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)
    2,585.91
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated) \(\quad 15,490.54\)

SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES

\section*{Page: 2}

\section*{6/11/2008 10:39:56 AM}

Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated
Source \(\underline{\mathrm{CO} 2}\)
Natural Gas 2,275.95
Hearth 306.00
Landscape 3.96
Consumer Products
Architectural Coatings
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated) \(2,585.91\)

Area Source Changes to Defaults

\section*{Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:}

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Source } & CO2 \\
Single family housing & \(7,115.41\) \\
Strip mall & \(8,375.13\) \\
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated) & \(15,490.54\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Operational Settings:}

\section*{Includes correction for passby trips}

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
Analysis Year: 2009 Season: Annual
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\section*{6/11/2008 10:39:56 AM}

Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006

\section*{Land Use Type}

\section*{Single family housing}

\section*{Strip mall}

\section*{Vehicle Type}

\section*{Light Auto}

Light Truck < 3750 lbs
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 Ibs
Other Bus
Urban Bus
Motorcycle
School Bus
Motor Home

Summary of Land Uses
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Acreage & Trip Rate & Unit Type & No. Units & Total Trips & Total VMT \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{192.67} & 9.57 & dwelling units & 578.00 & 5,531.46 & 41,404.43 \\
\hline & 42.94 & 1000 sq ft & 278.04 & 11,939.04 & 48,678.32 \\
\hline & & & & 17,470.50 & 90,082.75 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Vehicle Fleet Mix} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percent Type} & Non-Catalyst & & Catalyst & Diesel \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{50.9} & 1.8 & & 97.8 & 0.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{13.3} & 2.3 & & 93.9 & 3.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{20.3} & 1.0 & & 98.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{7.7} & 0.0 & & 100.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1.1} & 0.0 & & 72.7 & 27.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.6} & 0.0 & & 50.0 & 50.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.7} & 0.0 & & 14.3 & 85.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.0} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.1} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3.7} & 73.0 & & 27.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.8} & 0.0 & & 87.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{6/11/2008 10:39:56 AM}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Residential} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Commercial} \\
\hline & Home-Work & Home-Shop & Home-Other & Commute & Non-Work & Customer \\
\hline Urban Trip Length (miles) & 10.8 & 7.3 & 7.5 & 9.5 & 7.4 & 7.4 \\
\hline Rural Trip Length (miles) & 16.8 & 7.1 & 7.9 & 14.7 & 6.6 & 6.6 \\
\hline Trip speeds (mph) & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 \\
\hline \% of Trips - Residential & 32.9 & 18.0 & 49.1 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)} \\
\hline Strip mall & & & & 2.0 & 1.0 & 97.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4
Combined Summer Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

\section*{File Name:}

Project Name: Emerson Ranch Project
Project Location: Contra Costa County
On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006
Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007
Summary Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
& \(\underline{\text { ROG }}\) & \(\underline{\text { NOX }}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{CO}}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{SO} 2}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 10}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 2.5}\) \\
TOTALS (Ibs/day, unmitigated) & 43.85 & 10.20 & 33.76 & 0.00 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES & & & & & & \\
& \(\underline{\mathrm{ROG}}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{NOX}}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{CO}}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{SO} 2}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 10}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 2.5}\) \\
TOTALS (Ibs/day, unmitigated) & 114.62 & 119.30 & \(1,223.58\) & 0.88 & 155.17 & 29.86
\end{tabular}

SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
\(\underline{\text { ROG }}\) & \(\underline{\text { NOx }}\) & \(\underline{\text { CO }}\) & \(\underline{\text { SO2 }}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 10}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{PM} 2.5}\) \\
158.47 & 129.50 & \(1,257.34\) & 0.88 & 155.27 & 29.96
\end{tabular}

\section*{Page: 2}

\section*{6/11/2008 10:37:47 AM}

Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Source & ROG & NOx & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM2.5 \\
\hline Natural Gas & 0.75 & 9.93 & 5.34 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 0.02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Hearth - No Summer Emissions} \\
\hline Landscape & 4.97 & 0.27 & 28.42 & 0.00 & 0.08 & 0.08 \\
\hline Consumer Products & 28.28 & & & & & \\
\hline Architectural Coatings & 9.85 & & & & & \\
\hline TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated) & 43.85 & 10.20 & 33.76 & 0.00 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Area Source Changes to Defaults}

\section*{Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:}

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Source } & ROG & NOX & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM25 \\
Single family housing & 48.60 & 52.38 & 554.77 & 0.41 & 71.31 & 13.71 \\
Strip mall & 66.02 & 66.92 & 668.81 & 0.47 & 83.86 & 16.15 \\
TOTALS (Ibs/day, unmitigated) & 114.62 & 119.30 & \(1,223.58\) & 0.88 & 155.17 & 29.86
\end{tabular}

\section*{Operational Settings:}

\section*{Includes correction for passby trips}

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
Analysis Year: 2009 Temperature (F): 85 Season: Summer
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Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006

\section*{Land Use Type}

\section*{Single family housing}

\author{
Strip mall
}

\section*{Vehicle Type}

\section*{Light Auto}

Light Truck < 3750 lbs
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 Ibs
Other Bus
Urban Bus
Motorcycle
School Bus
Motor Home

\section*{Summary of Land Uses}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Acreage & Trip Rate & Unit Type & No. Units & Total Trips & Total VMT \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{192.67} & 9.57 & dwelling units & 578.00 & 5,531.46 & 41,404.43 \\
\hline & 42.94 & 1000 sq ft & 278.04 & 11,939.04 & 48,678.32 \\
\hline & & & & 17,470.50 & 90,082.75 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Vehicle Fleet Mix} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percent Type} & Non-Catalyst & & Catalyst & Diesel \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{50.9} & 1.8 & & 97.8 & 0.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{13.3} & 2.3 & & 93.9 & 3.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{20.3} & 1.0 & & 98.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{7.7} & 0.0 & & 100.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1.1} & 0.0 & & 72.7 & 27.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.6} & 0.0 & & 50.0 & 50.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.7} & 0.0 & & 14.3 & 85.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.0} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.1} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3.7} & 73.0 & & 27.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.8} & 0.0 & & 87.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{6/11/2008 10:37:47 AM}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Residential} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Commercial} \\
\hline & Home-Work & Home-Shop & Home-Other & Commute & Non-Work & Customer \\
\hline Urban Trip Length (miles) & 10.8 & 7.3 & 7.5 & 9.5 & 7.4 & 7.4 \\
\hline Rural Trip Length (miles) & 16.8 & 7.1 & 7.9 & 14.7 & 6.6 & 6.6 \\
\hline Trip speeds (mph) & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 \\
\hline \% of Trips - Residential & 32.9 & 18.0 & 49.1 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)} \\
\hline Strip mall & & & & 2.0 & 1.0 & 97.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4
Combined Winter Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

\section*{File Name:}

Project Name: Emerson Ranch Project
Project Location: Contra Costa County
On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006
Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007
Summary Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & ROG & NOx & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM2.5 & \(\mathrm{CO2}\) \\
\hline TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated) & 120.78 & 17.85 & 305.64 & 0.89 & 47.44 & 45.66 & 23,259.37 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES} \\
\hline & ROG & NOx & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM2.5 & \(\mathrm{CO2}\) \\
\hline TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated) & 137.35 & 177.19 & 1,398.53 & 0.77 & 155.17 & 29.86 & 77,115.02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES} \\
\hline & ROG & NOx & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM2.5 & CO 2 \\
\hline TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated) & 258.13 & 195.04 & 1,704.17 & 1.66 & 202.61 & 75.52 & 100,374.39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{6/11/2008 10:39:06 AM}

Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Winter Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Source & ROG & NOX & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM2.5 & CO 2 \\
\hline Natural Gas & 0.75 & 9.93 & 5.34 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 12,470.95 \\
\hline Hearth & 81.90 & 7.92 & 300.30 & 0.89 & 47.42 & 45.64 & 10,788.42 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Landscaping - No Winter Emissions} \\
\hline Consumer Products & 28.28 & & & & & & \\
\hline Architectural Coatings & 9.85 & & & & & & \\
\hline TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated) & 120.78 & 17.85 & 305.64 & 0.89 & 47.44 & 45.66 & 23,259.37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Area Source Changes to Defaults}

\section*{Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:}

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Winter Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Source } & ROG & NOX & CO & SO2 & PM10 & PM25 & CO2 \\
Single family housing & 53.57 & 78.25 & 604.85 & 0.35 & 71.31 & 13.71 & \(35,419.70\) \\
Strip mall & 83.78 & 98.94 & 793.68 & 0.42 & 83.86 & 16.15 & \(41,695.32\) \\
TOTALS (Ibs/day, unmitigated) & 137.35 & 177.19 & \(1,398.53\) & 0.77 & 155.17 & 29.86 & \(77,115.02\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Operational Settings:}

Includes correction for passby trips
Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
Analysis Year: 2009 Temperature (F): 40 Season: Winter
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Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 12006

\section*{Land Use Type}

\section*{Single family housing}

\author{
Strip mall
}

\section*{Vehicle Type}

\section*{Light Auto}

Light Truck < 3750 lbs
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 Ibs
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 Ibs
Other Bus
Urban Bus
Motorcycle
School Bus
Motor Home

\section*{Summary of Land Uses}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Acreage & Trip Rate & Unit Type & No. Units & Total Trips & Total VMT \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{192.67} & 9.57 & dwelling units & 578.00 & 5,531.46 & 41,404.43 \\
\hline & 42.94 & 1000 sq ft & 278.04 & 11,939.04 & 48,678.32 \\
\hline & & & & 17,470.50 & 90,082.75 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Vehicle Fleet Mix} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percent Type} & Non-Catalyst & & Catalyst & Diesel \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{50.9} & 1.8 & & 97.8 & 0.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{13.3} & 2.3 & & 93.9 & 3.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{20.3} & 1.0 & & 98.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{7.7} & 0.0 & & 100.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1.1} & 0.0 & & 72.7 & 27.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.6} & 0.0 & & 50.0 & 50.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.7} & 0.0 & & 14.3 & 85.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.0} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.1} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3.7} & 73.0 & & 27.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.4} & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{0.8} & 0.0 & & 87.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Residential} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Commercial} \\
\hline & Home-Work & Home-Shop & Home-Other & Commute & Non-Work & Customer \\
\hline Urban Trip Length (miles) & 10.8 & 7.3 & 7.5 & 9.5 & 7.4 & 7.4 \\
\hline Rural Trip Length (miles) & 16.8 & 7.1 & 7.9 & 14.7 & 6.6 & 6.6 \\
\hline Trip speeds (mph) & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 \\
\hline \% of Trips - Residential & 32.9 & 18.0 & 49.1 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)} \\
\hline Strip mall & & & & 2.0 & 1.0 & 97.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

This report evaluates the potential noise impacts associated with the residential and commercial development planned at the Emerson Property in the City of Oakley, California. The project includes 578 residential units, parks, and commercial uses including a twenty-four hour grocery and/or drug store(s), in-line shop tenants, gas station, sit down restaurants, drive-thru fast food restaurants, bank and small office spaces. Sellers Avenue and residential land uses would border the site to the east. Cypress Road forms the project's southernmost boundary.

This report describes the existing noise environment in the area, evaluates potential project impacts per the applicable regulatory criteria, and presents mitigation measures as necessary to mitigate potentially significant impacts. Included with this report are a brief description of the fundamentals of environmental noise and a summary of the applicable regulatory criteria.

\section*{Fundamentals of Environmental Noise}

Noise is defined as unwanted sound. Airborne sound is a rapid fluctuation of air pressure above and below atmospheric pressure. Sound levels are usually measured and expressed in decibels ( dB ) with 0 dB corresponding roughly to the threshold of hearing. Decibels and other technical terms are defined in Table 1.

Most of the sounds that we hear in the environment do not consist of a single frequency, but rather a broad band of frequencies, with each frequency differing in sound level. The intensities of each frequency add together to generate a sound. The method commonly used to quantify environmental sounds consists of evaluating all of the frequencies of a sound in accordance with a weighting that reflects the facts that human hearing is less sensitive at low frequencies and extreme high frequencies than in the frequency mid-range. This is called "A" weighting, and the decibel level so measured is called the A-weighted sound level (dBA). In practice, the level of a sound source is conveniently measured using a sound level meter that includes an electrical filter corresponding to the Aweighting curve. Typical A-weighted levels measured in the environment and in industry are shown in Table 2 for different types of noise.

Although the A-weighted noise level may adequately indicate the level of environmental noise at any instant in time, community noise levels vary continuously. Most environmental noise includes a conglomeration of noise from distant sources which create a relatively steady background noise in which no particular source is identifiable. To describe the time-varying character of environmental noise, the statistical noise descriptors, \(\mathrm{L}_{01}, \mathrm{~L}_{10}, \mathrm{~L}_{50}\), and \(\mathrm{L}_{90}\), are commonly used. They are the Aweighted noise levels equaled or exceeded during \(1 \%, 10 \%, 50 \%\), and \(90 \%\) of a stated time period. A single number descriptor called the \(L_{e q}\) is also widely used. The \(L_{e q}\) is the average A-weighted noise level during a stated period of time.

Table 1 Definitions of Acoustical Terms Used in this Report
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Term & Definitions \\
\hline Decibel, dB & A unit describing, the amplitude of sound, equal to 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the pressure of the sound measured to the reference pressure. The reference pressure for air is 20 . \\
\hline Sound Pressure Level & Sound pressure is the sound force per unit area, usually expressed in micro Pascals (or 20 micro Newtons per square meter), where 1 Pascal is the pressure resulting from a force of 1 Newton exerted over an area of 1 square meter. The sound pressure level is expressed in decibels as 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio between the pressures exerted by the sound to a reference sound pressure (e.g., 20 micro Pascals). Sound pressure level is the quantity that is directly measured by a sound level meter. \\
\hline Frequency, Hz & The number of complete pressure fluctuations per second above and below atmospheric pressure. Normal human hearing is between 20 Hz and \(20,000 \mathrm{~Hz}\). Infrasonic sound are below 20 Hz and Ultrasonic sounds are above \(20,000 \mathrm{~Hz}\). \\
\hline A-Weighted Sound Level, dBA & The sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting filter network. The A-weighting filter de-emphasizes the very low and very high frequency components of the sound in a manner similar to the frequency response of the human ear and correlates well with subjective reactions to noise. \\
\hline Equivalent Noise Level, Leq & The average A-weighted noise level during the measurement period. \\
\hline \(\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{L}_{\text {min }}\) & The maximum and minimum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period. \\
\hline \(\mathrm{L}_{01}, \mathrm{~L}_{10}, \mathrm{~L}_{50}, \mathrm{~L}_{90}\) & The A-weighted noise levels that are exceeded \(1 \%, 10 \%, 50 \%\), and \(90 \%\) of the time during the measurement period. \\
\hline Day/Night Noise Level, \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) or DNL & The average A-weighted noise level during a 24 -hour day, obtained after addition of 10 decibels to levels measured in the night between 10:00 pm and 7:00 am. \\
\hline Community Noise Equivalent Level, CNEL & The average A-weighted noise level during a 24 -hour day, obtained after addition of 5 decibels in the evening from 7:00 pm to 10:00 pm and after addition of 10 decibels to sound levels measured in the night between 10:00 pm and 7:00 am. \\
\hline Ambient Noise Level & The composite of noise from all sources near and far. The normal or existing level of environmental noise at a given location. \\
\hline Intrusive & That noise which intrudes over and above the existing ambient noise at a given location. The relative intrusiveness of a sound depends upon its amplitude, duration, frequency, and time of occurrence and tonal or informational content as well as the prevailing ambient noise level. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2
Typical Noise Levels in the Environment


In determining the daily level of environmental noise, it is important to account for the difference in response of people to daytime and nighttime noises. During the nighttime, exterior background noises are generally lower than the daytime levels. However, most household noise also decreases at night and exterior noise becomes very noticeable. Further, most people sleep at night and are very sensitive to noise intrusion. To account for human sensitivity to nighttime noise levels, a descriptor, DNL (day/night average sound level), was developed. The DNL divides the 24-hour day into the daytime of 7:00 AM to 10:00 PM and the nighttime of 10:00 PM to 7:00 AM. The nighttime noise level is weighted 10 dB higher than the daytime noise level. The Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) is another 24-hour average which includes both an evening and nighttime weighting.

\section*{Regulatory Background}

The State of California and the City of Oakley have adopted regulations, plans, and policies designed to limit noise exposure at existing and proposed noise sensitive land uses. These plans and policies are established in the following documents:
(1) The State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G;
(2) The Noise Element of the City of Oakley's General Plan.

\section*{California Environmental Quality Act}

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires an evaluation of the significance of potential project noise impacts. Noise impacts would be considered significant if the project would result in:
(a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.
(b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or groundborne noise levels.
(c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.
(d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.
(e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excess noise levels.
(f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels. With these guidelines, only Items (a), (c), and (d) are applicable to the proposed projects.

For Item (b), there are no sources of ground-borne noise or vibration associated with the project, and Items (e) and (f) are not applicable as the project is not located within two miles of a public use airport or within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

\section*{Noise Element of the City of Oakley 2020 General Plan}

\section*{Goal 9.1: Protect residents from the harmful and annoying effects of exposure to excessive noise.}

\section*{Policies}
9.1.1 New development shall use the land use compatibility table shown in Figure 9.1 [see Figure 1] and the standards contained within Tables 9.1 and 9.3 [see Tables 3 and 4] for determining noise compatibility.
9.1.2 It is anticipated that roadway improvement projects will be needed to accommodate buildout of the general plan. Therefore, existing noise-sensitive uses may be exposed to increased noise levels due to roadway improvement projects as a result of increased roadway capacity, increases in travel speeds, etc. It may not be practical to reduce increased traffic noise levels consistent with those contained in Table 9-3. Therefore, as an alternative, the following criteria may be used as a test of significance for roadway improvement projects:
- Where existing traffic noise levels are less than \(60 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) at the outdoor activity areas of noise-sensitive uses, \(a+5 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) increase in noise levels due to roadway improvement projects will be considered significant and
- Where existing traffic noise levels range between 60 and \(65 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{d} n}\) at the outdoor activity areas of noise-sensitive uses, \(\mathrm{a}+3 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) increase in noise levels due to roadway improvement projects will be considered significant; and
- Where existing traffic noise levels are greater than \(65 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) at the outdoor activity areas of noise-sensitive uses, \(a+1.5 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) increase in noise levels due to roadway improvement projects will be considered significant.
9.1.3 Noise created by new proposed non-transportation noise sources shall be mitigated so as not to exceed the noise level standards of Table 9-1 [Table 3] as measured immediately within the property line of lands designated for noise-sensitive uses.
9.1.7 Where noise mitigation measures are required to achieve the standards of Tables 9-1 and 9-3, the emphasis of such measures shall be placed upon site planning and project design. The use of noise barriers shall be considered a means of achieving the noise standards only after all other practical design-related noise mitigation measures have been integrated into the project.

Figure 1 Land Compatibility for Community Noise Environments


Table 3 Noise Level Performance Standards for New Projects Affected by or Including Non-Transportation Noise Sources*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Daytime \\
Noise Level Descriptor
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Nighttime \\
(7:00 AM to 10:00 PM)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Hourly \(L_{\text {eq }}\) dB & 55 & 45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1. Each of the noise levels specified above shall be lowered five dB for simple tone noises, noises consisting primarily of speech or music, or for recurring impulsive noises (e.g., humming sounds, outdoor speaks systems). These noise level standards do not apply to residential units established in conjunction with industrial or commercial uses (e.g., caretaker dwellings).
2. The City can impose noise level standards which are more restrictive than those specified above based upon determination of existing low ambient noise levels.
3. Fixed noise sources which are typically of concern include, but are not limited to the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
HVAC Systems & Cooling Towers/Evaporative Condensers \\
Pump Stations & Lift Stations \\
Emergency Generators & Boilers \\
Steam Valves & Steam Turbines \\
Generators & Fans \\
Air Compressors & Heavy Equipment \\
Conveyor Systems & Transformers \\
Pile Drivers & Grinders \\
Drill Rigs & Gas or Diesel Motors \\
Welders & Cutting Equipment \\
Outdoor Speakers & Blowers
\end{tabular}
4. The types of uses which may typically produce the noise sources described above include but are not limited to industrial facilities including pump stations, trucking operations, tire shops, auto maintenance shops, metal fabricating shops, shopping centers, drive-up windows, car washes, loading docks, public works projects, batch plants, bottling and canning plants, recycling centers, electric generating stations, race tracks, landfills, sand and gravel operations, and athletic fields.
*Table 9-1 of the Oakley 2020 General Plan.

TABLE 4 Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources*
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{\multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Land Use }} & \multirow{2}{c|}{} \\
& \multirow{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Outdoor Activities Areas \({ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{d n}} /\) \\
CNEL, dB
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{ Interior Space } \\
\cline { 3 - 4 } & & \(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{d r}} /\) CNEL, dB & \(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{e q},} \mathbf{d B}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}\) \\
\hline Residential & 65 & 45 & -- \\
\hline Transient Lodging & \(65^{3}\) & 45 & -- \\
\hline Theaters, Auditoriums, Music Halls & -- & -- & 35 \\
\hline Churches, Meeting Halls & 65 & -- & 40 \\
\hline Office Buildings & 00 & 00 & 45 \\
\hline Schools, Libraries, Museums & -- & -- & 45 \\
\hline Playgrounds, Neighborhood Parks & 70 & -- & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1. Where the location of outdoor activity areas is unknown, the exterior noise level standard shall be applied to the property line of the receiving land use. Where it is not practical to mitigate exterior noise levels at patio or balconies pf apartment complexes, a common area such as a pool or recreation area may be designated as the outdoor activity area.
2. As determined for a typical worst-case hour during periods of use.
3. In the case of hotel/motel facilities or other transient lodging, outdoor activity areas such as pool areas may not be included in the project design. In these cases, only the interior noise level criterion will apply.
*Table 9-3 of the Oakley 2020 General Plan.

\section*{Existing Noise Environment}

Ambient noise levels were measured in November and December 2004 to establish the baseline noise environment for use in the impact assessment \({ }^{1}\). The project site and the surrounding area are primarily used for agricultural purposes, but the area is in transition with recently constructed and planned residential developments in the project vicinity. Scattered residential homes also exist in the area, and most of these are located along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue. The major existing noise sources in the area are traffic on Cypress Road, Sellers Avenue, and Knightsen Avenue. Other roadways in the area carry little traffic and are not significant noise sources.

A continuous 24-hour noise measurement was conducted on Cypress Road near Machado Lane from 1:00 pm on December 15, 2004 until 1:00 pm on December 16, 2004. The measurement was at a distance of 75 feet from the centerline of Cypress Road. The terrain in this area is flat and the traffic travels at speeds of \(50-60 \mathrm{mph}\). The 24-hour average \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) at this location was measured to be 71 dBA. A 24-hour noise measurement was also conducted on Sellers Avenue south of Cypress Road at a distance of 65 feet from the centerline of the roadway between November 19 and November 22, 2004. The \(L_{d n}\) at this location was measured to be 68 dBA .

A spot measurement was made on Knightsen Avenue south of Cypress Road at a distance of 50 feet from the centerline of Knightsen Avenue, typical of the existing setback of residences along Knightsen Avenue. The mid-afternoon average noise level was measured at 65 dBA and the \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) is estimated to be 67 dBA at this distance from Knightsen Avenue.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Emerson Property EIR Noise Section, Illingworth \& Rodkin, Inc., February 28, 2006.
}

Farther from these major streets, noise levels decrease significantly. Noise levels drop off at a rate of 3 to 4.5 decibels per every doubling of distance from the roadway. The City's goal for noise levels not in excess of an \(L_{d n}\) of 65 dBA in outdoor use areas in new residential development is currently met at a distance of 220 feet from the center of Cypress Road, 120 feet from the centerline of Sellers Avenue and 80 feet from the center of Knightsen Avenue. There are a number of existing homes within these distances along Cypress Road, Sellers Avenue, and Knightsen Avenue.

Table 5 Existing Noise Level Contour Distances from Roadway Center
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline Roadway & \(\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{~ d B A} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{d n}}\) & \(\mathbf{6 5 ~ d B A} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{d n}}\) & \(\mathbf{6 0} \mathbf{~ d B A} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{d n}}\) \\
\hline Cypress Road & 100 ft. & 220 ft. & 475 ft. \\
\hline Sellers Avenue & \(--^{2}\) & 120 ft. & 260 ft. \\
\hline Knightsen Avenue & -- & 80 ft. & 185 ft. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{NOISE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES}

Impact 1: Noise and Land Use Compatibility. Residential uses developed on the project site could be exposed to an exterior noise level greater than an \(L_{d n}\) of 65 dB which would exceed the noise and land use compatibility standard for outdoor use areas in new residential developments as set forth in the City of Oakley's General Plan. Interior noise levels could exceed an \(L_{d n}\) of 45 dB in some of the units without the incorporation of noise insulation features. This is a potentially significant impact.

The project would develop residential properties along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue. To accommodate future development in the Oakley area, it is proposed that Cypress Road will be widened to 6 lanes east of Sellers Avenue and 4 lanes west of Sellers Avenue. Sellers Avenue would be 4 lanes. Under cumulative traffic conditions (General Plan Buildout), the average daily traffic volume on Cypress Road is expected to reach \(\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}\) to \(\mathbf{4 5 , 0 0 0}\) vehicles per day east of Sellers Avenue and 30,000 to 35,000 vehicles per day west of Sellers Avenue. Sellers Avenue would have an average daily traffic volume of about 6,000 vehicles per day north of Cypress Road. The change in roadway geometry and the significant increase in traffic volumes will result in a significantly different noise environment along these roads that currently exists today.

Traffic noise modeling indicates that at a distance of 55 feet from the edge-of-pavement (typical of the closest yards proposed along Cypress Road), the \(L_{d n}\) would reach 74 dB . The \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) at the same distance from the edge-of-pavement of Sellers Avenue north of Cypress Road would reach 63 dB . Without mitigation, noise levels outside of the residences closest to Cypress Road could exceed the City standard of 65 dB .

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Noise contour within roadway right-of-way.
}

Future noise levels along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue would also be high enough to cause interior noise levels in the homes adjacent these roads to exceed an \(L_{d n}\) of 45 dB . Therefore, interior noise levels could also potentially exceed the guidelines contained in the Noise Element of the City of Oakley's General Plan.

The City of Oakley's General Plan would require a project-specific acoustical analysis to demonstrate how interior noise levels would be kept below 45 dB and how outdoor noise levels for residential areas would be kept below 65 dB . Alternative techniques are available to meet these criteria. The Noise Element of the City of Oakley's General Plan encourages the use of site planning and setbacks to achieve compliance with the standards. A 200-foot setback would be required along Cypress Road. Alternatively, sound walls could be built to reduce noise levels in the yards adjacent to the homes. The sound walls would also reduce noise levels inside the first floor of the homes. Preliminary traffic noise modeling was conducted assuming level terrain between Cypress Road and adjacent receivers. Receivers were assumed to be in the center of the rear yard adjacent to the roadway, approximately 20 feet from the noise barrier. The result of this modeling indicates that sound walls 9 feet high would be required along Cypress Road to reduce noise levels in rear yards to \(65 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\). Table 6 summarizes the results of the traffic noise modeling and barrier insertion loss calculations. A preliminary barrier design is shown in Figure 4. To be effective, the barriers should be constructed solidly over the entire surface and at the base. Openings or gaps between barrier materials or the ground decrease the reduction provided by a noise barrier. Suitable materials for barrier construction should have a minimum surface weight of \(3 \mathrm{lbs} . / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\). (such as one-inch thick wood, masonry block, concrete, or metal).

TABLE 6 Future Exterior Ldn Noise Levels (dBA) With Mitigation
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Roadway & \begin{tabular}{c} 
No \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{6}\) ft. \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{7 ~ f t .}\) \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{8 f t .}\) \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{9 f t .}\) \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{f t .}\) \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{f t .}\) \\
Barrier
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Cypress Road & 74 & 68 & 67 & 66 & 65 & 64 & 63 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Noise barriers would not shield upper level facades of the proposed units. Typically, standard construction with a forced-air mechanical ventilation unit (allowing the occupant to control noise by maintaining the windows shut) provides at least 20 dBA of noise reduction in interior spaces. Exterior noise levels at unshielded facades of residential units nearest Cypress Road would be expected to be approximately \(74 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\). Interior noise levels are approximately 15 decibels lower than exterior noise levels assuming standard residential construction methods and the windows partially open for ventilation. By incorporating some form of forced air mechanical ventilation system into the design of the unit, interior average noise levels would be expected to be about 20 to 25 dBA lower assuming the windows are closed to control noise. Interior average noise levels would exceed \(45 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) assuming standard construction methods with the windows closed. If the sound walls were constructed, noise levels inside of the first floor of homes could be maintained at an \(L_{d n}\) of 45 dB or less by requiring that the windows be kept closed.

Sound rated windows would be necessary for the upper floor of units adjacent to Cypress Road. Assuming an exterior noise level of \(74 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) at the façade of the residential unit, stucco exterior siding, and a \(30 \%\) window to wall ratio of the exterior wall facing Cypress Road, windows with sound transmission class ratings (STC) of 33 to 36 would be required to maintain interior average noise
levels below \(45 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) with an adequate margin of safety. These products are readily available and would adequately reduce interior noise levels to acceptable levels.

Assuming standard residential construction methods, residential units along Sellers Avenue would require a forced-air mechanical ventilation unit to allow the occupant to control noise by maintaining the windows shut. Interior average noise levels with the windows closed would be less than \(45 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\).

\section*{Mitigation Measure:}
- Construct noise barriers adjacent to Cypress Road to reduce exterior noise levels at private outdoor use areas to \(65 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) or less. A preliminary barrier design is shown in Figure 2. The final detailed design of the heights and limits of these barriers shall be confirmed at the time that the final grading plan is submitted.
- Project-specific acoustical analyses are required by the City of Oakley to insure that interior noise levels will be reduced to \(45 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) or lower. These analyses are conducted during final detailed design of the project when building elevations and floor plans are available. The future noise environment at the project site would require sound-rated construction methods and the provision of forced-air mechanical ventilation so that windows could be kept closed at the occupants' discretion to control noise. Noise insulation features include sound rated windows, sound rated doors, careful attenuation to exterior wall detailing (including caulking and possible sound insulating upgrades such as resilient channels, or stucco exterior siding). The final detailed design of noise insulation features necessary to maintain interior noise levels at acceptable levels shall be completed at the time that the final plans are available and prior to the issuance of a building permit. The project specification and detailing and implementation of these treatments will mitigate this impact to a less-thansignificant level.

Figure 2: Preliminary Barrier Design


\section*{Impact 2: Operational Noise Sources. Noise levels generated by the operation of the project could exceed the standards established in the Oakley General Plan. This is a significant impact.}

The proposed project would introduce new non-transportation related sources of noise that may adversely affect existing and proposed noise sensitive receivers in the project vicinity. These sources would include medium duty and heavy duty truck deliveries to the major retail stores and shops, parking lot activity (including engine starts, door slams, and vehicular circulation on site), rooftop mechanical equipment, parking lot cleaning activities, and a potential elementary school and parks. Noise levels were calculated based on data collected by Illingworth \& Rodkin, Inc. during studies of similar shopping centers, educational facilities and parks. Noise levels generated by typical activities were calculated at the common property line between the nearest residential land uses and the proposed noise-generating land uses.

\section*{Truck Circulation}

The highest noise levels generated on site would result from medium duty and heavy duty trucks circulating along the west and north boundaries of the site. The shopping center is expected to receive several large truck and independent vendor-owned smaller parcel trucks daily (e.g., soda, chips, etc.). Early morning deliveries are common, although delivery schedules for such retail operations are also typically dictated by locally-imposed loading time restrictions. It is likely that trucks would access the site from the signalized intersection at Cypress Road, turn left and continue along west property boundary of the site to the rear of the market. Noise levels generated during deliveries would be dependent on the speed of trucks, but typical maximum noise levels generated by heavy duty trucks would be approximately 70 to 80 dBA at the common property line (about 30 feet from the center of the driveway). Medium duty trucks would generate maximum noise levels of about 60 to 70 dBA at the property line.

\section*{Loading Dock Activity}

Trucks would proceed along the west property line of the site and turn right to enter the loading dock area. Heavy duty trucks would back up to rubberized gasket loading bays, with all unloading done directly into the building. The rubberized gasket type of loading bay provides a tight connection between the truck and the building specifically for noise abatement purposes, and field visits to these facilities have indicated that little loading noise escapes into the community from this loading dock type. Occasionally, banging within the truck is audible. Medium duty trucks would typically park near the loading dock area and unloading activities would occur directly out of the truck about 60 to 80 feet from the residential property lines north of the market. Generally, vendors use wheeled carts, hand-trucks or pallet-jacks to deliver products to the stores. Noise is generated as truck doors are opened and closed and as products are loaded onto carts and transported into the store. Typical maximum noise levels generated by these activities at the nearest receiving property lines would be would be approximately 58 to 68 dBA . Hourly average noise levels would typically range from 51 to 61 dBA at the residential property line depending on the number of trucks and intensity of deliveries during a given hour.

\section*{Mechanical Equipment}

Roof-top mechanical equipment typically includes heating, ventilating, air conditioning, and refrigeration equipment. Noise typically generated by rooftop mounted mechanical equipment varies significantly depending upon the equipment type and size. Project mechanical equipment specifics have not been determined at this preliminary development plan phase. The precise noise impacts of project mechanical equipment cannot be determined without detailed system design specifications regarding location, type, size, capacity, enclosure design, etc.-- details which are typically provided during later phases of the project design and development review along with other more detailed project engineering specifications. However, based on noise measurements made at other similar commercial centers and large supermarkets, noise levels of 60 to 70 dBA at 15 feet from external mechanical systems can be anticipated from the project. Noise generated by project mechanical equipment could range from 44 to 54 dBA at the nearest residential properties and would exceed the daytime and nighttime hourly standards without mitigation. Other pieces of mechanical equipment that could be a source of concern could include trash compactors. Trash compactors typically generate maximum noise levels of 50 to \(60 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\max }\) at 50 feet.

\section*{Parking Lot Activity}

Noise generated by normal activities within the parking lot would be introduced to the noise environment at the nearest residential receptors with the operation of the project. Noise would be generated by vehicles circulating within the lot, engine starts, door slams, and by the sound of human voices. The sound of a passing car at 15 mph typically ranges from 55 dBA to 65 dBA at 25 feet. The noise of an engine start is similar. Door slams create noise levels lower than engine starts. The hourly average noise level resulting from all of these noise-generating activities in a busy shopping center parking lot could range from 40 dBA to 50 dBA at the property line.

\section*{Gas Station}

A gas station would be located at the southwest corner of the project site adjacent to Cypress Road. Sounds generated by the gas station would be similar to those described for the parking lot. Noise is generated as vehicles circulate and idle and when engines are started and doors are open and closed. Noise levels generated by the gas station are calculated to be approximately 58 dBA Leq at the nearest proposed receivers. Noise levels generated by the gas station would be well below noise levels generated by traffic along Cypress Avenue.

\section*{Parking Lot Cleaning}

The parking area surface at the proposed shopping center would be periodically cleaned using small mechanical parking lot sweepers and hand-held, back-mounted leaf blowers. Noise generated by parking lot cleaning activities would be about 70-75 dBA at a distance of 50 feet but these noise levels would generally occur over short periods of time when cleaning occurs near the project perimeter.

\section*{Educational Facilities and Parks}

The Emerson Property project could include the development of an elementary school and parks in areas adjacent to proposed residential land uses. Schools would generate noise when students arrive and depart as well as when outdoor activity areas are used.

Active parks, ball fields, or sports complexes could also be a potentially significant source of community noise. Participants and spectators would generate noise while using parks and recreation areas proposed as part of the Emerson Property. Recreation areas may include lighting and, therefore, could be expected to generate noise in the late evening hours. Maximum noise levels from such uses can exceed \(80 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\max }\) at a distance of approximately 150 feet. For normal active park events such as soccer games, baseball games, dog parks, etc., average noise levels of about 55 to 60 \(\mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\text {eq }}\) could be expected at a distance of 150 feet from the center of activities. Noise levels generated by these parks could exceed the noise levels established in the City’s General Plan at the residential property line, thereby requiring further study.

Passive public parks could contain one or more of the following amenities that are part of most neighborhood parks: tot lot/playground, open turf area, picnic tables with barbeques, pathways, etc. It is not anticipated, given the activities outlined above, that noise from passive parks would cause any adverse noise impacts upon future noise sensitive receptors in the area.

\section*{Mitigation Measures:}
- Construct an 8 to 10 foot noise barrier along the western and northern boundary of the commercial parcel. The proposed wall would reduce noise levels in the rear yards of adjacent residential land uses by about 8 dBA . To be effective, the barriers should be constructed solidly over the entire surface and at the base. Openings or gaps between barrier materials or the ground decrease the reduction provided by a noise barrier. Suitable materials for barrier construction should have a minimum surface weight of \(3 \mathrm{lbs} . / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\). (such as one-inch thick wood, masonry block, concrete, or metal). The final detailed design of the heights and limits of these barriers shall be confirmed at the time that the final grading plan is submitted.
- Deliveries should be limited to daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and the posted speed limit should not exceed 15 mph along the truck circulation route. These limits should be clearly posted to advise delivery personnel as to the time and speed restrictions.
- The selection and location of mechanical equipment should be considered during the design phase of the project. Once the selection of the type equipment and the placement of the equipment has been designed, the project plans should be reviewed by an acoustical specialist to verify that daytime and nighttime hourly noise standards are not exceeded at the property line. Potential mitigation for rooftop units could include rooftop unit placement, orientation, screens, or parapet walls. Orienting rooftop units so that the noise produced by the units is directed away from the residences could reduce noise levels ( \(0-5 \mathrm{dBA}\), depending on the
unit). Placing the rooftop units further from the nearby residential uses would also help reduce noise levels. A parapet wall constructed along the edge of the building would also help reduce noise levels at nearby residences. The amount of noise reduction would depend on the wall height, with a taller wall providing more noise reduction ( \(5-10 \mathrm{dBA}\) ).
- Parking lot cleaning activities should be limited to daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.).

\section*{Impact 3: Permanent Noise Increases at Existing Residences. The proposed project alone would not substantially increase noise levels along streets in the area. This is a less-than-significant impact.}

The traffic generated by this project alone would result in a 1 to \(2 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) increase in daily average traffic noise levels along Cypress Road. The project would not result in a measurable increase in traffic noise along Sellers Avenue south of the project site. Project-generated traffic noise levels were calculated by comparing existing plus project traffic volumes to existing traffic volumes along area roadways. Based on this comparison, traffic noise levels are anticipated to increase by about 1 to \(2 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) along Cypress Avenue as a result of the project. Project-generated traffic is not anticipated to measurably increase noise levels along Sellers Avenue, south of Cypress Road.

\section*{Mitigation: NONE}

Impact 4: Cumulative Noise Increases at Existing Residences. Traffic noise levels will increase with the development of the project area and other planned developments in Oakley. In some locations, these increases would be substantial, and the project would make a "cumulatively considerable" contribution to the overall cumulative noise impact. This is a significant impact.
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The Project would result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact if existing sensitive receivers would be exposed to cumulative traffic noise level increases greater than \(3 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) above existing traffic noise levels and if the Project would make a "cumulatively considerable" contribution to the overall traffic noise level increase. A "cumulatively considerable" contribution would be defined as an increase of \(1 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) or more attributable solely to the proposed project. Under cumulative conditions, noise levels in the project vicinity will increase by as much as \(88 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}}\) along Cypress Road and 8 to \(10 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) along Sellers Avenue. These are significant increases in noise. The character of the noise environment will permanently change from rural to a noise environment represented by a more suburban setting. Cumulative traffic noise levels are anticipated to increase by 5 to \(7 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) as a result of cumulative plus project conditions along Cypress Road between Main Street and Sellers Avenue. 1 dB of the 5 to 7 dB increase can be attributed to the project. This would result in a significant cumulative impact at receivers along Cypress Road. The project would contribute less than 1 dB to overall cumulative noise increases on all other area roadways.

\section*{Mitigation Measures:}
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Noise reduction methods include the following:
- New or larger noise barriers could reduce noise levels by \(5 \mathrm{dBA}_{\mathrm{dn}}\). Final design of such barriers, including an assessment of their feasibility and reasonableness, should be completed during project level review.
- Sound insulation treatments to the buildings, such as sound rated windows and doors, could reduce noise levels in interior spaces.
- In addition, alternative noise reduction techniques should be considered in coordination with the City of Oakley. Such techniques could include: installation of traffic calming measures to slow traffic; coordination of routing and other traffic control measures; repaving the affected roadways with "quiet" pavement types such as Open-Grade Asphalt Concrete. Opportunities to lower noise levels through pavement surface treatments could only be identified after an assessment of the current roadway surface with respect to noise.

\section*{Mitigation Discussion:}

A combination of mitigation measures such as the repaving of affected roadways, the replacement or construction of noise barriers, traffic calming, and sound insulation could be implemented to reduce the effects of cumulative plus project traffic noise at affected residential units in the vicinity of the project site.

Case studies have shown that the replacement of dense grade asphalt (standard type) with open-grade or rubberized asphalt can reduce traffic noise levels along residential-type streets by 2 to 3 dBA . A possible noise reduction of 2 dBA would be expected using conservative engineering assumptions.

Single-family residential receivers along Cypress Road could be provided with new or larger noise barriers to provide the additional necessary noise attenuation in private outdoor use areas. Typically, increasing the height of an existing barrier results in about 1 dBA of attenuation per 1 foot of additional barrier height. The design of such noise barriers would require additional analysis.

Traffic calming could also be implemented along affected roadways to reduce noise levels expected under the cumulative plus project traffic scenario. Each 5 mph reduction in average speed provides approximately 1 dBA of noise reduction on an average basis ( \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) ). Traffic calming measures that regulate speed improve the noise environment by smoothing out noise levels.

Affected residential receivers along affected roadways, could be provided sound insulation treatments if further study finds that interior noise levels within the affected residential units would exceed \(45 \mathrm{dBA} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}\) assuming cumulative plus project traffic conditions. Treatments to the home may include the replacement of existing windows and doors with sound-rated windows and doors and the provision of a suitable form of forced-air mechanical ventilation to allow the occupants the
option of controlling noise to by closing the windows. The specific treatments for each affected residential unit would be identified on a case-by-case basis.

\section*{Significance After Mitigation:}

Each of these mitigation measures involves other non-acoustical considerations. Other engineering issues may dictate continued use of dense grade asphalt. Noise barriers and sound insulation treatments must be done on private property necessitating agreements with each property owner. Therefore, it may not be reasonable or feasible to reduce project-generated traffic noise at all affected receivers. The impact would be considered significant and unavoidable

\section*{.Impact 5: Construction Noise. Construction noise would not significantly impact existing residences in the area. However, construction noise may be significant for future homes, depending on the phasing of projects. This is a potentially significant impact.}

Future construction on the site would generate noise and would temporarily increase noise levels at adjacent land uses. Noise levels generated by specific pieces of construction equipment at a distance of 50 feet are presented in Table 7. Noise impacts resulting from construction depend on the noise generated by various pieces of construction equipment, the timing, and duration of noise-generating activities and the distance between construction noise sources and noise sensitive receptors. Existing residences nearest to the Emerson property are located south of Cypress Road and are currently exposed to high levels of traffic noise. It is anticipated that construction on the Emerson property itself would not normally generate noise levels in excess of traffic noise resulting from Cypress Road. During the period of time that construction is taking place very close to Cypress Road, it is possible that the construction activity could reach the noise levels generated by trucks on Cypress Road. However, during the majority of the time, noise levels would be far lower than current noise levels. In the future as homes are developed in the area, some of these homes may be located very close to construction projects and, therefore, potentially impacted by this construction noise.

\section*{Mitigation:}

To minimize the effects of project construction activities, implement the following construction noise controls:
- Noise-generating activities at the construction site or in areas adjacent to the construction site associated with the project in any way should be restricted to the hours of 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM, Monday through Friday, and 9:00 AM to 8:00 PM on Saturdays. Construction activities should be restricted to the hours of 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM on Sundays or holidays.
- Equip all internal combustion engine driven equipment with intake and exhaust mufflers that are in good condition and appropriate for the equipment.
- Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines should be strictly prohibited
- Locate stationary noise-generating equipment, such as air compressors or portable power generators, as far as possible from sensitive receptors. Construct temporary noise barriers to screen stationary noise-generating equipment when located near adjoining sensitive land uses.
- Utilize "quiet" air compressors and other stationary noise sources where technology exists.
- Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would be responsible for responding to any local complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator will determine the cause of the noise complaints (e.g., starting too early, bad muffler, etc.) and will require that reasonable measures warranted to correct the problem be implemented. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include it in the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.
- \(\quad\) Notify prospective residents within the adjacent subdivision that the development of the commercial portion of the site would generate noise levels during construction that may be considered excessive or annoying.

Table 7 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT 50-FOOT NOISE EMISSION LIMITS
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\subsection*{1.0 SUMMIARY}

The project site is located north of Cypress Road in a recently incorporated area of Oakley, California (Figure 1). The site is bounded by Dutch Slough to the north, Jersey Island Road to the east, Cypress Road to the south, and the Marsh Creek drainage canal to the west. The study area includes nine parcels, which total \(\pm 1,100\) acres in area.

The site reconnaissance and records research did not find documentation or physical evidence of significant soil or ground-water impairments associated with the use of the property, with the possible exception of potential soil/ground-water impacts associated with the existing/former natural gas well sites. A review of regulatory databases maintained by county, state, and federal agencies found no documentation of hazardous materials violations or discharge on the property. A review of aerial photographs and available historical records found the subject parcels have been used for pasture, residences, natural gas production and dairy operations.

A review of regulatory agency records did not identify contaminated facilities, within one mile of the site, which could be expected to impact the property.

The property owners were not aware of existing or preexisting environmental conditions associated with the site. The owners were also not aware of previous environmental site assessments, audits or environmentally related permits for the parcels.

ENGEO has performed a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment of the subject property, in accordance with the outlined scope of services. Based on the findings of the assessment, ENGEO has noted the following potential environmental concerns:

\section*{Emerson Parcels}
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities, it is possible that site soils and ground water may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.
- Above Ground Fuel Tanks

The above ground fuels tanks (AGTs) are currently located within a concrete vault; however, we understand the tanks were previously stored above bare ground. It is possible that some impact to soil and/or ground water may have occurred as a result of product usage.
- Waste Oil Tank

Some soil staining was noted beneath the above ground waste oil tank. It appears that spillage of motor oil has impacted near surface soil.
- Oil House

No apparent indications of soil impacts were noted in association with the petroleum product storage, with the exception of some discoloration of soil at the east side of the shed. It is conceivable some impact to soil may have occurred as a result of past product spills.
- Pesticide Shed

No indication of substance release, or soil impact was noted within area of the pesticide shed; however, it is possible that soils may have been impacted as a result of past releases.

\section*{- Former Underground Fuel Tank}

Mr. Emerson indicated there was no evidence of a fuel release at the time of the underground storage tank (UST) removal. Based on this observation, it is unlikely that significant impacts exist. It may be prudent to request a UST closure letter from the Contra Costa County Environmental Health Department. This would require the recovery of a soil sample from the former tank area, with laboratory testing.
- Asbestos-Containing Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of the existing structures, it is conceivable that asbestos containing materials may have been used in construction.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}
- Existing/Preexisting Natural Gas Well Sites

Potential impacts associated with the existing/preexisting well sites could include the following:
- Hydrocarbon impacts to soil/ground-water as a result of spillage from condensate tanks
- Spillage from above ground diesel and motor oil tanks
- Hydrocarbon impacts within the area of compressor units
- Mercury impacts adjacent/beneath meter sheds
- Hydrocarbon/barium impacts associated with former drill sumps
- Hydrocarbon impacts around well heads
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities is possible that site soils and ground water, may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.
- Above Ground Fuel Tanks

The AGTs located to the north of the maintenance shed are currently empty; however, some soil staining was observed beneath the tanks. It is possible that some localized impact to site soils may have occurred as a result of past usage of the AGTs.
- Car Port/Garage

A possible maintenance pit/sump, covered by wooden planks, was observed within the garage unit. Potential soil impacts may have occurred, if motor oil, fuels, or solvents were discharged to the pit.
- Asbestos-Containing Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of the structures, it is conceivable that asbestos containing materials may have been used in construction.

With regard to the subject parcels, ENGEO provides the following recommendations:

\section*{Emerson Parcels}
- Demolition/Pre-Grading Observation

An environmental professional should view the property at the time of demolition and pregrading activities, to observe areas that may have been obscured by structures or debris. In particular, the areas around the oil house, pesticide shed, maintenance building and above ground fuel tanks should be inspected for possible buried structures and stained/odoriferous soil. A soil sample should also be recovered from the former UST location for laboratory testing. Additional recommendations for subsurface assessments could be provided at the time of demolition.
- Asbestos Survey

An asbestos survey of the existing structures should be undertaken prior to any future demolition work.
- Septic Systems/Water Wells

Existing septic systems and domestic/irrigation wells should be removed/abandoned in accordance with current regulations.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}
- Natural Gas Well Sites

A Phase II assessment of the existing/former gas well sites should be undertaken. The assessment should include recovery of soil and ground-water samples with laboratory analysis for petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.
- Asbestos Survey

An asbestos survey of the existing structures should be undertaken prior to any future demolition work.
- Demolition/Pre-Grading Observation

An environmental professional should view the property at the time of demolition and pregrading activities, to observe areas that may have been obscured by structures or debris. In particular, the areas around the car port, maintenance building, and the above ground fuel tanks should be inspected for stained/odoriferous soil. Additional recommendations for subsurface assessments may be provided at the time of demolition.
- Septic Systems/Water Wells

Existing septic systems and domestic/irrigation wells should be removed/abandoned in accordance with current regulations.

\subsection*{2.0 INTRODUCTION}

\subsection*{2.1 Purpose and Scope}

The purpose of the phase one envirommental assessment is to identify, to the extent feasible, recognized environmental conditions associated with the properties. The scope of services included the following:
- A review of publicly available and practically reviewable standard local, state, and federal environmental record sources.
- A review of several publicly available and practically reviewable standard historical sources, aerial photographs, fire insurance maps, and physical setting sources.
- A reconnaissance of the property.
- Interviews with the property owner and government officials.
- Preparation of this report with our findings and conclusions.

\subsection*{2.2 Limitations and Exceptions of Assessment}

The professional staff at ENGEO Incorporated strives to perform its services in a proper and professional manner with reasonable care and competence but are not infallible. The recommendations and conclusions presented in this report were based on the findings of our study, which were developed solely from the contracted services. The findings of the report are based in part on contracted database research, out-of-house reports and personal communications. ENGEO Incorporated assumes no liability for the validity of the materials relied upon in the preparation of this report.

This document must not be subject to unauthorized reuse, that is reuse without written authorization of ENGEO. Such authorization is essential because it requires ENGEO to evaluate the document's
applicability given new circumstances, not the least of which is passage of time. The findings from a phase one environmental site assessment are typically valid for 180 days after completion of the report, particularly with regard to the regulatory database files.

This phase one environmental site assessment is not intended to represent a complete soil or groundwater characterization. This assessment does not define the extent of soil or ground-water contamination. It is intended to provide an evaluation of potential environmental concerns associated with the use of the property. A more extensive assessment that would include a subsurface exploration with laboratory testing of soil and ground-water samples could provide more definitive information concerning site-specific conditions. If a subsurface investigation is considered for the property and if other entities are retained to provide such services, ENGEO cannot be held responsible for any and all claims arising from or resulting from the performance of such services by other persons or entities, and from any and all claims arising or resulting from clarifications, adjustments, modifications, discrepancies or other changes necessary to reflect changed field or other conditions.

ENGEO Incorporated has prepared this report for the exclusive use of our client, Sopac \& Associates. It is recognized and agreed that ENGEO has assumed responsibility only for undertaking the study for the client. The responsibility for disclosures or reports to a third party and for remedial or mitigative action, shall be solely that of the Client.

\subsection*{2.3 Limiting Conditions and Methodology Used}

Laboratory testing of soil or ground-water samples was not within the scope of the contracted services. The assessment did not include an asbestos survey, an evaluation of lead-based paint, or an inspection for PCBs. The assessment does not address other environmental issues, which are not specified in the outlined scope of services.

This report is based upon field and other conditions discovered at the time of preparation of ENGEO's work. Visual observations referenced in this report are intended only to represent site conditions at the time of the site visit. ENGEO would not be aware of site contamination, such as dumping and/or accidental spillage, which occurred subsequent to the site reconnaissance conducted by ENGEO personnel.

\subsection*{3.0 SITE DESCRIRTION}

\subsection*{3.1 Location and Legal Description}

The project site is located north of Cypress Road in a recently incorporated area of Oakley, California (Figure 1). The site is bounded by Dutch Slough to the north, Jersey Island Road to the east, Cypress Road to the south, and the Marsh Creek drainage canal to the west. The study area includes the following Assessors Parcel Numbers:
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline PARCEL & AREA & ADDRESS & OWNER \\
\hline \(032-081-004\) & 98.94 & 4979 Jersey Island Ave. & Burroughs \\
\(032-081-008\) & 44.9 & 1180 East Cypress Rd. & Burroughs \\
\(032-081-013\) & 16.0 & \(\cdots\) & Burroughs \\
\(032-081-014\) & 308.83 & \(5401-5405\) Jersey Island Rd & Burroughs \\
\(037-191-012\) & 20.0 & \(\cdots-\cdots\) & Burroughs \\
\(037-191-009\) & 404.34 & \(7101-7125\) Sellers Ave. & Emerson \\
\(037-191-012-08\) & 68.91 & 7101 Sellers Ave. & Emerson \\
\(037-192-015\) & .97 & 6501 Sellers Avenue & Emerson \\
\(037-192-016\) & 140.16 & \(6701-6715\) Sellers Avenue & Emerson \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.2 Site and Vicinity Characteristics}

The site topography is relatively level with some slight manmade and natural rises in the terrain. Existing site elevations range from approximately 10 feet below mean sea level (MSL) to approximately 10 feet above MSL (Figure 2). More than half of the site is below mean sea level.

The properties are separated into sections, divided by waterways extending from Dutch Slough. Levees at the perimeter of the parcels rise to elevations ranging from +7.6 to +10 feet. These levees
protect the low-lying ground from flooding. The Contra Costa Canal extends east-west through the southern portion of the site. Numerous smaller irrigation and drainage canals traverse the property. A Record Boundary prepared by Carlson, Barbee and Gibson depicts numerous roadway, power, drainage, and pipeline easements throughout the project area (Figure 3). Large overhead transmission lines and a section of Jersey Island Road pass through the northeast corner of the site.

The near-surface sediments across the site consist of colian, flood plain, and alluvial deposits. These sediments are typically irregularly-stratified, poorly-consolidated deposits of peat, clay, silt, sand, and minor gravels. Ground water is shallow at depths within five feet of the ground surface.

Dutch Slough and Jersey Island are situated to the north of the site. Ranch homes, a service station/market, and several other commercial facilities are located to the south of the study area. The Gilbert property is situated between the Burroughs and Emerson Parcels. Improvements on the Gilbert property include a ranch complex, residences and several existing/former natural gas well sites. The properties west of the site consist of open pasture. Gas well facilities and pasture exist to the east.

\subsection*{3.3 Description of Site Improvements}

\section*{Emerson Parcels}

APN 037-191-009

Two active milk dairy facilities are in operation on the Emerson property (Figure 7). Improvements at the main western dairy site include a modular office building; several hay, grain and commodities barns; grain silos; two dairy processing facilities; employee quarters; a maintenance building; a pesticide storage shed; and a petroleum product storage shed. A small residence also exists at the dairy facility. A dairy pond is located in the northeast area of the dairy facility. The pond collects
process water and rinse water from the dairy facility, which is mixed with irrigation water and used for pasture irrigation. At least three septic systems exist on the parcel.

Improvements noted at the western dairy facility include a single dairy processing facility and livestock pens. Two domestic water wells are located on either side of the building. Two above ground fuel tanks are located to the south of the western dairy building (Figure 5).

The remainder of the parcel consists of open pasture and a small vineyard. Several irrigation pumps are located near Dutch Slough, along with a sanitary district force main along the northwest levee.

\section*{APN 037-191-012}

The parcel consists of undeveloped pasture land, with a small vineyard.

\section*{APN 037-192-015}

Development of the parcel is limited to a single mobile home.

\section*{APN 037-192-016}

Improvements on the parcel include two single-family residences and one historical barn structure. The majority of the parcel consists of undeveloped pasture land. The residences are serviced by a private water well and septic systems.

\section*{Purroughs Parcels}

\section*{APN 037-191-012}

The parcel consists of undeveloped open space, bounded by Dutch Slough on two sides.

\section*{APN 032-081-013}

The property includes an undeveloped narrow easement of land that extends along a portion of Dutch Slough.

\section*{APN 032-081-014}

Parcel 14 includes the primary Burroughs development area, which consists of a residential/ranch complex, and an abandoned dairy facility (Figures 5 and 6). Several small residences are located near the northeast property corner (Jersey Island Road). Improvements within the residential/ranch area include single family structures, a service garage, a small car port/garage, and a barn.

Developments within the abandoned dairy facility include a former administrative office/storage unit, which has been partially converted to a music studio; the dairy processing building; a hay barn; a milk bottle storage unit; and an open vehicle/equipment storage shed. According to the property representative, Mr. Robert Treat, the dairy has been inoperative since the 1970s. In addition to the dairy and residential areas, there are three active natural gas well sites on Parcel 14.

\section*{APN 032-081-004}

The principal improvements on the parcel consists of the St. Croix/Phillips gas well facility (Well No. 11) and a barn near the gas well compound (Figures 4 and 6). The gas well site includes five large above ground condensate tanks; the abandoned well head cellar; various piping; and two smaller fuel/well additive AGTs. In addition to the gas well site, a storage/maintenance structure is located along Jersey Island Road near the northeast property corner. Apparent pipe risers are visible along the west side of the structure. According to Phillips Petroleum personnel the risers are associated with a septic system. A second former well site also exists in the southern area of the parcel, to the north of the Contra Costa Canal (Well No. 11 - Figure 4).

APN 032-081-008

Improvements across the property include a small mobile home and a former gas well site along Cypress Avenue.

\subsection*{3.4 Current and Past Property Use}

\section*{Tmerson Parcels}

The Emerson property is used for an active dairy operation, for residential purposes, and as pasture. Based on a review of aerial photography and topographic maps, the property has been used as a dairy and for residential purposes since at least the early 1900s.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}

Based on a review of photographs and topographic maps, structures have existed within the Burroughs residential and dairy area since at least the early 1900s. State Division of Oil and Gas Maps indicate seven existing/former natural gas wells on the property (Figure 4).

\subsection*{3.5 Current and Past Use of Adjoining Properties}

Dutch Slough is situated to the north of the site. Open space, Marsh Creek, and some scattered ranch sites exist to the west of the study area. The Gilbert property is located between the Emerson and Burroughs parcels. The Gilbert site includes a ranch complex, residences, storage areas, and several gas well sites. The area east of the site includes open space, with natural gas well developments and scattered ranch sites. The properties south of the site, across Cypress Avenue, include residential parcels, and commercial properties.

A review of aerial photography and historical records indicates the adjacent properties have been used for ranching /pasture land or open space since the early 1900s. No indication of industrial use of the abutting parcels was noted from the assessment.

\subsection*{3.6 Environmental Liens/Specialized Knowledge}

The property owners/representatives, Mr. Stan Emerson and Mr. Robert Treat, were interviewed with regard to the study area. The property representatives were not aware of existing or preexisting environmental conditions associated with the property. The owners were also not aware of previous environmental site assessments, audits or environmentally related permits for the property.

\subsection*{4.0 RECORDS REVIEW}

\subsection*{4.1 Environmental Record Sources}

Environmental Data Resources (EDR) database information was used to review local, state and federal agency databases regarding the subject parcels and known contaminated sites in the immediate vicinity. Agency databases reviewed included:
- Contra Costa County Hazardous Materials Division
- California Environmental Protection Agency (CAL-EPA) Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
- State Division of Oil and Gas (DOG)
- Environmental Protection Agency (Region IX)

A list of regulatory agency databases and informational sources is provided in Appendix \(B\).

\subsection*{4.1.1 Subject Site Records Research Summary}

The properties are not listed by the county, state, or federal government as a current or former underground storage tank facilities. The parcels are not listed as contaminated sites by the county, state, or federal government (Appendix B).

The Emerson Dairy is listed on the CCCHSD database. A review of the facility file found a request for a Hazardous Material Business Plan. No other information was found in the county fille.

Banks Information Solutions, Inc. was contracted to provide a Division of Oil and Gas search for the property. A total of seven existing/preexisting well sites are documented for the property. In addition, four abandoned test holes are also recorded. Figure 4 details the location of the well and test hole locations. The well research report is provided in Appendix C.

\subsection*{4.1.2 Off-Site Property Records Research Summary}
- Review of county, state and federal records and databases did not identify Federal National Priority List (NPL) sites, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) treatment/storage/disposal facilities, or state NPL/CERCLIS equivalent sites requiring remedial action within one mile of the subject property.
- A review of available databases identified one leaking underground storage tank site within one-half mile of the property:

Food \& Liquor \#86 101 Cypress Road.
Given the distance to this facility and the available database information, this site would not be expected to impact the subject properties.
- No registered hazardous waste generators (GNRTR) are documented within \(1 / 4\) mile of the property. Four registered underground storage tank facilities (UST) are listed by CCCHSD within \(1 / 4\) mile of the property. Two of these facilities have had USTs removed with no evidence of significant soil impacts. The remaining two sites are listed as active.

\subsection*{4.2 Physical Setting Sources}

The following sources were reviewed to obtain information regarding the geologic, hydrogeologic, hydrologic, and topographic characteristics of the site:
- USGS, Jersey Island Quadrangle-7.5' Topographic Maps
- Atwater, B.I., 1982, Geologic Maps of the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta, California; USGS MF 1401.

A description of the physical setting of the subject property is provided in Section 3.2.

\subsection*{4.3 Historical Use Information}

The purpose of the historical record review is to develop a history of the previous uses or occupancies of the property and surrounding area in order to identify those uses or occupancies that are likely to have led to recognized environmental conditions on the property.

\subsection*{4.3.1 Municipal Agencies}

The following state/local agencies were contacted for information regarding past land use, development and operations on the parcels:
- Contra Costa County Building Inspection Department
- Contra Costa County Community Development Department
- Contra Costa County Assessors Office

No references to hazardous materials use or commercial/industrial activities were noted in the County Community Development or Building Department files. Permits for mobile homes, lot line adjustments, minor subdivision applications, and use permits (gas wells) were noted on file with the Community Development Department.

\subsection*{4.3.2 Aerial Photographs}

The following aerial photographs, provided by Pacific Aerial Survey in Oakland, Califormia, were reviewed for information regarding past conditions and land use at the subject site and in the immediate vicinity:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline PHOTO NUMBER & DATE \\
\hline AV 5200-137-09/10 & \(7-30-96\) \\
\hline AV 4230-137-07/08 & \(07-24-92\) \\
\hline AV 3368-34-09/10 & \(08-30-88\) \\
\hline AV 2655-15-03/04 & \(06-11-85\) \\
\hline AV 2050-15-17/18 & \(10-18-81\) \\
\hline AV 844-24-32/33 & \(05-02-68\) \\
\hline AV 253-35-10/11 & \(05-15-57\) \\
\hline ABC-3K-141/142 & \(06-08-53\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{On-Site Conditions - Emerson Parcels}

\section*{\(037-191-009\)}

Conditions appear similar on the 1981 - 1996 photographs. Reduced development is observed at the dairy site on the 1968 photograph. The dairy pond is not observed on the 1957 photograph.

\section*{\(037-191-012\) 008}

The small vineyard area is apparent on the eight photographs. Conditions appear similar on the photographs, with the exception of a small residential structure within the vineyard area, as noted on the 1953-1957 photographs.

037-192 -015
A mobile home unit is observed on the eight photographs reviewed.
037-192-016
Conditions across the parcel appear similar on the 1981-1996 photographs. The eastern of the two residences does not appear on the 1963-1968 photographs. The barn structure is apparent on all eight of the photographs.

\section*{On.-Site Conditions - Burroughs Percels}

032-081-004

The oil well facility and support structure along Jersey Island Road are observed on the 1968 1996 photographs. The ranch structure is apparent on the eight photographs reviewed.

032-081-008
A former residence is noted on the pre-1996 photographs. A former gas well site is apparent on the 1968-1996 photographs.

032 -081-013

The parcel appears as undeveloped open space on the photographs reviewed.
032-081-014

Conditions appear generally consistent with current. No gas well sites are evident on the 1953 1957 photographs.
\(037-1911-012\)

The parcel appears as undeveloped open space on the photographs reviewed.
Off-Site Conditions:

The nearby properties appear as undeveloped open space, pasture, or ranch sites prior to the existing developments. Some gas well development is apparent to the east of Jersey Island Road and on the Gilbert Parcels between the Burroughs/Emerson properties.

\subsection*{4.3.3 Fire Insurance Maps}

Environmental Data Resources (EDR), Inc. prepared a fire insurance map abstract for the subject site and surrounding properties. No fire insurance maps were located for the target property.

\subsection*{4.3.4 Topographic Maps}

US Geological Survey Topographic Maps 7.5 and 15 minute quadrangles dated 1906-1978 were reviewed for indications of potential variation in site topography, hydrology, indications of past development, and improvements on the site.

\section*{Emerson Parcels}

Areas of development noted from the map review include the primary dairy facility, the Emerson homestead/Iron Horse School site, and the mobile home improvements at the southeast corner. In addition, several structures are noted in the vicinity of the small vineyard (northwest area). One structure is denoted at the terminus of a dirt road in the northeast property area.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}

No development is shown in the north property area, or the residential area near the Jersey Island fork. Structures are noted within the area of the former dairy farm and the Burroughs homesite since 1906. Fewer structures are observed prior to the 1960s. Structures are noted within the area of the barn at the northwest comer of APN 032-081-004. No evidence of development is shown at the \(S\). Croix gas well site, with the exception of one structure denoted on the 1978 map. Several small structures are observed along the Cypress Road frontage dating back to 1911.

No apparent significant changes in topographic and hydrologic conditions were noted from the map review.

\subsection*{5.0 SITTE RECONNAISSANCE}

\subsection*{5.1 Site Reconnaissance}

An ENGEO Staff Environmental Assessor conducted a site reconnaissance in January 1999. The property was viewed for hazardous materials storage, surficial staining or discoloration, debris, stressed vegetation, or other conditions which may be indicative of potential sources of soil or ground-water contamination. The site was also inspected for fill/ventilation pipes, ground subsidence, or other evidence of existing or preexisting underground storage tanks.

\subsection*{5.1.1 Hazardous Substances in Connection with Identified Uses}

\section*{Emerson \(\mathbb{P}\) arcels}

Hazardous/potentially hazardous substances noted on the Emerson property included the following:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ SUBSTANCE } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ VOLUMIE } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ LOCATION } \\
\hline Kerosene & \(<55\) gallons & Oil House \\
\hline Motor Oil & \(<250\) gallons & Oil House \\
\hline Lube/Hydraulic Oil & \(<250\) gallons & Oil House \\
\hline Antifreeze & \(<55\) gallons & Oil House \\
\hline Pesticides/Herbicides & \(<55\) gallons & Pesticide/herbicide shed \\
\hline Diesel Fuel & 10,000 gal. & AGT Compound \\
\hline Gasoline & 10,000 gal. & AGT Compound \\
\hline Waste Oil & \(\pm 550\) gallons & AGT east of oil house \\
\hline Vehicle Batteries & Appx. Ten & Garage units \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}

Existing chemical storage noted on the property was found limited to less than 30 gallons of miscellaneous petroleum products located within the shop building. Diesel fuel, motor oil/waste oil and gas well additives were observed within the existing gas well compounds. Some areas of soil staining were noted within the gas well compounds.

\subsection*{5.1.2 Hazardous Substance Containers}

Emersoni Parcels

A number of empty petroleum product drums were observed within the maintenance shop and to the northwest of the shop building.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}

Ten to twelve empty petroleum drums were observed within the Burroughs homesite area; specifically within the shop building, the carport and near the barn. Several empty boxes of "fly dust" were also observed. Three 5-gallon buckets of a thinner ("xyol") and four roof coating buckets were observed within the abandoned dairy building.

\subsection*{5.1.3 Storage Tanks}

\section*{Emerson Parcels}

Mr. Emerson indicated that a \(\pm 250\)-gallon underground fuel storage tank was removed 15 20 years ago at the southwest corner of the maintenance garage structure (Figure 7). Mr. Emerson indicated the UST was removed prior to the requirement of permits. No indication of
leakage was observed at the time of the removal. In addition to the aforementioned UST, a sealed sump exists at the east end of the petroleum shed. Mr. Emerson was unaware of discharges to the sump.

A \(\pm 550\)-gallon above ground waste oil tank is located to the east of the oil house (Figure 7). Mr. Emerson indicated the tank is periodically pumped out by recyclers. Some minor staining was noted beneath the AGT.

The existing above ground fuel compound consists of a concrete vault with two 10,000 -gallon capacity diesel and gasoline tanks (Figure 7). No indication of a release around the vault was noted. Mr. Emerson was unaware of soil contamination associated with the tanks. Apparently, the AGTs were previously stored above bare soil. Molasses tanks are located adjacent to the fuel AGTs.

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}

No physical evidence of existing or preexisting underground fuel storage tanks was noted on the property, with the exception of several riser pipes noted behind the Phillips Petroleum storage building. According to Phillips personnel, the pipes are associated with a septic system. The property representative was unaware of underground fuel storage tanks on the property.

Two above ground fuel tanks, 1,000 gallons in capacity are located at the Burroughs homesite, to the north of the maintenance shop building (Figure 5). According to the property representative, the empty AGTs previously contained gasoline and diesel fuel. Some minor staining was noted beneath the AGTs. Above ground diesel, gas well additive, and condensate tanks exist at the three active well sites and the St. Croix/Phillips facility.

\subsection*{5.1.4 PCBs and Radon}

Several pole-mounted transformers exist across the property. No indication of leakage from the units was noted.

The USEPA and CAL-EPA have conducted studies of radon risks throughout the state. Results of the studies indicate that average statistical radon concentrations in Contra Costa County are less than the current EPA action level.

\subsection*{5.1.5 Asbestos-Containing Materials}

An asbestos survey was not included as part of the scope of services. Based on the age of the existing structures, it is conceivable that asbestos-containing materials may have been used in construction. An addition, we understand that some transite water pipe exists at the Emerson dairy facility.

\subsection*{5.1.6 Solid Waste Disposal}

No evidence of hazardous waste disposal was viewed on the property at the time of the site reconnaissance. A dairy pond is used at the Emerson dairy facility to collect rinse water from the livestock pens and dairy facilities. In addition, there are several large manure piles on the property. Mr. Emerson and Mr. Treat were not aware of waste disposal on the property.

\subsection*{6.0 INTHERVIEWS WITH OWNERS/OCCUPANTS}

Mr. Stan Emerson and Mr. Robert Treat, representing the Emerson and Burroughs properties, were interviewed with regard to the study area. The property representatives were not aware of existing or preexisting environmental conditions associated with the property. The owners were also not aware of previous environmental site assessments, audits or environmentally related permits for the property.

According to Mr . Emerson, the areas outside of the dairy and residential developments have principally been used as pasture. Agricultural use has been limited to cultivation of clover, corn, alfalfa and grasses. Mr. Emerson was unaware of significant pesticide applications past or present.

Mr. Treat indicated that the dairy facility discontinued operation in the 1970s. The property representative stated that the site was currently used for residential use, with some limited natural gas production. Mr. Treat informed ENGEO that several of the well sites had been recently reworked to allow for additional production.

\subsection*{7.0 DISCUSSION}

Based on the findings of the assessment, ENGEO has identified the following potential environmental concerns for the properties:

\section*{Emerson Parcels}
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities, it is possible that site soils and ground water may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.
- Above Ground Fuel Tanks

The AGTs are currently located within a concrete vault; however we understand the tanks were previously stored above bare ground. It is possible that some impact to soil and/or ground water may have occurred as a result of product usage.
- Waste Oil Tank

Some soil staining was noted beneath the above ground waste oil tank. It appears that spillage of motor oil has impacted near surface soil.
- Oil House

No apparent indication of soil impacts were noted in association with the petroleum product storage, with the exception of some discoloration of soil at the east side of the shed. It is conceivable some impact to soil may have occurred as a result of past product spills.
- Pesticide Shed

No indication of a substance release or soil impacts was noted within the area of the pesticide shed. It is possible that soils may have been impacted as a result of past product spillage.
- Former Underground Fuel Tank

Mr. Emerson indicated there was no evidence of fuel releases at the time of the UST removal. Based on this observation, it is unlikely that significant impacts exist.
- Asbestos-Containing Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of structures, it is conceivable that asbestos containing materials may have been used in construction.

\section*{Burroughis Parcels}
- Existing/Preexisting Natural Gas Well Sites

Potential impacts associated with the existing/preexisting well sites could include the following:
- Hydrocarbon impacts to soil/ground-water as a result of spillage from condensate tanks
- Spillage from above ground diesel and motor oil tanks
- Hydrocarbon impacts within the area of compressor units
- Mercury impacts adjacent/beneath meter sheds
- Hydrocarbon/barium impacts associated with former drill sumps
- Hydrocarbon impacts around well heads
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities, is possible that site soils and ground water, may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.
- Above Ground Fuel Tanks

The AGTs located to the north of the maintenance shed are currently empty; however some soil staining was observed beneath the tanks. It is likely that some localized impact to site soils may have occurred as a result of past leakage/spills from the AGTs.
- Car Port/Garage

A possible maintenance pit/sump, covered by wooden planks, was observed within the garage unit. Potential soil impacts may have occurred if motor oil, fuels, or solvents were discharged to the pit.
- Asbestos-Containing Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of the structures, it is conceivable that asbestos-containing materials may have been used in construction.

\subsection*{8.0 RLCOMMMENDATIONS}

ENGEO has performed a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment of the subject property in accordance with the outlined scope of services. Based on the findings of this assessment, ENGEO provides the following recommendations:

\section*{Emerson Parcels}
- Demolition/Pre-Grading Observation

An environmental professional should view the property at the time of demolition and pregrading activities, to observe areas that may have been obscured by structures or debris. In particular, the areas around the oil house, pesticide shed, maintenance building and above ground should be inspected for possible buried structures and stained/odoriferous soil. A soil sample should also be recovered from the former UST location for laboratory testing. Additional recommendations for subsurface assessments may be provided at the time of demolition.
- Asbestos Survey

An asbestos survey of the existing structures should be undertaken prior to any future demolition work.
- Septic Systems/Water Wells

Existing septic systems and domestic/irrigation wells should be removed/abandoned in accordance with county/state regulations.

\section*{Burroughn Parcels}
- Natural Gas Well Sites

A Phase II assessment of the existing/former gas well sites should be undertaken. The assessment should include recovery of soil and ground-water samples with laboratory analysis for petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.
- Asbestos Survey

An asbestos survey of the existing structures should be undertaken prior to any future demolition work.
- Demolition/Pre-Grading Observation

An environmental professional should view the property at the time of demolition and pregrading activities, to observe areas that may have been obscured by structures or debris. In particular, the areas around the car port, maintenance building above ground tanks and dairy facilities should be inspected for possible buried structures and stained/odoriferous soil. Additional recommendations for subsurface assessments may be provided at the time of demolition.
- Septic Systems/Water Wells

Existing septic systems and domestic/irrigation wells should be removed/abandoned in accordance with county/state regulations.

\section*{APPPIENDIXA}

Figure 1 Site Location Map
Figure 2 Site Topography
Figure 3 Record Boundary
Figure \(4 \quad\) Natural Bas Well Sites
Figure 5 Site Photographs - Burroughs Property
Figure 6 Site Photographs - Burroughs Property
Figure 7 Site Photographs - Emerson Property
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\title{
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\author{
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ENGEO Inc.
2401 Crow Canyon Road
San Ramon, CA 94583

Order Date: 11/16/98
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Site Name: Cypress Corridor
Address: Cypress Corridor
City/State: Oakley, CA 94561
Cross Streets:

This document reports that the largest and most complete collection of Sanborn fire insurance maps has been reviewed based on client-supplied information, and fire insurance maps depicting the target property at the specified address were not identified.
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\section*{Disclaimer and Othar Information}

This Report contains information obtained from a variety of public and other sources and Environmental Data Resources, linc. (EDR) makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy, reliability, quality, suitability, or completeness of said information or the information contained in this report. The customer shall assume full responsibility for the use of this report.
NO WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, SHALL APPLY AND EDR SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF SUCH WARRANTIES. IN NO EVENT SHALL EDR BE LIABLE TO ANYONE FOR SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEOUENTIAL OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. COPYRIGHT (C) 1998 BY ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Unless otherwise indicated, all trademarks used herein are the property of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates.

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

A search of available environmental records was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). The report meets the government records search requirements of ASTM Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments, E 1527-97. Search distances are per ASTM standard or custom distances requested by the user.

The address of the subject property for which the search was intended is:
CYPRESS AVENUE
OAKLEY, CA 94561
No mapped sites were found in EDR's search of available ("reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the subject property or within the ASTM E \(1527-97\) search radius around the subject property for the following Databases:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline NPL & National Priority List \\
\hline Delisted NPL: & NPL Deletions \\
\hline RCPIS-TSD: & Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System \\
\hline Notify 65: & Notify 65 \\
\hline Toxic Pits: & Toxic Pits \\
\hline CERC-NFRAP: & Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System \\
\hline CORRACTS: & Corrective Action Report \\
\hline SWF/LF:. & State Landfill \\
\hline AST: & Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities \\
\hline RAATS:- & RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System \\
\hline WMUDS: & WMUDS/SWAT \\
\hline RCPIS-LQG: & Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System \\
\hline HMMRS: & Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System \\
\hline PADS: & PCB Activity Database System \\
\hline ERNS: & Emergency Response Notification System \\
\hline FINDS: & Facility Index System \\
\hline TRIS:. & Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System \\
\hline TSCA: & Toxic Substances Control Act \\
\hline MLTS: & Material Licensing Tracking System \\
\hline NPM Lien: & NPL Liens \\
\hline ROD: & ROD \\
\hline CONSENT: & Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees \\
\hline Cand WDS. & CA WDS \\
\hline 5 Bay Reg. 2: & South Bay Region 2 \\
\hline Coal Gas: & Former Manufactured gas (Coal Gas) Sites. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Unmapped (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.

\section*{Search Pesults:}

Search results for the subject property and the search radius, are listed below:

\section*{Subject Properly:}

The subject property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

\section*{Surrounding Properties:}

Elevations have been determined from the USGS 1 degree Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. EDR's definition of a site with an elevation equal to the subject property includes a tolerance of -10 feet. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the subject property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the subject property (by more than 10 feet). Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDA Radius Map report where detailed data on individual siles can be reviewed.

Sites listed in bold italics are in multiple databases.
AWP: California DTSC's Annual Workplan, formerly known as BEP, identifies known hazardous substance sites targeted for cleanup. The source is the California Environmental Protection Agency.

A review of the AWP list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 AWP site within approximately 3 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir & Map ID & Page \\
\hline & 739 MRL AVE & -2 & & 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CAL-SITES: Formerly known as ASPIS, this database contains both known and potential hazardous substance sites. The source is the California Department of Toxic Substance Control.

A review of the Cal-Sites list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Cal-Sites site within approximately 3 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/higher Elevation & Address & Dis & Map ID & Page \\
\hline COOK BATHERY (OARXEYBATTERY & 739 HRL AVE & >2 & E19 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CHMPRS: The California Hazardous Material Incident Report System contains information on reported hazardous material incidents, i.e., accidental releases or spills. The source is the California Office of Emergency Services.

A review of the CHMIRS list, as provided by EDR, and dated \(12 / 31 / 1994\) has revealed that there are 3 CHMIRS sites within approximately 3 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map 10 & Page \\
\hline Not reported & HIGHWAY 4 / CYPRESSR & 1-2 & WSW & & 10 \\
\hline Not reported & HWY 4/MALICOAT AVE & \(>2\) & SW & F25 & 20 \\
\hline Not reported & 70AA RT 4 DOUGLAS ROAD & \(>2\) & SSW & F33 & 23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CORTESE: This database identifies public drinking water wells with detectable levels of contamination, hazardous substance sites selected for remedial action, sites with known toxic material identified through the abandoned site assessment program, sites with UST's having a reportable release and all solid waste disposal facilities from which there is known migration. The source is the Califomia Environmental Protection Agency/Office of Emergency Information.

A review of the Cortese list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there are 3 Cortese sites within approximately 3 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & & & Map 1D & Page \\
\hline SAVERRS SS & 4 HWY (2323) & -2 & W & 45 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map ID & Page \\
\hline OAKLEY BUILDERS SUPPLY & MAIN ST (800) & \(>2\) & W & 46 & 28 \\
\hline A * M MARKET (PREWIOUSM & MAAMST (4OT) & -2 & W & 47 & 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CEFCLIS: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).
CERCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

A review of the CERCLIS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/27/1998 has revealed that there is 1 CERCLIS site within approximately 2.5 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & & Map ID & Page \\
\hline COOKS BATTEAY SITE & 738 HMLL AVE & -2 & E29 & 22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

LUST: The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board Leaking Underground Storage Tank Information System.

A review of the LUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/01/1998 has revealed that there are 3 LUST sites within approximately 2.5 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map ID & Page \\
\hline FOOD \& LIQUOR \#86 & HWY 4/CYPRESS & 1-2 & WSW & & 10 \\
\hline OAKLEY BUTLDERS SUPPLY & 800 MLAMA ST. HWV Hey & >2 & W & 42 & 26 \\
\hline SAVERSSS & A HWY (2323) & >2 & W & 45 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

UST: The Underground Storage Tank database contains registered USTS. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board's Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database.

A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 10/15/1990 has revealed that there are 8 UST sites within approximately 2.25 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Eguai/higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map ID & Page \\
\hline DELBARBA RANCH & RT. 4 BOX 351 ROSE AVE. & 1-2 & WSW & 13 & 12 \\
\hline PACFFIC BELL & 232574775 & \(\rightarrow 2\) & W & D18 & 13 \\
\hline OAKLEY FIRE DEPARTMENT & 2ND \& RUBY STREET & \(>2\) & W & D21 & 18 \\
\hline OAKLEY FIRE DEPARTMENT STATION & 2ND AND RUBY STREET & \(>2\) & W & G32 & 23 \\
\hline CHARLES \& GLADY PETERSON & 213 O'HARA AVE. P.O. BO & \(>2\) & W & G37 & 24 \\
\hline KNIGHTSEN FIRE STATION 94 & 2ND AND A STREET & \(>2\) & S & 41 & 25 \\
\hline OANLEYBUMLDERS SUPPLY &  & \(\rightarrow 2\) & \(M\) & 42 & 26 \\
\hline DE FREMERY RANCH & SELLERS AVE 1/4. MI. SO & \(>2\) & S & 143 & 26 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

CAFDD: The Facility Inventory Database contains active and inactive underground storage tank locations. The source is the State Water Resource Control Board.

A review of the Ca. FID list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Ca . FID site within approximately 2.25 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Eghaa/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir & Map 10 & Page \\
\hline & TR 3 BOR 526HMY4 & \(\pm 2 \ldots\) & H39 & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HAZNET: The data is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically \(700,000-1,000,000\) annually, representing approximately \(350,000-500,000\) shipments. Data from non-California manifests \& continuation sheets are not included at the present time. Data are from the manifests submitted without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elements such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, \& disposal method. The source is the Department of Toxic Substance Control is the agency

A review of the HAZNET list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there are 6 HAZNET sites within approximately 2.25 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map ID & Page \\
\hline BRENTWOOD CHIROPRACTIC & 3440 BRENTWOOD BL.VD & 1-2 & SW & 12 & 11 \\
\hline CRAFTSMAN'S AUTO BODY & 3850 MAIN ST & \(>2\) & W & C23 & 19 \\
\hline OAKLEY VETERINARY HOSPITAL & 3807 MAIN ST & \(>2\) & W & C 24 & 19 \\
\hline LOYD ENGINEERING & 3780 MAIN STREET & \(>2\) & W & C 26 & 20 \\
\hline PG\&E & 3765 MAIN ST & \(>2\) & W & C28 & 21 \\
\hline OAKLEY CHIROPRACTIC CLINIC & 3478 MAIN ST & >2 & W & H35 & 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RCRIS: The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act database includes selected information on sites that generate, store, treat, or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Act. The source of this database is the U.S. EPA.

A review of the RCRIS-SQG list, as provided by EDR, and dated 07/01/1998 has revealed that there is 1 RCRIS-SQG site within approximately 2.25 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir & Map ID & Page \\
\hline PACIFIC BELL & 33 STAR ST & >2 M & 216 & 173 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CASLIC: SLIC Region comes from the California Regional Water Ouality Control Board.
A review of the CA SLIC list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 CA SLIC site within approximately 2.5 miles of the subject properly.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & & Map ID & Page \\
\hline & 39 HILL AVENUE, OAKLEY & \(>2\) & E18 & 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

BEP: Bond Expenditure Plan comes from the Depariment of Health Services.
A review of the Ca. BEP list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Ca . BEP site within approximately 3 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/higher Elevation & Address & & Map ID & Page \\
\hline & 39 HILL AVENUE & \(>2\) & & 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

SLinists includes sites from the Underground Tank Program, Hazardous Waste Generator Program \& Business Plan 12185 Program

A review of the Ca. SL list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there are 23 Ca . SL sites within approximately 2.25 miles of the subject property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Egual/Higher Flevation & Adedress & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map HD & Page \\
\hline CCC PUBLIC WORKS DEPT & CYPRESS RD AT SElLERS & 1/2.1 & SSW & 1 & 9 \\
\hline TEXACOINC & JERSEY ISLAND RD/CYPRES & 1-2 & SE & 2 & 9 \\
\hline BENNETT RESIDENCE & MACHADO LANE 4253 & 1-2 & SSW & 3 & 9 \\
\hline S \& G GAS FOOD \& LIOUOR & CYPRESS RD E 101 & 1-2 & SW & 4 & 9 \\
\hline CELONI SERVICE STATION & CYPRESS RD W 440 & 1-2 & WSW & 7 & 10 \\
\hline A\& A AUTOPARTS \& SALES & BRENTVOOD BLVD 6240 & 1-2 & WSW & B8 & 10 \\
\hline DISCO BAY DETALIDELTA & BRENTWOOD ELVD 6330 & 1-2 & WSW & B9 & 11 \\
\hline H\& M AUTO DISMANTLERS & MAN ST 5740 & 1-2 & WSW & B10 & 11 \\
\hline WAPAICC SUBSTATION H1 & HWY 4 / ROSEAVE & 1-2 & WSW & 11 & 11 \\
\hline PACIFIC BELLJOAKLEY & STAR ST 301 & 1-2 & WSW & & 13 \\
\hline OAKLEY SPOPTS & MAN 3903 & \(>2\) & W & C15 & 13 \\
\hline LARRY'S AUTO \& ELECTRIC & 2ND ST 120 & \(>2\) & W & C17 & 14 \\
\hline CONTRA COSTA FIRE STA \(\# 93\) & 2ND ST 215 & \(>2\) & W & D22 & 18 \\
\hline PACIFIC BELL MOBILE & MAIN ST 3775 & \(>2\) & W & C27 & 21 \\
\hline WEIL PROPERTY & ACME STE 115 & \(>2\) & W & C30 & 22 \\
\hline OAKLEY 1 HOUR CLEANERS & MAIN ST 3647 & \(>2\) & W & C31 & 23 \\
\hline LENAS ANTIQUES & MAIN ST 3530 & \(>2\) & W & H34 & 23 \\
\hline CHEAPEP \$169 & MAIN ST 3475 & \(>2\) & W & H36 & 24 \\
\hline CUTINOS FEED \& TIRE CENTER & MAIN ST (HWY 4) 500 & \(>2\) & W & H38 & 24 \\
\hline NORHANS SRENTWOOD NURSERY & मR 3 BOA 586\%MVT & \(>2\) & W & H899 & 25 \\
\hline B \& N AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE & BOLTON RDE 23 & \(>2\) & SSW & 40 & 25 \\
\hline OAKLEY BUHL DERS SUPPL & B00 MAIN ST: HWY HA & \(>2\) & W & 42 & 26 \\
\hline QUINTANA PETROLEUM CORP & SELLERS / DELTARD & \(>2\) & S & 144 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

Due to poor or inadeguate address information, the following sites were not mapped:

Site Name
GEOTHERMAL INDUSTRIES INC
BELLORANCH
9-1 GAS WELL
PAUL SOMERHALDER
BRUCE SOMERHALDER
KNIGHTSEN FIRE STATION
DANTE MASSONI
OAKLEY TRUCK \& TRACTOR REPAIR
FOBERT DAL PORTO
OAKLEY RANCH
EMEFSON DAIBY
STACY TPUCKING
SILVIO DELL'ANTICO
ALFRED OR DARLENE DEJESUS
1X BRIDGEHEAD INC
CONTRA COSTA FIRE STA \#94
PG\&E 9-1 GAS WELL
SOMERHALDER, PAUL
BELLO RANCH
SOMERHALDER, BRUCE
KNIGHTSEN POST OFFICE
KNIGHTSEN BOAT WORKS
MASSONI, DANTE
NGC-CESA \#1
OAKLEY MUFFLER
DAL PORTO, ROBERT
KENNEDY, HOOVERE.
BLUE STAR GAS MART
DOC'S MARINA
RICH LADEIRA TRUCKING INC OAKLEY HOTEL
G \& E SALES AND RENTAL
SHOSHONE OIL CORPORATION
COUNTRY INVESTORS
DELBAREA RANCH
DEJESUS, JOHN V.
BACCHINI RANCH
EMERSON DAIRY
SUNSET EXPL NGC-NUNN \(1 \& 2\)
WESTERN CONTL L NGC-KYSH-1
MIKE BOYD TRANSPORT

Database(s)
RCAIS-SQG, FINDS, CORRACTS,
CERC-NFRAP
UST
UST
UST
UST
UST
UST
UST, Ca. SL.
UST'
UST, Ca. SL
UST
RCFIS-SQG, FINDS, UST
UST, Ca. SL
UST
HAZNET
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL
Ca. SL

\begin{tabular}{|ll|ll|}
\hline TARGET PROPERTY: & Cypress Corridor & Cypess Avenue & CUSTOMER: \\
ADDRESS: & ENGEO Inc. \\
CITY/STATE/ZIP: & Oakesey CA 94561 & CONTACT: & Shawn Munger \\
LAT/LONG: & \(38.0015 / 121.6749\) & INQURY \(\#\) & 031231.11 \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1 \\ SUMMAPY}

\section*{TARGET PROPERTY COORDINATES}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Latitude (Norih): & \(38.001499-38^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 5.4^{\prime \prime}\) \\
L.ongitude (West): & \(121.674896-121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 29.6^{\prime \prime}\) \\
Universal Transverse Mercator: & Zone 10 \\
UTM X (Meters): & -8249783.0 \\
UTM Y (Meters): & 19198726.0
\end{tabular}

USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WHTH THIS SITE
Target Property: 2438121-A6 JERSEY ISLAND, CA

\section*{GEOLOGIC AGE IDENTIFIGATIONT}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Geologic Code: & Q \\
Era: & Cenozoic \\
System: & Quaternary \\
Series: & Quaternary
\end{tabular}

ROCK STRATIGRAPHIC UNIT \(\dagger\)
Category:
Stratifed Sequence

\section*{GROUNDWATEA FLOW INFORAATION}

Groundwater flow divection for a particular site is best devernined by a qualified environmentaf professional using sile specific well data. If such datio is not reasonably ascentainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of informatlon, including well data collected on nearby properties, regionat groundwater flow intormation from deep aguifers), or surface ropography. \(\ddagger\)

AQUIFLOW \({ }^{\text {mw** }}\) Search Radius: 2.000 Miles
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
MAP ID \\
Not Reported & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DISTANCE \\
FROM TP
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DIRECTION \\
FROM TP
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

General Topographic Gradient at Target Property: General North
General Hydrogeologic Gradient at Target Property: No hydrogeologic data available.
Site-Specific Hydrogeological Data*:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Search Radius: & 2.0 miles \\
Status: & Not found
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL DATABASE WELI INFORMATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WELL QUADRANT & DISTANCE FROM TP & LITHOLOGY & DEPTH TO WATER TABLE \\
\hline Northern & 1-2 Miles & Not Reported & 5 ft . \\
\hline Eastern & 1/2-1 Mile & Not Reporied & Not Reported \\
\hline Southern & 1/8-1/4 Mile & Not Reported & Not Reported \\
\hline Western & 1-2 Miles & Nol Reported & 48 ft . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

STATLE DATABASE WELINPORMATION
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
WELL \\
QUADRANT
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DISTANCE \\
NROM TP
\end{tabular} \\
& & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Norihern & & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Eastern & & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Southern & & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Western & &
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\(\dagger\) Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Arndt and W.J. Bawiec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - A digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Daia Series DDS - 11 (1994). U.S. EPA Ground Water Handbook, Voi I: Ground Water and Contamination, Office of Research and development EPA625/6-90/016a,Chapter 4, page 78, September 1990.

EDR AQUIFLOW \({ }^{\text {ma }}\) information System of hydrogeologically determined groundwater flow directions at spectic locations. See the date pages at the end of this report for a compiete description.
}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{STATE OLLGAS WELL INPORMATION}
\(\frac{\text { API \# }}{\text { NO WELLS FOUND }}\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
DISTANCE \\
FROMTP
\end{tabular}

\section*{PUIHLC WATER SUPRLY SYSTEM INFORMATION}

Searched by Nearest PWS.
NOTE: PWS System location is not always the same as well location.
PWS Name: BRIDGEHEAD RENTALS SWS
MAUAICE AND GENEVA LODGE
5540 HIGHWAY
OAKLEY, CA 94561
Location Relative to TP: \(>2\) Miles West
PWS currently has or has had major violation(s): Yes

\section*{AREA RADON INFORMATION}

EPA Radon Zone for CONTRA COSTA County: 2
Note: Zone 1 indoor average level \(>4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\).
: Zone 2 indoor average level \(>=2 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\) and \(<=4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\).
: Zone 3 indoor average level < \(2 \mathrm{pCl} / \mathrm{L}\).
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CA
Number of sites tested: 55
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Area & Average Activity & \% <4 pCi/L & \% 4-20 pCi/L & \% \(>20 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Living Area - 1st Floor & \(0.760 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\) & 100\% & 0\% & 0\% \\
\hline Living Area - 2nd Floor & \(0.300 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}\) & 100\% & 0\% & 0\% \\
\hline Basement & \(0.525 \mathrm{pCl/L}\) & 100\% & 0\% & 0\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

OVERVIEW MAP - 0312391.1 - ENCEO Inc.


Cypress Corridor Cypress Avenue Oakley CA 94561 \(38.0015 / 121.6749\)

CUSTOMER: ENGEOInc.
CONTACT: Shawn Munger
INOURYY H: 0312391.1r
DATE:

DETAL MAP - O312391.17. ENGEO Inc.

* Target Property
* Sites at elevaions higher than or equal to the terget property
* Sites at elevations lower than the target property
B Coal Gasification Sites (if requested)

\section*{Sensitive Receptors}

National Priority List Sites
Landfill Sites

A Power transmission lines
*/ Oil \& Gas pipelines
100-year flood zone
500 -year flood zone
Wettands per National
Wetlands Inventory (1994)

Cypress Corridor Cypress Avenue Oakley CA 94561 \(38.0015 / 121.6749\)

CUSTOMER
CONTACT
INOUIRY \#:
DATE:

ENGEOInc. Shawn Munger 0312391.11 November 17, 1998 4:08 pm

\section*{MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY SHOWING All Sites}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Database & Target Property & Search Distance (Miles) & < 1/8 & 1/8-1/4 & 1/4-1/2 & 1/2-1 & \(>1\) & Total Plotted \\
\hline NPL & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Delisted NPL & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline RCRIS-TSD & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline AWP & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Cal-Sites & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Notify 65 & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CHMIRS & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Contese & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Toxic Pits & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CERCLIS & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline CERC NFPAP & & TP & NF & NA & NR & NR & NA & 0 \\
\hline CORAACTS & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline State Landfill & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline LUST & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline UST & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline CAFID & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline AST & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline RAATS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NA & 0 \\
\hline WMUDS/SWAT & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline HAZNET & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline RCRIS Sm. Quan. Gen. & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline RCRIS Lg. Quan. Gen. & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline HMIRS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NH & NR & 0 \\
\hline PADS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline ERNS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline FINDS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline TPIS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline TSCA & & TP & NF & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline MLTS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline NPL Liens & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline CA SLIC & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline CA Bond Exp. Plan & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline ROD & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CONSENT & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CAWDS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline Site List & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 22 & 23 \\
\hline South Bay Region 2 & & TP & NF & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline Coal Gas & & 2.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{TP = Target Properiy} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\(N R=\) Not Requested at this Search Distance} \\
\hline * Sites may be listed in & e than one & tabase & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY SHOWING} ONLY SITES HIGHER THAN OR THE SAME ELEVATION AS TP
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Database & Target Property & \begin{tabular}{l}
Search \\
Distance \\
(Miles)
\end{tabular} & <1/8 & 1/8-1/4 & 1/4-1/2 & 1/2-1 & \(>1\) & Total Plotted \\
\hline NPL & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Delisted NPL. & & TP & NA & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline RCRIS-TSD & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline AWP & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Cal-Sties & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Notify 65 & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CHMIRS & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Cortese & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Toxic Pits & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CERCLIS & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline CEPC-NPRAP & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline COFPACTS & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline State Landfill & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline LUST & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline UST & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline CAFID & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline AST & & TP & NA & NH & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline RAATS & & TP & NF & NR & NR & NR & NA & 0 \\
\hline WMUDS/SWAT & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline HAZNET & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline RCAIS Sm. Quan. Cen. & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline RCPIS Lg. Quan. Gen. & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline HMIRS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline PADS & & TP & NR & NF & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline ERNS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline FINDS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline TRIS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline TSCA & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline MLTS & & TP & NR & NH & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline NPL Liens & & TP & NR & NR & NF & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline CA SLIC & & 2.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline CA Bond Exp. Plan & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline ROD & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CONSENT & & 3.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline CA WDS & & TP & NR & NR & NR & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline Site List & & 2.250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 22 & 23 \\
\hline South Bay Region 2 & & TP & NF & NF & NR & NR & NH & 0 \\
\hline Coal Gas & & 2.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
TP = Target Property
NR = Not Requested at this Search Distance
}
* Sites may be listed in more than one database
Map ID
Direction
Distance
Elevation Site

Coal Gas Site Search: No site was found in a search of Real Property Scan's ENYROHAZ database.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CGG PUFLIG WOPMES DEPT} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Ca. 5} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5102261382 \\
& N / A
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 55 w & GYPRESS RD AT SE & LEFRS & & \\
\hline \(1 / 2 m\) & OARLEY, CA & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Contra Costa SL:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Facility ID: 71982} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Telephone: (510) 313-2323} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Program Staius:}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Program Status: \\
UST: Inactive
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{HWG: Not reported} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{BUSP: Not reported} & & \\
\hline 2 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{TEXACOINC Ca. SL} & 5103172295 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { SE } & \text { JERSEYISLAND RU/CYPRESS } \\ >1 & \text { OAREEY, CA } \\ \text { Higher } & \end{array}\)}} & & N/A \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Contra Costa SL:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Facility ID: 72103} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Telephone: (805) 392-2478} \\
\hline & Region: & CNTRACSTA & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Status:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
UST: \\
Not reported
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{HWG: Not reported} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{BUSP: Active} \\
\hline 3 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{BENNETT PESIDENCE Ca. SL} & S102261395 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{ll} 
SSW & MACHADO LANE A253 \\
\(>1\) & OARLEY, CA \\
Higher &
\end{tabular}}} & & \[
N / A
\] \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Contra Costa SL:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Facility ID: 71792} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Telephone: Not reported} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Region: CNTRACSTA}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{UST: Inactive} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{HWG: Not reported} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{BUSP: Not reported} \\
\hline 4 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} & 5102261383 \\
\hline 5 W & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CYPRESS RD E 101} & N/A \\
\hline \[
>1
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OAKLEY, CA} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Higher} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Contra Costa SL:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Facility ID: 70155} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Telephone: (510) 625-1126} & \\
\hline & Region. CNTMACSTA & CNTRACSTA & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Status:} \\
\hline & UST: & Active & & \\
\hline & HWG: & Not reported & & \\
\hline & BUSP: & Active & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}





\begin{tabular}{l|ll|} 
Map ID \\
Direction \\
Distance \\
Elevation Site & & MAPFINOINGS \\
EDRID Number
\end{tabular}

COOK BATTERY (OAKLEY BATTERY) (ConUinued)

site. Between 1989 and 1991, DTSC excavated and disposed of the contaminated soil from the site and neighboring properties. In 1989, the highly contaminated soil from the Site and neighboring properties was excavated and disposed. In 1991, the contaminated soil was excavated, consolidated and covered with a chip-seal cap on the Cook properly and Cook Court.
In May 1992, a ground penetrating radar investigation was conducted on Cook Court located next to the 139 Hill Ave. property. Battery casing was tound buried beneath one house. DTSC removed most of the battery casing without damaging the foundation of the house. The remaining battery casing was covered with a concrete pad. Confirmation soll semples collected during the excavation indicated that the soil containing battery casing is below the cleanup level. Between December 1992 and June 1993, DTSC investigated and removed soil containing battery casings from a neighboring property. The soil including the battery casing was disposed of offsite.
In February 1994, DTSC working with the Contra Costa County building depariment demolished the abandoned house at 139 Hill Avenue. The debris was disposed of offsite. In August 1995, DTSC approved removal action workplan (RAW). Acidic soil on the 139 Hill Avenue property was neutralized with limestone, contaminated soil from the Cook Court was excavated and moved onto the site for consolidation, and the entire 139 Hill Avenue property was covered with an asphalt cap. DTSC will implement operations and maintenance activities. Groundwater will be monitored and the asphalt cap will be inspected yearly for deterioration.
Alternative Name: OOK BATTERY (OAKLEY BATTERY)
Alternative Addr: 139 HILL AVENUE
OAKLEY, CA 94561
Alternative Name: OOK BATTERY RECLAMATION
Alternative Addr: 139 HILL AVENUE
OAKLEY, CA 94561
Aliernative Name: AKLEY BATTERY YARD
Alternative Addr: 139 HILL AVENUE
OAKLEY, CA 94561
Alternative Name: ATTERY RECLAMATION BUSINESS
Alernative Addr: 139 HILL AVENUE
OAKLEY, CA 94561
Comment Date: 12/08/1980
Comment: Facility Identified: Phone tip. Facility shut down by Air Board in 1977. Berkeley Environmental Health Dept visited site in response to complaint.
Sample results show high levels of lead.
Site Screening Done: Mitre model required. Preliminary
Assessment required.
Freliminary Assessment Done: Operations included recovery of lead from discarded automobile batteries. Empty batteries disposed at Antioch Dump. Battery acids disposed to ground. ERA (F\&P): Fence \& Post.
Removal Action (BTTAY): Removal and redisposal of 315 cubic yards of highly contaminated soil including large pieces of battery casings. 140 cubic yards of clean fill brought in to backiill excavated area.
Removal Action (FENCE): Adjacent property, Cooks Court
(located at 115/125 Hill Ave, Oakley), fenced and posted. This property is contiguous with the Cook Battery Site. Removal action started 04/24/91 and ended 04/26/91. Work remaining: The horizontal and vertical extent of contamination needs to be defined. In June 1991, DTSC toox approx 1,000 soil samples from the surrounding adjacent properties and analyzed for lead. Also, four monitoring wells were installed on the site. The soil was tested for lead and PH at each well boring as deep as 30 feet. The unconfined equifer below the site was tested for lead and PH. Some unidentitied remedial action will need to be done depending on the results of the soll and water analyses. Records Search: Residential property used as battery reclairning site in the 1950 s \(\% 60 \mathrm{~s}\). Contaminants include high levels of lead.
RA 41ROG/STAB 41 ROG: 40-50 cubic yards of lead contaminated soil was removed from 41 Rogers Lane put into containers and transferred for holding to the fenced property at 139 Hill Avenue. The field work was completed on June 25, 1993. This action resulted in all necessary work being completed at 41 Rogers Lane wthus the 41 Rogers Lane portion of the site has been stabilized and no additional work is required at that parcel.
A concrete pad was placed behind and beside the rear six feet to the Tobar house in order to cover and isolate the battery casings which were left behind when removal actions were done in November 1991. The action was taken to remove the threat if contact with lead contaminated soil. In coordination with the County, DTSC had its contractor demolish the house and dispose of the debris in a local landfill. Removal Action Workplan approved proposes to consolidate soil from the Cook's Court property onto the main Cook site ai 139 Hill Avenue, neutralize acid impacted soils and place an asphalt cap over the entire 139 Hill Avenue site. Removal Action (RA):onsite neutralization, consolidation and encapsulation of contaminated soil. About 250 tons of debris were removed from the site and sent to a municipal Class III landfill. Asbestos containing materials (transite siding from the shed and a 8 -inch diameter transite pipe, approx 60 feet long) found onsite were removed and sent to Altamont landfill. Approx 592 cubic yardis of lead contaminated soils from the back portion of the Cooks Court Area (McArthur Property) and 15 cu yds from the front of the Cook Property were excavated and moved onsite.
About 796 cu yds of low pH soils on the Cook Property were neutralized with limestone onsite before being capped onsite. The entire Cook Property is capped with an asphaltic concrete cover. All contaminated soils above the cleanup levels have been excavated, consolidated and capped on the Cook Property.
RA began 10/30/95 with the closing of the domestic well on the Cook Property. Soil remediation activities began 11/29/95. Contractor mobilized onto the site and began clearing and grubbing activities. Vegetation and trees were removed and disposed of at a municipal landfill. The garage and shed were demolished, and debris was removed either for

\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& & \\
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Map ID \\
Direction \\
Distance \\
Elevation
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}







EDR ID Number EPA ID Number

State UST:
Facility ID: \(\quad 32715\)
Tank Num: 1
Tank Capacity: 560
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: RECULAR
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor
Contaci Name: CHEF . JOSEPH TOVAR
Total Tanks: 1
Facility Type: 1

Container Num: 1
Year Installed: 1981
Tank Constrctn: 12 gauge
Telephone: (415) 625-2102
Region: Not reported
Other Type: \(\quad\) Not reported

42
West \(>1\) Higher

OARLEY BUILDERS SUPPLY
800 MAIN ST. HWY H4
OARLEY, CA 24561

\section*{LUST Region 5:}

Respble Party: Case Type: pilot Program: Staff Initials:
Status: MTBE:
Contra Costa SL:
Facility ID:
Telephone:
Region:
Program Status:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
UST: & Inactive \\
HWG: & Not reported \\
BUSP: & Not reported
\end{tabular}

State UST:
Facility ID: \(\quad 44676\)
Tank Num: 1
Tank Capacity: 10000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: DIESEL
Leak Detection:
Contact Name: Not reported
Total Tanks: 2
Facility Type: 2
Facility ID: \(\quad 44676\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Tank Nurn: } & 2 \\ \text { Tank Capacity: } & 500\end{array}\)
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: REGULAR
Leak Detection: None
Contact Name: Not reporied
Total Tanks: 2
Facility Type: 2
                44676
                                (415) 625-2358
                                CNTRACSTA
                            4676
                            000
                            ESEL
                    reported


\section*{UST}

LUST
Ca. 51

U0015976s
N/A

Lead Agency: Regional
Substance: GASOLINE
Soil only

\section*{No}

EAT
Case Closed by Regional Board
B

Region:
Other Type: BUILDING SUPPLY

Container Num: 2
Year Installed: 1966
Tank Constrctn: \(1 / 8\) inches
Telephone: (415)625-2358
Region: Not reported
Other Type: BUILDING SUPPLY
Container Num: 1
Year Installed: 1976
Tank Constrctn: 1/8 inches
Telephone: (415) 625-2358

Map ID
Direction
Distance
Elevation Site
SAVEP'S SS (Continued) S101294066
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
LUST Region 5: & & & \\
Respble Party: & SAVER'S GAS & Substance: & GASOLINE \\
Case Type: & Soll only & & \\
Pilot Program: & No & & \\
Staff Initals: & EAT & Case Number: & 070018 \\
Status: & Case Closed by Regional Board & & \\
MTBE: & Not reporied & Lead Agency: & Regional \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 46 & OAKLEY EULLDEPS SUPPLY & Cortese & 5101294139 \\
\hline West & MAIN ST (800) & & N/A \\
\hline \(>1\) & OAKLEY, CA gatel & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Higher} \\
\hline 47 & A \& A MARKET (PREVIOUSLY) & Cortese & S100223761 \\
\hline West & MAN ST (407) & LUST & N/A \\
\hline \(>1\) & OAKLEY, CA 94561 & & \\
\hline Higher & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
LUST Region 5: & & & \\
Respble Party: & BRAZ, Lucy & Substance: & GASOLINE \\
Case Type: & Soil only & & \\
Pilot Program: & No & & \\
Staff Initials: & EAT & Case Number: & 070035 \\
Status: & Case Closed by Regional Board & & \\
MTBE: & 8 & Lead Agency: & Regional \\
CORTESE: & & & \\
Reg By: & LTNKA & & \\
Reg Id: & O70035 & & \\
Region: & CORTESE & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Facility ID \\
\hline 58129 \\
00000043315 \\
24886 \\
00000024886 \\
28831 \\
43315 \\
47825 \\
71367 \\
72048 \\
00000028831 \\
00000047825 \\
00000058129 \\
30707 \\
00000030707 \\
\\
\hline 07731 \\
72491 \\
00000058946 \\
43314 \\
00000043314 \\
21859 \\
71132 \\
00000049287 \\
70123 \\
00000024330 \\
00000048289 \\
72278 \\
70919 \\
72395 \\
07523 \\
71841 \\
37590 \\
00000028380 \\
30076 \\
48254 \\
24330 \\
00000045727 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1 ADDENDUM FEDERAL DATABASE WEL INFORMATION}

Well Closest to Target Property (Northem Quadrani)

\section*{BASIC WELL DATA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Site ID: & 380122121390101 & Distance from TP: & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Site Type: & Single well, other than collector or Ranney type & \\
Year Constructed: & 1975 & County: & Contra Costa \\
Alitude: & .00 ft. & State: & Calitomia \\
Well Depth: & 50.00 tt & Topographic Setting: Valley flat \\
Depth lo Water Table: & 5.00 ft & Prim. Use of Site: & Withdrawal of water \\
Date Measured: & 08131975 & Prim. Use of Water: & Domestic
\end{tabular}

\section*{LITHOLOGIC DATA}

Not Reported

WATER LEVEL VARIABHITY
Not Reporied

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1 FEDERAL DATABASE WELLINFOPMATION}

Well Closest to Target Property (Eastern Quadrant)
BASIC WELLDATA
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Site ID: & 380005121394501 & Distance from TP: & \(1 / 2-1\) Mile \\
Site Type: & Single well, other than collector or Ranney type & \\
Year Constructed: & 1961 & County: & Contra Costa \\
Altitude: & 5.00 ft. & State: & California \\
Well Depth: & 350.00 ft. & Topographic Setting: Valley flat \\
Depth to Water Table: & Not Reported & Prim. Use of Site: & Withdrawal of water \\
Date Measured: & Not Reported & Prim. Use of Water: & Irrigation
\end{tabular}

\section*{LTHOLOGIC DATA}

Not Reported

\section*{WATEPR LEVEE VARAAEILITY}

Not Reported

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{FEDERAL DATABASE WELU INFORMATION}

Well Closest to Target Property (Southern Quadrant)
BASIC WELL DATA
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Site ID: & 375956121403201 & Distance from TP: & 1/8-1/4 Mile \\
Site Type: & Single well, other than collector or Ranney type & \\
Year Constructed: & 1959 & County: & Contra Costa \\
Altitude: & .00 ft & State: & California \\
Well Depth: & 333.00 ft. & Topographic Setting: & Valley flat \\
Depth to Water Table: & Not Reponted & Prim. Use of Site: & Withdrawal of water \\
Date Measured: & Not Feported & Prim. Use of Water: & Stock
\end{tabular}

\section*{LITHOLOGIC DATA}

Not Reported

\section*{WATER LEVEL VARIABULTT}

Not Reported

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

FEDERAL DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

Well Closest to Target Property (Westem Quadrant)

\section*{BASIC VEBLDATA}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Site ID: & 375904121420801 & Distance from TP: & \(1-2\) Miles \\
Site Type: & Single well, other than collector or Ranney type & \\
Year Constructed: & 1973 & County: & Contra Costa \\
Altitude: & 47.00 ft & State: & California \\
Well Depth: & 90.00 ft & Topographic Seiting: & Valley flat \\
Depth to Water Table: & 48.00 ft & Prim. Use of Site: & Withdrawal of water \\
Date Measured: & 11161973 & Prim. Use of Water: & Domestic
\end{tabular}

\section*{LHTHOLOGIC DATA}

Not Reported

WATEPREVEE VARUAEHITYY
Not Reported

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 21}

\section*{STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION}

\section*{Water Wells:}

> Well Within 1-2 Miles of Target Property (Northem Quadrant)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Water System Information:} \\
\hline Prime Station Code: & 02N/03E-17A01 M & User ID: & 07C \\
\hline FRDS Number Number: & 0707569001 & County: & Contra Costa \\
\hline District Number: & 37 & Station Type: & WELL/AMBNT/MUN/INTAKE \\
\hline Water Type: & Well/Groundwater & Well Status: & Active Raw \\
\hline Source Latlong: & 380140.01213940 .0 & Precision: & 1,000 Feet ( 10 Seconds) \\
\hline Source Name: & WELL 01 & & \\
\hline System Number: & 0707569 & & \\
\hline System Name: & ANGLER'S RANCH SUB & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Organization That: Operates System:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Not Reported} \\
\hline Pop Served: & Unknown, Small System & Connections: & Unknown, Small System \\
\hline Area Served: & Not Reported & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Well Within 1-2 Miles of Target Property (Eastern Quadrant)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Water System Information:} \\
\hline Prime Station Code: & 02N/03E-21D04 M & User ID: & 07C \\
\hline FRDS Number Number: & 0707593001 & County: & Contra Costa \\
\hline District Number: & 37 & Station Type: & WELL/AMBNT/MUN/INTAKE \\
\hline Water Type: & Wel/Groundwater & Well Status: & Active Raw \\
\hline Source Lat/Long: & 380036.01213915 .0 & Precision: & 1,000 Feet (10 Seconds) \\
\hline Source Name: & WELL 01 & & \\
\hline System Number: & 0707593 & & \\
\hline System Name: & VIKING HARBOR & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Organization That Operates Sysiem:} \\
\hline & Not Reported & & \\
\hline Pop Served: & Unknown, Small System & Connections: & Unknown, Small System \\
\hline Area Served: & Not Reported & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Well Within 1-2 Miles of Target Property (Southern Quadrant)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Water System lnformation:} \\
\hline Prime Station Code: & 02N/03E-321.01 M & User ID: & 07C \\
\hline FRDS Number Number: & 0707514001 & County: & Contra Costa \\
\hline District Number: & 37 & Station Type: & WELL/AMBNT/MUN/INTAKE \\
\hline Water Type: & Well/Groundwater & Well Status: & Active Raw \\
\hline Source Lat'Long: & 375830.01214008 .0 & Precision: & 1,000 Feet (10 Seconds) \\
\hline Source Name: & WELL 01 & & \\
\hline System Number: & 0707514 & & \\
\hline System Name: & MONARCH ENTERPRISES SWS & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Organization That Operates System:} \\
\hline & Not Reported & & \\
\hline Pop Served: & Unknown, Small System & Connections: & Unknown, Small System \\
\hline Area Served: & Not Reported & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1} STATE DATABASE WEL INFORMATION

Well Within 1-2 Miles of Target Property (Western Quadrant)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Water System lniormation:} \\
\hline Prime Station Code: & 02N/02E-25B01 M & User ID: & ENG \\
\hline FRDS Number Nurnber: & 0710007002 & County: & Contra Costa \\
\hline District Number: & 04 & Station Type: & WELL/AMENT/MUN/INTAKE \\
\hline Water Type: & Wel/Groundwater & Well Status: & Active Raw \\
\hline Source LavLong: & 375950.01214200 .0 & Precisior: & 1,000 Feet (10 Seconds) \\
\hline Source Name: & WELL 01 & & \\
\hline System Number: & 0710007 & & \\
\hline System Name: & DIABLO WATER DISTRICT & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Organization That Operates System:} \\
\hline & POBOX 127 & & \\
\hline & OAKLEY, CA 94561 & & \\
\hline Pop Served: & 18000 & Connections: & 6236 \\
\hline Area Served: & OAKLEY & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Sample liformation: * Only Findings Above Detection Level Are Listed} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 09/14/1990 & Findings: & 5.000 UG/L \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{DICHLOROMETHANE} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 04/18/1991 & Findings: & \(9.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS ALPHA} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 04/18/1991 & Findings: & \(3.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS ALPHA COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collecied: & 04/18/1991 & Findings: & 6.000 PCl/L \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS BETA} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 04/18/1991 & Findings: & 2.000 PC//L \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS BETA COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1392 & Findings: & 6.000 PCI/L \\
\hline Chemical: & GROSS ALPHA & & \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & 2.000 PCI/L \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS ALPHA COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & \(1.000 \mathrm{PC} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{GROSS BETA COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & \(1012.000 \mathrm{PCI} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Taltium} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & \(96.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{TRITIUM COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & \(5.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & URANIUM & & \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & \(329.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{TOTAL RADON 222 COUNTING ERROR} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & 17.300 C \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{SOURCE TEMPERATUREC} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & 20.000 UNITS \\
\hline Chemical: & COLOR & & \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & 1400.000 UMHO \\
\hline Chemical: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE} \\
\hline Sample Collected: & 01/07/1992 & Findings: & 7.400 \\
\hline Chemical: & FIELD PH & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1} STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { PH (LABORATORY) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 7.700 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 240.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(240.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
01 / 07 / 1992
\] \\
CARBONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
AMMONIA ( \(\mathrm{NH} 3-\mathrm{N}\) )
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . \(200 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
PHOSPMATE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 200 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { TOTAL. HARDNESS (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(420.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
CALCIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(70.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(43.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/07/1992 SODIUM & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 4.400 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(130.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: DENT) & \(1.100 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
SILICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(33.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/07/1992 ARSENIC & Findings: & 2.200 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
BORON
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 800 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 145.400 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/07/1992 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(940.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
01 / 07 / 1992
\] \\
HYDROXIDE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { NITRATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 4.500 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/07/1992 } \\
& \text { GROSS ALPHA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(6.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
01 / 07 / 1992
\] \\
TRITIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1012.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/43/1992 \\
SOURCE TEMPERATURE C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 19.300 C \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 1750.000 UMHO \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
FIELD PH
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.480 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
PH (LABORATOFY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.800 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(24.0 .000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(240.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACOB)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(530.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
CALCIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(110.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(57.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
SODIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(170.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(5.100 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(160.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/13/1992 } \\
& \text { FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEP }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: DENT) & . \(300 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
SILICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(37.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/13/1992 } \\
& \text { BORON }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & . 900 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 76.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 07/13/1992 TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS & Findings: & \(1090.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
NITRATE (AS NO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(15.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/13/1992 \\
BROMIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . \(500 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
SOURCE TEMPERATURE C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 20.100 C \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10/06/1992 } \\
& \text { FIELD PH }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 7.500 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
PH (LABORATORY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.600 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(243.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10 / 06 / 1992 \\
& \text { BICARBONATE ALKALINITY }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 243.000 MG/L \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1} STATE DATAEASE WELL INPORMATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 508.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 10/06/1992 CALCIUM & Findings: & 69.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 51.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
SODIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 180.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 5.200 MC & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 150.000 & MG/L. \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DE
\end{tabular} & Findings: DENT) & . 320 MG & \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
SILICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 36.000 N & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
ARSENIC
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 3.300 UG & JG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
BORON
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 900 UG & \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 58.000 U & UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 1040.000 & M MGL \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10/06/1992 } \\
& \text { NITRATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 14.000 N & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
ASBESTOS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 10000.00 & .000 MFL. \\
\hline Sample Coilected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/06/1992 \\
BROMIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 600 MG & \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
SOURCE TEMPERATUAE C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 20.000 C & \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 1440.000 & O URHO \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 FIELD PH & Findings: & 7.800 & \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { PH (LABORATORY) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 7.800 & \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 230.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 230.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 444.000 & MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 CALCIUM & Findings: & 88.000 M & MG/L \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{State database werl information}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(43.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 SODIUM & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 POTASSIUM & Findings: & \(4.700 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 140.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 SILICA & Findings: & \(37.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Semple Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 ARSENIC & Findings: & 2.100 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 71.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(920.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
LANGELIER INDEX @ 60 C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.210 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { NITRATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(6.500 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { GROSS ALPHA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(4.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1993 } \\
& \text { GROSS BETA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 7.000 PCI/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 TRITIUM & Findings: & \(186.000 \mathrm{PCl} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1993 URANIUM & Findings: & 6.000 PCI/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1993 \\
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 8.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
07 / 20 / 1993
\] \\
SOURCE TEMPERATURE C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 20.100 C \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
07 / 20 / 1993
\] \\
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 1550.000 UMHO \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
FIELD PH
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.570 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
PH (LABORATORY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.700 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/20/1993 } \\
& \text { TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(247.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
BICAREONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 247.000 MG/L. \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 478.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 07/20/1993 CAlCIUM & Findings: & \(74.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(46.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
SODIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(178.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(4.800 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(150.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
SILICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(33.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
ARSENIC
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 3.900 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
BORON
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(700.000 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 180.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/20/1993 } \\
& \text { TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(1030.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
LANGELIER INDEX @ 60 C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.260 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/20/1993 \\
NITRATE (AS NO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(6.800 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/20/1993 } \\
& \text { NITRATE + NITRITE (AS N) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(1600.000 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/20/1993 } \\
& \text { GROSS ALPHA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(4.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecled: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/20/1993 } \\
& \text { GROSS BETA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(8.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & 07/20/1993 URANIUM & Findings: & 7.000 PCI/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10/05/1993 } \\
& \text { SOURCE TEMPERATURE C }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 19.800 C \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 1490.000 UMHO \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
FIELD PH
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.620 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
PH (LABORATORY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.800 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
TOTAL AlKALINITY (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(239.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
BICARBONATE ALKKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 239.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACOB)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 460.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 10/05/1993 CALCIUM & Findings: & \(85.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1} STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1093 \\
MAGNESUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(60.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
SODIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(4.600 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 10/05/1993 SILICA & Findings: & \(38.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
ARSENIC
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 3.100 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10/05/1993 } \\
& \text { BORON }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 740.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 150.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(970.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chernical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
LANGELIER INDEX @ 60C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.210 \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10/05/1993 } \\
& \text { NITPATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(5.800 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
10/05/1993 \\
AGGRSSIVE INDEX (CORROSIVITY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 410 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
01 / 04 / 1994
\] \\
SOURCE TEMPERATURE C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 14.700 C \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 1460.000 UMHO \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
FIELD PH
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.680 \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
PH (LABORATORY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.700 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994. \\
TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(236.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(236.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/04/1994 } \\
& \text { PHOSPHATE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & . 300 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/04/1994 } \\
& \text { TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(460.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/04/1994 CAlCIUM & Findings: & \(82.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
MAGNESIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(37.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/04/1994 SODIUM & Findings: & \(160.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/04/1994 POTASSIUM & Findings: & \(4.700 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
CHLORIDE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPEND
\end{tabular} & Findings: DENT) & . \(210 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
SILIICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(37.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
ARSENIC
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(5.200 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/04/1994 BORON & Findings: & 860.000 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(270.000 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(930.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
01/04/1994 \\
LANGELIER INDEX @ 60 C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.300 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/04/1994 } \\
& \text { NITRATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(3.300 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 01/04/1994 BROMIDE & Findings: & . \(320 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 01/04/1994 } \\
& \text { AGGRSSIVE INDEX (CORROSIVITY) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & . 380 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994 } \\
& \text { SOURCE TEMPERATURE C }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 20.200 C \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994 } \\
& \text { SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & 1470.000 UMHO \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 FIELD PH & Findings: & 7.720 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
PH (LABORATORY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.800 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994. } \\
& \text { TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(240.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
04 / 05 / 1994
\] \\
BICAREONATE ALKALINITY
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(240.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
PHOSPHATE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 820 UG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(448.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 CALCIUM & Findings: & \(81.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 MAGNESIUM & Findings: & 48.000 MG/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
SODIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(150.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{STATE DATABASE MEL INFORMATION}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
POTASSIUM
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(4.400 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\). \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 CHLORIDE & Findings: & \(140.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994 } \\
& \text { FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPEND }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: DENT) & . \(260 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
SILICA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(38.000 \mathrm{MG/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 ARSENIC & Findings: & \(3.700 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & 04/05/1994 BORON & Findings: & \(650.000 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\). \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
MANGANESE
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(240.000 \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(890.000 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
LANGELIER INDEX @ 60 C
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 7.220 \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994 } \\
& \text { NITRATE (AS NO3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(5.400 \mathrm{MG} / \mathrm{L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 04/05/1994 } \\
& \text { FIELD TURBIDITY }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & . 200 NTU \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
04/05/1994 \\
AGGRSSIVE INDEX (CORROSIVITY)
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . 500 \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07/11/1995 } \\
& \text { GROSS ALPHA }
\end{aligned}
\] & Findings: & \(9.600 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
GROSS ALPHA COUNTING ERFOR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(3.800 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
GROSS BETA
\end{tabular} & Findings: & 6.500 PCI/L \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
GROSS BETA COUNTING ERROR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1.700 \mathrm{PC//L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & 07/11/1995 TRITIUM & Findings: & \(190.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
TRITIUM COUNTING ERROR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(38.000 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
RADIUM 226
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(2.600 \mathrm{PCl/L}\). \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
RADIUM 226 COUNTIING ERROR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1.000 \mathrm{PC/L/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collecied: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
RADIUM 228
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(6.700 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
RADIUM 228 COUNTING ERROR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & \(1.600 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline Sample Collected: Chemical: & \begin{tabular}{l}
07/11/1995 \\
STRONTIUM-90 COUNTING ERROR
\end{tabular} & Findings: & . \(200 \mathrm{PCl/L}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1} STATE DATABASE WELL INFOPMATION


\section*{GEOCHECK VERSION 2.1}

\section*{PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMINFORMATION}

Searched by Nearest PWS.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PWS ID: & CA0707548 & PWS Status: & Active & Distance from TP: & >2 Miles \\
\hline Date Initiated: & June / 1977 & Date Deactivate & \multirow[t]{9}{*}{Not Reported} & \multirow[t]{9}{*}{Dir relative to TP:} & \multirow[t]{9}{*}{West} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{PWS Name:} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{BRIDGEHEAD RENTALS SWS} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{MAUPICE AND GENEVA LODGE} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5540 HICHWAY} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{OAKLEY, CA94561} & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Addressee / Facility:} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{System Owner/Responsible Party} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{MaUfice and geneva lodge} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2743 GSTREET} & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ANTIOCH, CA 94509} & & & \\
\hline Facility Latitude: & 375951 & & Facility Longit & 1214241 & \\
\hline City Served: & Not Reported & & & & \\
\hline Treatment Class: & Untreated & & Population Se & Under 101 Persons & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PWS currently has or has had major violation(s): Yes
Violations information not reported.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

To maintain currency of the following federal and state databases, EDR contacts the appropriate governmental agency on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required.

Elapsed ASTMM days: Provides confirmation that this EDA report meets or exceeds the 90 -day updating requirement of the ASTM standard.

\section*{FEDERAL ASTMAECORDS:}

CERCLIS: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-413-0223
CERCLIS contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private comparies and privale persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CEFCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

Date of Government Version: 08/27/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 09/03/98
Date Made Active at EDF: \(10 / 06 / 98\)
Elapsed ASTM days: 33
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/27/98
ERNS: Emergency Response Notification System
Source: EPA/NTIS
Telephone: 202-260-2342
Emergency Response Notification System. ERNS records and stores information on reporied releases of oil and hazardous substances.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 07/14/98
Date Made Active at EDR: 07/20/98 Elapsed ASTM days: 6
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/10/98

NPL: National Priority List
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-603-8852
National Priorities List (Superfund). The NPL. is a subset of CERCLIS and identifies over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program. NPL. sites may encompass relatively large areas. As such, EDR provides polygon coverage for over 1,000 NPL site boundaries produced by EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Centier (EPIC).

Date of Government Version: 03/06/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 06/09/98
Date Made Active al EDR: 07/09/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 30
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98
RCRIS: Resource Conservation and Recovery information System
Source: EPA/NTIS
Telephone: 800-424-9346
Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System. RCRIS includes selective information on sites which generate, iransport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Date of Covernment Version: 07/01/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/27/98
Date Made Active ai EDR: 10/06/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 40
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/14/98
COPRACTS: Corrective Action Report
Source: EPA
Telephone: 800-424-9346
CORRACTS identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity.

Date of Governmeni Version: 12/15/97
Date Made Active al EDR: 02/02/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 01/05/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 28
Date of Last EDP Contact: 08/14/98

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TPACKING}

\section*{FEDERAL NON ASTM RECORISS}

BRS: Biennia! Reporting System

\section*{Source: EPA/NTIS}

Telephone: 800-424-9346
The Biennial Reporting System is a national system administered by the EPA that collecis data on the generation and management of hazardous waste. BRS captures detailed data from two groups: Large Quantity Generators (LQG) and Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/95 Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/22/98
Database Release Frequency: Biennially Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98
CONSENT: Superiund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees
Source: EPA Regional Offices
Telephone: Varies
Major legal settements that establish responsibility and standards for cleanup at NPL (Superfund) sites. Released periodically by United States District Courts after settlement by parties to litigation matters.
Date of Government Version: Varies Date of Last EDR Contact: Varies Database Felease Frequency: Varies

Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
FINDS: Facility Index System
Source: EPANTIS
Telephone: 703-908-2493
Facility Index System. FINDS contains both facility information and 'pointers' to other sources that contain more detail. EDR includes the following FINDS databases in this report: PCS (Permit Compliance System), AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System), DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used io manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes), FURS (Federal Underground Injection Control), C-DOCKET (Criminal Docket System used to track criminal enforcement actions for all environmental statutes), FFIS (Federal Facilities Information Sysiem), STATE (State Environmental Laws and Stafutes), and PADS (PCB Activity Data System).

Date of Government Version: 09/30/97
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/23/98
Date of Nexi Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98

HMMRS: Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
Source: U.S. Department of Transportation
Telephone: 202-366-4526
Hazardous Materials Incident Report Sysiem. HMMRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to DOT.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/22/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually
MLTS: Material Licensing Tracking System
Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Telephone: 301-415-7169
MLTS is maintained by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and contains a list of approximately 8,100 sites which possess or use radioactive materials and which are subject to NRC licensing requirements. To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.
Date of Government Version: 07/28/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarierly
NPM LIENS: Federal Superiund Liens
Source: EPA
Telephone: 205-584-4267
Federal Supertund Liens. Under the authority granted the USEPA by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, the USEPA has the authority to file liens against real property in order to recover remedial action expenditures or when the property owner receives notification of potential liability. USEPA compiles a listing of filed notices of Superfund Liens.
Date of Government Version: 10/15/91 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/28/98 Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/98

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

PRDS: PCB Activily Database System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-260-3936
PCB Activity Database. PADS Identifies generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers of PCB 's who are required to notify the EPA of such activities.

Date of Government Version: 09/22/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/18/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDF Contact: 11/16/98

RAATS: RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-4104
RCRA Administration Action Tracking System. RAATS contains records based on enforcement actions issued under RCRA pertaining to major violators and includes administrative and civil actions brought by the EPA. For administration actions atter September 30, 1995, data entry in the RAATS daiabase was discontinued. EPA will retain a copy of the database for historical records. It was necessary do terminate RAATS because a decrease in agency resources made it impossble to continue to update the information contained in the database.
Date of Government Version: 04/17/95 Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98
POD: Records Of Decision
Source: NTIS
Telephone: 703-416-0223
Record of Decision. ROD documents mandate a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical and healith information to aid in the cleanup.
Date of Government Version: 03/31/95 Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/09/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/99
TRUS: Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
Source: EPA/NTIS
Telephone: 202-260-1531
Toxic Felease Inventory System. TRIS identifies facilities which release toxic chemicals to the air, water and land in reportable quantities under SARA Title III Section 313.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/95 Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/28/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: \(12 / 28 / 98\)

TSEA: Toxic Substances Control Act
Source: EPA/NTIS
Telephone: 202-260-1444
Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA identifies manufacturers and importers of chemical substances included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list. It includes data on the production volume of these substances by plant site. USEPA has no current plan to update and/or re-issue this database.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: 12/31/94 & Date of Last EDF Contact: 07/22/98 \\
Database Release Frequency: Annually & Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/26/98
\end{tabular}

\section*{STATE OF CAITFONAA ASTM RECORDS:}

BEP: Bond Expenditure Plan
Source: Department of Healih Services
Telephone: 916-255-2118
Department of Healih Services developed a site-specific expenditure plan as the basis for an appropriation of Hazardous Substance Cleanup Bond Act funds. It is not updated.
Date of Governmeni Version: 01/01/89 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 07/27/94
Date Made Active al EDR: 08/02/94 Elapsed ASTM days: 6
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/31/94

\section*{CAL-SITES (AWP): Annual Workplan}

Source: California Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Known Hazardous Waste Sites. California DTSC's Annual Workplan (AWP), formerly BEP, identifies known hazardous subslance sites targeted for cleanup.
Date of Govermment Version: 11/04/97 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 11/21/97
Date Made Active at EDR: 12/20/97
Elapsed ASTM days: 29
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/27/98
CAL-SITES (ASPIS): Calsites
Source: Department of Toxic Substance Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
The Calsites database contains potential or confirmed hazardous substance release properties. In 1996, California EPA reevaluated and significantly reduced the number of sites in the Calsites database.

Date of Government Version: 07/23/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/24/98
Date Made Acive at EDR: 09/23/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 30
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Last EDR Contact: 06/08/98
CHMIRS: California Hazardous Material Incident Report System
Source: Office of Emergency Services
Telephone: 916-464-3277
California Hazardous Material Incident Reporting System. CHMIRS contains information on reported hazardous material incidents (accidental releases or spills).
Date of Government Version: 12/31/94 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/13/95
Date Made Acive at EDR: 04/24/95 Elapsed ASTM days: 42
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/03/98
CORTESE: Corlese
Source: CAL EPAOffice of Emergency Information
Telephone: 916-327-1848
The sites for the list are designated by the State Water Resource Control Board (LUST), the Integrated Waste Board (SWF/LS), and the Department of Toxic Substances Control (Cal-Sites).

Date of Government Version: 04/01/98
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/26/98
Date Made Active at EDR: 09/23/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 28
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/27/98
LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tark Information System
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-445-6532
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports. LUST records contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. Not all states maintain these records, and the information stored varies by state.

Date of Government Version: 04/01/98
Date Made Active at EDR: 09/23/98 Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/27/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 27
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/12/98

NOTIFY 65: Proposition 65
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-657-0696
Proposition 65 Notification Records. NOTIFY 65 contains facility notifications about any release which could impact drinking water and thereby expose the public to a potential health risk.

Date of Government Version: 10/21/93 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 11/01/93
Date Made Active at EDR: 11/19/93
Elapsed ASTM days: 18
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/22/98
SWFflup (SWIS): Solid Waste Information System
Source: Integrated Waste Management Board
Telephone: 916-255-4035
Active, Closed and Inactive Landfills. SWF/LF records typically contain an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills. These may be active or inactive facilities or open dumps that failed to meet RCRA Section 2004 criteria for solid waste landfills or disposal sites.

Date of Government Version: 01/01/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/17/98
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/22/98
TOXIC PITS: Toxic Pits
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4364
Toxic PITS Cleanup Act Sites. TOXIC PITS identifies sites suspected of containing hazardous substances where cleanup has not yet been completed.
Date of Government Version: 07/01/95
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/30/95
Date Made Active at EDR: 09/26/95
Elapsed ASTM days: 27
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/12/98

\section*{CA UST:}

UST: Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database Source: State Water Resources Conirol Board
Telephone: 916-227-4408
The Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database is a historical listing of UST sites. Refer to local/county source for current data.

Date of Government Version: 10/15/90 Daie of Data Arrival at EDR: 01/25/91
Date Made Active at EDR: 02/12/91
Elapsed ASTM days: 18 Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/19/98
FID: Facility Inventory Database
Source: California Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-445-6532
The Faciliiy Inventory Database (FID) contains a historical listing of active and inactive underground storage tank locations from the State Water Flesource Control Board. Refer to local/county source for current data.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: 10/31/94 & Date of Data Arrival at EDF: 09/05/95 \\
Date Made Active at EDR: 09/29/95 & Elapsed ASTM days: 24 \\
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned & Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/28/98
\end{tabular}

WMUDS/SWAT: Waste Management Unit Database
Source: State Water Resources Conirol Board
Telephone: 916-227-4448
Waste Management Unit Database System. WMUDS is used by the State Waier Resources Control Board staff and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards for program tracking and inventory of waste management uniss. WMUDS is composed of the following databases: Facility Information, Scheduled Inspections Information, Waste Management Unit Information, SWAT Program Information, SWAT Report Summary Information, SWAT Report Summary Data, Chapter 15 (formerly Subchapter 15) Information, Chapter 15 Monitoring Parameters, TPCA Program Information, RCRA Program Information, Closure Information, and Interested Parties information.
Date of Government Version: 06/11/98
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 09/14/98
Date Made Active ait EDR: 11/16/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Elapsed ASTM days: 63
Date of Last EDA Contact: 09/08/38

\section*{STATE OF GALFORNA NON ASTVI FBEOPDS:}

AST: Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4382
Registered Aboveground Storage Tanks.
Date of Government Version: 08/01/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/12/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/98

\section*{HAZMAT: Hazmat Facilities}

Source: City of San Jose Fire Department
Telephone: 408-277-4659
Date of Government Version: 04/17/98
Date of Last EDF Contact: 08/24/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/98
HAZNETI: Hazardous Waste Information System
Source: Caliornia Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-324-1781
Facility and Manifest Data. The data is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically \(700,000-1,000,000\) annually, representing approximately \(350,000-500,000\) shipments. Data are from the manifests submitied without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elemenis such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, and disposal method.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/95 Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/05/98 Database Release Frequency: Annually

Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/18/99
SOUTH BAY: South Bay Sile Management System
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)
Telephone: 510-286-0457
Groundwater pollution cases in the Santa Clara Valley where the regulatory lead is the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Date of Government Version: 09/01/96 Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98
WDS: Waste Discharge System
Source: State Water Resources Conirol Board
Telephone: 916-657-1571
Sites which have been issued waste discharge requirements.

Date of Government Version: 12/01/97
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/24/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: \(11 / 23 / 98\)

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

\section*{CAMIORNIA COUNTY RECORDS}

\section*{ALAMEDA COUNTY:}

Underground Tamks
Source: Alameda County Environmental Health Services
Telephone: 510-567-6700
Date of Government Version: 04/01/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/27/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Nexi Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/98
Local Oversight Program Listing of UCT Cleanup Sites
Source: Alameda County Environmental Healit Services
Telephone: 510-567-6700
Date of Govermment Version: 10/01/97
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/27/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/98

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY:
SL: Site List
Source: Contra Costa Health Services Depariment
Telephone: 925-646-2286
List includes sites from the underground tank, hazardous waste generator and business plan/2185 programs.

Date of Government Version: 05/21/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/12/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/98

\section*{KERN COUNTY:}

\section*{UST: Sites \& Tanks Listing}

Source: Kern County Environment Health Services Department
Telephone: 805-862-8700
Kern County Sites and Tanks Listing.
Date of Government Version: 04/21/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/07/98

\section*{LOS ANGELES COUNTY:}

\section*{HMS: Sireet Number List}

Source: Depariment of Public Works
Telephone: 626-458-3517
Industrial Waste and Underground Storage Tank Sites.
Date of Government Version: 03/31/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/98
SWF/LF: List of Solid Waste fecilites
Source: La County Department of Public Works
Telephone: 818-450-5185
Date of Government Version: 01/31/96
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/03/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually

\section*{Site Mikigation List}

Source: Community Health Services
Telephone: 213-890-7806
Industrial sites that have had some sort of spill or complaint.
Date of Covernment Version: 01/20/98
Database Release Frequency: Quanterly

\section*{MARIN COUNTY:}

\section*{UST Sites}

Source: Public Works Depariment Waste Management
Telephone: 415-499-6647
Currently permitted USTs in Marin County.
Date of Government Version: 12/01/97
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

\section*{NAPA COUNTY:}

LUST: Sites With Reporied Contamination
Source: Napa County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-253-4269
Date of Government Version: 10/27/97
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
UST: Closed and Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites Source: Napa County Depantment of Environmental Management Telephone: 707-253-4269

Date of Government Version: 02/17/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually

\section*{ORANGECOUNTY:}

List of Industrial Site Cleanups
Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Petroleum and non-petroleum spills.
Date of Government Version: 03/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUST: List of Underground Storage Tank Cleanups
Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Cleanups (LUST).
Date of Government Version: 03/02/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
UST: List of Underground Storage Tank Facilities
Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Facilities (UST).
Date of Government Version: 02/24/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED/DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

\section*{PRACER COUNTY}

\section*{MS: Master List of Facilites}

Source: Placer County Health and Human Services
Telephone: 530-689-7335
List includes aboveground tanks, underground tanks and cleanup sites.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/28/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/28/98

\section*{RIVERSIDE COUNTY:}

\section*{LUST: Lisfing of Underground Tank Cleamup Sites}

Source: Deparment of Public Health
Telephone: 909-358-5055
Piverside County Umolerground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).
Date of Covernment Version: 01/21/98
Date of Last EDF Contact: 07/22/98
Database Felease Frequency: Quarierly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/26/98

\section*{UST: Tank List}

Source: Health Services Agency
Telephone: 909-358-5055
Date of Government Version: 01/14/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/22/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

\section*{SACRAMENTO COUNTY:}

\section*{Toxisite List}

Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8450
Date of Government Version: 02/02/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/21/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarierly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/98

Man: Regulatory Complance Master List
Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8450
Any business that has hazardous materials on site - hazardous material storage sites, underground storage tanks, waste generators.

Date of Government Version: \(01 / 06 / 98 \quad\) Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/21/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

\section*{SAN BERAARDINO GOUNTY:}

\section*{DEHS Pemit System Print-Out By Location}

Source: San Bernardino County Fire Department Hazardous Materials Division
Telephone: 909 -387-3041
This listing inciudes underground storage tanks, medical waste handers/generators, hazardous materials handlers, hazardous waste generators, and waste oil generators/handlers.

Date of Government Version: 07/20/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/14/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/98

\section*{SAN DIEGO COUNTY:}

SWF/LF: Solid Waste Facilites
Source: Department of Heath Services
Telephone: 619-338-2209
San Diego County Solid Waste Facilities.
Dale of Government Version: 11/08/95
Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/03/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: \(11 / 30 / 98\)

\section*{HWMD: Hazardous Materials Management Division Database}

Source: Hazardous Materials Management Division
Telephone: 619-338-2268
The database includes: HE58 - This report contains the business name, site address, business phone number, establishment
'H' permit number, type of permit, and the business status. HE17-In addition to providing the same information provided in the HE58 listing, HE17 provides inspection dates, violations received by the establishment, hazardous waste generated, the quantity, method of storage, treatment/disposal of waste and the hauler, and information on underground slorage tanks. Unauthorized Fielease List - Includes a summary of environmental contamination cases in San Diego County (underground tank cases, non-tank cases, groundwater contamination, and soll contamination are included.)

Date of Government Version: 07/20/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Nexi Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/11/99

\section*{SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY:}

\section*{LUST: Local Oversite Facilities}

Source: Department Of Public Health San Francisco County
Telephone: 415-252-3920
Date of Government Version: 03/19/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/19/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Nexi Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/98
Underground Storage Tank Information
Source: Department of Public Health
Telephone: 415-252-3920
Date of Government Version: 03/19/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/16/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/98

\section*{SAN MATEO COUNTY:}

\section*{Business Inventory}

Source: San Mateo County Environmental Health Services Division
Telephone: 650-363-1921
List includes Hazardous Materials Business Plan, hazardous waste generators, and underground storage tanks.

Date of Government Version: 04/01/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/17/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually
LUST: Fuel Leak List
Source: San Mateo County Environmental Health Services Division Telephone: 650-363-1921

Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/98

Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/04/99

\section*{SANTA CLARA COUNTY:}

LUST: Fuel Leak Site Activity Report
Source: Santa Clara Valley Water District
Telephone: 408-927-0710

Date of Government Version: 07/01/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/06/98 Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/04/99

\section*{SOLANO COUNTY:}

LUST: Leaking Undergroung Storage Tanks
Source: Solano County Deparment of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-421-6770
Date of Government Version: 04/16/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/24/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: \(11 / 23 / 98\)
UST: Underground Storage Tanks
Source: Solano County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-421-6770
Date of Government Version: 04/16/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/24/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/98

\section*{SONOMA COUNTY:}

\section*{LUST Sikes}

Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 707-525-6565
Date of Government Version: 07/07/98
Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/05/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/05/99

\section*{SUTTEF COUNTY:}

\section*{UST: Underground Siorage Tanks}

Source: Sutter County Department of Agriculture
Telephone: 530-741-7504
Date of Government Version: 09/18/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually Date of Nexi Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/11/99

\section*{VENTUPA COUNTY:}

BWT: Business Plan, Hazardous Waste Producers, and Operating Underground Tanks
Source: Ventura County Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
The BWT list indicates by site address whether the Environmental Health Division has Business Plan (B), Wasie Producer (W), and/or Underground Tank (T) information.

Date of Government Version: 06/29/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98

\section*{LUST: Listing of Unclerground Tank Cleanup Sites}

Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Ventura County Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: 06/26/98 & Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98 \\
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly & Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98
\end{tabular}

UST: Underground Tank Closed Sites List
Source: Environmental Healih Division
Telephone: \(805-6542813\)
Ventura County Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites (UST)/Underground Tank Closed Sites List.

Date of Government Version: 06/29/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/21/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/21/98

SWE/LF: Inventory of Hegal Abandoned and Inactiva Sites
Source: Environmental Healih Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Ventura County Inventory of Closed, Illegal Abandoned, and Inactive Sites.
Date of Government Version: 06/01/97
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/31/98
Database Release Frequency: Annually

\title{
Califomia Fegional Water Cuality Control Board (mwOCB) LUST Records
}

LUST REG 1: Active Toxic Site Invesitgation
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board North Coast (1)
Telephone: 707-576-2220
Date of Government Version: 06/30/98
Date of Lasi EDR Contact: 10/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

\section*{LUST REG 2: Fuel Leak List}

Source: California Regional Water Quality Conirol Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)
Telephone: 510-286-0457
Date of Government Version: 05/20/98
Database Felease Frequency: Quarterly
LUST MEG 3: LUSTIS Database
Source: California Hegionai Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region (3)
Telephone: 805-549-3147
Date of Government Version: 03/12/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUST REG A: Underground Storage Tank Leak List
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
Telephone: 213-266-7544
Date of Government Version: 04/20/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUSTREG 5: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-255-3125
Date of Government Version: 07/22/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUST REG GL: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region (6)
Telephone: 916-542-5424
Date of Government Version: 07/14/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUST PEG GV: Leaking Underground Siorage Tank Case Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Victorville Branch Office (6)
Telephone: 760 -346-7491
Date of Government Version: 11/12/97
Date of Lasi EDR Contact: 07/27/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Conlact: 11/02/98

\section*{LUST REG 7: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing}

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Colorado River Basin Region (7)
Telephone: 760-346-7491
Date of Government Version: 11/12/97
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/31/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/98
LUST REG 8: (LUSTIS) Leaking Underground Storage Tanks
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Sania Arna Region (8)
Telephone: 909-782-4498
Date of Government Version: 04/08/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/14/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually \(\quad\) Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/98

LUST REG Q: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Report
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region (9) Telephone: 619-467-2952

Date of Covernment Version: 12/23/97
Date of Last EOR Contact: 07/15/98 Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: \(11 / 03 / 98\)

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

\title{

}

SLIG REG 1: Active Toxic Site Investigations
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region (1)
Telephone: 707-576-2220
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: \(06 / 30 / 98\) & Date of Last EDR Contact: \(10 / 13 / 98\) \\
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually & Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/98
\end{tabular}

SHIC REG 2: North and South Bay Slic Report
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Fegion (2)
Telephone: 510-286-0457
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impact groundwater.
Date of Government Version: 05/20/98 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/19/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarierly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/98
SLIC PEG 3: SLIC Data
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region (3)
Telephone: 805-549-3147
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impaci groundwater.
Date of Government Version: 12/12/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/25/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/98
SLIC REGA: SLIC Sites
Source: Region Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
Telephone: 213-266-7544
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impact groundwater.

Date of Government Version: 07/01/98
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/05/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/04/99

SLIC REG 5: SLIC Lisi
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-855-3075
Unregulated sites that impact groundwater or have the potential to impact groundwater.

Date of Government Version: 04/01/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
SLIC REG 6V: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Victorville Branch
Telephone: 619-241-6583
Date of Government Version: 09/23/97
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
SLICREG B: SLIC List
Source: California Region Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8)
Telephone: 909-782-3298
Date of Government Version: 10/31/97 Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/13/98
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/11/99
SLIC REG 9: WDS NURD List
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region (9)
Telephone: 619-467-2980
Date of Government Version: 11/21/96
Database Release Frequency: Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 10/14/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/11/99

Date of Last EDF Contact: 10/16/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/11/99

Date of Last EDR Contact: 09/10/98
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/07/98

\section*{Historical and Other Database(s)}

Depending on the geographic area covered by this report, the data provided in these specialty databases may or may not be complete. For example, the existence of wetlands information data in a specific report does not mean that all wetlands in the area covered by the report are included. Moreover, the absence of any reported wetlands information does not necessarily mean that wetlands do not exist in the area covered by the report.

Fommer Hanufactured Gas (Coal Gas) Sites: The existence and location of Coal Gas sites is provided exclusively to EDF by Real Property Scan, Inc. ©Copyright 1993 Real Property Scan, Inc. For a technical description of the types of hazards which may be found at such sites, contact your EDR customer service representative.

\section*{Disetaimer Provided by Real Property Scarn, Inc.}

The information contained in this report has predominantly been obtained from publicly available sources produced by entities other than Real Property Scan. While reasonable steps have been taken to insure the accuracy of this report, Real Property Scan does not guarantee the accuracy of this report. Any liability on the part of Real Property Scan is strictly limited to a refund of the amount paid. No claim is made for the actual existence of toxins at any site. This report does not constitute a legal opinion.

\section*{DELISTED NPL: NPL Deletions}

Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-603-8769
The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

Date of Covernment Version: 03/06/98 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 06/09/98
Date Made Active at EDR: 07/09/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 30
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Last EDF Contact: 09/28/98

NIFRAP: No Further Remedial Action Planned
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-413-0223
As of February 1995, CEFCLIS sites designated "No Further Remedial Action Planned" (NFRAP) have been removed from CERCLIS. NFRAP sites may be sites where, following an initial investigation, no contamination was found, contamination was removed quickly without the need for the site to be placed on the NPL, or the contamination was not serious enough to require Federal Superfund action or NPL consideration. EPA has rernoved approximately 25,000 NFRAP sites to lift the unintended barriers to the redevelopment of these properties and has archived them as historical records so EPA does not needlessly repeat the investigations in the future. This policy change is part of the EPA's Erownfields Redevelopment Program to help cities, states, private investors and affected citizens to promote economic redevelopment of unproductive urban sites.

Dete of Government Version: 08/27/98
Date Made Active at EOR: 10/03/98 Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 09/03/98
Elapsed ASTM days: 30
Date of Last EDR Contact: 08/27/98

PME: Public Water Systems
Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-260-2805
Public Water System data from the Federal Reporting Data System. A PWS is any water system which provides water to at least 25 people for at least 60 days annually. PWSs provide water from wells, rivers and other sources.

\section*{PMS ENF: Public Water Systems Violation and Enforcement Data}

Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-260-2805
Violation and Enforcement data for Public Water Systems from the Safe Drinking Water Information System (SWDIS) after August 1995. Prior to August 1995, the data came from the Federal Feporting Data System (FRDS).

Area Padon Information: The National Radon Database has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and is a compilation of the EPAState Residential Radon Survey and the National Residential Radon Survey. The study covers the years 1986-1992. Where necessary data has been supplemented by information collected at private sources such as universities and research institutions.

EPA Radon Zones: Sections 307 \& 309 of IRAA directed EPA to list and identify areas of U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels.

Oi/Gas Pipelines/Electrical Transmission Lines: This data was obtained by EDR from the USGS in 1994. It is referred to by USGS as GeoData Digital Line Graphs from 1:100,000-Scale Maps. It was extracted from the transportation category including some oil, but primarily gas pipelines and electrical transmission lines.

Sensitive Receptors: There are individuals deemed sensitive receptors due to their fragile immune systems and special sensitivity to environmental discharges. These sensitive receptors typically include the elderly, the sick, and children. While the location of all sensitive receptors cannot be determined, EDR indicates those buildings and facilities - schools, daycares, hospitals, medical centers, and nursing homes - where individuals who are sensitive receptors are likely to be located.

USCS Water Wells: In November 1971 the United States Geological Survey (USGS) implemented a national water resource information fracking system. This database contains descriptive information on sites where the USGS collects or has collected data on surface water and/or groundwater. The groundwater data includes information on more than 900,000 wells, springs, and other sources of groundwater.

Floed Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 1996 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100 -year and 500 -year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in March 1997 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Epicenters: World earthquake epicenters, Richter 5 or greater
Source: Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Water Dams: National Inventory of Dams
Source: Federal Emergency Management Agency
Telephone: 202-646-2801
National computer database of more than 74,000 dams maintained by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
Califormia \({ }^{\text {E }}\) arthquake Fault Lines: The fault lines displayed on EDR's Topographic map are digitized quaternary fault lines, prepared in 1975 by the United State Geological Survey. Additional information (also from 1975) regarding activity at specific fault lines comes from California's Preliminary Fault Activity Map prepared by the California Division of Mines and Geology.

\section*{Califomia Drinking Water Quality Database}

Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 916-324-2319
The database includes all drinking water compliance and special studies monitoring for the state of Califormia since 1984. It consists of over \(3,200,000\) individual analyses along with well and water system information.

\author{
Callfornia Oil and Gas Well Locations for District 2 and 6 \\ Source: Department of Conservation \\ Telephone: 916-323-1779
}
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\section*{ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT UPDATE}

Reference: ENGEO Inc.; Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Emerson and Burroughs Properties, Cypress Corridor, Oakley, California; August 23, 1999; Project No. 4603.3.001.01.

Dear Mr. Schroeder:

As requested, this letter presents an update and review of the referenced environmental assessment report for the southern 140 acres of the Emerson property (APN 037-192-015 and 037-192-016).

The scope of work included a review of the referenced document, a site reconnaissance, a supplemental regulatory records search, and preparation of this report with our conclusions.

\section*{SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION}

The Emerson parcels comprise approximately 140 acres, located in Oakley, California. Sellers Avenue and Cypress Road bound the Emerson properties on the east and south, respectively and a Contra Costa County canal borders the site to the north. The Emerson property consists of pasture, single-family homes, and appurtenant structures for farming/equipment maintenance.

\section*{PREVIOUS STUDIES}

\section*{Phase One Environmental Site Assessment}

A phase one environmental site assessment was performed in 1999 for the 1,100 acre Emerson and Burroughs properties that included the current 140 acre subject site. The scope of services provided by ENGEO Incorporated to prepare the referenced phase one site assessment consisted of the following:
- A review of publicly available and practically reviewable standard local, state, and federal environmental record sources.
- A review of several publicly available and practically reviewable standard historical sources, aerial photographs, fire insurance maps, and physical setting sources.
- A reconnaissance of the property.
- Interviews with the property owners and government officials.
- Preparation of the phase one environmental site assessment report.

The 1999 assessment describes the 140 acre subject site conditions as follows:
- APN 037-192-015

Development of the parcel is limited to a single mobile home.

\section*{- APN 037-192-016}

Improvements on the parcel include two single-family residences and a historical barn structure. The majority of the parcel consists of undeveloped pasture. A private water supply well and septic systems service the residences.

\section*{Historical Information Review (Emerson and Burroughs 1,100 acre site)}

A review of regulatory databases maintained by county, state, and federal agencies found no documentation of hazardous materials violations or discharge on the property. The review of regulatory databases identified one leaking underground storage tank site within \(1 / 2\) mile of the subject property; however, given the distance of this site and the available database information, this site would not be expected to impact the subject properties. Four registered underground storage tank (UST) facilities were documented within \(1 / 4\) mile of the subject property. Two of these facilities have had the USTs removed, with no evidence of significant soil impacts. The remaining two facilities have active USTs.

The review of aerial photographs and available historical records found that the subject property has remained relatively unchanged from at least 1953 to present, with the exception of minor site improvements. The 1999 site reconnaissance and records research did not find documentation or physical evidence of soil or groundwater impairments associated with the use of the property, with the exception of surface soil impacts related to above ground petroleum product storage tanks on both the Burroughs and Emerson properties.

Based on the findings of the 1999 assessment, ENGEO identified the following potential environmental concerns:

\section*{Burroughs Parcels}
- Existing/Preexisting Natural Gas Well Sites

Potential impacts associated with the existing/preexisting well sites could include the following:
- Hydrocarbon impacts to soil/groundwater as a result of spillage from condensate storage tanks.
- Spillage from above-ground diesel and motor oil storage tanks.
- Hydrocarbon impacts within the area of compressor units.
- Mercury impacts adjacent/beneath meter sheds.
- Hydrocarbon/barium impacts associated with former drill sumps.
- Hydrocarbon impacts around wellheads.
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities, it is possible that site soils and groundwater may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.

\section*{- Above-Ground Fuel Tanks}

The AGTs located north of the maintenance shed are currently empty; however, some soil staining was observed beneath the tanks. It is likely that some localized impact to site soils may have occurred as a result of past leakage/spills from the AGTs.
- Car Port/Garage

A possible maintenance pit/sump, covered by wooden planks, was observed within the garage unit. Potential soil impacts may have occurred if motor oil, fuels, or solvents were discharged to the pit.

\section*{- Asbestos-Containing Materials}

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of the structures, it is conceivable that asbestos-containing materials may have been used in construction.

\section*{Emerson Parcels}
- Nitrate Impacts

Given the current and historical dairy activities, it is possible that site soils and groundwater may exhibit elevated nitrate levels.
- Above-Ground Fuel Tanks

The AGTs are currently located within a concrete vault; however, we understand the tanks were previously stored above the ground surface. It is possible that some impact to soil and/or groundwater may have occurred as a result of product use.
- Waste Oil Tank

Some soil staining was noted beneath the above-ground waste oil tank. It appears that spillage of motor oil has impacted near-surface soil.
- Oil House

No obvious indication of soil impacts was noted in association with the petroleum product storage, with the exception of some discoloration of soil at the eastern side of the shed. It is conceivable some impact to soil may have occurred as a result of past product spills.
- Pesticide Shed

No indication of a substance release or soil impacts was noted within the area of the pesticide shed. It is possible that soils may have been impacted as a result of past product spillage.
- Former Underground Fuel Tank

Mr. Emerson indicated there was no evidence of fuel releases at the time of the UST removal. Based on this observation, it is unlikely that significant impacts exist.
- Asbestos-Containing Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted as part of the site assessment. Given the age of structures, it is conceivable that asbestos-containing materials may have been used in construction.

Emerson Property - Southern 140 Acres
ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT UPDATE

\section*{SUPPLEMENTAL SITE RECONNAISSANCE}

An ENGEO Environmental Geologist conducted a supplemental site reconnaissance on June 17, 2004. The subject site parcels were viewed for hazardous materials storage, surficial staining or discoloration, debris, stressed vegetation, on-site dumping or other conditions which may be indicative of potential sources of soil or groundwater contamination.

The condition of the property at the time of the site reconnaissance was similar to that described in the referenced report.

\section*{SUPPLEMENTAL RECORDS RESEARCH}

For the purpose of this update, an additional records research report was performed by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) (Appendix A). A review of regulatory databases maintained by county, state and federal agencies found no documentation of hazardous materials violations or discharge on the property. Based on a review of the updated EDR report, no sites were documented that could adversely impact the subject property. There were no Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps available for the coverage area of the property.

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

ENGEO has performed a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment of the subject property in accordance with the outlined scope of services. Based on the findings of this assessment, ENGEO provides the following recommendations:
- Demolition/Pre-Grading Observation

An environmental professional should view the property at the time of demolition and pre-grading activities, to observe areas that may have been obscured by structures or debris. In particular, the areas around the residences and barns should be observed for possible buried structures and stained/odoriferous soil. Additional recommendations for subsurface assessments may be provided at the time of demolition.
- Asbestos Survey

An asbestos survey of the existing structures should be undertaken prior to any future demolition work.

Ponderosa Homes
Emerson Property - Southern 140 Acres
June 21, 2004
ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT UPDATE
- Septic Systems/Water Wells

Existing septic systems and domestic/irrigation wells should be removed/abandoned in accordance with county/state regulations.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us.
Very truly yours,


\author{
Attachments: Figure 1 - Site Location Map \\ Figure 2 - Topographic Map \\ Figure 3-Record Boundary \\ Appendix A - Environmental Data Resources, Inc., Radius Map Report
}


\section*{APPENDIX A}

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC.
Radius Map Report
4603.1.011.01

June 21, 2004

\title{
The EDR Radius Map with GeoCheck \({ }^{\circledR}\)
}

140 Acre South Emmerson Property NWC of Sellers Ave Oakley, CA 94561

Inquiry Number: 01212613.1r

June 15, 2004

\section*{The Standard in Environmental Risk Management Information}

440 Wheelers Farms Road Milford, Connecticut 06460

Nationwide Customer Service

Telephone: 1-800-352-0050
Fax: 1-800-231-6802
Internet: www.edrnet.com
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\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

A search of available environmental records was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). The report meets the government records search requirements of ASTM Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments, E 1527-00. Search distances are per ASTM standard or custom distances requested by the user.

\section*{TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION}

\section*{ADDRESS}

NWC OF SELLERS AVE
OAKLEY, CA 94561

\section*{COORDINATES}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Latitude (North): & \(37.994300-37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 39.5^{\prime \prime}\) \\
Longitude (West): & \(121.682300-121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 56.3^{\prime \prime}\) \\
Universal Tranverse Mercator: Zone 10 \\
UTM X (Meters): & 615704.9 \\
UTM Y (Meters): & 4205796.0 \\
Elevation: & 12 ft. above sea level
\end{tabular}

\section*{USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WITH TARGET PROPERTY}

\section*{Target Property: 37121-H6 BRENTWOOD, CA}

Source: USGS 7.5 min quad index

\section*{TARGET PROPERTY SEARCH RESULTS}

The target property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

\section*{DATABASES WITH NO MAPPED SITES}

No mapped sites were found in EDR's search of available ( "reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the target property or within the ASTM E 1527-00 search radius around the target property for the following databases:

\section*{FEDERAL ASTM STANDARD}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline NPL & National Priority List \\
\hline Proposed NPL & Proposed National Priority List Sites \\
\hline CERCLIS & Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System \\
\hline CERC-NFRAP & CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned \\
\hline CORRACTS & Corrective Action Report \\
\hline RCRIS-TSD & Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System \\
\hline RCRIS-LQG & Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System \\
\hline RCRIS-SQG & Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System \\
\hline ERNS & Emergency Response Notification System \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{STATE ASTM STANDARD}

CHMIRS - -------------------. California Hazardous Material Incident Report System

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Toxic Pits & Toxic Pits Cleanup Act Sites \\
\hline SWF/LF & Solid Waste Information System \\
\hline WMUDS/SWAT & Waste Management Unit Database \\
\hline UST. & List of Underground Storage Tank Facilities \\
\hline VCP & Voluntary Cleanup Program Properties \\
\hline INDIAN LUST. & Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land \\
\hline INDIAN UST & Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land \\
\hline CA FID UST. & Facility Inventory Database \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{FEDERAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CONSENT & Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees \\
\hline ROD. & Records Of Decision \\
\hline Delisted NPL & National Priority List Deletions \\
\hline FINDS & . Facility Index System/Facility Identification Initiative Program Summary Report \\
\hline HMIRS & Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System \\
\hline MLTS & Material Licensing Tracking System \\
\hline MINES & Mines Master Index File \\
\hline NPL Liens & Federal Superfund Liens \\
\hline PADS & PCB Activity Database System \\
\hline DOD & Department of Defense Sites \\
\hline US BROWNFIELDS & A Listing of Brownfields Sites \\
\hline FUDS & Formerly Used Defense Sites \\
\hline INDIAN RESERV. & Indian Reservations \\
\hline RAATS & . RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System \\
\hline TRIS & Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System \\
\hline TSCA & Toxic Substances Control Act \\
\hline SSTS & Section 7 Tracking Systems \\
\hline FTTS INSP. & FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, \& Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{STATE OR LOCAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline AST. & Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities \\
\hline CLEANERS & Cleaner Facilities \\
\hline DEED & List of Deed Restrictions \\
\hline SCH & School Property Evaluation Program \\
\hline EMI & Emissions Inventory Data \\
\hline REF & Unconfirmed Properties Referred to Another Agency \\
\hline NFA & No Further Action Determination \\
\hline NFE & Properties Needing Further Evaluation \\
\hline CA SLIC & Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing \\
\hline HAZNET. & Hazardous Waste Information System \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EDR PROPRIETARY HISTORICAL DATABASES
Coal Gas .................... Former Manufactured Gas (Coal Gas) Sites

\section*{BROWNFIELDS DATABASES}

US BROWNFIELDS
A Listing of Brownfields Sites
VCP

\section*{SURROUNDING SITES: SEARCH RESULTS}

Surrounding sites were identified.

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

Elevations have been determined from the USGS Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the target property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the target property.
Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDR Radius Map report where detailed data on individual sites can be reviewed.

Sites listed in bold italics are in multiple databases.
Unmappable (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.

\section*{STATE ASTM STANDARD}

AWP: California DTSC's Annual Workplan, formerly known as BEP, identifies known hazardous substance sites targeted for cleanup. The source is the California Environmental Protection Agency.

A review of the AWP list, as provided by EDR, and dated 03/02/2004 has revealed that there is 1 AWP site within approximately 1.5 miles of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / & & Map ID & Page \\
\hline COOK BATTERY (OAKLEY BATT & 139 HILL & 1-2 & SSW & C10 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CAL-SITES: Formerly known as ASPIS, this database contains both known and potential hazardous substance sites. The source is the California Department of Toxic Substance Control.

A review of the Cal-Sites list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Cal-Sites site within approximately 1.5 miles of the target property.
\(\frac{\text { Equal/Higher Elevation }}{\text { COOK BATTERY (OAKLEY BATT }}\)
139 HILL
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Dist / Dir \\
1-2 \(\operatorname{SSW}\) & & Map ID & \\
10
\end{tabular}

CORTESE: This database identifies public drinking water wells with detectable levels of contamination, hazardous substance sites selected for remedial action, sites with known toxic material identified through the abandoned site assessment program, sites with USTs having a reportable release and all solid waste disposal facilities from which there is known migration. The source is the California Environmental Protection Agency/Office of Emergency Information.

A review of the Cortese list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Cortese site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir Map ID & Page \\
\hline FOOD \& LIQUOR \#86 & HWY 4 / CYPRESS & 1/2-1 WSW B8 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTIFY 65: Notify 65 records contain facility notifications about any release that could impact drinking water and thereby expose the public to a potential health risk. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board's Proposition 65 database.

A review of the Notify 65 list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 Notify 65 site

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}
within approximately 1.5 miles of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir Map ID & Page \\
\hline FOOD \& LIQUOR \#86 & HWY 4 / CYPRESS AVENU & 1/2-1 WSW B7 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

LUST: The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board Leaking Underground Storage Tank Information System.

A review of the LUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/13/2004 has revealed that there is 1 LUST site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir & Map ID & Page \\
\hline FOOD \& LIQUOR \#86 & HWY 4 / CYPRESS & 1/2-1 & & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

BEP: Department of Health Services developed a site-specific expenditure plan as the basis for an appropriation of Hazardous Substance Cleanup Bond Act funds. It is not updated.

A review of the CA BOND EXP. PLAN list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there is 1 CA BOND EXP. PLAN site within approximately 1.5 miles of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist & Map ID & Page \\
\hline & 39 HILL AVENUE & 1-2 & C9 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HIST UST: Historical UST Registered Database.
A review of the HIST UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 10/15/1990 has revealed that there is 1 HIST UST site within approximately 0.75 miles of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & Dist / Dir Map ID & Page \\
\hline OAKLEY RANCH & PPRESS AVENUE & 1/2-1 WSW A3 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{STATE OR LOCAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL}

WDS:California Water Resources Control Board - Waste Discharge System.
A review of the CA WDS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/05/2004 has revealed that there is 1 CA WDS site within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

Equal/Higher Elevation
EMERSON DAIRY INC

Address
7101 SELLERS AVE
\(\frac{\text { Dist / Dir }}{1 / 4-1 / 2 N E} \quad\) Map ID

Page
6

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

SL:Lists includes sites from the Underground Tank Program, Hazardous Waste Generator Program \& Business Plan 12185 Program

A review of the CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there are 4 CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST sites within approximately 0.75 miles of the target property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equal/Higher Elevation & Address & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dist / Dir} & Map ID & Page \\
\hline OAKLEY RANCH & CYPRESS AVE & 1/2-1 & WSW & A2 & 6 \\
\hline BENNETT RESIDENCE & 4253 MACHADO LN & 1/2-1 & S & 4 & 7 \\
\hline CCC PUBLIC WORKS & CYPRESS RD @ SELLERS AV & 1/2-1 & ESE & 5 & 7 \\
\hline M \& L ACE HARDWARE & 100 CYPRESS RD E & 1/2-1 & WSW & 6 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

Due to poor or inadequate address information, the following sites were not mapped:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Site Name & Database(s) \\
\hline CAMINO DIABLO RD. WEST OF VASCO RD. NEAR BYRON. & CHMIRS, EMI \\
\hline BLUE STAR GAS & LUST \\
\hline S \& S GAS FOOD \& LIQUOR & UST \\
\hline 7-ELEVEN STORE \#32787 & UST \\
\hline . . LEWIS RANCH & HIST UST \\
\hline EMERSON DAIRY & HIST UST \\
\hline ALFRED OR DARLENE DE ESUS & HIST UST \\
\hline PUMPING PLANT 1 & AST \\
\hline VALUE PLUMBING CO INC & AST \\
\hline MAURICE LODGE & HAZNET \\
\hline EAGLE CITY MOBILE HOME PARK & HAZNET \\
\hline CHEAPER \#169 & HAZNET \\
\hline DELTA TOP SOIL & HAZNET \\
\hline P G AND E DUTCH SLOUGH DEHYDRATOR & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS, HAZNET \\
\hline CYPRESS RD YARD & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS \\
\hline CHEVRON STATION NO 93801 & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS \\
\hline SHOSHONE OIL CORPORATION & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline TONKA ENERGY INC & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline NGC CESA NO 1 & CA WDS \\
\hline CYPRESS ROAD NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL & SCH \\
\hline BRADLEY EQUIPMENT SERVICES & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline MCKINNEY, EMILE E & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline LUCCHESI, ROY \& RAPLH & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline STONEBARGER RANCH & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline LUCCHESI, GUIDO & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OHNSON, ELMER & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline BALDOCCHI, EVO & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline WINDSWEPT LIVESTOCK CO & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline GOTLAND OIL, INC & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline SHOSHONE OIL CORPORATION & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline DE ESUS, OHN V & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE \\
\hline BACCHINI RANCH & \\
\hline BACCHINI RANCH & LIST \\
\hline WESTERN CONT'L NGC-KYSH-1 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{OVERVIEW MAP - 01212613.1r - Engeo Inc.}

* Target Property
- Sites at elevations higher than or equal to the target property
- Sites at elevations lower than the target property
1 Coal Gasification Sites
National Priority List Sites
Landfill Sites
Dept. Defense Sites

Indian Reservations BIA
Areas of Concern
2 Miles

Oil \& Gas pipelines
100-year flood zone
500-year flood zone
Federal Wetlands

TARGET PROPERTY:

140 Acre South Emmerson Property NWC of Sellers Ave

CUSTOMER: Engeo Inc.
CONTACT: Casey Lee Jensen
INQUIRY \#: 01212613.1r
DATE:

June 15, 2004 1:39 pm


TARGET PROPERTY ADDRESS:
CITY/STATE/ZIP: LAT/LONG:

140 Acre South Emmerson Property NWC of Sellers Ave

CUSTOMER: Engeo Inc.
CONTACT: Casey Lee Jensen
INQUIRY \#: 01212613.1r
DATE: June 15, 2004 1:39 pm

\section*{MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY}
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
Target \\
Property
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Search \\
Distance \\
(Miles)
\end{tabular} & \(\underline{<1 / 8}\) & \(\underline{1 / 8-1 / 4}\) & \(\underline{1 / 4-1 / 2}\) & \(\underline{1 / 2-1}\) & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{}
\end{tabular}

\section*{FEDERAL ASTM STANDARD}

NPL
Proposed NPL
CERCLIS
CERC-NFRAP
CORRACTS
RCRIS-TSD
RCRIS Lg. Quan. Gen.
RCRIS Sm. Quan. Gen.
ERNS
STATE ASTM STANDARD
AWP
Cal-Sites
CHMIRS
Cortese
Notify 65
Toxic Pits
State Landfill
WMUDS/SWAT
LUST
CA Bond Exp. Plan
UST
VCP
INDIAN LUST
INDIAN UST
CA FID UST
HIST UST

HIST UST
FEDERAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
CONSENT & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
ROD & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Delisted NPL & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
FINDS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(N R\) & NR & 0 \\
HMIRS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(N R\) & \(N R\) & 0 \\
MLTS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
MINES & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
NPL Liens & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(N R\) & \(N R\) & 0 \\
PADS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(N R\) & NR & 0 \\
DOD & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
US BROWNFIELDS & 1.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
FUDS & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
INDIAN RESERV & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
RAATS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
TRIS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
TSCA & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Database & Target Property & Search Distance (Miles) & < 1/8 & 1/8-1/4 & 1/4-1/2 & 1/2-1 & > 1 & Total Plotted \\
\hline SSTS & & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline FTTS & & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

STATE OR LOCAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
AST & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
CLEANERS & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
CA WDS & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 1 & NR & NR & 1 \\
DEED & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
SCH & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
EMI & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
REF & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
NFA & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
NFE & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
CA SLIC & 1.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
HAZNET & 0.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & NR & 0 \\
Contra Costa Co. Site List & 0.750 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & NR & 4
\end{tabular}

\section*{EDR PROPRIETARY HISTORICAL DATABASES}
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
Coal Gas & 1.500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}

BROWNFIELDS DATABASES
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
US BROWNFIELDS & 1.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0 \\
VCP & 1.000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & NR & 0
\end{tabular}

NOTES:
AQUIFLOW - see EDR Physical Setting Source Addendum
TP = Target Property
NR = Not Requested at this Search Distance
Sites may be listed in more than one database
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Distance (ft.) \\
Elevation \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(\underline{\text { Site }} \quad \underline{\text { Database(s) }}\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
EDR ID Number \\
EPA ID Number
\end{tabular}

\section*{Coal Gas Site Search: No site was found in a search of Real Property Scan's ENVIROHAZ database.}

A2 OAKLEY RANCH CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST S104164039

\section*{Site 1 of 2 in cluster A}

Contra Costa SL:

Facility ID:
Region:
Facility Status: Inactive Date:

CONTRA COSTA
INACTIVE
08/24/2000
Tier:
Not reported
\# Of ASTs On Property: Not reported
Program Status:
UST:
HWG: HMMP: AGT:

X
Not reported
Not reported
Not reported
Not reported


\(\square\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Distance (ft.) & EDR ID Number \\
Elevation Site & Database(s) & EPA ID Number
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Distance (ft.) \\
Elevation \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(\underline{\text { Site }} \quad \underline{\text { Database(s) }}\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
EDR ID Number \\
EPA ID Number
\end{tabular}

C9
SSW
\(>1\)
7681 ft .
Relative:
Higher Higher

Actual: 38 ft .

COOK BATTERY RECLAMATION (OAKLEY BATTERY)
139 HILL AVENUE

\section*{CA BOND EXP. PLAN \\ S100833369}

\section*{OAKLEY, CA 94561}

Site 1 of 2 in cluster \(\mathbf{C}\)
BEP:
Site Description :

\section*{Hazardous Waste Desc :}

The Cook Battery Reclamation site is a residential property which was the location of a battery reclamation operation in the 1950s and 1960s. Salvageable lead was removed from discarded automobile batteries. Battery casings and possibly other wasteswere buried onsite.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Threat To Public Health \& Env: & \(\begin{array}{l}\text { and possibly other wastes are buried onsite. } \\
\text { The site is a residential property located in a neighborhood with many }\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} families. Sampling data indicates contamination of offsite properties. Potential exposure may result from ingestion of contaminated soil, drinking lead-contaminated water or inhalation of wind-blown dust.
Site Activity Status: In June of 1987, a preliminary assessment was completed. DHS will order the responsible parties to fence and post the site and perform site remediation activities. At this time, it appears that the responsible parties will be unable to comply with the order. If the RPs are unable or unwilling to perform remediation activities, DHS will find them in noncompliance with the order and perform the site remediation activities. DHS is working with the Contra Costa County Environmental Health Departmentto assess health risks and conduct community relations activities.
Project Revenue Source Co.: Not Reported
PRS Company Address: Not reported
Not reported
Project Revenue Source Desc: At this time it appears that Bond funds will be necessary to remediate this site. If Bond funds are used to investigate and remediate the site, DHS will undertake appropriate cost recovery action.
Responsible Party: DETAILED SITE EXPENDITURE PLAN


\section*{COOK BATTERY (OAKLEY BATT (Continued)}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
No. of Contamination Sources: & 1.00000 \\
Lat/Long: & \(0.00000^{\circ} 0.00000^{\prime} 0.00000^{\prime \prime} / 0.00000^{\circ} 0.00000^{\prime} 0.00000^{\prime \prime}\) \\
Lat/long Method: & Not reported \\
State Assembly District Code: & 15 \\
State Senate District: & 07
\end{tabular}

Click this hyperlink while viewing on your computer to access additional CAL-SITES detail in the EDR Site Report.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline AWP Facility ID: & 07360035 \\
\hline Facility Type: & State orphan site \\
\hline Site Access Controlled : & Controlled \\
\hline Region : & BERKELEY \\
\hline SMBR Branch Unit: & NORTH COAST \\
\hline SMBR Branch Code : & NC \\
\hline Site Name. : & Not reported \\
\hline Current Status Date : & 19/86/0501 \\
\hline Current Status & ANNUAL WORKPLAN - ACTIVE SITE \\
\hline Lead Agency Code & DTSC \\
\hline Lead Agency : & DEPT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL \\
\hline Awp Site Type: & STATE FUNDED SITE \\
\hline NPL : & No \\
\hline Tier Of AWP Site : & Not reported \\
\hline Source Of Funding & Not reported \\
\hline Responsible Staff Member : & BBROWN \\
\hline Supervisor Responsible : & Not reported \\
\hline Facility SIC : & MANU - ELECTRONIC \& OTHER ELECTRIC EQUIP \\
\hline SIC Code : & 36 \\
\hline RWQCB Associated With Site & te .CENTRAL VALLEY \\
\hline RWQCB Code : & CV \\
\hline Site Listed HWS List : & Not reported \\
\hline Hazard Ranking Score : & Not reported \\
\hline Date Site Hazard Ranked: & Not reported \\
\hline Groundwater Contamination : & : Suspected \\
\hline \# Of Contamination Sources : & : 1.00000 \\
\hline Lat/long Method : & Not reported \\
\hline Description Of Entity : & Not reported \\
\hline State Assembly Distt Code : & 15 \\
\hline State Senate District : & 07 \\
\hline Lat/long : & \(0.00000^{\circ} 0.00000^{\prime} 0.00000^{\prime \prime} / 0.00000^{\circ} 0.00000^{\prime} 0.00000^{\prime \prime}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CORTESE:} \\
\hline Region: C & CORTESE \\
\hline Fac Address 2: N & Not reported \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline City & EDR ID & Site Name & Site Address & Zip & Database(s) \\
\hline CONTRA COSTA COUNTY & S105631151 & & CAMINO DIABLO RD. WEST OF VASCO RD. NEAR BYRON. & & CHMIRS, EMI \\
\hline KNIGHTSEN & S103464320 & BRADLEY EQUIPMENT SERVICES & 9180 SELLERS AVE & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & U001597812 & . . LEWIS RANCH & RT. \#1 RFD BOX 145 NEROLY RD. & 94561 & HIST UST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261431 & MCKINNEY, EMILE E & RT 1, BOX 172 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261432 & LUCCHESI, ROY \& RAPLH & RT 1, BOX 253 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261435 & STONEBARGER RANCH & RT 1, BOX 339 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S103464381 & LUCCHESI, GUIDO & RT 1, BOX 298 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S103172299 & OHNSON, ELMER & RT 2, BOX 181 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S103894558 & BALDOCCHI, EVO & RT 2, BOX 187 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S104162235 & WINDSWEPT LIVESTOCK CO & RT 2, BOX 481A & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1005496366 & SHOSHONE OIL CORPORATION & HWY 4 \& RAYE AVE & 94561 & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1006249937 & IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT & HIGHWAY 4 & 94561 & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline OAKLEY & A100184497 & PUMPING PLANT 1 & HWY 4 \& ROSE AVE & 94561 & AST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S103976753 & MAURICE LODGE & 5540 HWY 4 @ BRIDGEHEAD RD & 94561 & HAZNET \\
\hline OAKLEY & S104571067 & EAGLE CITY MOBILE HOME PARK & 2333 HWY 4 & 94561 & HAZNET \\
\hline OAKLEY & S104578255 & CHEAPER \#169 & HIGHWAY 4 / O'HARA & 94561 & HAZNET \\
\hline OAKLEY & S105850336 & GOTLAND OIL, INC & HWY 4 / SUNSET AVE & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S106104165 & NGC CESA NO 1 & HWY 4 / SUNSET AVE & 94561 & CA WDS \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1000356575 & CYPRESS RD YARD & CYPRESS RD 7MI E JERSEY IS RD & 94561 & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1006249924 & TONKA ENERGY INC & CYPRESS AVE \& KNIGHTSEN & 94561 & FINDS, EMI \\
\hline OAKLEY & A100226704 & VALUE PLUMBING CO INC & 1571 E CYPRESS & 94561 & AST \\
\hline OAKLEY & U003941438 & S \& S GAS FOOD \& LIQUOR & 101 E CYPRESS RD & 94561 & UST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S105628393 & CYPRESS ROAD NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL & CYPRESS ROAD/HIGHWAY 4 & 94561 & SCH \\
\hline OAKLEY & S106229770 & BLUE STAR GAS & 1541 CYPRESS ROAD, E & 94561 & LUST \\
\hline OAKLEY & U003937357 & 7-ELEVEN STORE \#32787 & EMPIRE RD/HWY 4 & 94561 & UST \\
\hline OAKLEY & U001597804 & EMERSON DAIRY & NORTH END OF SELLERS RD & 94561 & HIST UST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S103678682 & DELTA TOP SOIL & END OF ROSE AVE AT LAUREL / HWY 4 & 94561 & HAZNET \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1005904279 & CHEVRON STATION NO 93801 & 5433 NEROLY RD AND HWY 4 & 94561 & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS \\
\hline OAKLEY & 1001459705 & P G AND E DUTCH SLOUGH DEHYDRATOR & OAKLEY RD AND HWY 4 & 94561 & RCRIS-SQG, FINDS, HAZNET \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261425 & SHOSHONE OIL CORPORATION & OAKLEY GAS FIELD-HWY 4 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261444 & DE ESUS, OHN V & SELLERS AVE & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261445 & BACCHINI RANCH & SELLERS AVE & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline OAKLEY & U001597798 & ALFRED OR DARLENE DE ESUS & SELLERS AVE.-RT2, BOX 182-C & 94561 & HIST UST \\
\hline OAKLEY & S102261448 & WESTERN CONT'L NGC-KYSH-1 & SUNSET/HWY 4 & 94561 & CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

To maintain currency of the following federal and state databases, EDR contacts the appropriate governmental agency on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required.

Elapsed ASTM days: Provides confirmation that this EDR report meets or exceeds the 90 -day updating requirement of the ASTM standard

\section*{FEDERAL ASTM STANDARD RECORDS}

NPL: National Priority List
Source: EPA
Telephone: N/A
National Priorities List (Superfund). The NPL is a subset of CERCLIS and identifies over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program. NPL sites may encompass relatively large areas. As such, EDR provides polygon coverage for over 1,000 NPL site boundaries produced by EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) and regional EPA offices.

Date of Government Version: 04/27/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 05/04/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 05/21/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 17
Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/04/04

\section*{NPL Site Boundaries}

Sources:
EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC)
Telephone: 202-564-7333

EPA Region 1
Telephone 617-918-1143
EPA Region 3
Telephone 215-814-5418
EPA Region 4
Telephone 404-562-8033
Proposed NPL: Proposed National Priority List Sites
Source: EPA
Telephone: N/A
Date of Government Version: 04/27/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 05/21/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

EPA Region 6
Telephone: 214-655-6659
EPA Region 8
Telephone: 303-312-6774

CERCLIS: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-413-0223
CERCLIS contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CERCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.
Date of Government Version: 02/26/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/22/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/02/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 11
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/22/04
CERCLIS-NFRAP: CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-413-0223
As of February 1995, CERCLIS sites designated "No Further Remedial Action Planned" (NFRAP) have been removed from CERCLIS. NFRAP sites may be sites where, following an initial investigation, no contamination was found, contamination was removed quickly without the need for the site to be placed on the NPL, or the contamination was not serious enough to require Federal Superfund action or NPL consideration. EPA has removed approximately 25,000 NFRAP sites to lift the unintended barriers to the redevelopment of these properties and has archived them as historical records so EPA does not needlessly repeat the investigations in the future. This policy change is part of the EPA's Brownfields Redevelopment Program to help cities, states, private investors and affected citizens to promote economic redevelopment of unproductive urban sites.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

Date of Government Version: 02/26/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/02/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/22/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 11
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/22/04

CORRACTS: Corrective Action Report
Source: EPA
Telephone: 800-424-9346
CORRACTS identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity.

Date of Government Version: 03/15/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/15/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/25/04 Elapsed ASTM days: 21 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04

RCRIS: Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 800-424-9346
Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System. RCRIS includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Conditionally exempt small quantity generators (CESQGs): generate less than 100 kg of hazardous waste, or less than 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month. Small quantity generators (SQGs): generate between 100 kg and \(1,000 \mathrm{~kg}\) of hazardous waste per month. Large quantity generators (LQGs): generate over 1,000 kilograms (kg) of hazardous waste, or over 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month. Transporters are individuals or entities that move hazardous waste from the generator off-site to a facility that can recycle, treat, store, or dispose of the waste. TSDFs treat, store, or dispose of the waste.
Date of Government Version: 04/13/04
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 04/20/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 05/13/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 23
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/20/04
ERNS: Emergency Response Notification System
Source: National Response Center, United States Coast Guard
Telephone: 202-260-2342
Emergency Response Notification System. ERNS records and stores information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/03 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 01/26/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/12/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 46
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04

\section*{FEDERAL ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL RECORDS}

BRS: Biennial Reporting System
Source: EPA/NTIS
Telephone: 800-424-9346
The Biennial Reporting System is a national system administered by the EPA that collects data on the generation and management of hazardous waste. BRS captures detailed data from two groups: Large Quantity Generators (LQG) and Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities.

Date of Government Version: 12/01/01
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Biennially
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/14/04
CONSENT: Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees
Source: EPA Regional Offices
Telephone: Varies
Major legal settlements that establish responsibility and standards for cleanup at NPL (Superfund) sites. Released periodically by United States District Courts after settlement by parties to litigation matters.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: N/A & Date of Last EDR Contact: N/A \\
Database Release Frequency: Varies & Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
\end{tabular}

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

ROD: Records Of Decision
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-416-0223
Record of Decision. ROD documents mandate a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical and health information to aid in the cleanup.

\author{
Date of Government Version: 04/08/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04 \\ Database Release Frequency: Annually \\ Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
}

DELISTED NPL: National Priority List Deletions
Source: EPA
Telephone: N/A
The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

Date of Government Version: 04/27/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/04/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/02/04
FINDS: Facility Index System/Facility Identification Initiative Program Summary Report
Source: EPA
Telephone: N/A
Facility Index System. FINDS contains both facility information and 'pointers' to other sources that contain more detail. EDR includes the following FINDS databases in this report: PCS (Permit Compliance System), AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System), DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used to manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes), FURS (Federal Underground Injection Control), C-DOCKET (Criminal Docket System used to track criminal enforcement actions for all environmental statutes), FFIS (Federal Facilities Information System), STATE (State Environmental Laws and Statutes), and PADS (PCB Activity Data System).

Date of Government Version: 04/08/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
HMIRS: Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
Source: U.S. Department of Transportation
Telephone: 202-366-4555
Hazardous Materials Incident Report System. HMIRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to DOT.
Date of Government Version: 02/17/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
MLTS: Material Licensing Tracking System
Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Telephone: 301-415-7169
MLTS is maintained by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and contains a list of approximately 8,100 sites which possess or use radioactive materials and which are subject to NRC licensing requirements. To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.
Date of Government Version: 04/19/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
MINES: Mines Master Index File
Source: Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration
Telephone: 303-231-5959
Date of Government Version: 03/05/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/30/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/28/04
NPL LIENS: Federal Superfund Liens
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-4267
Federal Superfund Liens. Under the authority granted the USEPA by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, the USEPA has the authority to file liens against real property in order to recover remedial action expenditures or when the property owner receives notification of potential liability. USEPA compiles a listing of filed notices of Superfund Liens.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

Date of Government Version: 10/15/91
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/12/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04

PADS: PCB Activity Database System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-3887
PCB Activity Database. PADS Identifies generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers of PCB's who are required to notify the EPA of such activities.

\author{
Date of Government Version: 03/30/04 \\ Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/12/04 \\ Database Release Frequency: Annually \\ Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/09/04
}

DOD: Department of Defense Sites
Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-692-8801
This data set consists of federally owned or administered lands, administered by the Department of Defense, that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/03
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/02/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/10/04

STORMWATER: Storm Water General Permits
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202 564-0746
A listing of all facilities with Storm Water General Permits.
Date of Government Version: N/A
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: N/A
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
INDIAN RESERV: Indian Reservations
Source: USGS
Telephone: 202-208-3710
This map layer portrays Indian administered lands of the United States that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres.
Date of Government Version: 10/01/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/02/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/10/04
US BROWNFIELDS: A Listing of Brownfields Sites
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-566-2777
Included in the listing are brownfields properties addresses by Cooperative Agreement Recipients and brownfields properties addressed by Targeted Brownfields Assessments. Targeted Brownfields Assessments-EPA's Targeted Brownfields Assessments (TBA) program is designed to help states, tribes, and municipalities--especially those without EPA Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilots--minimize the uncertainties of contamination often associated with brownfields. Under the TBA program, EPA provides funding and/or technical assistance for environmental assessments at brownfields sites throughout the country. Targeted Brownfields Assessments supplement and work with other efforts under EPA's Brownfields Initiative to promote cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields. Cooperative Agreement Recipients-States, political subdivisions, territories, and Indian tribes become BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients when they enter into BCRLF cooperative agreements with the U.S. EPA. EPA selects BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients based on a proposal and application process. BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients must use EPA funds provided through BCRLF cooperative agreement for specified brownfields-related cleanup activities.

Date of Government Version: 04/14/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/15/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

RMP: Risk Management Plans
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-564-8600
When Congress passed the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, it required EPA to publish regulations and guidance for chemical accident prevention at facilities using extremely hazardous substances. The Risk Management Program Rule (RMP Rule) was written to implement Section 112(r) of these amendments. The rule, which built upon existing industry codes and standards, requires companies of all sizes that use certain flammable and toxic substances to develop a Risk Management Program, which includes a(n): Hazard assessment that details the potential effects of an accidental release, an accident history of the last five years, and an evaluation of worst-case and alternative accidental releases; Prevention program that includes safety precautions and maintenance, monitoring, and employee training measures; and Emergency response program that spells out emergency health care, employee training measures and procedures for informing the public and response agencies (e.g the fire department) should an accident occur.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

Date of Government Version: N/A
Database Release Frequency: N/A

Date of Last EDR Contact: N/A
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A

FUDS: Formerly Used Defense Sites
Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Telephone: 202-528-4285
The listing includes locations of Formerly Used Defense Sites properties where the US Army Corps of Engineers is actively working or will take necessary cleanup actions.
Date of Government Version: 10/01/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies


RAATS: RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-4104
RCRA Administration Action Tracking System. RAATS contains records based on enforcement actions issued under RCRA pertaining to major violators and includes administrative and civil actions brought by the EPA. For administration actions after September 30, 1995, data entry in the RAATS database was discontinued. EPA will retain a copy of the database for historical records. It was necessary to terminate RAATS because a decrease in agency resources made it impossible to continue to update the information contained in the database.

Date of Government Version: 04/17/95
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04
TRIS: Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-566-0250
Toxic Release Inventory System. TRIS identifies facilities which release toxic chemicals to the air, water and land in reportable quantities under SARA Title III Section 313.
```

Date of Government Version: 12/31/01 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/23/04 Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/21/04

```

TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-260-5521
Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA identifies manufacturers and importers of chemical substances included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list. It includes data on the production volume of these substances by plant site.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/02 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Every 4 Years Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04
FTTS INSP: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, \& Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-2501
Date of Government Version: 04/13/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/22/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/21/04
SSTS: Section 7 Tracking Systems
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-5008
Section 7 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (92 Stat. 829) requires all registered pesticide-producing establishments to submit a report to the Environmental Protection Agency by March 1st each year. Each establishment must report the types and amounts of pesticides, active ingredients and devices being produced, and those having been produced and sold or distributed in the past year.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/01
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

FTTS: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, \& Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) Source: EPA/Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances
Telephone: 202-564-2501
FTTS tracks administrative cases and pesticide enforcement actions and compliance activities related to FIFRA,
TSCA and EPCRA (Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act). To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.
Date of Government Version: 04/13/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/22/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/21/04

\section*{STATE OF CALIFORNIA ASTM STANDARD RECORDS}

AWP: Annual Workplan Sites
Source: California Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Known Hazardous Waste Sites. California DTSC's Annual Workplan (AWP), formerly BEP, identifies known hazardous substance sites targeted for cleanup.
Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/03/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/24/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 21
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04

\section*{CAL-SITES: Calsites Database}

Source: Department of Toxic Substance Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
The Calsites database contains potential or confirmed hazardous substance release properties. In 1996, California EPA reevaluated and significantly reduced the number of sites in the Calsites database.

Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/03/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/24/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 21
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
CHMIRS: California Hazardous Material Incident Report System
Source: Office of Emergency Services
Telephone: 916-845-8400
California Hazardous Material Incident Reporting System. CHMIRS contains information on reported hazardous material incidents (accidental releases or spills).

Date of Government Version: 12/31/02 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 07/11/03
Date Made Active at EDR: 08/07/03 Elapsed ASTM days: 27
Database Release Frequency: Varies Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
CORTESE: "Cortese" Hazardous Waste \& Substances Sites List
Source: CAL EPA/Office of Emergency Information
Telephone: 916-323-9100
The sites for the list are designated by the State Water Resource Control Board (LUST), the Integrated Waste Board (SWF/LS), and the Department of Toxic Substances Control (Cal-Sites).

Date of Government Version: 04/01/01
Date Made Active at EDR: 07/26/01
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 05/29/01
Elapsed ASTM days: 58
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/28/04

NOTIFY 65: Proposition 65 Records
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-445-3846
Proposition 65 Notification Records. NOTIFY 65 contains facility notifications about any release which could impact drinking water and thereby expose the public to a potential health risk.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

Date of Government Version: 10/21/93
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 11/01/93
Date Made Active at EDR: 11/19/93
Elapsed ASTM days: 18
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
TOXIC PITS: Toxic Pits Cleanup Act Sites
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4364
Toxic PITS Cleanup Act Sites. TOXIC PITS identifies sites suspected of containing hazardous substances where cleanup has not yet been completed.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: \(07 / 01 / 95\) & Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 08/30/95 \\
Date Made Active at EDR: \(09 / 26 / 95\) & Elapsed ASTM days: 27 \\
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned & Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/03/04
\end{tabular}

SWF/LF (SWIS): Solid Waste Information System
Source: Integrated Waste Management Board
Telephone: 916-341-6320
Active, Closed and Inactive Landfills. SWF/LF records typically contain an inve ntory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills. These may be active or i nactive facilities or open dumps that failed to meet RCRA Section 4004 criteria for solid waste landfills or disposal sites.

Date of Government Version: 03/15/04
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/17/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/14/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 28
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/16/04
WMUDS/SWAT: Waste Management Unit Database
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4448
Waste Management Unit Database System. WMUDS is used by the State Water Resources Control Board staff and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards for program tracking and inventory of waste management units. WMUDS is composed of the following databases: Facility Information, Scheduled Inspections Information, Waste Management Unit Information, SWAT Program Information, SWAT Report Summary Information, SWAT Report Summary Data, Chapter 15 (formerly Subchapter 15) Information, Chapter 15 Monitoring Parameters, TPCA Program Information, RCRA Program Information, Closure Information, and Interested Parties Information.
Date of Government Version: 04/01/00 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 04/10/00
Date Made Active at EDR: 05/10/00
Elapsed ASTM days: 30
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/11/04
LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Information System
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-341-5740
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports. LUST records contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. Not all states maintain these records, and the information stored varies by state.

Date of Government Version: 04/13/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 04/13/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/29/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 16
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/13/04
CA BOND EXP. PLAN: Bond Expenditure Plan
Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 916-255-2118
Department of Health Services developed a site-specific expenditure plan as the basis for an appropriation of Hazardous Substance Cleanup Bond Act funds. It is not updated.

Date of Government Version: 01/01/89
Date Made Active at EDR: 08/02/94
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 07/27/94
Elapsed ASTM days: 6
Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/31/94

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

\section*{CA UST:}

UST: Active UST Facilities
Source: SWRCB
Telephone: 916-341-5700
Active UST facilities gathered from the local regulatory agencies

Date of Government Version: 04/13/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 04/29/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 04/13/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 16
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/13/04

VCP: Voluntary Cleanup Program Properties
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Contains low threat level properties with either confirmed or unconfirmed releases and the project proponents have request that DTSC oversee investigation and/or cleanup activities and have agreed to provide coverage for DTSC's costs.

Date of Government Version: 03/02/04
Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/03/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/24/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 21
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
INDIAN LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 415-972-3372
LUSTs on Indian land in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Nevada
Date of Government Version: 02/09/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 02/10/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/01/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Elapsed ASTM days: 20
Date of Last EDR Contact: 01/27/04
INDIAN LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
Source: EPA Region 10
Telephone: 206-553-2857
LUSTs on Indian land in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon and Washington.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: 03/11/04 & Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/12/04 \\
Date Made Active at EDR: \(03 / 31 / 04\) & Elapsed ASTM days: 19 \\
Database Release Frequency: Varies & Date of Last EDR Contact: 01/27/04
\end{tabular}

INDIAN UST: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
Source: EPA Region 9
Telephone: 415-972-3368
Date of Government Version: 02/25/04 Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 03/01/04
Date Made Active at EDR: 03/24/04
Elapsed ASTM days: 23
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
CA FID UST: Facility Inventory Database
Source: California Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-445-6532
The Facility Inventory Database (FID) contains a historical listing of active and inactive underground storage tank locations from the State Water Resource Control Board. Refer to local/county source for current data.

Date of Government Version: 10/31/94
Date Made Active at EDR: 09/29/95
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 09/05/95
Elapsed ASTM days: 24
Date of Last EDR Contact: 12/28/98

HIST UST: Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-341-5700
The Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database is a historical listing of UST sites. Refer to local/county source for current data.

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

Date of Government Version: 10/15/90
Date Made Active at EDR: 02/12/91
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

\section*{STATE OF CALIFORNIA ASTM SUPPLEMENTAL RECORDS}

AST: Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-341-5712
Registered Aboveground Storage Tanks.
Date of Government Version: 12/01/03
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Data Arrival at EDR: 01/25/91
Elapsed ASTM days: 18
Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/26/01

CLEANERS: Cleaner Facilities
Source: Department of Toxic Substance Control
Telephone: 916-225-0873
A list of drycleaner related facilities that have EPA ID numbers. These are facilities with certain SIC codes:
power laundries, family and commercial; garment pressing and cleaner's agents; linen supply; coin-operated laundries and cleaning; drycleaning plants, except rugs; carpet and upholster cleaning; industrial launderers; laundry and garment services.
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Date of Government Version: 04/21/04 } & \text { Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04 } \\ \text { Database Release Frequency: Annually } & \text { Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04 }\end{array}\)
CA WDS: Waste Discharge System
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-341-5227
Sites which have been issued waste discharge requirements.
Date of Government Version: 04/05/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/22/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/21/04

DEED: List of Deed Restrictions
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
The use of recorded land use restrictions is one of the methods the DTSC uses to protect the public from unsafe exposures to hazardous substances and wastes.

Date of Government Version: 04/06/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/06/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
NFA: No Further Action Determination
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
This category contains properties at which DTSC has made a clear determination that the property does not pose a problem to the environment or to public health.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 & Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04 \\
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly & Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04
\end{tabular}

EMI: Emissions Inventory Data
Source: California Air Resources Board
Telephone: 916-322-2990
Toxics and criteria pollutant emissions data collected by the ARB and local air pollution agencies.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/02
Database Release Frequency: Varies

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/20/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

\section*{GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING}

REF: Unconfirmed Properties Referred to Another Agency
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
This category contains properties where contamination has not been confirmed and which were determined as not requiring direct DTSC Site Mitigation Program action or oversight. Accordingly, these sites have been referred to another state or local regulatory agency.
Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04
SCH: School Property Evaluation Program
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
This category contains proposed and existing school sites that are being evaluated by DTSC for possible hazardous materials contamination. In some cases, these properties may be listed in the CalSites category depending on the level of threat to public health and safety or the environment they pose.
```

Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

NFE: Properties Needing Further Evaluation
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
This category contains properties that are suspected of being contaminated. These are unconfirmed contaminated properties that need to be assessed using the PEA process. PEA in Progress indicates properties where DTSC is currently conducting a PEA. PEA Required indicates properties where DTSC has determined a PEA is required, but not currently underway.
Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04
HAZNET: Hazardous Waste Information System
Source: California Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 916-255-1136
Facility and Manifest Data. The data is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically $700,000-1,000,000$ annually, representing approximately 350,000-500,000 shipments. Data are from the manifests submitted without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elements such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, and disposal method.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/02
Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/10/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/09/04

## LOCAL RECORDS

## ALAMEDA COUNTY:

## Local Oversight Program Listing of UGT Cleanup Sites

Source: Alameda County Environmental Health Services
Telephone: 510-567-6700
Date of Government Version: 12/09/03 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

## Underground Tanks

Source: Alameda County Environmental Health Services
Telephone: 510-567-6700
Date of Government Version: 12/09/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## CONTRA COSTA COUNTY:

## Site List

Source: Contra Costa Health Services Department
Telephone: 925-646-2286
List includes sites from the underground tank, hazardous waste generator and business plan/2185 programs.

Date of Government Version: 03/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/01/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04

## FRESNO COUNTY:

## CUPA Resources List

Source: Dept. of Community Health
Telephone: 559-445-3271
Certified Unified Program Agency. CUPA's are responsible for implementing a unified hazardous materials and hazardous waste management regulatory program. The agency provides oversight of businesses that deal with hazardous materials, operate underground storage tanks or aboveground storage tanks.

Date of Government Version: 01/14/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/10/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

## KERN COUNTY:

## Underground Storage Tank Sites \& Tank Listing

Source: Kern County Environment Health Services Department
Telephone: 661-862-8700
Kern County Sites and Tanks Listing.
Date of Government Version: 01/27/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY:

## List of Solid Waste Facilities

Source: La County Department of Public Works
Telephone: 818-458-5185
Date of Government Version: 06/03/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/20/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04

## City of El Segundo Underground Storage Tank

Source: City of El Segundo Fire Department
Telephone: 310-524-2236
Date of Government Version: 03/01/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04
City of Long Beach Underground Storage Tank
Source: City of Long Beach Fire Department
Telephone: 562-570-2543
Date of Government Version: 03/28/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## City of Torrance Underground Storage Tank

Source: City of Torrance Fire Department
Telephone: 310-618-2973
Date of Government Version: 02/17/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04

## City of Los Angeles Landfills

Source: Engineering \& Construction Division
Telephone: 213-473-7869
Date of Government Version: 03/01/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/14/04

## HMS: Street Number List

Source: Department of Public Works
Telephone: 626-458-3517
Industrial Waste and Underground Storage Tank Sites.
Date of Government Version: 09/30/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04

## Site Mitigation List

Source: Community Health Services
Telephone: 323-890-7806
Industrial sites that have had some sort of spill or complaint.
Date of Government Version: 02/26/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04

## San Gabriel Valley Areas of Concern

Source: EPA Region 9
Telephone: 415-972-3178
San Gabriel Valley areas where VOC contamination is at or above the MCL as designated by region 9 EPA office.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/98
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Last EDR Contact: 07/06/99
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A

## MARIN COUNTY:

## Underground Storage Tank Sites

Source: Public Works Department Waste Management
Telephone: 415-499-6647
Currently permitted USTs in Marin County.
Date of Government Version: 02/10/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/03/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

## NAPA COUNTY:

## Sites With Reported Contamination

Source: Napa County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-253-4269
Date of Government Version: 03/29/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/29/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/28/04

## Closed and Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites

Source: Napa County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-253-4269

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

Date of Government Version: 03/29/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually

## ORANGE COUNTY:

## List of Underground Storage Tank Cleanups

Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Cleanups (LUST).
Date of Government Version: 03/01/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## List of Underground Storage Tank Facilities

Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Facilities (UST).
Date of Government Version: 03/01/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## List of Industrial Site Cleanups

Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Petroleum and non-petroleum spills.
Date of Government Version: 03/01/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually

## PLACER COUNTY:

## Master List of Facilities

Source: Placer County Health and Human Services
Telephone: 530-889-7312
List includes aboveground tanks, underground tanks and cleanup sites.
Date of Government Version: 02/17/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

## RIVERSIDE COUNTY:

## Listing of Underground Tank Cleanup Sites

Source: Department of Public Health
Telephone: 909-358-5055
Riverside County Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).
Date of Government Version: 12/23/03
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## Underground Storage Tank Tank List

Source: Health Services Agency
Telephone: 909-358-5055
Date of Government Version: 12/01/03
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/29/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04 Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04 Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04 Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/17/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/21/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## SACRAMENTO COUNTY:

## CS - Contaminated Sites

Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8406
Date of Government Version: 04/16/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/07/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/02/04

## ML - Regulatory Compliance Master List

Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8406
Any business that has hazardous materials on site - hazardous material storage sites, underground storage tanks, waste generators.

Date of Government Version: 02/03/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/07/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:

## Hazardous Material Permits

Source: San Bernardino County Fire Department Hazardous Materials Division
Telephone: 909-387-3041
This listing includes underground storage tanks, medical waste handlers/generators, hazardous materials handlers, hazardous waste generators, and waste oil generators/handlers.

Date of Government Version: 04/15/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

## SAN DIEGO COUNTY:

## Solid Waste Facilities

Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 619-338-2209
San Diego County Solid Waste Facilities.
Date of Government Version: 08/01/00
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04

## Hazardous Materials Management Division Database

Source: Hazardous Materials Management Division
Telephone: 619-338-2268
The database includes: HE58 - This report contains the business name, site address, business phone number, establishment
'H' permit number, type of permit, and the business status. HE17 - In addition to providing the same information provided in the HE58 listing, HE17 provides inspection dates, violations received by the establishment, hazardous waste generated, the quantity, method of storage, treatment/disposal of waste and the hauler, and information on underground storage tanks. Unauthorized Release List - Includes a summary of environmental contamination cases in San Diego County (underground tank cases, non-tank cases, groundwater contamination, and soil contamination are included.)

Date of Government Version: 10/31/03 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY:

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## Local Oversite Facilities

Source: Department Of Public Health San Francisco County
Telephone: 415-252-3920
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Date of Government Version: 03/08/04 } & \text { Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04 } \\ \text { Database Release Frequency: Quarterly } & \text { Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04 }\end{array}$

## Underground Storage Tank Information

Source: Department of Public Health
Telephone: 415-252-3920
Date of Government Version: 03/08/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

## SAN MATEO COUNTY:

## Fuel Leak List

Source: San Mateo County Environmental Health Services Division
Telephone: 650-363-1921
Date of Government Version: 01/29/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/12/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/12/04

## Business Inventory

Source: San Mateo County Environmental Health Services Division
Telephone: 650-363-1921
List includes Hazardous Materials Business Plan, hazardous waste generators, and underground storage tanks.

Date of Government Version: 04/07/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/02/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/12/04

## SANTA CLARA COUNTY:

## Fuel Leak Site Activity Report

Source: Santa Clara Valley Water District
Telephone: 408-265-2600
Date of Government Version: 12/31/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/30/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/28/04

## Hazardous Material Facilities

Source: City of San Jose Fire Department
Telephone: 408-277-4659
Date of Government Version: 10/01/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

## SOLANO COUNTY:

## Leaking Underground Storage Tanks

Source: Solano County Department of Environmental Management Telephone: 707-421-6770

Date of Government Version: 03/18/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/15/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/14/04

## Underground Storage Tanks

Source: Solano County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-421-6770

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

Date of Government Version: 03/18/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/15/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/14/04

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/26/04

## SUTTER COUNTY:

## Underground Storage Tanks

Source: Sutter County Department of Agriculture
Telephone: 530-822-7500
Date of Government Version: 01/29/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04

## VENTURA COUNTY:

## Inventory of Illegal Abandoned and Inactive Sites

Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Ventura County Inventory of Closed, Illegal Abandoned, and Inactive Sites.
Date of Government Version: 09/01/02
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04

## Listing of Underground Tank Cleanup Sites

Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Ventura County Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).
Date of Government Version: 02/26/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

## Underground Tank Closed Sites List

Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Ventura County Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites (UST)/Underground Tank Closed Sites List.

Date of Government Version: 12/01/03
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/15/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/12/04

## Business Plan, Hazardous Waste Producers, and Operating Underground Tanks

Source: Ventura County Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
The BWT list indicates by site address whether the Environmental Health Division has Business Plan (B), Waste
Producer (W), and/or Underground Tank (T) information

Date of Government Version: 02/26/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/16/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/14/04

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## YOLO COUNTY:

## Underground Storage Tank Comprehensive Facility Report

Source: Yolo County Department of Health
Telephone: 530-666-8646
Date of Government Version: 01/27/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
Database Release Frequency: Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

## California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) LUST Records

## LUST REG 1: Active Toxic Site Investigation

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board North Coast (1)
Telephone: 707-576-2220
Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Modoc, Siskiyou, Sonoma, Trinity counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 02/01/01
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04

LUST REG 2: Fuel Leak List
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)
Telephone: 510-286-0457

Date of Government Version: 03/31/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/12/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/12/04

## LUST REG 3: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region (3) Telephone: 805-549-3147

Date of Government Version: 05/19/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04
LUST REG 4: Underground Storage Tank Leak List
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
Telephone: 213-576-6600
Los Angeles, Ventura counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 02/10/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04

## LUST REG 5: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-464-3291
Date of Government Version: 04/01/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly
LUST REG 6L: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region (6)
Telephone: 916-542-5424
For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

| Date of Government Version: 09/09/03 | Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned | Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04 |

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

LUST REG 6V: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Victorville Branch Office (6)
Telephone: 760-346-7491

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Date of Government Version: 01/21/04 } & \text { Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04 } \\
\text { Database Release Frequency: Quarterly } & \text { Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04 }
\end{array}
$$

LUST REG 7: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Colorado River Basin Region (7)
Telephone: 760-346-7491
Date of Government Version: 02/26/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/26/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/28/04
LUST REG 8: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8)
Telephone: 909-782-4498
California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8). For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.
Date of Government Version: 01/12/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 05/12/04
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/09/04
LUST REG 9: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Report
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region (9)
Telephone: 858-467-2980
Orange, Riverside, San Diego counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 03/01/01 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/19/04
Database Release Frequency: No Update Planned Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/19/04

## California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) SLIC Records

SLIC REG 1: Active Toxic Site Investigations
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region (1)
Telephone: 707-576-2220

| Date of Government Version: 04/03/03 | Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually | Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04 |

SLIC REG 2: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)
Telephone: 510-286-0457
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impact groundwater.

Date of Government Version: 03/31/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/12/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/12/04

SLIC REG 3: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region (3)
Telephone: 805-549-3147
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impact groundwater.

```
Date of Government Version: 09/16/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/16/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/17/04
```

SLIC REG 4: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: Region Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
Telephone: 213-576-6600
Any contaminated site that impacts groundwater or has the potential to impact groundwater.

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

Date of Government Version: 01/28/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/26/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/26/04

SLIC REG 5: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-855-3075
Unregulated sites that impact groundwater or have the potential to impact groundwater.

Date of Government Version: 04/01/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
SLIC REG 6L: SLIC Sites
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region
Telephone: 530-542-5574
Date of Government Version: 03/09/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/08/04
Database Release Frequency: Varies
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 06/07/04
SLIC REG 6V: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Victorville Branch
Telephone: 619-241-6583
Date of Government Version: 04/01/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/05/04
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

SLIC REG 7: SLIC List
Source: California Regional Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region
Telephone: 760-346-7491
Date of Government Version: 02/27/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 02/23/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/24/04
SLIC REG 8: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: California Region Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8)
Telephone: 909-782-3298
Date of Government Version: 04/01/03
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/08/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 07/05/04
SLIC REG 9: Spills, Leaks, Investigation \& Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region (9)
Telephone: 858-467-2980
Date of Government Version: 04/29/04
Date of Last EDR Contact: 04/29/04
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04

## EDR PROPRIETARY HISTORICAL DATABASES

Former Manufactured Gas (Coal Gas) Sites: The existence and location of Coal Gas sites is provided exclusively to EDR by Real Property Scan, Inc. ©Copyright 1993 Real Property Scan, Inc. For a technical description of the types of hazards which may be found at such sites, contact your EDR customer service representative.

## Disclaimer Provided by Real Property Scan, Inc.

The information contained in this report has predominantly been obtained from publicly available sources produced by entities other than Real Property Scan. While reasonable steps have been taken to insure the accuracy of this report, Real Property Scan does not guarantee the accuracy of this report. Any liability on the part of Real Property Scan is strictly limited to a refund of the amount paid. No claim is made for the actual existence of toxins at any site. This report does not constitute a legal opinion.

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

## BROWNFIELDS DATABASES

VCP: Voluntary Cleanup Program Properties
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Contains low threat level properties with either confirmed or unconfirmed releases and the project proponents have request that DTSC oversee investigation and/or cleanup activities and have agreed to provide coverage for DTSC's costs.

Date of Government Version: 03/02/04 Date of Last EDR Contact: 03/03/04
Database Release Frequency: Quarterly Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/31/04

## US BROWNFIELDS: A Listing of Brownfields Sites

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-566-2777
Included in the listing are brownfields properties addresses by Cooperative Agreement Recipients and brownfields properties addressed by Targeted Brownfields Assessments. Targeted Brownfields Assessments-EPA's Targeted Brownfields Assessments (TBA) program is designed to help states, tribes, and municipalities--especially those without EPA Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilots--minimize the uncertainties of contamination often associated with brownfields. Under the TBA program, EPA provides funding and/or technical assistance for environmental assessments at brownfields sites throughout the country. Targeted Brownfields Assessments supplement and work with other efforts under EPA's Brownfields Initiative to promote cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields. Cooperative Agreement Recipients-States, political subdivisions, territories, and Indian tribes become BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients when they enter into BCRLF cooperative agreements with the U.S. EPA. EPA selects BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients based on a proposal and application process. BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients must use EPA funds provided through BCRLF cooperative agreement for specified brownfields-related cleanup activities.

Date of Government Version: N/A
Database Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Last EDR Contact: N/A
Date of Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A

## OTHER DATABASE(S)

Depending on the geographic area covered by this report, the data provided in these specialty databases may or may not be complete. For example, the existence of wetlands information data in a specific report does not mean that all wetlands in the area covered by the report are included. Moreover, the absence of any reported wetlands information does not necessarily mean that wetlands do not exist in the area covered by the report.

Oil/Gas Pipelines: This data was obtained by EDR from the USGS in 1994. It is referred to by USGS as GeoData Digital Line Graphs from 1:100,000-Scale Maps. It was extracted from the transportation category including some oil, but primarily gas pipelines.

## Electric Power Transmission Line Data

Source: PennWell Corporation
Telephone: (800) 823-6277
This map includes information copyrighted by PennWell Corporation. This information is provided
on a best effort basis and PennWell Corporation does not guarantee its accuracy nor warrant its
fitness for any particular purpose. Such information has been reprinted with the permission of PennWell.
Sensitive Receptors: There are individuals deemed sensitive receptors due to their fragile immune systems and special sensitivity to environmental discharges. These sensitive receptors typically include the elderly, the sick, and children. While the location of all sensitive receptors cannot be determined, EDR indicates those buildings and facilities - schools, daycares, hospitals, medical centers, and nursing homes - where individuals who are sensitive receptors are likely to be located.

## AHA Hospitals:

Source: American Hospital Association, Inc.
Telephone: 312-280-5991
The database includes a listing of hospitals based on the American Hospital Association's annual survey of hospitals.
Medical Centers: Provider of Services Listing
Source: Centers for Medicare \& Medicaid Services
Telephone: 410-786-3000
A listing of hospitals with Medicare provider number, produced by Centers of Medicare \& Medicaid Services, a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

## GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

Nursing Homes<br>Source: National Institutes of Health<br>Telephone: 301-594-6248<br>Information on Medicare and Medicaid certified nursing homes in the United States.<br>Public Schools<br>Source: National Center for Education Statistics<br>Telephone: 202-502-7300<br>The National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on elementary<br>and secondary public education in the United States. It is a comprehensive, annual, national statistical<br>database of all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts, which contains data that are comparable across all states.<br>Private Schools<br>Source: National Center for Education Statistics<br>Telephone: 202-502-7300<br>The National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on private school locations in the United States.<br>Daycare Centers: Licensed Facilities<br>Source: Department of Social Services<br>Telephone: 916-657-4041

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 1999 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

## STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION

© 2003 Geographic Data Technology, Inc., Rel. 07/2003. This product contains proprietary and confidential property of Geographic Data Technology, Inc. Unauthorized use, including copying for other than testing and standard backup procedures, of this product is expressly prohibited.

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE ADDENDUM

## TARGET PROPERTY ADDRESS

140 ACRE SOUTH EMMERSON PROPERTY NWC OF SELLERS AVE
OAKLEY, CA 94561

## TARGET PROPERTY COORDINATES

| Latitude (North): | $37.994301-37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 39.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Longitude (West): | $121.682297-121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 56.3^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Universal Tranverse Mercator: | Zone 10 |
| UTM X (Meters): | 615704.9 |
| UTM Y (Meters): | 4205796.0 |
| Elevation: | 12 ft . above sea level |

EDR's GeoCheck Physical Setting Source Addendum has been developed to assist the environmental professional with the collection of physical setting source information in accordance with ASTM 1527-00, Section 7.2.3. Section 7.2.3 requires that a current USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map (or equivalent, such as the USGS Digital Elevation Model) be reviewed. It also requires that one or more additional physical setting sources be sought when (1) conditions have been identified in which hazardous substances or petroleum products are likely to migrate to or from the property, and (2) more information than is provided in the current USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map (or equivalent) is generally obtained, pursuant to local good commercial or customary practice, to assess the impact of migration of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property. Such additional physical setting sources generally include information about the topographic, hydrologic, hydrogeologic, and geologic characteristics of a site, and wells in the area.

Assessment of the impact of contaminant migration generally has two principle investigative components:

1. Groundwater flow direction, and
2. Groundwater flow velocity.

Groundwater flow direction may be impacted by surface topography, hydrology, hydrogeology, characteristics of the soil, and nearby wells. Groundwater flow velocity is generally impacted by the nature of the geologic strata. EDR's GeoCheck Physical Setting Source Addendum is provided to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of potential contaminant migration.

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY

## GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION INFORMATION

Groundwater flow direction for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site-specific well data. If such data is not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, such as surface topographic information, hydrologic information, hydrogeologic data collected on nearby properties, and regional groundwater flow information (from deep aquifers).

## TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Surface topography may be indicative of the direction of surficial groundwater flow. This information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

## TARGET PROPERTY TOPOGRAPHY

| USGS Topographic Map: | 37121-H6 BRENTWOOD, CA |
| :--- | :--- |
| General Topographic Gradient: | General NNE |
| Source: | USGS 7.5 min quad index |

SURROUNDING TOPOGRAPHY: ELEVATION PROFILES


Source: Topography has been determined from the USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified.

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY

## HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Surface water can act as a hydrologic barrier to groundwater flow. Such hydrologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

Refer to the Physical Setting Source Map following this summary for hydrologic information (major waterways and bodies of water).

FEMA FLOOD ZONE

Target Property County
CONTRA COSTA, CA
Flood Plain Panel at Target Property:
Additional Panels in search area:

## NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY

## NWI Quad at Target Property BRENTWOOD

FEMA Flood
Electronic Data
YES - refer to the Overview Map and Detail Map
0600250360B
0600250175B
0600250355B

NWI Electronic
Data Coverage
YES - refer to the Overview Map and Detail Map

## HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION

Hydrogeologic information obtained by installation of wells on a specific site can often be an indicator of groundwater flow direction in the immediate area. Such hydrogeologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

```
Site-Specific Hydrogeological Data*:
    Search Radius: 1.25 miles
    Status: Not found
```


## AQUIFLOW®

Search Radius: 1.000 Mile.
EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted by environmental professionals to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, groundwater flow direction as determined hydrogeologically, and the depth to water table.

|  | LOCATION | GENERAL DIRECTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAP ID | FROM TP |  |
| Not Reported |  |  |

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY

## GROUNDWATER FLOW VELOCITY INFORMATION

Groundwater flow velocity information for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site specific geologic and soil strata data. If such data are not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, including geologic age identification, rock stratigraphic unit and soil characteristics data collected on nearby properties and regional soil information. In general, contaminant plumes move more quickly through sandy-gravelly types of soils than silty-clayey types of soils.

## GEOLOGIC INFORMATION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY

Geologic information can be used by the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the relative speed at which contaminant migration may be occurring.

## ROCK STRATIGRAPHIC UNIT

| Era: | Cenozoic | Category: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| System: | Quaternary |  |
| Series: | Quaternary |  |
| Code: | Q (decoded above as Era, System \& Series) |  |

Geologic Age and Rock Stratigraphic Unit Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Arndt and W.J. Bawiec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - a digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Data Series DDS - 11 (1994).

## DOMINANT SOIL COMPOSITION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) leads the National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. Soil maps for STATSGO are compiled by generalizing more detailed (SSURGO) soil survey maps. The following information is based on Soil Conservation Service STATSGO data.

| Soil Component Name: | CAPAY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Soil Surface Texture: | clay |
| Hydrologic Group: | Class D - Very slow infiltration rates. Soils are clayey, have a high <br> water table, or are shallow to an impervious layer. |
| Soil Drainage Class: | Moderately well drained. Soils have a layer of low hydraulic <br> conductivity, wet state high in the profile. Depth to water table is 3 <br> to 6 feet. |

Hydric Status: Soil does not meet the requirements for a hydric soil.
Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel: HIGH

| Depth to Bedrock Min: | $>60$ inches |
| :--- | :--- |
| Depth to Bedrock Max: | $>60$ inches |


| Soil Layer Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boundary |  |  | Classification |  |  |  |
| Layer | Upper | Lower | Soil Texture Class | AASHTO Group | Unified Soil | Permeability Rate (in/hr) | Soil Reaction (pH) |
| 1 | 0 inches | 32 inches | clay | Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Clayey Soils. | FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit $50 \%$ or more), Fat Clay. | Max: 0.20 <br> Min: 0.06 | Max: 8.40 <br> Min: 5.60 |
| 2 | 32 inches | 50 inches | clay | Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Clayey Soils. | FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit less than $50 \%$ ), Lean Clay | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Max: } & 0.20 \\ \text { Min: } & 0.06 \end{array}$ | Max: 8.40  <br> Min: 6.60 |
| 3 | 50 inches | 62 inches | silty clay loam | Silt-Clay <br> Materials (more <br> than 35 pct . <br> passing No. <br> 200), Clayey <br> Soils. | FINE-GRAINED SOILS, Silts and Clays (liquid limit less than 50\%), Lean Clay |   <br> Max: 0.20 <br> Min: 0.06 |   <br> Max: 8.40 <br> Min: 6.60 |

## OTHER SOIL TYPES IN AREA

Based on Soil Conservation Service STATSGO data, the following additional subordinant soil types may appear within the general area of target property.

| Soil Surface Textures:clay loam <br> silt loam <br> silty clay loam <br> sand <br> loam |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Surficial Soil Types: | clay loam <br> silt loam <br> silty clay loam <br> sand <br> loam |
| Shallow Soil Types: | silty clay loam <br> sand <br> clay <br> stratified |
| Deeper Soil Types: | clay loam <br> stratified <br> gravelly - loam <br> sand <br> clay |

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE SUMMARY

## ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORD SOURCES

According to ASTM E 1527-00, Section 7.2.2, "one or more additional state or local sources of environmental records may be checked, in the discretion of the environmental professional, to enhance and supplement federal and state sources... Factors to consider in determining which local or additional state records, if any, should be checked include (1) whether they are reasonably ascertainable, (2) whether they are sufficiently useful, accurate, and complete in light of the objective of the records review (see 7.1.1), and (3) whether they are obtained, pursuant to local, good commercial or customary practice." One of the record sources listed in Section 7.2.2 is water well information. Water well information can be used to assist the environmental professional in assessing sources that may impact groundwater flow direction, and in forming an opinion about the impact of contaminant migration on nearby drinking water wells.

## WELL SEARCH DISTANCE INFORMATION

| DATABASE | SEARCH DISTANCE (miles) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Federal USGS | 1.000 |
| Federal FRDS PWS | Nearest PWS within 1 mile |
| State Database | 1.000 |

FEDERAL USGS WELL INFORMATION

| MAP ID | WELL ID | LOCATION <br> FROM TP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | USGS0120539 | $1 / 4-1 / 2$ Mile NE <br> 2 |
| 4 | USGS0120601 | $1 / 2-1$ Mile SSE |
| 4 | USGS0120602 | $1 / 2-1$ Mile ESE |

## FEDERAL FRDS PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM INFORMATION

| MAP ID | WELL ID | LOCATION <br> FROM TP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

No PWS System Found
Note: PWS System location is not always the same as well location.

## STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

| MAP ID |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | WELL ID |
| 1685 | LOCATION <br> FROM TP |
| $\frac{1 / 2-1 \text { Mile WSW }}{}$ |  |

## STATE OIL/GAS WELL INFORMATION

| DISTANCE | DISTANCE <br> FROM TP (Miles) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-2$ Miles NE | FROM TP (Miles) |
| $1 / 2-1$ Mile North | $1-2$ Miles NNE |
| $1-2$ Miles NE | $1-2$ Miles NW |
| $1-2$ Miles NW | $1-2$ Miles NE |
| $1-2$ Miles WNW | $1 / 2-1$ Mile NE |
| $1 / 4-1 / 2$ Mile NNE | $1 / 2-1$ Mile ENE |
| $1-2$ Miles WNW | $1-2$ Miles ENE |
| $1-2$ Miles WNW | $1 / 2-1$ Mile ENE |
| $1 / 2-1$ Mile ENE | $1-2$ Miles West |
|  | $1 / 2-1$ Mile WNW |

## STATE OIL/GAS WELL INFORMATION

DISTANCE
FROM TP (Miles)
1-2 Miles West
1-2 Miles West
1-2 Miles West
1/4-1/2 Mile East
1-2 Miles West
1/2-1 Mile East
1-2 Miles WSW
1-2 Miles WSW
1/2-1 Mile SE
1/2-1 Mile South
1-2 Miles SE
1/2-1 Mile SSW
1-2 Miles SW
1-2 Miles SSW

DISTANCE
FROM TP (Miles)
1/2-1 Mile West
1/2-1 Mile West
1/8-1/4 Mile West
1/2-1 Mile East
1-2 Miles East
1/2-1 Mile WSW
1/2-1 Mile ESE
1/2-1 Mile SE
1-2 Miles ESE
1/2-1 Mile South
1/2-1 Mile SSW
1/2-1 Mile SSW
1-2 Miles South
1-2 Miles South


- Cluster of Multiple Icons

TARGET PROPERTY:

140 Acre South Emmerson Property NWC of Sellers Ave Oakley CA 94561 37.9943/121.6823

CUSTOMER: Engeo Inc.
CONTACT: Casey Lee Jensen
INQUIRY \#: 01212613.1 r
DATE: June 15, 2004 1:39 pm

## GEOCHECK®. PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Map ID
Direction
Distance


Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 0


Ground-water levels, Number of Measurements: 1

|  | Feet below | Feet to <br> Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sealevel |  |  |

## GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Map ID
Direction
Distance
Elevation

| 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WSW |  |  | CA WELLS |
| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  |  |
| Higher |  |  |  |
| Water System Information: |  |  |  |
| Prime Station Code: | 02N/02E-25H01 M | User ID: | 07C |
| FRDS Number: | 0707588001 | County: | Contra Costa |
| District Number: | 37 | Station Type: | WELL/AMBNT/MUN/INTAKE |
| Water Type: | Well/Groundwater | Well Status: | Active Raw |
| Source Lat/Long: | 375930.01214152 .0 | Precision: | 1,000 Feet (10 Seconds) |
| Source Name: | WELL 01 |  |  |
| System Number: | 0707588 |  |  |
| System Name: | BIG OAK TRAILER COURT |  |  |
| Organization That Operates System: |  |  |  |
|  | Not Reported |  |  |
| Pop Served: | Unknown, Small System | Connections: | Unknown, Small System |
| Area Served: | Not Reported |  |  |

EDR ID Number

WSW

District Number: 37
Station Type: WELL/AMBNT/MUN/INTAKE
Water Type:
Source Lat/Long: $\quad 375930.01214152 .0$
WELL 01
System Number: 0707588
System Name: BIG OAK TRAILER COURT
Organization That Operates System:
Not Reported
Pop Served: Unknown, Small System Connections: Unknown, Small System
Area Served: Not Reported

## 4 <br> 1/2-1 Mile <br> Lower

ESE -1 Mile FED USGS USGS0120602


1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | $3-1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300117 |
| Latitude: | 38.01114 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 20 |
| Range: | 03 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 11 / 1963$ |

CA00004826
Status: Completed gas
Operator: Tonka Energy, Inc.
Longitude: -121.66433
Lease: Tract 3
Township: 02N
Map Number: 608
Total Depth: $\quad 9090.00000$
Abandonment Date: Not Reported

NNE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NNE } \\ & 1-2 \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004821 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 4-2 | Status: | Completed gas-directional |  |
| API Number: | 01300112 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |  |
| Latitude: | 38.00968 | Longitude: | -121.67408 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 4 |  |
| Section: | 20 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7900.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 10/29/1964 | Abandonment Date: | Not Reported |  |

North
1/2-1 Mile

| Well Number: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300293 |
| Latitude: | 38.00742 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 19 |
| Range: | 03 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $11 / 15 / 1964$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Occidental Petroleum Corp. |
| Longitude: | -121.68259 |
| Lease: | Emerson |
| Township: | 02 N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8053.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $11 / 25 / 1964$ |

NW

| NW $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ Miles |  |  | OIL_GAS CA000004647 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | 2 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole-directional |
| API Number: | 01300292 | Operator: | Chevron U.S.A. Inc. |
| Latitude: | 38.00708 | Longitude: | -121.69299 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Porter Sesnon |
| Section: | 19 | Township: | 02 N |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: 9103.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | $7 / 11 / 1964$ | Abandonment Date: $8 / 3 / 1964$ |  |

NE

- 2 Miles

| Well Number: | $3-2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300118 |
| Latitude: | 38.00677 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 20 |
| Range: | $03 E$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $11 / 1 / 1963$ |

NE

| NE |  |  | OIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-2 Miles |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | $6-1$ | Status: | Completed gas |
| API Number: | 01300116 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |
| Latitude: | 38.00594 | Longitude: | -121.66091 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 6 |
| Section: | 20 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7680.00000 |
| Spud Date: | 10/11/1966 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NW } \\ & 1-2 \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ |  | OIL_GAS |  | CA00004569 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |  |
| API Number: | 01320058 | Operator: | Ferguson \& Bosworth |  |
| Latitude: | 38.00533 | Longitude: | -121.69595 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Sesnon |  |
| Section: | 24 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 8491.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 11/11/1969 | Abandonment Date: | 11/24/1969 |  |
| NE <br> 1/2-1 Mile |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004820 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | 4-1 | Status: | Completed gas |  |
| API Number: | 01300111 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |  |
| Latitude: | 38.00420 | Longitude: | -121.67000 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 4 |  |
| Section: | 20 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7591.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 9/8/1964 | Abandonment Date: | Not Reported |  |

## GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance

| Well Number: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300173 |
| Latitude: | 38.00130 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 24 |
| Range: | 02 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $12 / 30 / 1949$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Porter Sesnon, et al |
| Longitude: | -121.69964 |
| Lease: | Sesnon |
| Township: | 02 N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 6951.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: $2 / 3 / 1950$ |  |

ENE

| ENE |  |  | OIL_GAS CA00004828 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | $3-3$ | Status: | Completed gas |
| API Number: | 01300119 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |
| Latitude: | 38.00114 | Longitude: | -121.66657 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 3 |
| Section: | 20 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7600.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 8 / 1964$ | Abandonment Date: Not Reported |  |


| NNE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1/4-1/2 Mile |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| API Number: | 01320233 | Operator: | Shoshone Oil Corp. |
| Latitude: | 38.00082 | Longitude: | -121.67796 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Emerson Dairy |
| Section: | 19 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | O3E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7773.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $6 / 13 / 1984$ | Abandonment Date: $7 / 10 / 1984$ |  |

ENE
1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | $6-2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320330 |
| Latitude: | 38.00080 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 20 |
| Range: | 03E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $5 / 26 / 1995$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | St. Croix Resources |
| Longitude: | -121.65849 |
| Lease: | Burroughs |
| Township: | $02 N$ |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8488.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: $6 / 8 / 1995$ |  |

## GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance

| Well Number: | $1-24$ | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320294 | Operator: | Nahama \& Weagant Energy Co. |
| Latitude: | 38.00011 | Longitude: | -121.69982 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Big Break |
| Section: | 24 | Township: | 02N |
| Range: | $02 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7304.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 28 / 1989$ | Abandonment Date: 10/19/1989 |  |

ENE

| ENE |  |  | OIL_GAS CA00004822 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | $4-3$ | Status: | Completed gas |
| API Number: | 01300113 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |
| Latitude: | 37.99947 | Longitude: | -121.67041 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 4 |
| Section: | 20 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7580.00000 |
| Spud Date: | 3/10/1965 | Abandonment Date: Not Reported |  |

## WNW

1-2 Miles OIL GAS CA00004774

| Well Number: | 3 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300172 | Operator: | Chevron U.S.A. Inc. |
| Latitude: | 37.99939 | Longitude: | -121.70469 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Sesnon-Gulf |
| Section: | 24 | Township: | 02N |
| Range: | $02 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 6600.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $6 / 2 / 1966$ | Abandonment Date: $6 / 10 / 1966$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| West |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1-2 Miles |  |
|  |  |
| Well Number: | $2-24$ |
| API Number: | 01320306 |
| Latitude: | 37.99817 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 24 |
| Range: | 02E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $2 / 16 / 1991$ |


|  | OIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Status: | Completed gas |
| Operator: | Enerfin Resources NLP |
| Longitude: | -121.70610 |
| Lease: | Big Break |
| Township: | 02 N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 5952.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: $4 / 12 / 1997$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENE } \\ & \text { 1/2-1 Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  | OIL_GAS |  | CA00004823 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 5-4 | Status: | Completed gas |  |
| API Number: | 01300114 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99755 | Longitude: | -121.66555 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 5 |  |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7651.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 6/19/1964 | Abandonment Date: | Not Reported |  |
| WNW$1 / 2-1$ Mile |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |  |
| API Number: | 01320191 | Operator: | Atlantic Oil Co. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99724 | Longitude: | -121.69287 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Williamson |  |
| Section: | 30 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 8200.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 6/10/1983 | Abandonment Date: 8/29/1983 |  |  |
| West <br> 1-2 Miles |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004637 |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |  |
| API Number: | 01300303 | Operator: | Chevron U.S.A. Inc. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99679 | Longitude: | -121.70021 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Porter Sesnon |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 9018.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 7/20/1963 | Abandonment Date: 6/19/1980 |  |  |
| West <br> 1/2-1 Mile |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004369 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | 3-25 | Status: | Completed oil |  |
| API Number: | 01320283 | Operator: | Enerfin Resources NLP |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99672 | Longitude: | -121.69817 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Big Break |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7490.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 7/27/1988 | Abandonment Date: | 4/8/1997 |  |

## GEOCHECK®. PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance

| West |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1-2 Miles |  |
|  |  |
| Well Number: | $25-1$ |
| API Number: | 01320218 |
| Latitude: | 37.99611 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 25 |
| Range: | 02E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $12 / 30 / 1983$ |

OIL_GAS
CA00004437

Status: Plugged and abandoned-dry hole
Operator: TXO Production Corp.
Longitude: -121.70386
Lease: Porter Estates
Township: 02N
Map Number: 608
Total Depth: $\quad 7409.00000$
Abandonment Date: 1/4/1984

| West <br> 1/2-1 Mile |  | OIL_GAS |  | CA00004403 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 2-25 | Status: | Completed oil |  |
| API Number: | 01320249 | Operator: | Enerfin Resources NLP |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99476 | Longitude: | -121.69741 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Big Break |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7486.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 3/9/1987 | Abandonment Date: | 4/3/1997 |  |
| West 1-2 Miles |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004397 |
| Well Number: | 1-25 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned | -directional |
| API Number: | 01320243 | Operator: | Nahama \& Weagant En | Co. |
| Latitude: | 37.99474 | Longitude: | -121.70037 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Big Break |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7422.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 3/29/1985 | Abandonment Date: | 2/26/1987 |  |

West
1/8-1/4 Mile

| Well Number: | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300151 |
| Latitude: | 37.99468 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 30 |
| Range: | 03E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $8 / 18 / 1964$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Occidental Petroleum Corp. |
| Longitude: | -121.68439 |
| Lease: | Oakley Unit One |
| Township: | O2N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8434.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: $8 / 30 / 1964$ |  |

## GEOCHECK®- PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance

OIL_GAS
CA00004819

| Well Number: | $8-3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300110 |
| Latitude: | 37.99448 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 29 |
| Range: | $03 E$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 28 / 1964$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., Unit Op |
| Longitude: | -121.67472 |
| Lease: | Tract 8 |
| Township: | 02N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 7700.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $4 / 27 / 1978$ |


| East |  |  | OIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | $8-1$ | Ctatus: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| API Number: | 01300105 | Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., Unit Op |
| Latitude: | 37.99395 | Longitude: | -121.66913 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 8 |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02N |
| Range: | $03 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | $7 / 16 / 1964$ | Total Depth: |
| Spud Date: |  | Abandonment Date: $12 / 4 / 1994$ |  |

West
1-2 Miles OIL_GAS CA00004443

| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320202 | Operator: | Western Continental Oper. Co. |
| Latitude: | 37.99362 | Longitude: | -121.70254 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | NGC-Dell@Antico |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7387.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $4 / 9 / 1983$ | Abandonment Date: $1 / 20 / 1988$ |  |

East
1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | $7-1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300011 |
| Latitude: | 37.99301 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 29 |
| Range: | $03 E$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 22 / 1966$ |


| Status: | Completed gas <br> Operator: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tonka Energy, Inc. |  |
| Longitude: | -121.66043 |
| Lease: | Tract 7 |
| Township: | 02N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 7700.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | Not Reported |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { East } \\ & \mathbf{1 / 2 - 1} \text { Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  | OIL_GAS |  | CA00004824 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 5-5 | Status: | Completed gas |  |
| API Number: | 01300115 | Operator: | Tonka Energy, Inc. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99200 | Longitude: | -121.66562 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 5 |  |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7700.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 10/22/1964 | Abandonment Date: | Not Reported |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSW } \\ & \text { 1/2-1 Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004389 |
| Well Number: | 25-1 | Status: | Plugged and abandone | hole |
| API Number: | 01320260 | Operator: | Arkoma Production Co. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.99096 | Longitude: | -121.69746 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Domingo |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7395.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 1/20/1986 | Abandonment Date: | 8/8/1986 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSW } \\ & \text { 1-2 Miles } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004922 |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandone | hole |
| API Number: | 01300013 | Operator: | Sumpf-Williams |  |
| Latitude: | 37.98938 | Longitude: | -121.70536 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Biancalana |  |
| Section: | 25 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 6069.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 8/8/1966 | Abandonment Date: | 8/20/1966 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESE } \\ & \text { 1/2-1 Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004834 |
| Well Number: | 9-1 | Status: | Plugged and abandone |  |
| API Number: | 01300104 | Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., |  |
| Latitude: | 37.98918 | Longitude: | -121.66525 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 9 |  |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7701.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 9/5/1965 | Abandonment Date: | 11/24/1992 |  |

## GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance
wsw
1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320077 |
| Latitude: | 37.98796 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 25 |
| Range: | 02 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $10 / 9 / 1972$ |

## SE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \text { 1/2-1 Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS CA000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 8-2 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| API Number: | 01300106 | Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., Unit Op |
| Latitude: | 37.98752 | Longitude: | -121.66951 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 8 |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02N |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 8298.00000 |
| Spud Date: | 8/11/1964 | Abandonment Date: | 12/16/1992 |

SE

| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  | OIL_GAS CA00004935 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Well Number: | $8-4$ | Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas-directional |
| API Number: | 01300005 | Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., Unit Op |
| Latitude: | 37.98752 | Longitude: | -121.67003 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Tract 8 |
| Section: | 29 | Township: | 02 N |
| Range: | $03 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 7360.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $1 / 10 / 1967$ | Abandonment Date: $8 / 1 / 1984$ |  |

ESE
1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | $28-1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300103 |
| Latitude: | 37.98674 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 28 |
| Range: | 03 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $4 / 2 / 1964$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Occidental Petroleum Corp. |
| Longitude: | -121.65701 |
| Lease: | Transamerica |
| Township: | 02 N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8540.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $5 / 14 / 1964$ |

## GEOCHECK®. PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance
Database
EDR ID Number

## South

1/2-1 Mile

| Well Number: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320059 |
| Latitude: | 37.98461 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 30 |
| Range: | $03 E$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $12 / 19 / 1969$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Prudential Minerals Expl. Corp. |
| Longitude: | -121.68154 |
| Lease: | Machado |
| Township: | 02N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8179.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $1 / 6 / 1970$ |

## South

| South <br> 1/2-1 Mile |  |  | OIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Well Number: | 1 | CAOOC |  |
| API Number: | 01300149 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| Latitude: | 37.98415 | Longitude: | Occidental Petroleum Corp. |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Machado |
| Section: | 30 | Township: | 02N |
| Range: | $03 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 11607.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $8 / 19 / 1962$ | Abandonment Date: $6 / 21 / 1968$ |  |

SE
1-2 Miles OIL GAS CA00004837

| Well Number: | $10-1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01300107 |
| Latitude: | 37.98383 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 29 |
| Range: | 03 E |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $9 / 22 / 1964$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Phillips Petroleum Co., Unit Op |
| Longitude: | -121.66494 |
| Lease: | Tract 10 |
| Township: | 02N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8170.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $12 / 29 / 1977$ |

SSW
1/2-1 Mile

| Well Number: | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320210 |
| Latitude: | 37.98307 |
| Region: | 6 |
| Section: | 31 |
| Range: | $03 E$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo |
| Spud Date: | $7 / 22 / 1983$ |


| Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator: | Atlantic Oil Co. |
| Longitude: | -121.68984 |
| Lease: | Loo |
| Township: | 02 N |
| Map Number: | 608 |
| Total Depth: | 8018.00000 |
| Abandonment Date: | $4 / 20 / 1987$ |


| 1/2-1 Mile |  |  | OIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Well Number: | 1 |  |  |
| API Number: | 01320169 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned gas |
| Latitude: | 37.98301 | Operator: | Atlantic Oil Co. |
| Region: | 6 | Longitude: | -121.68901 |
| Section: | 31 | Lease: | Loo |
| Range: | O3E | Mapnship: | $02 N$ |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 608 |
| Spud Date: | $2 / 19 / 1981$ | Abandonment Date: $4 / 21 / 1989$ |  |

CA00004476

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSW } \\ & \text { 1/2-1 Mile } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004386 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Number: | 3 | Status: | Plugged and abandone | hole-directional |
| API Number: | 01320257 | Operator: | Atlantic Oil Co. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.98271 | Longitude: | -121.68939 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Loo |  |
| Section: | 31 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 8321.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 11/22/1985 | Abandonment Date: | 12/26/1985 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 Miles |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004540 |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandone | hole |
| API Number: | 01320096 | Operator: | Ladd Petroleum Corp. |  |
| Latitude: | 37.98203 | Longitude: | -121.69746 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Honegger |  |
| Section: | 36 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 02E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 8356.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 10/1/1973 | Abandonment Date: | 10/24/1973 |  |
| South <br> 1-2 Miles |  |  | OIL_GAS | CA00004794 |
| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandone | hole-directional |
| API Number: | 01300150 | Operator: | Occidental Petroleum |  |
| Latitude: | 37.97963 | Longitude: | -121.67912 |  |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Oakley Unit B |  |
| Section: | 31 | Township: | 02N |  |
| Range: | 03E | Map Number: | 608 |  |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 9065.00000 |  |
| Spud Date: | 9/19/1962 | Abandonment Date: | 11/8/1962 |  |

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

Direction
Distance
Database
EDR ID Number

SSW

| 1-2 Miles |  |  | CIL_GAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Well Number: | 1 | CA00004452 |  |
| API Number: | 01320190 | Status: | Completed gas |
| Latitude: | 37.97826 | Sperator: | Shoshone Oil Corp. |
| Region: | 6 | Longitude: | -121.69287 |
| Section: | 31 | Tease: | Nunnally |
| Range: | $03 E$ | Map Number: | 02 N |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | $1 / 4 / 1983$ | Total Depth: |
| Spud Date: |  | Abandonment Date: Not Reported |  |

## South

1-2 Miles

| Well Number: | 1 | Status: | Plugged and abandoned-dry hole |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| API Number: | 01320245 | Operator: | Channel Exploration Co. |
| Latitude: | 37.97666 | Longitude: | -121.68142 |
| Region: | 6 | Lease: | Bacchini |
| Section: | 31 | Township: | $02 N$ |
| Range: | $03 E$ | Map Number: | 608 |
| Base and Meridian: | Mount Diablo | Total Depth: | 9185.00000 |
| Spud Date: | $4 / 3 / 1985$ | Abandonment Date: $4 / 29 / 1985$ |  |

## GEOCHECK ${ }^{\circledR}$ - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

RADON

## AREA RADON INFORMATION

State Database: CA Radon
Radon Test Results

| Zip | Total Sites | > 4 Pci/L | Pct. > 4 Pci/L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94561 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 |

Federal EPA Radon Zone for CONTRA COSTA County: 2
Note: Zone 1 indoor average level $>4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$.
: Zone 2 indoor average level $>=2 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ and $<=4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$.
: Zone 3 indoor average level < $2 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$.

Federal Area Radon Information for CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CA
Number of sites tested: 55

| Area | Average Activity | \% < $4 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% 4-20 pCi/L | \% > $20 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Area-1st Floor | $0.760 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Living Area - 2nd Floor | $0.300 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Basement | $0.525 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE RECORDS SEARCHED

## TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

## USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

Source: United States Geologic Survey
EDR acquired the USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model in 2002. 7.5-Minute DEMs correspond to the USGS
$1: 24,000-$ and $1: 25,000$-scale topographic quadrangle maps.

## HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 1999 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100 -year and 500 -year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

## HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION

## AQUIFLOW ${ }^{\mathbf{R}}$ Information System

Source: EDR proprietary database of groundwater flow information
EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System (AIS) to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, hydrogeologically determined groundwater flow direction and depth to water table information.

## GEOLOGIC INFORMATION

## Geologic Age and Rock Stratigraphic Unit

Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Arndt and W.J. Bawiec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - A digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Data Series DDS - 11 (1994).

STATSGO: State Soil Geographic Database
Source: Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services
The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) leads the national Conservation Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. Soil maps for STATSGO are compiled by generalizing more detailed (SSURGO) soil survey maps.

## ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORD SOURCES

## FEDERAL WATER WELLS

## PWS: Public Water Systems

Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-564-3750
Public Water System data from the Federal Reporting Data System. A PWS is any water system which provides water to at least 25 people for at least 60 days annually. PWSs provide water from wells, rivers and other sources.

PWS ENF: Public Water Systems Violation and Enforcement Data
Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-564-3750
Violation and Enforcement data for Public Water Systems from the Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) after August 1995. Prior to August 1995, the data came from the Federal Reporting Data System (FRDS).

USGS Water Wells: USGS National Water Inventory System (NWIS)
This database contains descriptive information on sites where the USGS collects or has collected data on surface water and/or groundwater. The groundwater data includes information on wells, springs, and other sources of groundwater.

## PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE RECORDS SEARCHED

## STATE RECORDS

## California Drinking Water Quality Database

Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 916-324-2319
The database includes all drinking water compliance and special studies monitoring for the state of California since 1984. It consists of over 3,200,000 individual analyses along with well and water system information.

## California Oil and Gas Well Locations for District 2, 3, 5 and 6

Source: Department of Conservation
Telephone: 916-323-1779

## RADON

## State Database: CA Radon

Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 916-324-2208
Radon Database for California

## Area Radon Information

Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-356-4020
The National Radon Database has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(USEPA) and is a compilation of the EPA/State Residential Radon Survey and the National Residential Radon Survey.
The study covers the years 1986-1992. Where necessary data has been supplemented by information collected at private sources such as universities and research institutions.

## EPA Radon Zones

Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-356-4020
Sections 307 \& 309 of IRAA directed EPA to list and identify areas of U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor
radon levels.

## OTHER

Airport Landing Facilities: Private and public use landing facilities
Source: Federal Aviation Administration, 800-457-6656
Epicenters: World earthquake epicenters, Richter 5 or greater
Source: Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
California Earthquake Fault Lines: The fault lines displayed on EDR's Topographic map are digitized quaternary fault lines, prepared in 1975 by the United State Geological Survey. Additional information (also from 1975) regarding activity at specific fault lines comes from California's Preliminary Fault Activity Map prepared by the California Division of Mines and Geology.

Project No.
4603.4.102.01

July 5, 2007
Mr. Bob Weiss
DeNova Homes, Inc.
333 Civic Drive
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
Subject: Emerson Property - Southern 140 Acres
Assessor Parcel Numbers 037-192-015 and 037-192-032 Oakley, California

## CLARIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT UPDATE FINDINGS

Reference: ENGEO Incorporated; Environmental Site Assessment Update, Southern 140 Acres - Emerson Property, Oakley, California; June 21, 2004; Project No. 4603.1.011.01.

Dear Mr. Weiss:

ENGEO Incorporated has prepared this letter of clarification regarding our referenced Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) Update of the southern 140-acre Emerson parcel located at 6701 and 6501 Sellers Avenue in Oakley, California (Assessor Parcel Numbers 037-192-015 and 037-192-032). The primary intent of the letter is to clarify that the Environmental Site Assessment Update determined no recognized environmental conditions exist on the subject 140-acre property.

References made in the "Previous Studies" section of the referenced document, which regard stained soil, petroleum storage tanks (above ground and underground), nitrates and pesticide storage, concern off-site, adjacent parcels to the north of the subject property. Because the ESA Update utilized a previous ESA that covered 1,100 acres (Emerson and Burroughs properties combined) and the subject of the ESA Update only concerned a portion of these sites (i.e., southern 140 acres of the Emerson property), references to these environmental concerns were made. Since these environmental concerns are located off-site with respect to the 140 -acre property, it is our opinion that the potential environmental impact associated with these conditions can be considered less than significant with respect to proposed development on the subject property.

DeNova Homes, Inc.
Emerson Property - Southern 140 Acres
July 5, 2007
CLARIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL SITE
Page 2 ASSESSMENT UPDATE FINDINGS

We are pleased to be of continued service to you with regard to this project. If you have further questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

## ENGEO INCORPORATED



Jason Preece
Senior Engineering Geologist
jp/jb
cc: $\quad 1$ - Mr. Rod Stinson (e-mail only)


### 4.8 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

## INTRODUCTION

This section evaluates the biological resources known to occur and potentially occurring on the 124 -acre Gilbert project site; existing plant communities, wetlands, wildlife habitats, and the likelihood of occurrence of special-status species and communities are discussed for the project site. This section also describes potential impacts to those resources and identifies measures, where practicable, to eliminate or substantially reduce those impacts to less-than-significant levels.

## METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The information contained in this analysis is primarily based upon the Biological Resources Section prepared by Zentner and Zentner whose biological analysis is based on studies conducted on-site and in the site vicinity, including a review of the documents listed below; review of regional biological resource databases, examination of aerial photography, biological resources, and vegetation maps; and focused habitat assessments, biological surveys according to accepted protocols and guidelines, and field investigations. Biologists from other professional firms conducted field surveys on the property, in the adjacent properties and in the vicinity and region of the property.

The evaluation of whether or not an impact on biological resources would be significant considers CEQA Guidelines as well as the resource itself and how that resource fits into a regional or local context. Significant impacts would be those that would diminish, or result in the loss of, an important biological resource, or those that would conflict with local, State, or federal resource conservation plans, goals, or regulations.

The following information pertaining to biological resources was reviewed in the preparation of this section:

- Atlas Tree Service, Inc. Tree Survey: Subdivision: cypress Road, Oakley, CA. March 7, 2006.
- Entomological Consulting Services, Ltd. Habitat Assessment for Special-Status Invertebrates for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. September 19, 2002.
- Entomological Consulting Services, Ltd. Habitat Assessment for Special-Status Insects and Invertebrates for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. October 7, 2002.
- Entomological Consulting Services, Ltd. Western Pacific 19A - Cypress Grove Property in Oakley (Contra Costa County), CA WA\# 135 - Presence-Absence

Surveys for Three Beetles. June 27, 2004.

- H.T. Harvey \& Associates. Site Assessment for Special-Status Bats for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. August 8, 2002
- Monk \& Associates. Shea Homes Summer Lakes Development - Water Pipeline Project - Tree Removal - Swainson's Hawk Avoidance Measures in Coordination with CDFG. November 14, 2005.
- Raney Planning \& Management Inc. Cypress Grove Draft Environmental Impact Report. May 2003.
- Raney Planning \& Management Inc. Dutch Slough Properties Draft Environmental Impact Report. July 2006. (Withdrawn)
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Preliminary Wetlands Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties, Oakley, California. Addendum letter report. June 28, 1998.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Biological Assessment of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. 1999.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Wetland Delineation and Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, California. August 23, 2001.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Protocol-level Habitat Assessment and Focused Breeding Season Burrowing Owl Surveys for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. August 12, 2002.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Botanical Assessment for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. September 20, 2002.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Revised Biological Assessment for the Cypress Grove Property, Oakley, California. January 30, 2003.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Focused Winter Burrowing Owl and Preconstruction Bird Surveys for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. February 6, 2003.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Cypress Grove Project - Swainson’s Hawk Foraging Habitat Analysis for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. June 2003.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Site Assessment for the California Red-legged Frog for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, California. March 20, 2003.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Supplemental Botanical Assessment for the Cypress Grove Residential Development, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. July 25, 2003.
- Sycamore, Hanson Environmental, and Balance Hydrologics. Essential Fish Habitat Assessment for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. August 10, 2004.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Letter to David Ivester Re: Summary of Silvery Legless Lizard Sightings on the Cypress Grove Residential Development, City of Oakley, Contra Costa County. May 4, 2004.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Silvery Legless Lizard Survey Results and Mitigation Plan for the Cypress Grove Residential Project, City of Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. July 13, 2004.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Focused Special-Status Reptile Surveys for the Cypress Grove Project, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. August 23, 2004.
- Sycamore Associates LLC. Biological Assessment for the 150-acre Emerson Property South of the Contra Costa Canal, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. January 14, 2005.
- Sycamore Associates, LLC. Tree Survey Report for the 150-acre Emerson Property and Off-Site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. March 24, 2005.
- Sycamore Associates, LLC. Burrowing Owl winter Season Habitat Assessment and Focused Surveys 150-acre Emerson Property South of the Contra Costa Canal, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. June 6, 2005.
- Sycamore Associates, LLC. Botanical Assessment for the 150-Acre Emerson Property South of the Contra Costa Canal, Oakley, California. July 25, 2005.
- Sycamore Associates, LLC. Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat Analysis the 150-Acre Emerson Property South of the Contra Costa Canal, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. July 31, 2005.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Survey Results for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) at the Gilbert and Burroughs Properties in Contra Costa County, California. February 27, 2006.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) in Marsh Creek and the Contra Costa Canal, Northeast Contra Costa County, California. January 22, 2004.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Site Assessment for Special Status Reptiles and Amphibians at the Proposed Cypress Grove Site in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. September 15, 2002.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) in Marsh Creek and the Contra Costa Canal, Northeast Contra Costa County, California. January 22, 2004.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) at the Dal Porto North Property in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. October 1, 2005.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) at the Dal Porto South Property in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. October 3, 2005.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) at the Lesher Property in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. October 3, 2005.
- Swaim Biological Consulting. Results of Surveys for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas) at the Biggs Property in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California. October 3, 2005.
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Nomenclature used throughout this report conforms to Hickman (1993) for plants except where noted. Nomenclature for special-status plant species conforms to the CDFG (2004c,e) and CNPS (2001); nomenclature for special-status animals conforms to the CDFG (2004b,d); nomenclature for special-status natural communities conforms to the California Department of Fish and Game (2003). Nomenclature for wildlife conforms to Sibley (2000) for birds, Stebbins (2003) for reptiles and amphibians, and Jameson Jr. and Peeters (2004) for mammals.

## ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The Gilbert property is an approximately 124-acre farmed and grazed field. Dutch Slough marks the site's eastern boundary, while the Contra Costa Canal abuts the northern. The site is predominantly the level plain of a formerly irrigated pasture and has been frequently disked for farm uses. A vegetated sand mound rises near the center of the site, a remnant of the sand dunes that once occupied this region. Several drainage ditches have been constructed through the site to control the flow of water during irrigation. Annual grassland weeds dominate the majority of the site.

The site is bordered to the north by the Contra Costa Canal, to the west by the Emerson property, which is open agricultural land proposed for residential development, to the south by rural residential properties south of Cypress Road and to the east by rural residential and agricultural lands, most of which are part of the East Cypress Specific Plan area and are likely to be developed in the near future (see Figure 3-2 in Chapter 3 of this Draft EIR).

## Environs

The lands around the project site are currently being used for various forms of farming. Adjacent land uses to the north, south, and east, including farming and livestock grazing. These areas have been farmed in irrigated pasture, oat and wheat hay and similar endeavors for the past several decades and, occasionally, longer periods. To the north are the lands of the Delta; typically including farmland, marsh and open waters, as well as canals and similar features.

## Regional and local ecology

The western edge of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta's freshwater tidelands is located only 0.4 miles east of the Gilbert site. The Delta was created 10,000 years ago when a warmer climate following the Pleistocene Ice Age melted continental ice sheets. The addition of their melt water then caused worldwide sea levels to rise. Rising seas first breached California's Golden Gate at this time to flood interior valleys and create the San Francisco Bay Estuary. During the Ice Age the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system had flowed through the Golden Gate to a shoreline near the present Farallon Islands, but the estuary's subsequent rising waters hydraulically dammed the river system's outflow to permanently flood the center of California's Central Valley and create the freshwater tidal wetland we know today as the Delta. An unusual Delta feature is the failure of rivers flowing into to fill it with sediment during the 10,000 years it developed. Instead its vast new emergent marsh was dominated by tules (Scirpus acutus), which used the supportive substrate formed by their own past generations’ dead stems and rhizomes to synchronously follow the gradually rising waters upward. The many generations of dead tules beneath each living generation formed the Delta's peat soils.

While Ice Age glaciers covered vast continental lowlands in northern North America, others farther south carved deep valleys like Yosemite in the Sierra Nevada's granite
spine. Such glacial erosion produced enormous quantities of sand that major rivers carried down to the Central Valley, where it accumulated in large dune fields as the rivers left the mountains. One of the largest valley dune fields, fed by sand from the Stanislaus, Mokelumne, and other rivers to the north and south, once occupied much of the Delta area, where peat now largely covers it, and the northern part of the San Francisco Bay estuary, where it now mostly lies beneath bay mud. Edges of the old estuary-delta dune field too high for inundation by rising waterways and wetlands can still be seen, however. One example is Oakland, which is largely built on low hills around Lake Merritt formed by Ice Age Merritt sand. A second is Oakley, which is surrounded by Delhi sand of the same age and origin. Oakland and Oakley's similar names are not coincidental since the old estuary-delta dune field is particularly good substrate for coast live oak (Quercus agrifolia). Both communities are named for forests of this tree that once covered surrounding sand deposits and stopped at their edge.

A sand hill located at the site's center is a remnant of the old dune field that rises 15 feet above a surrounding level plain formed by more recent sediments deposited by Coast Range creeks over dunes that were lower. One mile southwest of the site, however, the dune field is continuously exposed in a 1.5 mile wide band extending southeastward for 9 miles through Oakley from the San Joaquin River near Antioch. Sand hills are continuous in this belt, but to its northeast several hills like the one on the site are isolated amidst an otherwise continuous mantle of more recent sediments. East of the site in the Delta several sand islands even rise above surrounding peat. All delta sand islands have not been continuously exposed above peat, however, since some were revealed only when peat oxidized and deflated after it was farmed. Others like sands on Brannan Island are dredge spoils produced when sand underlying much of the Delta was excavated to deepen shipping channels.

Most natural vegetation on exposures of the old estuary-delta dune field in Contra Costa County has long been removed since Delhi sand is highly desirable for orchards and vineyards. More recently rapid urbanization around Oakley has removed even more of the few remaining examples of natural vegetation on nearby sand hills. Enough currently remains, however, to demonstrate original coverage of the dune field area by a forest or woodland that ended abruptly at the edge of the sands and was exclusively dominated by coast live oak (Quercus agrifolia). The nature of the woodland's understory is less certain, but California croton (Croton californicus), a native forb with an isolated population on northeastern Contra County's sands disjunct from its otherwise largely coastal dune associated populations, was certainly important. Telegraph weed (Heterotheca grandiflora) is another native forb now common on sands around Oakley, but its population may currently be unnaturally high since it thrives in the disturbed habitats now general in the area. The native shrub silver lupine (Lupinus albifrons) is a particularly common understory associate of oaks on sands around Oakley. Other native shrubs of the area's sands are dune lupine (Lupinus chamissonis), which is reported from the region but was not seen on this site, and golden-fleece (Ericameria arborescens), which was seen but is not otherwise reported for the area. A currently declining native forb of the Oakley sands that was probably once much more common is birdcage evening primrose (Oenothera deltoides ssp. cognata), a close relative of endangered Antioch Dunes evening primrose (Oenothera deltoides ssp. howellii), which is essentially
confined to the least stabilized areas of the dune field along the San Joaquin River near Antioch.

Other native forbs recently reported from the Oakley sand hills are slender buckwheat (Eriogonum gracile) and valley lessingia (Lessingia glandulifera). An attractive but nonnative forb that has recently colonized the Oakley sand hills but is scarce elsewhere in central California is golden crownbeard (Verbesina encelioides). No evidence of native grasses was seen in the dune field, but some probably at least once occurred there. Purple needlegrass (Nassella pulchra) is the most likely native grass among those that might once have occurred on the Oakley sands. Trees were apparently scarce on recent nonsandy sediments deposited over the dune field by Marsh Creek. The most widespread natural vegetation on these sediments was probably grassland dominated by creeping wild-rye (Leymus triticoides).

## Vegetation Communities and Wildlife Habitats

The following is a discussion of existing habitats found within the project site and the plant and wildlife species it supports. These habitats include: formerly irrigated pasturelands, non-native annual grasslands including the vegetated sand mound, seasonal wetlands, sloughs, and drainage ditches. These various habitats are used by a variety of wildlife and the potential for occurrence of special-status species within the on-site habitats described below in the subsequent section.

Figure 4.8-1, Habitat Map, identifies the locations of the various habitat types on the Dutch Slough Properties.

Figure 4.8-1
Habitat Map

## Irrigated Pasture

Most of the Gilbert property consists of formerly irrigated pasture, which is dominated by non-native forage species that include Bermuda grass, (Paspalum dilatatum), Italian ryegrass, curly dock (Rumex crispus), English plantain (Plantago lanceolata), fiddle dock (Rumes pulcher), white clover (Trifolium repens), meadow fescue (Festuca pratensis), bird's foot trefoil (Lotus carniculatus), Mediterranean barley (Hordeum marinum), and dallis grass (Paspalum dilatatum). Small portions of the pasture had supported hydrophytes in the past during irrigation but these are now shifting to upland dominance. These areas generally occur alongside drainage ditches or other low areas where overflow from the irrigation has accumulated. Typical wildlife in this habitat includes a variety of small mammals, occasional raptors that prey on the small mammals, and larger, farmadapted mammals such as skunks and raccoons. Squirrels are notably absent on-site, presumably due to efforts by the farming community n this area to reduce their populations on farm land.

## Non-Native Annual Grassland

Near the center of the site, the degraded, vegetated sand mound is dominated by nonnative annual grassland. The sand mound, which has been highly disturbed by grazing, is dominated by common non-native annual grassland vegetation, especially ripgut brome (Bromus diandrus), and hare barley (Hordeum murinum). Various artifacts from past cattle operations, as well as an abandoned house, are also present. Weedy non-native forbs that include Russian thistle, yellow star-thistle, and bull thistle (Cirsium vulgare) are common on the sand mound. Other dominant species include stinging nettle (Urtica urens), summer mustard, wild radish (Raphamus sativa), and broad-leaved pepper weed (Lepidum latifolium). The only relatively common native is foxtail barley (Hordeum jubatum), which is found scattered around areas with sandy soils.

Non-native annual grasses are also commonly found along the site edges and as well as berms located adjacent to drainage ditches that are artifacts of ditch construction. These areas contain more ruderal grassland vegetation including: wild oats, brome grasses (Bromus spp.), wild barley (Hordeum spp.), quaking grass (Briza spp.), Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum), and fescue (Vulpia spp.). Common non-native forbs include yellow star thistle (Centaurea solstitialis), field bindweed (Convovulus arvensis), cut-leaved geranium (Geranium dissectum), sheep sorrel (Rumex acetosella), bur-clover (Medicago polymorpha), black mustard (Brassica nigra), and filaree (Erodium spp.), among others. Wildlife in these areas are similar to those found in the irrigated pasture.

## Wetlands

The seasonal wetlands on the property are dominated by annual and perennial native and non-native wetland species. This plant association typically resembles a wetland community only following the wet season; it dries up rapidly with the onset of summer. During the dry season, such sites may not be readily recognizable as wetlands.

Several small, low-lying areas totaling 1.29 acres are found alongside the edge of the sand mound. These areas are either irrigated pasture artifacts or seasonal wetland habitats that occupy the low areas of the level plain within the irrigated pasture. These more mesic areas contain more native species than the drier habitats on the site. These areas are dominated by knot grass (Paspalum distichum, a native species), common nut-sedge or umbrella grass (Cyperus eragrostis, a native species), curly dock, Bermuda grass, Italian ryegrass, dallis grass (Paspalum dilatatum), and creeping spikerush (Eleocharis marcrostachya, a native species).

A drainage ditch found along the northern border of the property supports perennial wetland. This wetland is 1.21 acres in extent and is dominated primarily by perennial species that are adapted to ponded or saturated conditions year round. The perennial wet conditions in the ditch is supplemented by a culverted connected to Dutch Slough and seepage from the adjacent Contra Costa Canal. This wetland is dominated by cattail (Typha sp.) and bulrush (Scirpus sp.).

Wildlife in these wetlands can be varied and includes amphibians such as tree- and bullfrogs, waterfowl, and wading birds such as egrets.

## Constructed Drainage Ditch

A network of abandoned ditches are located on the Gilbert property, which formerly carried irrigation water onto or drained tailwater from summer irrigated pastures. Vegetation within the pastures is dominated by ruderal (weedy) seasonal wetland species, including non-native species such as curly dock and dallis grass, and native species such as common nut-sedge, annual marsh aster (Aster subulatus var. subulatus), and knot grass. Five drainage ditches totaling 1.04 acres are located on the Gilbert property; these were all constructed in upland. The largest ditch runs at the base of the Contra Costa Canal levee along the northern border of the site; this ditch is dominated by common tule and California bulrush. This ditch may also be receiving subsurface seepage from the canal, which at this location consists of an unlined earthen conveyance. The remaining ditches do not support wetland vegetation. Wildlife using these ditches are similar to those described above for the wetlands.

## Slough

A portion of Dutch Slough ( 0.52 acre) is located on the Gilbert property. The slough consists of a linear channel that runs along approximately 1,500 feet of the eastern edge of the site. Dutch Slough at this location is dominated by native species including California bulrush (Scirpus californicus), common tule (Scirpus acutus v. occidentalis), three-square (Scirpus americanus), willow (Salix spp.), and bugleweed (Lycopus americanus). Dutch Slough also includes areas of open water covered by water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes). Dutch Slough at the site has been realigned into a linear artificial channel, but it is directly connected to the system of delta waterways north of the site, and is tidally influenced at this location. The Slough's use by wildlife is constant and relatively significant, including both the wetland species noted above and a variety of Delta fish.

## Trees

There are 14 black cottonwood trees (Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa) located onsite in the southeastern portion of the sand mound near an old residence; these are the only trees on the project site. A number of trees that once existed north of Cypress Road adjacent to the site were cut down for a pipeline project by another developer after approval of a City Tree Removal Permit and consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) staff.

## SPECIAL STATUS HABITATS AND SPECIES

## Wetlands and Jurisdictional Areas

## Methods

The wetland delineation was completed by Zentner and Zentner over the winter and spring of 2005. Boundaries between jurisdictional areas and uplands were first investigated using the routine on-site assessment procedure, Section D, Subsection 2, page 57 of the 1987 "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual" (Environmental Laboratory 1987; hereafter the "Delineation Manual"). Dominant plant species, soil characteristics, and hydrology indicators were noted within a 10 -foot by 10 -foot plot at each sample point Wetlands were distinguished from uplands on this site by the presence of: 1) hydrophytic vegetation, 2) wetland hydrology, and 3) hydric soils.

Hydrophytic vegetation is dominated by plant species that can tolerate prolonged inundation or soil saturation during the growing season. More than $50 \%$ of the dominant species must be wetland indicators of FAC, FACW and OBL for the vegetation to be considered hydrophytic. These wetland indicators, or hydrophytes, are listed in the Delineation Manual as OBL, FACW, and FAC. Other plants are listed as FACU or NI, and unlisted plants are considered as UPL.

Hydric soils develop under the low oxygen conditions typical of prolonged inundation or saturation, and generally show visible indications of chemical reduction. The hydric nature of a soil is most often indicated by low matrix chromas of 0 to 1 , or 2 with mottles, and is determined by comparing the wetted soil with Munsell Soil Color Charts. The hydric nature of a soil may also be indicated by the presence of manganese or iron nodules, or other more subtle characteristics.

Common wetland hydrology indicators demonstrate inundation or saturation and include observations of standing water, saturated soils, algal mats, water-matted detritus, and water stains on rocks or other objects. In evaluating these hydrology indicators some attention must be given to the frequency and duration of inundation, and the effects of recent weather, unusual flooding and climatic fluctuations.

The Corps also regulates "other waters tributary to waters of the U.S." Boundaries between uplands and other waters are determined based on water elevations and geomorphic features. In freshwater conditions, the boundary between uplands and other waters is the ordinary high water mark, which is roughly equivalent to the mean annual flood line. In tidal conditions, the boundary is set by the high tide line, roughly equivalent to mean high water.

In addition to the routine on-site assessment procedure, a hydrology-based wetland assessment was conducted because the site had been irrigated and thus hydrophytic vegetation was present on the site that would probably not be sustained under normal circumstances.

For a site or portion of a site to exhibit wetland hydrology, it must have continuous inundation or saturation for at least $5 \%$ of growing season in most ( $<50 \%$ of) years (Environmental Laboratory, 2005). With a growing season of 365 days, as listed for this area (National Water and Climate Center, 2002), at least 18.25 days of continuous inundation or saturation would be required to meet this parameter. The hydrology and soils criteria (NRCS, 2005) further require the prolonged saturation to be at the surface, or as a water table, within: (a) 1.0 ft of the surface during the growing season for soils with permeability less than $6.0 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{h}$ in any layer within 20 in of the surface (the Marcuse and Sycamore soils of the site are within this range); or (b) 0.5 ft of the surface for soils with permeability equal to or greater than 6.0 in/hour in all layers within 20 in of the surface (the Piper and Delhi soils of the site are in this range).

10 sampling stations were placed in low areas, marked with wire flags, where the previous irrigation had sustained a mix of FAC, FACW and OBL species. The hydrology monitoring entailed recording the depth of inundation or saturation in a freshly dug hole to $<-18$ inches at each station, with photography, during eight visits from early November though January.

Daily rainfall for this season was extracted and compiled from a State database (Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, 2005) for Brentwood (the nearest station with current data) for correlation with site hydrology.

Areas with inundation or with saturation within 12 "of the surface were mapped in the field during each of the eight hydrology monitoring visits. Sample points were examined at each location as noted above. Areas with wetland hydrology (i.e. sustained shallow saturation or inundation) were then identified from the field maps, in conjunction with the monitoring described above.

Hydrologic conditions were also observed in two areas near the site for comparison to onsite hydrology. One area is located just over a quarter mile east from the southeast corner of the site in a field just south of Cypress Road and east of the project site. The other area is located immediately west across Sellars Road from the northwest side of the site.

## Results

The Zentner and Zentner delineation was verified by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) in August 2006. A total of 2.50 acres of USACE jurisdictional wetlands are located on the Gilbert property. This includes 1.21 acres of adjacent perennial wetland, 0.63 of adjacent seasonal wetland, 0.52 acres of Dutch Slough and 0.14 acres of former tidal wetland altered during farming. The bulk of these wetlands are located within an agricultural ditch along the northern edge of the project site, just below the Contra Costa Canal.

There are also 1.56 acres of non-jurisdictional wetlands including 0.52 acres of isolated seasonal wetlands, and 1.04 acres of agricultural ditches constructed in uplands. Figure
4.8-2, Wetlands/Section 404 Jurisdictional Delineation Map, identifies the jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Figure 4.8-2
Wetlands/Section 404 Jurisdictional Delineation Map

## Special-Status Species

Federal and State designations
Special status taxa (species) are those plant and animal species that, in the judgment of the resource agencies, trustee agencies, and, certain non-governmental organizations warrant special consideration in CEQA documents. This can be a very broad definition as it includes the following taxa:

- Officially designated as "threatened" (FT), "endangered" (FE) or "candidate" (FC) species federally listed by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and protected under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA). USFWS also maintains a list of species that are "Species of Concern" (FSC) that are also generally included as special status species under CEQA.
- Officially designated as "rare" (SR), "threatened" (ST), or "endangered" (SE) or "candidate" (SC) species state listed by the CDFG and protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). CDFG also maintains a list of "Fully Protected" (SFP) species as well as "California Special Concern" (CSC) species that are also generally included as special status species under CEQA.
- Taxa considered rare, threatened, or endangered under the conditions of Section 15380 of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Guidelines, such as the plant taxa identified on lists $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B}$, and 2 in the California Native Plant Society ("CNPS") Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California.

Other taxa considered sensitive such as the nests of birds listed in the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (which includes most native birds) and plants included on lists 3 and 4 in the CNPS Inventory. Taxa may also be designated as of special concern at the local level due to limited data regarding distribution preventing threatened or endangered at the state or federal level.

## Special-Status Species Results

For the special-status species discussion and tables below, we use the following definitions of the likelihood of species occurrence:

- Present: Species known to occur on the site, based on the actual observations or observation records as contained in the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) records or other, verified observations.
- High: Species known to occur recently (within past 5 years) near the site (within 5 mi although this distance may vary depending on the species) based on CNDDB or other records, highly suitable habitat exists on-site or, based on professional expertise specific to the site or species, the plant or animal is considered highly likely to occur.
- Moderate: Species known to occur recently in the vicinity of the site (greater than 5 miles) and suitable nesting, foraging or other required habitat is present onsite.
- Low: Species known to occur in the vicinity of the site but only marginal habitat exists on the site or, suitable habitat exists on-site but the species has not been observed in the vicinity for more than 5 years.
- Not Expected: Species are not known to occur on or in the vicinity of the site and/or required habitat for the species does not exist on the site. Or, species were surveyed for during the appropriate season with negative results.


## Special-Status Plant Species Results

A total of 52 special status species plants were reviewed for the Gilbert project. Of these, 10 plants have at least a low likelihood of occurrence, including; round-leaved filaree (Erodium macrophyllum; CNPS 2), Antioch Dunes evening-primrose (Oenothera deltoides ssp. Howellii; CNPS 1B, FE), Hoover's cryptantha (Cryptantha hooveri; CNPS 1B), rose-mallow (Hibiscus lasiocarpus; CNPS 2); Delta tule pea (Lathyrus jepsonii var. jepsonii; CNPS 1B, FSC), Mason's lilaeopsis (Lilaeopsis masonii; CNPS 1B, FSC), Delta mudwort (Limosella subulata; CNPS 2), eelgrass pondweed (Potamogeton zosteriformis; CNPS 2), blue skullcap (Scutellaria lateriflora; CNPS 2), and Suisun Marsh aster (Aster lentus; CNPS 1B, FSC). Of these, none were found on-site despite directed surveys in late spring of 2005 and summer 2005. Figure 4.8-3, Special-Status Vegetation Occurrence Map, shows the locations of these species where they occur within a 5-mile radius of the project area.

Figure 4.8-3
Special Status Plant Occurrence Map

Table 4.8-1 shows the special-status plant species that were evaluated for occurrence on the project site.

## Table 4.8-1

## Special-Status Plant Species with some Likelihood of Occurrence

Based on the negative survey results, no special status plant species are expected to occur on the project site.

## Special-Status Wildlife Species Results

Based on a review of the extant literature, State and Federal data base searches, and a familiarity with the fauna within the project region, a total of 75 special-status wildlife species could possibly or do occur within the region of the project site. Of these, 32 species are not expected to occur on-site due to the lack of suitable habitat, isolation from known habitats, and the site being out of the species' known range. For example, specialstatus wildlife species that are strictly associated with the northern coastal salt marsh habitat present in the Bay-Delta were not included as part of this assessment because salt marsh habitat is not present on the study site or immediately adjacent to the site. These species include California clapper rail (Rallus longirostris obsoletus), saltmarsh yellowthroat (Geothlypis trichas sinuosa), Suisun song sparrow (Melospiza melodia maxillaris), San Pablo song sparrow (Melospiza melodia samuelis), Suisun shrew (Sorex ornatus sinuosus), salt marsh vagrant shrew (Sorex vagrans halicoetes), ornate salt marsh shrew (Sorex ornatus salicornicus), and salt marsh harvest mouse (Reithrodontomys raviventris).

The remaining 43 species have at least some potential to occur on-site and are discussed in more detail below.

Figure 4.8-4, Special-Status Wildlife Occurrence Map, shows the locations of specialstatus wildlife occurrence within a 5-mile radius of the project area.

Figure 4.8-4
Special Status Wildlife Occurrence Map

Table 4.8-2 identifies a full listing of all species considered as part of this project.
Table 4.8-2
Potentially Occurring Special-Status Wildlife Species

Of the 43 species with at least some potential to occur, 5 were observed on the property during the course of the surveys. These include loggerhead shrike (FSC and CSC), whitetailed kite (CFP), western burrowing owl (FSC and CSC), tricolored blackbird (FSC and

CSC), and Swainson's hawk (FSC and ST). Western pond turtle (Clemmys marmorata; FSC and CSC) and northern harrier (CSC) have a moderate potential to occur on-site given the presence of suitable habitat.

## Invertebrates

## Lange's Metalmark Butterfly

The Lange's metalmark butterfly (Apodemia mormo langei) is federally-listed as Endangered. This butterfly is associated only with the larval host plant naked-stem buckwheat (Eriogonum nudum var. auriculatum) and is known only from the Antioch dunes in Contra Costa County, approximately seven miles west of the project area. Although sandy soils occur on-site that could support the naked-stem buckwheat, this plant species was not seen during the site visits despite directed surveys. Based on an absence of the larval host plant, the restricted range of the butterfly, and the entomological report for the Cypress Grove site (Entomological Consulting Services 2002), which suggested that the butterfly was not likely to occur, the Lange's metalmark butterfly is not expected to occur on-site.

## Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle

The Valley elderberry longhorn beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus), federallylisted Threatened, is restricted to the Central Valley wherever its food plant, blue elderberry shrub (Sambucus mexicana) occurs, primarily along riparian areas. The historical range of the beetle may have included the entire Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley riparian zone. Today less than four percent of the historical 400,000 acres of riparian forest remain (Barr 1991).

Elderberry trees do not occur on-site. Accordingly, the Valley elderberry longhorn beetle is not expected to occur.

## Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp (five species)

Fairy shrimp are aquatic crustaceans associated with vernal pools, grassy swales, and other temporarily ponded bodies of water in California, such as seasonal wetlands. As a taxonomic group, they are referred to as branchiopods. Most branchiopods are small freshwater organisms with limited specialization of their appendages as compared to other crustacean groups.

Vernal pools and seasonal wetlands form in regions with Mediterranean climates where shallow depressions fill with water during fall and winter rains, which evaporate in the spring. Fairy shrimp are ecologically dependent upon these seasonal fluctuations in their environment. After pools become inundated with water, these crustaceans hatch from eggs that have been dormant in the soil from previous wet seasons. The eggs are highly
tolerant of heat, cold, and prolonged desiccation. In general, two to three weeks of inundation are required for eggs to hatch and for completion of development, although this time period varies by species. When the pool dries, the eggs survive as cysts among the soil and detritus at the bottom of the pool. Generally, one generation occurs per rainy season, but in some locations and in some years, depending on weather patterns and rainfall amounts, conditions may permit two or more generations to complete their development. Egg cysts are dispersed from one pool to another via wind, water, or animals such as birds that may ingest them, or cattle that may pick them up on their feet.

Vernal pool fairy shrimp (Branchinecta lynchi), a federally threatened species, is a small ( 0.5 to 1 inches long) aquatic crustacean. The shrimp can be found in a variety of vernal pool habitats, including: small, clear, sandstone rock pools and large, turbid, alkaline, grassland valley floor pools (USFWS 2003). The species tends to occur in smaller pools and is most commonly found in pools that are less than 0.05 acre in grass or mud bottomed swales or basalt flow depression pools in unplowed grasslands (USFWS 2003). Vernal pool fairy shrimp have been collected from early December to early May. On average it takes vernal pool fairy shrimp 41 days to mature but in warmer pools it can take as little as 18 days (Eriksen and Belk 1999).

The population is widespread but not abundant. The species has been recorded from Stillwater Plain in Shasta County through most of the length of the Central Valley to Pixley in Tulare County. Along the central coast, they range from northern Solano County to Pinnacles National Monument in San Benito County. Four additional populations exist one near Soda Lake in San Luis Obispo County, one in the mountain grasslands of northern Santa Barbara County, one on the Santa Rosa Plateau in Riverside County, and one near Rancho California in Riverside County (USFWS 2003).

Vernal pool fairy shrimp have a low likelihood of occurrence on-site. The closest CNDDB records for this species are over seven miles away from the site at various locations west, southwest and south of the site. Wet season surveys for branchiopods were conducted in the winter of 2004 to 2005 by Zentner and Zentner staff and no fairy shrimp were observed during these surveys (Zentner, 2006). USFWS protocols require two wet season surveys to determine presence/absence and the second season of surveys are currently being conducted. The difference between "not expected to occur" and "low likelihood" in this, and the following cases, is that although almost all indicators are that this species does not occur here, potentially suitable habitat exists on-site in the form of seasonal wetlands and the second season of protocol-level surveys have not been completed.

## Midvalley fairy shrimp

Midvalley fairy shrimp (Branchinecta mesovallensis), a federal Species of Concern, is a small ( 0.28 to 0.79 inches long) freshwater crustacean. This species is found in shallow ephemeral pools, shallow vernal pools, vernal swales and artificial ephemeral wetland habitats. Midvalley fairy shrimp has also been observed in puddles, scrapes, and ditches (Belk and Fugate 2000) and is found in the mid portion of the Central Valley in

Sacramento, Solano, Contra Costa, San Joaquin, Madera, Merced and Fresno counties (Belk and Fugate 2000). Because this species is normally found in quick drying pools, it can mature within about eight days when hatching in small pools. However, average maturing is approximately 26 days or longer in larger pools.

Midvalley fairy shrimp have a low likelihood of occurrence on the Gilbert property. The nearest CNDDB records for the midvalley fairy shrimp are more than five miles away. Also, as noted above, wet season surveys were conducted for special status branchiopods at the Gilbert site during the winter of 2004 to 2005 with negative results.

## California linderiella fairy shrimp

California fairy shrimp (Linderiella occidentalis), a federal Species of Concern, is a small (approximately 0.4 inches long) aquatic crustacean. This shrimp is most commonly found in large, moderately clear vernal pools and lakes, although it has been found in very small pools and in clear to turbid water with pH from 6.1 to 8.5 . California fairy shrimp can live in water temperatures ranging between $41^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. On average they mature in 45 days. Adult linderiella shrimp have been collected from late December to early May. Linderiella fairy shrimp are the most common fairy shrimp in the Central Valley and have been observed in most locations that support vernal pools (USFWS 2003).

California linderiella fairy shrimp have a low likelihood of occurrence on the Gilbert property. The nearest CNDDB records for the California linderiella fairy shrimp are more than five miles away. Also, wet season surveys were conducted in the winter of 2004 and 2005 and no fairy shrimp were observed.

## Longhorn fairy shrimp

Longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), a federally-listed Endangered species, is a small ( 0.5 to 0.8 inches long) aquatic crustacean. The longhorn fairy shrimp occupies clear to turbid vernal pools including clear-water depressions in sandstone outcroppings near Tracy, grass-bottomed pools in Merced County and claypan pools around Soda Lake in San Luis Obispo County (USFWS 2003). This species has been collected from late December to late April. (Eriksen and Belk 1999). On average, longhorn fairy shrimp take 43 days to mature. This species has been recorded in scattered populations along the eastern margin of the Central Coast Range from Concord in Contra Costa County south to Soda Lake in San Luis Obispo County (USFWS 2003).

As with the previously mentioned branchiopods, longhorn fairy shrimp have a low likelihood of occurrence on the Gilbert property. The closest known population of longhorn fairy shrimp is near Tracy, which is over 20 miles southeast of the project site. Also, no shrimp were found during the protocol level surveys conducted in the winter of 2004 to 2005.

Vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi), a federally-listed Endangered species, is a small (up to 2 inches long) aquatic crustacean. This shrimp is found in vernal pools with clear to highly turbid water. Vernal pool tadpole shrimp has been observed in pools ranging in size from 54 square feet to 89 acres. Eighteen known populations exist in the Central Valley, ranging from east of Redding south to the San Luis National Wildlife Refuge in Merced County. This species is also known from a single vernal pool complex in the San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge in Alameda County (USFWS 2003).

As with the fairy shrimp discussed above, this species has a low likelihood of occurrence within the seasonal wetlands located on the Gilbert property. The closest vernal pool tadpole shrimp observation was made in 2003 over seven miles southwest of the site in a small claypan vernal pool surrounded by grazed grassland. Also, the vernal pool tadpole shrimp was not observed during the wet season surveys in the winter of 2004 to 2005.

## Antioch Dune insects (4 species)

Several special-status invertebrate species are known from sandy substrates at the Antioch Dunes, situated approximately seven miles northwest of the project site, including: yellow-banded andrenid bee (Perdita hirticeps luteocincta), a federal Species of Concern; Antioch andrenid bee (Perdita scituta antiochensis) a federal Species of Concern; Antioch dunes anthicid beetle (Anthicus antiochensis), a federal Species of Concern; and Sacramento anthicid beetle (Anthicus sacramento), a federal Species of Concern.

The yellow-banded andrenid bee and Antioch andrenid bee are native bees found in sand dunes and are known to visit the flowers of California matchweed (Gutierrezia californica). The Antioch andrenid bee is also known to visit the flowers of buckwheat (Eriogonum sp.), telegraph weed, and lessingia (Lessingia sp.).

California matchweed does not occur on-site and the yellow-banded andrenid bee, therefore, is not expected to occur on-site. Potential habitat for the Antioch andrenid bee exists on the Gilbert property in the vegetated sand mound due to the presence of telegraph weed; however, this plant is fairly common in the Oakley area as it thrives in disturbed habitats. The dune habitat on-site is also degraded and the habitat value is marginal (Zentner and Zentner 2005d). These species were also not observed during site surveys on the Gilbert property in September and November 2004 when they should have been visible.

Entomologist Dick Arnold conducted a habitat assessment for special-status invertebrates on the Cypress Grove residential development site, located approximately one-half mile west of the project site (Entomological Consulting Services 2002), a portion of which is contiguous with the sand mound on the adjacent Emerson property, which is adjacent and similar to the Gilbert site sand mound. He found that current land use practices have converted native plant communities to grazing lands or substantially degraded their
habitat value. He concluded that no special-status insect or invertebrate species were observed and the Antioch dunes anthicid beetle, yellow-banded andrenid bee, and Sacramento anthicid beetle were not expected to occur there.

Due to the degraded quality of the habitat and negative findings from this and nearby sites, these four insects are not expected to occur on the project site.

## Fish

## Central Valley steelhead

The Central Valley steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) is an anadromous rainbow trout. The Central Valley evolutionarily significant unit (ESU) of steelhead includes the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, along with all of their tributaries. Their numbers have declined drastically in the past several decades due to habitat loss, over-fishing, predation, and other factors.

Steelhead spawn from January to March and then do not die like salmon but return to the ocean. The young stay in freshwater for one to four years and then migrate downstream, typically during spring and early summer. Steelhead depend upon suitable water temperature and substrate (no larger than 10 centimeters) for successful spawning and incubation.

The Central Valley steelhead has not been observed in either the vicinity of the project or this portion of Dutch Slough. Also, the channelized extension of Dutch Slough on-site does not contain suitable habitat for salmon. It is at the extreme end of Dutch Slough, has been modified into a channel and does not receive enough water, even during high tides, to support large spawning fish. Therefore, this species is not likely to occur on-site.

## Winter-run Chinook salmon

Winter-run Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) are on average one meter in length. The fish spends the majority of its life in the open ocean but migrates through estuaries and then upstream to spawn in the upper reaches of its home river. Spawning reaches its peak from May to August after which the salmon dies. These fish require cold $\left(6^{\circ}-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, fast-flowing, clean rivers with gravel bottoms to lay their eggs in order to reproduce successfully. Adults mainly eat other fish and juveniles mainly feed on invertebrates.

Historically, the species was found in most coastal streams along western North America but the population was greatly reduced. The breeding population is currently restricted to the Sacramento River and its tributaries. After breeding, salmon migrate through the Sacramento/San Joaquin Drainage where they continue past the Red Bluff Diversion Dam to spawn in the upper reaches of the Sacramento River.

Chinook salmon have not been observed in either the vicinity of the project or this portion of Dutch Slough. Also, the channelized extension of Dutch Slough on-site does not contain suitable habitat for this species. It is at the extreme end of Dutch Slough, has been modified into a channel and does not receive enough water, even during high tides, to support large spawning salmon. Chinook salmon are not likely to occur on-site.

## Central Valley spring run and fall/late run Chinook salmon

Chinook salmon are the largest of all salmon and adults often exceed 40 pounds. They use a variety of freshwater habitats and spawn more commonly in larger main-stem rivers than other salmon species. The species requires deep pools, cover and gravel for spawning. Their "run" is determined by when they leave the ocean and enter freshwater to begin their migration.

As stated above, Chinook salmon have not been observed within the vicinity of the project site. Also, the on-site channelized portion of Dutch Slough does not contain adequate habitat for this species. Therefore, Chinook salmon are not expected to occur on-site.

## Delta smelt

Delta smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus) is a small, native fish that is 2 to 3 inches at adult size. It is found only in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary and has been observed as far upstream as the mouth of the American River on the Sacramento River and Mossdale on the San Joaquin River. The species used to be one of the most common species in the estuary but due to varying outflow from the Estuary from year to year, reductions in outflow from the Estuary, water diversions, and changes in food organisms, the population has been greatly reduced (CDFG 2002).

This euryhaline species lives at the interface of fresh and salt water for most of the year before spawning upstream in brackish streams and sloughs where the eggs attach to tules, cattails, and tree roots. The spawning period is from late winter to early summer. Delta smelt are found in brackish water (usually in salinity ranges of less than 2 parts per thousand (ppt) and rarely at salinities greater than 14 ppt ).

Dutch Slough waterway is within critical habitat for the delta smelt and they have been documented within the waters of Big Break, just over two miles from the project site, as recently as 1994 (Hanson personal communication 2001, Urquhart personal communication 2001, CDFG 2004a). Also, recent fish sampling (2004-2005) conducted along the Contra Costa Canal, adjacent to the project site and in Rock Slough, approximately 1.5 miles to the east, positively identified Delta smelt (Tenera Environmental 2005). However, Dutch Slough on the project site has been significantly modified and its connection with tidal waters is poor. Accordingly, there is a moderate likelihood that Delta smelt occur on the property but Dutch Slough is outside the
boundaries of the development project and will not be affected by home development. Accordingly, Delta smelt are not expected to occur on-site.

## Longfin smelt

The longfin smelt (Spirinchus thaleichthys) is a small, native species once thought to be the most abundant fish in the Bay-Delta Estuary. This species is found from Monterey Bay north to Alaska. Adult smelts reach a maximum size of 150 mm . They have been found in fresh water and seawater but prefer salinities of $15-30 \mathrm{ppm}$. The smelt spawn in dead-end sloughs, larger sloughs such as Montezuma Slough, the lower reaches of rivers, and bypasses used for flood management. Spawning sites are in the shallow, weedy areas inshore and the smelt move into the deeper offshore habitat as they mature.

Longfin smelt were positively identified during fish sampling conducted along the adjacent Contra Costa Canal and in Rock Slough in 1994 and 1995 (Tenera Environmental 2005). However, as noted above, Dutch Slough on-site has been heavily modified. Additionally, although there is moderate likelihood that this fish occurs in the Slough, no work will occur in the Slough. Longfin smelt are therefore unlikely to occur on-site.

## Sacramento splittail

The Sacramento splittail (Pogonichthys macrolepidotus) is a large (adults grow to more than 1 foot), relatively long-lived ( 5 yrs) native minnow. This species historically occurred within all the lower portions of the streams and rivers that fed the Delta and portions of the Bay (e.g. the Petaluma River) but is presently most common in brackish waters of the Delta, Suisun Marsh and Suisun Bay. They are predominantly freshwater fish but can tolerate moderate salinity and are found mainly in slow moving waters in sloughs and streams. They are one of the native fishes that are highly dependent on flooding of low-lying terrace lands adjacent to the Delta; they spawn, rear young and feed in submerged floodplains. They also spawn on shallowly flooded vegetation (Goals Project 2000).

Sacramento splittail have been documented within the waters of Big Break in 1994 (Hanson personal communication 2001, Urquhart personal communication 2001, CDFG 2004a) and recently in the Contra Costa Canal, adjacent to the project site and in Rock Slough (Tenera Environmental 2005). However, as noted above, Dutch Slough on-site has been heavily modified. Additionally, although there is moderate likelihood that this fish occurs in the Slough, no work will occur in the Slough. Sacramento splittail are therefore not expected to occur on-site.

## Sacramento Perch

The Sacramento perch (Archoplites interruptus) is a deep-bodied, laterally compressed perch. Its lifespan is approximately 4 to 5 years but 9 -year-old Sacramento Perch have been recorded. At year 4 the average length of the species is 30 cm , but growth is dependant on both biotic and abiotic factors (Mathews 1962, McCarraher and Gregory 1970, Moyle et al. 1974). They feed mainly on benthic insect larvae, nails, mid-water insects, zooplankton and fish (Moyle et. al 1974).

Historically, Sacramento perch inhabited sloughs, sluggish rivers, and lakes in the Central Valley, but currently they are found mainly in reservoirs and farm ponds. Currently the native range of the species is restricted to Clear Lake but the species has been introduced to reservoirs and ponds outside of this range.

Only marginal habitat exists in the channelized extension of Dutch Slough on-site and the species has not been observed in either the vicinity of the project or this portion of Dutch Slough. There is a record, however, of the species from 1986 within 5 miles of the site. The perch were found in the intake screens at the Contra Costa power plant on the south shore of the San Joaquin River in Antioch (CDFG 2004). In any case, although there is a low likelihood that this fish occurs in the Slough, no work will occur in the Slough.

## Green sturgeon

The green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) is a large (up to 7 feet long) anadromous fish. The species is slow growing and slow to mature and only spawns every 4 to 11 years. It spends most of its life in salt water and returns to spawn in fresh water in the spring and summer months. Adults feed mainly on benthic invertebrates and small fish. They prefer to spawn in lower reaches of large rivers with swift currents and large cobble. They have been documented to travel up to 600 miles between freshwater and estuary environments.

No green sturgeon have been observed in the vicinity of the project site. Some suitable habitat exists for spawning in adjacent deeper waters of Big Break; however the species is too large to use the on-site portion of Dutch Slough due to lack of sufficient water. It is therefore unlikely to occur on-site.

## River lamprey

The river lamprey (Lampetra ayresi) is a small (average adult length is 17 cm ) anadromous lamprey. Lampreys feed on a variety of fish, most commonly salmon, and normally attach themselves to host fish to feed on muscle tissue. It is found in large coastal streams from Alaska south to San Francisco Bay. Most records from California are from the lower Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and the Russian River where they exist in isolated and scattered populations (Lee et al. 1980). Adults spawn in April and May in small tributary streams (Vladykov 1958).

No observations of river lamprey have been made within the vicinity of the project site.

And, the channelized extension of Dutch Slough on-site does not contain suitable habitat for this species. It is at the extreme end of Dutch Slough and has been highly modified into a channel. Therefore river lampreys are not expected to occur on-site.

## Pacific Lamprey

The Pacific lamprey (Lampetra tridentate) is a parasitic, anadromous lamprey. They spawn in the spring when the water temperature is between 50 and 60 degrees Fahrenheit. Spawning occurs in low gradient sections of water with a gravel and sand substrates. In California the species was historically found only in the upper drainages of the Sacramento-San Joaquin system. Recently, this species has been observed in San Francisco Bay (Aplin 1967), San Pablo Bay (Ganssle 1966), and Carquinez Strait (Messersmith 1969) along with Cache Slough, Lindsey Slough, Suisun Bay, American River (up to Nimbus Dam), the Sacramento River (up to Red Bluff Dam), Napa River, Sonoma Creek, and Walnut Creek.

Pacific lamprey were positively identified during fish sampling in Rock Slough 1994, 1995, and 1996 (Tenera Environmental 2005). However, as noted above, Dutch Slough on-site has been heavily modified. Additionally, although there is moderate likelihood that this fish occurs in the Slough, no work will occur in the Slough. Therefore, Pacific lamprey are not expected to occur on-site.

## Amphibians

## Red-legged frog

The California red-legged frog (Rana aurora draytonii; RLF) is a relatively large frog, native to lowland California. The RLF historically ranged from, in the north, Redding and Marin County, south to northern Baja California, essentially throughout lowland California (Jennings and Hayes 1994). Due to a variety of factors, including loss and modification of habitat, predation by the non-native bullfrog, and possibly water quality impacts, its range has been reduced to mostly isolated drainages within coastal ranges and near-coastal foothills. The USFWS notes that while the RLF once occupied 46 counties, it is now known from only 22, with the greatest concentrations in Monterey, San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties (USFWS 1999).

Young RLF (eggs, larvae, and tadpoles) are found almost exclusively in ponds (such as stockponds) or very slowly moving water in creeks, ditches or similar habitat. Typically, these ponds or creeks are well-vegetated (Zeiner et al 1988) but habitat may also consist of well-grazed stockponds with little marsh vegetation (McCasland, Curt, FWS. pers. comm.). Young RLF generally do not occur in aquatic habitats that also contain bullfrogs or game fish (Jennings and Hayes 1989).

Adult RLF are typically found in or near breeding habitat, which consists of perennial or
near-perennial, deep (greater than 2 ft ) ponds, pools or similar habitats associated with dense riparian or marsh vegetation (Hayes and Jennings 1989, 1994, Jennings 1988) from late-November to late-April. During rainy nights during this time, however, they may also be found up to 200-300 feet away from the aquatic habitat (Zeiner et al 1988; Tatarian, pers. comm.).

From late-spring through fall, RLF will typically stay near aquatic habitat but during the latter part of this period they may move away from the breeding locale into nearby moist locations. RLF have been found "up to $30 \mathrm{~m}(98 \mathrm{ft})$ from water in adjacent dense riparian vegetation" (USFWS, final rule on listing of the RLF, p. 25814; hereafter simply "USFWS") during foraging or estivation. The USFWS states that "estivation habitat for the California red-legged frog is potentially all aquatic and riparian areas within the range of the species and includes any landscape features that provide cover and moisture during the dry season within 300 feet of a riparian area" (USFWS 1999).

Determining the location of RLF habitat is complicated by RLF movement away from relatively easily identified riparian and wetland habitats. Much of the movement ecology of RLF is still poorly understood (Jennings and Hayes 1994), but they appear to move significant distances at two times during a year. First, adults move between winter oviposition sites and spring and summer foraging habitat (Jennings and Hayes 1989). Frogs observed in upland habitat at night during winter rains may represent such movement, but new aquatic habitat may also be found and colonized during such periods of reduced water stress. Movement into upland riparian habitat at such time may also protect frogs from catastrophic injury and transport by floodwaters (Jennings and Hayes 1994). Second, RLF move into the shelter of riparian thickets during fall, when stream habitat is often much reduced (Rathbun et al. 1993). Such behavior appears to resemble estivation of amphibians like California tiger salamanders and spadefoots (Jameson 1981) but these amphibians also then exhibit distinct seasonal dormancy, which the RLF, especially the coastal populations, do not exhibit. Their fall movement into shady habitats may simply represent retraherence (Porter 1972), the generalized shelter-seeking behavior of most amphibians that does not involve distinct seasonal dormancy.

This species is not expected to occur on this site. The closest records for the RLF are approximately 6.5 miles from the project site in a tributary to Sand Creek in Horse Valley and in Sand Creek in Lone Tree Valley. These known populations are separated from the project area by extensive urbanization and habitat modification. The Gilbert site is also outside of the designated Critical Habitat for RLF.

The only potential breeding habitat for the RLF on-site is in the perennial wetlands south of the Contra Costa Canal, at the north and east ends of the site. These marshes are dominated by a dense stand of common tule (Scirpus sp.) and cattails (Typha sp.). Due to the dense vegetation and lack of open water, it is unlikely that RLF would use this habitat for breeding.

USFWS personnel agreed during discussions with Sycamore Associates and during site visits on the Cypress Grove property, located approximately $1 / 2$ mile to the west, that RLFs were very unlikely to occur (Sycamore 2003c). Furthermore, as part of the formal

Section 7 Endangered Species Act consultation for the Cypress Grove project, which resulted in issuance of a Biological Opinion dated July 2, 2004, the USFWS determined that the proposed Cypress Grove project, which contains similar habitats to those found at Gilbert, was not likely to adversely affect the RLF due to a lack of suitable habitat and distance to known sightings.

Due to the lack of reported occurrences in the vicinity, lack of connectivity to known populations in the region, and USFWS concurrence with these assertions for the Cypress Grove property, RLFs are not expected to occur on the project site.

## California tiger salamander

The California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense; CTS) is a relatively large, mostly terrestrial salamander. Its status has been subject to some change recently but it is generally acknowledged to be rare due to habitat loss, predation by non-native species, and interbreeding with introduced salamanders (Fisher and Shaffer 1996).

This species is relatively secretive and difficult to find and they are rarely seen outside of their nocturnal breeding migrations, which begin with the first rains of the season in November or December. Sexually mature adults move at night from underground refugia, e.g. squirrel burrows, to breeding ponds from late November to early March and they may move significant distances, as much as 1.6 km . Vernal pools or seasonal ponds are required for breeding, which occurs from late winter into early spring. The species also breeds in man-made ponds including stock ponds, reservoirs, and small lakes but there they are often subject to introduced predatory fish species.

After breeding, the adults then return to their underground burrows. The eggs then hatch and the resulting gilled aquatic larvae metamorphose into juveniles that also move at night into terrestrial habitats (Zeiner et al 1988). Beginning in late spring and early summer, juveniles migrate from the ponds into underground burrows created by ground squirrels (Spermophilus spp) and other rodent or man-made structures where they estivate until the dry season ends. Juveniles can travel up to one mile from their breeding site to upland refuge site. This distance is normally less when there are large numbers of refuge sites in close proximity to breeding sites. Barriers including road berms, buildings, or walls can impede migration and roads with high levels of traffic are both a major barrier to the species and a major source of mortality. At the end of the dry season, juveniles return to the breeding pond.

This species once ranged from Petaluma east to the Dunnigan Hills and south to Tulare and Santa Barbara Counties. This species is restricted to relatively deep vernal pools, stockponds or similar habitats as, compared to other amphibians, its larvae take a significant amount of time to transform into juvenile adults and require relatively lengthy hydroperiods. Currently the species is only found in the Central Valley from southern Colusa County to northern Kern County, coastal valleys and foothills in Sonoma and Santa Barbara County, and in the Coast Ranges from Suisun Bay south to the Temblor range.

This species is not expected to occur on-site. The closest records for the species are located approximately six and eight miles away, in the Sand Creek and Cowell Ranch State Park areas to the southwest and south respectively (CNDDB 2004). These known populations are separated from the project area by extensive urbanization and habitat modification. Although some seasonal ponding occurs on-site, the hydroperiod of these seasonal pools is too short for CTS breeding and no ponds with suitable hydroperiods occur in the vicinity. The larval forms were also not observed during the fairy shrimp surveys. There is suitable habitat on-site for aestivation in the ground squirrel burrows but due to the history of flooding and disturbance on-site it is unlikely that the species aestivates here. Finally, Karen Swaim, a noted herpetologist, concluded that CTS did not occur at the Cypress Grove site, a similar nearby site, for the same reasons (Swaim 2002).

## Western spadefoot toad

Western spadefoot toads (Scaphiopus hammondii), a Federal Species of Concern and a California Species of Special Concern, are medium-sized native toads once found from Redding south to Northwestern Baja, California and from San Francisco Bay south to Mexico in the Coast Ranges and coastal lowlands. The toad prefers habitats with short grasses and open vegetation in sandy or gravelly soils. The species is normally found in lowland habitats including alluvial fans, floodplains, playas, and alluvial flats, but are also found in foothill and mountain valleys below 3,000 feet (Stebbins, 1985).

Western spadefoot toads are nocturnal and hide in deep burrows during the day and during extended dry periods. Some toads use mammal burrows but the majority dig their own burrows by using the spades on their feet. The species emerge to feed during warm summer nights. Insects, worms, and invertebrates including grasshoppers, moths, ground beetles, flies, ants, and earthworms make up the majority of their diet (CDFG, 1988).

Adult movement is minimal except during the breeding period. The toads breed in vernal pools between late winter and the end of March. Females can deposit more than 500 eggs in two days on detritus, small, submerged rocks, or around plant stems in the temporary pools. Eggs Hatch rapidly, usually within two weeks (CDFG, 1988). Tadpoles feed on plankton and organic material from the bottom of the pool, which they filter out of the water.

This species is not expected to occur on the project site. There are no records of this species in the vicinity of the project site; the deeper seasonal pools required by this species are not present on-site; and no western spadefoot toads were observed during site surveys for the fairy shrimp.

## Reptiles

Giant garter snake
The giant garter snake (Thamnophis gigas; GGS) is a relatively large, aquatic snake
endemic to the Central Valley of California. It is a federal- and state- listed threatened species.

Historically, the snake was present from Butte County south to Kern County in flooded basins, freshwater marshes, small lakes, ponds, sloughs, and tributaries (Stebbins 1985). Due to habitat destruction and fragmentation, changes in water management, predation by and competition with non-native species including bullfrogs and large mouthed bass, and pesticide contamination, the species is currently only found sporadically from Butte County south to Fresno County (CDFG 2000). This snake is now found mainly in rice fields, remnant wetlands, and drainage and irrigation channels where it feeds on small fish, frogs, tadpoles, and other small vertebrates. The GGS often feeds in receding wet areas where prey is concentrated.

During the winter dormant season (October 31 to March 1), GGS stay in small burrows, normally on sunny south- and west-facing slopes or in riprap. When they emerge in April to forage and breed, males quickly seek out a mate. Females give birth to young from late July until early September and brood size varies from 10 to 46 young.

Individual giant garter snakes have been observed approximately four miles northwest and six miles north of the project site, within the main waterways of the Delta (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002) in 2002 and 1998 respectively. These recent occurrences in the region suggest that individual giant garter snakes may use these main Delta waterways, if only occasionally. The site's proximity to the more natural portions of Dutch Slough and the Contra Costa Canal, as well as the presence of suitable escape and refugia habitat on-site in the form California ground squirrel burrows, also indicates that the site could be used by giant garter snakes. The perennial irrigation ditches within the properties and the adjacent Contra Costa Canal were determined by Zentner and Zentner (2005) to contain suitable habitat given that giant garter snakes have been known to use similar ditches as movement corridors when they are inundated with water. Accordingly, they determined that giant garter snake may occur on-site and focused surveys were recommended.

Karen Swaim conducted field surveys and trapping in the summer of 2005 on the Gilbert project site including the perennial ditch on the north part of the site and Dutch Slough; the only suitable habitats on-site (Swaim Biological Consulting 2006). Surveys for giant garter snake were also conducted by Swaim on the adjacent Burroughs property at the same time. Finally, surveys for giant garter snake were also conducted on the E. Cypress Specific Plan area, located just over $1 / 2$ mile east of the project site concurrently with the Gilbert and Burroughs surveys (Swaim Biological Consulting 2005). Findings for all of these surveys, both on- and off-site were negative.

Swaim also completed trapping and field surveys during 2003 for the neighboring Emerson property and the Cypress Grove project, and included the stretch of the Contra Costa Canal that runs adjacent to the Emerson project site (Swaim Biological Consulting 2004a). These protocol-level surveys found no evidence of giant garter snake within the Contra Costa Canal or on the adjacent Cypress Grove site. Furthermore, as part of the formal Section 7 Endangered Species Act consultation for the Cypress Grove project, the

USFWS determined that the proposed Cypress Grove project was not likely to adversely affect the giant garter snake due to negative findings from protocol trapping surveys.

Accordingly, giant garter snakes are not expected to occur on-site at the present time. They have not been observed during surveys both on the project site and in the neighboring areas. Also, the disturbed nature of the site and the presence of predatory game fish within the Contra Costa Canal that have been known to prey upon giant garter snakes as well as compete with them for food, make the occurrence of this snake unlikely. However, this species could move through site waterways in the future.

## Western pond turtle

The western pond turtle, a Federal Species of Concern and a California Species of Special Concern, frequents slow-moving rivers and streams (e.g. in oxbows), lakes, reservoirs, permanent and ephemeral wetlands, and stock ponds. Western pond turtles regularly utilize upland terrestrial habitat for nesting (females), mate seeking (males), overwintering, a seasonal terrestrial habitat use, and overland dispersal (Reese 1996, Holland 1994). Female western pond turtles have been reported ranging as far as 500 m (1,640 ft) from a watercourse to find suitable nesting habitat (Reese and Welsh 1997). Nest sites are most often situated on south or west-facing slopes, are sparsely vegetated with short grasses or forbs, have no overstory, and are scraped in hard-packed, dry silt or clay soils (Holland 1994, Rathbun et al. 1992, Reese and Welsh 1997), typically on low slopes of less than 25 degrees, but ranging from slopes of 0 to 60 degrees (Holte 1994). Western pond turtles exhibit high site fidelity, returning in sequential years to the same terrestrial site to nest or overwinter (Reese 1996). Most hatchlings appear to overwinter in the nest (Holland 1992, Jennings and Hayes 1994), and placing nests away from watercourses makes young less susceptible to death by flood events that commonly occur during the winter weather year (Rathbun et al. 1992). Additional explanations for placing nests away from watercourses include avoidance of predators such as raccoon and sex determination, which may be affected by temperature (Rathbun et al. 1992).

This medium-sized turtle ranges in size to just over eight inches (21cm) with a low carapace that is generally olive, brownish or blackish (Stebbins 2003, Jennings and Hayes 1994). Western pond turtles may live for 40 years or more (Jennings and Hayes 1994), and are therefore sometimes found in degraded areas. Adults appear to be able to persist for several years in poor aquatic habitat without any successful recruitment. This failure in recruitment is presumably due to introduced predators or unsuitable conditions for egg deposition.

A review of recent data (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002) identified four occurrences of western pond turtles within a five-mile radius of the project area and several others within the extended vicinity. Karen Swaim also observed a western pond turtle on the northwest portion of the adjacent Emerson property within the Contra Costa Canal during a site visit to the adjacent Cypress Grove residential development site (Swaim Biological Consulting 2002). Suitable aquatic habitat for the western pond turtle exists on or near the project site within the Contra Costa Canal, Dutch Slough and the
perennial wetland which runs along the northern boundary of the project site.
Dutch Slough and the other aquatic habitats of the Gilbert and adjacent Burroughs property were specifically surveyed for the presence of western pond turtle and turtle nests with negative results (Zentner and Zentner 2005d, 2005e). However, because the turtle is a mobile species and suitable habitat does exist, western pond turtles may move onto the site. Accordingly, this species is judged to have a moderate likelihood to occur on-site.

## Silvery legless lizard

The silvery legless lizard, a federal Species of Concern and a California Species of Special Concern, is a limbless lizard approximately 4 to 7 inches long with a seemingly polished skin typically silvery gray or beige in color and a yellow belly. This species is differentiated from snakes by its smaller size and the presence of eyelids and ears. The silvery legless lizard ranges from San Francisco to Baja, Mexico along coastal mountains and foothills. The Central Coast dunes, interior dunes and coastal scrub provide favorable habitat, which is typically characterized by shrubby vegetation and loose soils. This species is also associated with streamside growths of sycamores, cottonwoods, and oaks with plenty of ground litter. This species uses burrows it creates in loose soil near the base of slopes and near temporary or permanent streams. A diurnal species (active during the day), the silvery legless lizard forages leaf litter under the overhang of trees and bushes on sunny slopes and under rocks and logs. Bush lupine (Lupinus arboreus) and mock heather (Ericameria ericoides) often grow in areas that are suitable for this lizard. A highly fragmented distribution and widespread threats, mainly habitat conversion, have made them vulnerable to localized extirpations.

A population of silvery legless lizards is known to occur approximately 1.5 miles west of the project site within the East Bay Regional Park Legless Lizard Preserve as recently as May 2000 (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002). Silvery legless lizard was positively identified in a remnant dune area located on the far south portion of the Cypress Grove project in January and June 2004 during pre-construction surveys (Sycamore 2004a). Silvery legless lizard was also found just off site of the Cypress Grove property on a remnant dune located along the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BSNF) Railroad tracks.

Moderately suitable silvery legless lizard habitat is present within the sand dune habitat located on-site. Surveys for silvery legless lizard were conducted in April and May 2005 but did not identify any silvery legless lizard on the Gilbert property (Zentner and Zentner 2005d). Therefore, there is a low likelihood that this species will occur on-site.

## California horned lizard

The California horned lizard (Phrynosoma coronatum frontale), a California Species of

Special Concern, occupies a variety of open habitats including coastal scrub, oak savanna and grasslands. Historically, the species ranged throughout the Central Valley and Coast Range from Sonoma County south to Santa Barbara, Kern and Los Angeles Counties where it likely intergrades with the San Diego horned lizard (Phrynosoma coronatum blainvillei). Despite a wide-ranging distribution, the species appears to be restricted to localized populations because of its close association with loose soils that have a high sand content (Jennings and Hayes 1994); however, local abundance and geographic distribution are poorly understood for this region. Horned lizards require open areas to forage and feed primarily on native harvester ants (Pogonomyrmex barbatus) species. The spread of introduced Argentine ants (Linepithema humile), which eliminate native ants, has probably contributed significantly to localized extirpations in urban and semirural areas (Jennings personal communication 2000). The species cannot exist in areas that have been converted to agriculture, so its current distribution throughout the Central Valley is highly restricted.

According to Jennings and Hayes (1994) this species is extirpated from the Oakley area. Also, a review of recent data (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002), did not reveal recent or historic occurrences within the region. Suitable habitat does exist within the remnant sand dune. Finally, the presence of the non-native Argentine ant, which tends to preclude the horned lizard, was noted on-site during surveys conducted by Zentner and Zentner. Given these factors, California horned lizards are not expected to occur on-site.

## Birds

## Raptors (five species)

Raptors potentially nesting within the project area include white-tailed kite, northern harrier, Swainson's hawk, short-eared owl, and burrowing owl. Most raptors such as Swainson's hawk nest in mature, large trees and use twigs or branches as nesting material. Smaller raptors may nest in cavities in anthropogenic structures and trees. Short-eared owls and northern harriers nest on the ground with moderate ground cover. Burrowing owls typically nest in small mammal burrows in open dry lands, but have been known to utilize any ground cavity of similar size as well as anthropogenic structures. The nesting period for raptors generally occurs between December 15 and August 31.

Suitable nesting and foraging habitat exists on the project site for many raptor species, especially those that can withstand high levels of disturbance such as red-tailed hawks, American kestrels, and burrowing owls. Agricultural lands can provide a rich source of food for a wide range of species such as rodents, which in turn can be utilized as a prey base by raptors. Several mature trees occur within the project site that provide highly suitable nesting and foraging habitat for raptors. In addition, several species of raptors have been observed foraging on-site.

Special-status raptor species that have potential to occur on-site and those that are
prominent in today's regulatory environment are addressed in further detail below.

## Western burrowing owl

In California, the western burrowing owl, a California Species of Special Concern and a federal Species of Concern, occurs in the Central Valley, inner and outer Coastal region, the San Francisco Bay Area, southern California Coast, from southern California to the Mexican Border, the Imperial Valley and in portions of the desert and high desert habitats in southeastern and northeastern California. Burrowing owl is a small, ground dwelling owl that inhabits available burrows in flat, open areas characterized by dry vegetation that is typical of heavily grazed grasslands, low stature grasslands, or desert vegetation (Johnsgard 1988). Burrowing owl occurs in deserts, plains and open grasslands, and in some cases, urban and agricultural landscapes. Burrowing owl requires underground burrows or artificial, man-made structures for shelter and nesting, and is often associated with fossorial animals such as prairie dogs, ground squirrels, badgers and some canids. In the Bay Area, burrowing owl typically utilizes burrows of California ground squirrel for denning. Burrows are used year-round and are an essential component to the life history of burrowing owl.

The species is an opportunistic feeder and is most active at dawn and dusk foraging primarily in areas of short grass or bare ground. In general, burrowing owl primarily consumes insects, amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals (Zarn 1974, Collins 1979) but they also feed on reptiles, young cottontails, amphibians, scorpions, bats and birds (Haug et al 1993). The breeding season for burrowing owl begins in the late winter and extends through late summer. Courtship is evident when males decorate burrow entrances with dung, feathers, shiny objects, and/or desiccated skins of various animals. In California, egg-laying may begin as early as March in some areas (Zarn 1974) but typically begins in late April and early May (Thomsen 1971). Once eggs are laid, the female does the majority of incubating (although there are conflicting reports; see Coulombe 1971), which lasts approximately three to four weeks.

A single wintering (non-breeding) burrowing owl was observed on the Gilbert property during the biotic survey conducted in November 2004. Protocol-level breeding and wintering season surveys conducted in 2005 and 2006 by Zentner and Zentner resulted in negative findings for burrowing owl or sign of burrowing owl, although ground squirrel burrows were present on the Gilbert property within the remnant sand mound area. A wintering burrowing owl was also observed during the winter 2006 survey approximately 250 feet south of the southern extent of the project site on a property south of Cypress Road. Because of the presence of squirrel burrows, the number of recent observations in the region, and the sighting of an individual owl in November 2004, burrowing owls are likely to occur on the Gilbert property. Because more than 2 years will have passed since the breeding season surveys before construction is set to begin and because the burrowing owl is a highly mobile species, prior to breeding season burrowing owl surveys should be conducted within 1 year of project construction.

The Swainson's hawk, State-listed Threatened, occurs in open habitats throughout much of the western United States, Canada, and northern Mexico. Swainson's hawk breeds in North America and winters in the open grassland areas of southern South America (pampas), as well as parts of Mexico. In the Central Valley, Swainson's hawk arrives at nesting areas in late February and early March, four to six weeks earlier than it arrives at nesting sites in northeastern California. The species begins to depart for wintering areas in early September. In California, Swainson's hawk breeds in desert, shrub steppe, agricultural, and grassland habitats. Swainson's hawk constructs its nests in a variety of tree species in existing riparian forests, remnant riparian trees, shade trees at residences and alongside roads, planted windbreaks, and solitary upland oaks; however, it typically does not nest in large continuous patches of woodland other than along edges next to open habitats (England et al. 1997). The diet of Swainson's hawk varies considerably during breeding and non-breeding seasons. The species depends largely on small mammals during the breeding season and shift to feeding on insects during the nonbreeding season, particularly crickets and grasshoppers. During the breeding season, Swainson's hawk travels long distances (up to 18 miles) in search of suitable foraging habitat that provides abundant prey (Estep 1989). The vegetation types/agricultural crops considered suitable foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk due to the availability of small mammals and insects include alfalfa, fallow fields, beet, tomato, and other low-growing row or field crops, dry land and irrigated pasture, rice land (when not flooded), and cereal grain crops (including corn after harvest) (CDFG 1994).

A Swainson's hawk nest was positively identified on Cypress Road approximately 50 meters west of Dutch Slough in the summer of 2005 . This tree was removed, in consultation with the CDFG, for the construction of a pipeline constructed as part of the Summer Lakes residential development east of the project site (Monk \& Associates, 2005). Additionally, a pair of Swainson's hawks successfully nested less than 3,000 feet south of the project area in 2004 (CDFG 2005a). Suitable nesting habitat for Swainson’s hawk is present within the large trees within the project site. The Gilbert site is often cultivated in hay, which is a suitable foraging habitat type for Swainson's hawk. Swainson's hawks have been observed during field surveys conducted by Zentner and Zentner on the project site. Swainson's hawks are highly likely to nest on-site.

## White-tailed kite

White-tailed kite, a California Fully Protected species, is a medium-sized raptor that is distributed across much of the western part of California. The species underwent a dramatic reduction in numbers during the last century due to habitat loss and hunting. Between the 1940s and early 1980s, the population recovered and its range expanded. More recently, population declines have again been noted, possibly as a result of the conversion of agricultural lands to urban uses (Allsop 2001). The white-tailed kite occupies low-elevation grassland, agricultural, wetland, oak woodland and savanna habitats and nests in a wide variety of trees and shrubs, either isolated or in larger stands. Nearby open areas are required for foraging, including certain types of agricultural fields. Food habit studies have demonstrated that voles make up a large proportion of its diet,
although other small mammals, birds and insects are also preyed upon (Allsop 2001). The species hunts during the day primarily by hovering and searching for prey. White-tailed kite in California is generally resident, although it may occupy different areas during the non-breeding and breeding seasons. Typically, four eggs are laid in February and March and chicks hatch after 30 to 32 days. Juvenile kites are dependent on parents for two to three months before they fledge. During the non-breeding season, the species roosts communally.

White-tailed kites have been observed roosting and foraging on the project site. In addition a pair successfully bred on the Cypress Grove property approximately 1 mile west of the site during the spring of 2004. Suitable breeding and foraging habitat exists on-site. Therefore, white-tailed kites are highly likely to nest on-site.

## Short-eared owl

Short-eared owl, a California Species of Special Concern, is a large owl that inhabits coastal areas of California. The species is a winter resident of the Central Valley of California and occupies open habitats including annual and perennial grasslands, meadows, irrigated lands, and saline and fresh emergent marshes. Short-eared owl feeds primarily on voles and other small mammals, as well as small birds, amphibians and arthropods. Nests are built on the ground in a shallow depression among dense vegetation. Eggs are laid in April and May. The male feeds the female while she incubates eggs. The young fledge at 31 to 36 days (Sibley 2000). Raptor species such as northern harrier and short-eared owl are well suited to foraging in tall grasses and often nest in agricultural fields cultivated in grain crops.

No observations of this species have been recorded in the vicinity and it was not seen during site reviews. Although hay fields such as those found on-site can provide suitable foraging and nesting habitat, it is not expected to occur on-site.

## Northern harrier

Northern harrier, a California Species of Special Concern, inhabits grasslands, agricultural fields, scrub habitats, and marshes. Breeding typically occurs in tall vegetation near marshes and in grasslands and agricultural fields from March to July. Northern harrier feeds primarily on voles and other small mammals, birds, frogs, and insects (Sibley 2000). Raptors such as northern harrier are well suited to foraging in tall grasses and often nest in agricultural fields cultivated in grain crops.

Northern harrier has been observed in the immediate vicinity of the site. Hay fields such as those found on-site provide suitable foraging and nesting habitat may be found in short trees on- or near the site. Therefore, harriers have a moderate likelihood of occurring onsite.

## Passerines and non-passerine land birds (three species)

Passerines (perching birds) are a taxonomic grouping that consists of several families including swallows (Hirundinidae), larks (Alaudidae), crows, ravens and jays (Corvidae), shrikes (Laniidae), vireos (Vireonidae), finches (Fringillidae) and Emberizids (Emberizidae, warblers, sparrows, blackbirds, etc.), among others. Non-passerine land birds are a non-taxonomic based grouping typically used by ornithologists to categorize a loose assemblage of birds. Families grouped into this category include kingfishers (Alcedinidae), woodpeckers (Picidae), swifts (Apodidae), hummingbirds (Trochilidae) and pigeons and doves (Columbidae), among others. Habitat, nesting and foraging requirements for these species are wide ranging; therefore, outlining generic habitat requirements for this grouping is difficult. These species typically use most habitat types and are known to nest on the ground, in shrubs and trees, on buildings, under bridges, and within cavities, crevices and manmade structures. Many of these species migrate long distances and all species except starlings, English house sparrows, and rock doves (pigeons), are protected under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The nesting period for passerines and non-passerine land birds occurs between February 1 and August 31.

Most of the project site provides suitable nesting habitat for many ground-nesting passerine and non-passerine land bird species. Additionally, trees around home sites provide suitable nesting habitat for many other passerine and non-passerine land bird species.

These special-status passerine species have the potential to occur on-site; California horned lark, loggerhead shrike, and tri-colored blackbird. These species are discussed in more detail below.

## California horned lark

The California horned lark, a California Species of Special Concern, breeds in open grasslands throughout the Central Valley and adjacent foothills and along the central and southern California coast region. Feeding on insects and seeds, this bird is a ground nesting species that prefers shorter, less dense grasses and areas with some bare ground. The California horned lark forms flocks in the summer and winter months that are often observed foraging and roosting in cultivated fields and along dirt roads.

Although this species was not observed, the presence of suitable nesting and foraging habitat give the California horned lark a low likelihood for occurrence.

## Loggerhead shrike

The loggerhead shrike, a California Species of Special Concern, is a wide-ranging species that occupies open habitats including grassland, scrub and open woodland communities. The species typically nests in densely vegetated, isolated trees and shrubs and occasionally man-made structures, and at the margins of open grasslands. Loggerhead
shrike feeds on a variety of small prey including arthropods, mammals, amphibians, reptiles and birds (Alsop 2002). Because it lacks talons, the loggerhead shrike often impales prey on thorns or barbed wire. In California, the species does not migrate and is resident year-round. Loggerhead shrike is highly territorial, with pairs maintaining territories during the breeding season and individuals maintaining territories during the winter (Alsop 2002). Declines in numbers have been noted across a broad geographical range in the eastern United States. However, the shrike is treated on a national basis as a single unit and though its decline is in the east, but not in California, where it is common and abundant in virtually all habitats that are suitable.

Suitable foraging and nesting habitat is present on-site. The loggerhead shrike has been observed foraging on the project site and has a moderate potential to nest on-site.

## Tricolored blackbird

Tricolored blackbird (Agelaius tricolor), a California Species of Special Concern, inhabits coastal areas of central and southern California and the Central Valley. The species typically requires freshwater marshes with emergent vegetation surrounded by water for nesting, although thorny brambles, nettles, dense willows or grain fields near water are also used. The foraging area must be large enough to support a colony of about 50 pairs (Grinnell and Miller 1944) for survival. The microhabitats selected for nesting must provide protection from numerous avian, mammalian, and reptilian predators. The species is highly colonial. Historically, tricolored blackbirds congregated in large colonies during the breeding season.

Breeding is highly synchronous. The species is nomadic and smaller colonies will often nest in different areas from year to year. Juveniles are not likely to return to the sites where they were born (DeHaven et al. 1975). Tricolored blackbird is regularly observed foraging and roosting in mixed flocks with other blackbird species, especially during the non-breeding season. Tricolored blackbird forages on seeds and insects in grassland and cropland, the latter primarily during the breeding season (Skorupa et al. 1980). Nesting colonies can be highly susceptible to human disturbance; in extreme cases, disturbances can result in entire colonies abandoning their nests. Agricultural activities in particular can threaten entire colonies.

Although the exact occurrence locations for tricolored blackbird are listed as sensitive by the CNDDB, occurrences have been reported in the Union Island, Byron Hot Springs, Brentwood, and Clifton Court Forebay quads, but none are reported within five miles of the site. Suitable nesting habitat for the tricolored blackbird is present on the project site along the sloughs and irrigation and drainage canals, and irrigated pastures provide foraging opportunities. Tricolored blackbird nesting colonies are readily observable but none have been seen during surveys of the project site although a non-nesting tricolored blackbird was observed on the Gilbert property during a September 2004 survey and a few non-nesting tricolored blackbirds were observed on June 6, 2005 (Zentner and Zentner 2005d). Sycamore Associates biologists observed tricolored blackbirds foraging on the East Cypress Corridor Specific Plan Area, approximately 1.5 miles to the east in

2004 (Sycamore 2005e). However, no nesting birds or colonies have been noted on the project site or any areas adjacent to the site. Therefore, tricolored blackbird is not expected to nest on the project site within Dutch Slough or the perennial irrigation and drainage canals due to negative survey results.

## Mammals

## San Joaquin kit fox

The San Joaquin kit fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica), federally-listed Endangered and Statelisted Threatened, is one of seven subspecies of kit fox and is considered the most genetically distinct (Mercure et al. 1993). The San Joaquin kit fox is the smallest North American canid (member of the dog family, Canidae). Adult males weigh approximately 2.3 kilograms (approximately 5 lbs.) and adult females weigh 2.1 kilograms (about 4.6 lbs.), on average (Morrell 1972). Historically, the San Joaquin kit fox occurred extensively throughout California’s Central Valley and parts of the Salinas and Santa Clara valleys. Kit fox currently inhabit some areas of suitable habitat on the San Joaquin Valley floor and in the surrounding foothills of the coastal ranges, Sierra Nevada, and Tehachapi Mountains, from southern Kern County north to Contra Costa, Alameda, and San Joaquin Counties on the west, and near La Grange, Stanislaus County on the east side of the Valley and some of the larger scattered islands of natural land on the Valley floor in Kern, Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Madera, and Merced Counties (taken from the Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California, USFWS 1998).

A number of reviews of the distribution of kit fox in the northern portion of their range have been prepared (Laughrin 1970, Swick 1973, Morrell 1975, USFWS 1983, Orloff et al. 1986, Wesler 1987, Bell 1994, H.T. Harvey and Associates 1997, USFWS 1998). Detection of kit fox in the past decade in the Black Diamond Mines East Bay Regional Park have extended the kit fox range farther north than earlier descriptions. Kit fox prefers habitats of open or low vegetation with loose soils. In the northern portion of their range, it occupies grazed grasslands and to a lesser extent valley oak woodlands. In the southern and central portion of the Central Valley, kit fox is found in valley sink scrub, valley saltbrush scrub, upper Sonoran subshrub scrub and annual grassland (USFWS 1998). Kit fox is also found in grazed grasslands, urban settings and in areas adjacent to tilled or fallow fields (USFWS 1998). Kit fox requires underground dens to raise pups in order to avoid predators (Golightly and Ohmart 1984), and to regulate temperature and avoid other adverse environmental conditions. In the northern portion of their range, burrowing mammals, primarily ground squirrels, usually provide these holes. Dens are usually located on loose-textured soils on slopes less than 40 degrees (O’Farrell 1980).

Kit fox have a low likelihood of occurrence for a number of reasons. First, the site is well north of the historic range of the kit fox. The entire project area was historically part of the Delta with its marshes and wet meadows while the kit fox was known from the more xeric lands to the south. The distribution of the kit fox in this region has also been reviewed recently and extensively (cf. Orloff et al 1986, Wesler 1987, Bell 1994, HT Harvey \& Associates 1997, USFWS 1998) and, while kit fox are now known to range
further north than once thought, they still are not known from as far north into the margins of the Delta as this site.

Second, the population of kit fox south of Byron and the observed kit fox in Black Diamond Mines Park are separated from this site by extensive residential development in Antioch, Brentwood and Oakley and development along Highway 4. Townsend (2002) reviewed potential kit fox habitat in the region for the Cypress Grove project site, a similar site just to the west with a similar mix of pasture and remnant sand dune. She concluded that kit foxes were highly unlikely to visit that site due to the lack of potential movement corridor or habitat connectivity between that site and known kit fox habitat to the south. This assessment was reviewed by the USFWS during their analysis of other species use of the Cypress Grove site and Sycamore Associates states that the USFWS concluded that the project would have no effect on kit fox (Sycamore Associates 2005; also see Attachment A, which contains the Section 7 Consultation). The project site reviewed in this assessment is even further removed from potential kit fox habitat than the Cypress Grove site.

Finally, although the remnant sand mounds on the project site are potentially suitable habitat for kit fox, none have been observed, nor have the characteristic kit fox burrows been observed; the landowners have done a good deal of small mammal eradication work on the project site as well as relatively frequent farming, including tilling-all factors that would tend to militate against kit fox use.

## Special-status bats (eight species)

California has 24 known species of bats (CDFG 2000a). Of those, 11 are classified as California Species of Special Concern (CDFG 2000a). Eight special-status bat species have at least some potential to occur within the project area, including pallid bat (Antrozous pallidus), a California Species of Special Concern, Townsend's big-eared bat (Corynorhinus towsendii townsendii), a California Species of Special Concern, greater western mastiff bat (Eumops perotis californicus) a Federal and California Species of Special Concern, the small-footed myotis bat (Myotis ciliolabrum) a Federal Species of Special Concern, long eared myotis bat (Myotis evotis) a Federal Species of Special Concern, fringed myotis bat (Myotis thysanodes) a Federal Species of Special Concern, long-legged myotis bat (Myotis volans) a Federal Species of Special Concern and the Yuma myotis bat (Myotis yumanensis) a Federal and California Species of Special Concern.

These species use caves, mature trees, snags, crevices and man-made structures (such as buildings) for roosting, either for winter roosting (hibernacula) or for forming nursery colonies. Bats are generally site faithful and will not abandon an established roosting area unless disturbed.

These bat species are not likely to occur on-site. Marginal potential roosting habitat exists on-site in an abandoned building on the edge of the remnant sand dune. Zentner and Zentner biologists conducted a survey of the building for the presence, or signs, of bat
use in November 2004. No evidence of bats or use by bats was observed. Additionally, with one exception, there are no records for any of these species within 5 miles of the site

However, bats could begin to use this building if dispersed from other sites. Therefore, maternity season surveys should be completed for the presence or signs of bat use if the abandoned building is not demolished before mid-April. If no indications of bat use are observed, then no further action would be necessary and the building could be demolished without further surveys. A qualified bat biologist (i.e., a biologist holding a CDFG collection permit and a Memorandum of Understanding with CDFG which allows the biologist to handle and collect bats) should conduct these surveys. However, if an active bat maternity roost is found, CDFG should be notified and the building should not be razed until after bats are excluded as determined by the bat biologist. Bat exclusion should be performed only during two time windows; from approximately mid-February through mid-April and from late August through mid-October (Tatarian, pers. comm.).

## Wildlife Movement Corridors and Habitat Fragmentation

Wildlife movement includes migration (usually one direction per season), interpopulation movement (long-term genetic exchange, dispersal) and small travel pathways (daily movement corridors within an animal's territory). While small travel pathways primarily function as movement corridors for daily home range activities such as foraging or escape from predators, they can also provide a connection between outlying populations and the main corridor, thereby facilitating dispersal and leading to an increase in gene flow between populations.

These connections between habitat types can extend for miles between primary habitat areas, and occur on a large scale throughout California. Habitat linkages facilitate movement between otherwise isolated populations and those within larger habitat areas. The mosaic of habitats found within a larger-scale landscape results in a meta-population structure, a large single population made up of multiple discrete sub-populations. Where patches of pristine habitat are fragmented, such as occurs with coastal scrub, movement between these sub-populations is facilitated by habitat linkages in the form of migration or movement corridors.

Depending upon the condition of the corridor, dispersal and subsequent gene flow between populations may be either high or low in frequency. A high frequency of dispersal can allow for an increased genetic diversity within the population, whereas a lower frequency of dispersal may lead to decreased genetic diversity, and increased susceptibility to environmental pressures such as disease. If dispersal frequency is very low, sub-populations may become completely isolated from the rest of the metapopulation, and eventually could be subject to local extinction (McCullough 1996, Whittaker 1998).

Habitat fragmentation, by definition, is an event that creates a greater number of habitat patches that are smaller in size than the original contiguous habitat. Fragmentation of
primary habitat types can hinder regional wildlife movements. The resulting reduced interaction between individuals changes the long-term dynamics of populations distributed among fragments, reducing the ability of these isolated populations to persist in the face of adverse environmental pressures such as disease or stochastic events and increasing the probability of extinction (Kupfer et al. 1997, Zuidema et al. 1996). The effects of habitat fragmentation on the movement and dispersal of organisms, within a landscape, play an important role in determining the genetic composition and diversity of a population (Opdam 1990, Tiebout III \& Anderson 1997). As such, it is imperative to consider the impacts of potential habitat fragmentation and the subsequent loss of valuable dispersal corridors when assessing the biological impacts of a project.

As it currently exists the Gilbert project site does not serve as a significant movement corridor for terrestrial animals. It is immediately bordered by recent housing developments to the west, Contra Costa Canal on the north, and agricultural lands with rural residential units to the east and south. The housing developments prevent wildlife from being able to easily move through the project site to the west.

The Contra Costa Canal and Dutch Slough most likely serve as wildlife movement corridors for some aquatic and terrestrial animals. However, both the canal and the Slough adjacent to the site are relatively degraded waterways with poor connections to other waterways. Accordingly, these systems are probably used by wildlife adapted to human intrusion. The proposed project will not directly impact the Contra Costa Canal or Dutch Slough but it will increase the proximity of development to portions of the canal and the Slough but the increase is likely to be relatively minor, compared to existing conditions.

## REGULATORY CONTEXT

A number of federal, State and local policies provide the regulatory framework that guides the protection of biological resources. The following discussion summarizes those laws that are most relevant to biological resources in the vicinity of the project site.

Riparian areas, wetlands, waters of the U.S., and special-status species and communities are considered sensitive biological resources and fall under the jurisdiction of several regulatory agencies. Impacts to these areas often require federal, State, and/or local permits or agreements. The permits required vary depending upon the location of the project and the type and extent of impacts. However, prior to the issuance of any permit for actions that would result in impacts to wetlands, waters, or special-status species or communities, notification to all or some of the following agencies may be required:

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Sacramento District
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration - Fisheries (NOAA


## Fisheries)

An overview of the jurisdiction, application requirements and required permits for each of the above-listed agencies is provided in the following sections.

## Federal Regulations

## United States Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1972 regulates activities that result in the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands. The USACE has the principal authority to regulate discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. However, the EPA has oversight authority over the USACE and retains veto power over the USACE's decision to issue permits.

Waters of the United States include the following:

1) All waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of tide;
2) All interstate waters including interstate wetlands;
3) All other waters such as interstate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, vernal pools, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate or foreign commerce;
4) Tributaries of the above; and
5) Territorial seas.

Any discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States must be approved by the USACE pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA. Two permit types are possible:

1) Individual Permits; or
2) Nationwide Permits (NWPs) which are generally less time-consuming than the Individual Permit.

A standard Individual Permit for residential development such as this project is required if either of the following would occur:

1) Discharges that will result in the fill of any tidal waters or wetlands; or
2) Impacts to more than one-half acre of non-tidal waters or wetlands, and/or impacts to greater than 300 linear feet of non-tidal waters or wetlands, including creeks (either perennial or ephemeral and generally intermittent as well), arroyos or vegetated and unvegetated tributaries.

As the Gilbert project will result in the fill of more than $1 / 2$ acre of jurisdictional wetlands,
an Individual Permit from the Corps will be required.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries

The Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) prohibits "take" of federally-listed Threatened or Endangered wildlife species. The FESA defines "take" to mean "harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or attempt to engage in any such conduct" 16 U.S.C. Section1532(19). The FESA requires that actions authorized, funded or carried out by federal agencies do not jeopardize the continued existence of a federally-listed species or adversely modify designated Critical Habitat for such species. If a federal agency determines that a proposed federal action (i.e., issuance of a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit for wetland fill) "may affect" a listed species and/or designated Critical Habitat, the agency must consult with the USFWS and/or NOAA Fisheries under Section 7 of the FESA. If take of a federally-listed species may occur, the applicant may be required to obtain an Incidental Take Permit. The Incidental Take Permit allows "incidental" taking of federally-listed species if the take is "incidental to and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity" 16 U.S.C. Section1539(a)(1)(B). An Incidental Take Permit is issued only if the applicant, to the maximum extent possible, has minimized and mitigated for the impacts of the taking, provided adequate funding for the mitigation plan, and if the taking would not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild 16 U.S.C. Section1539(a)(2)(B).

## Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) prohibits the killing, possessing, or trading of migratory birds (most birds commonly observed) except in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Interior.

## State Regulations

## California Endangered Species Act

The State of California enacted the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) in 1984. The CESA is similar to the FESA but pertains to state-listed endangered and threatened species. CESA requires state agencies to consult with the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) when preparing California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents to ensure that the state lead agency actions do not jeopardize the existence of listed species. CESA directs agencies to consult with CDFG on projects or actions that could affect listed species, directs CDFG to determine whether jeopardy would occur, and allows CDFG to identify "reasonable and prudent alternatives" to the project consistent with conserving the species. Agencies can approve a project that affects a listed species if they determine that "overriding considerations" exist; however, the
agencies are prohibited from approving projects that would result in the extinction of a listed species.

The CESA prohibits the taking of state-listed endangered or threatened plant and wildlife species. CDFG exercises authority over mitigation projects involving state-listed species, including those resulting from CEQA mitigation requirements. CDFG may authorize taking if an approved habitat management plan or management agreement that avoids or compensates for possible jeopardy is implemented. CDFG requires preparation of mitigation plans in accordance with published guidelines.

## California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)

The CDFG exercises jurisdiction over wetland and riparian resources associated with rivers, streams, and lakes under CDFG Code Section 1600 to 1607. The CDFG has the authority to regulate work that will do any one or more of the following:

1) Divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake;
2) Change the bed, channel, or bank of a river, stream, or lake; or
3) Use material from a streambed.

CDFG asserts that its jurisdictional area along a river, stream or creek is usually bounded by the top-of-bank or the outermost edges of riparian vegetation. Typical activities regulated by CDFG under Section 1600-1607 authority include installing outfalls, stabilization of banks, creek restoration, implementing flood control projects, constructing river and stream crossings, diverting water, damming streams, gravel mining, logging operations and jack-and-boring.

## CDFG Species of Special Concern

In addition to formal listing under FESA and CESA, plant and wildlife species receive additional consideration during the CEQA process. Species that may be considered for review are included on a list of "Species of Special Concern" developed by the CDFG. CDFG tracks species in California whose numbers, reproductive success, or habitat may be threatened.

## CDFG Birds of Prey Protection

Birds of prey are also protected in California under provisions of the State Fish and Game Code, Section 3503.5, (1992), which states that it is "unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds in the order Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds of prey) or to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by this code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto." Construction disturbance during the breeding season could result in the incidental loss of fertile eggs or nestlings, or otherwise lead to nest abandonment. Disturbance that causes nest abandonment and/or loss of reproductive
effort is considered "taking" by the CDFG.

## Regional Water Quality Control Board

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act and EPA 404(b)(1) guidelines, in order for a USACE federal permit applicant to conduct any activity which may result in discharge into navigable waters, they must provide a certification from the RWQCB that such discharge will comply with the state water quality standards. The RWQCB has a policy of no-net-loss of wetlands in effect and typically requires mitigation for all impacts to wetlands before it will issue water quality certification.

Under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Cal. Water Code Section 1300014920), the RWQCB is also authorized to regulate the discharge of waste that could affect the quality of the State's waters. When reviewing applications, the RWQCB focuses on ensuring that projects do not adversely affect the "beneficial uses" associated with waters of the State. Generally, the RWQCB defines beneficial uses to include all of the resources, services and qualities of aquatic ecosystems and underground aquifers that benefit the State. In most cases, the RWQCB seeks to protect these beneficial uses by requiring the integration of water quality control measures into projects that will result in discharge into waters of the State. For most construction projects, RWQCB requires the use of construction and post-construction Best Management Practices (BMPs). In many cases, proper use of BMPs, including bioengineering detention ponds, grassy swales, sand filters, modified roof techniques, drains, and other features, will speed project approval from RWQCB. Development setbacks from creeks are also requested by RWQCB as they often lead to less creek-related impacts in the future.

## Local Regulations

## East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan

On January 25, 2000, the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors declared its intent to participate in the development of a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for East Contra Costa County. On June 30, 2000, the East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan Association Agreement went into effect. This agreement established the East Contra Costa Habitat Conservation Plan Association (HCPA) as the lead agency in drafting the Habitat Conservation Plan for submittal to the governing boards and councils of member agencies, oversee compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and would serve as the lead agency under CEQA for developing the HCP. In addition, the City of Oakley has declared its intent to participate in the development of the HCP and is a member of the HCPA. A Draft of the East Contra Costa Count Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan (Draft HCP) was issued in June 2005 for public review and comment. Comments on the Draft HCP were due December 1, 2005. The Draft HCP is intended to address potential impacts to many listed species and their habitats within East Contra

Costa County. The Draft HCP provides avoidance and minimization measures for several special-status species as well as mitigation via an in-lieu fee program for unavoidable impacts to Draft HCP covered species. The City of Oakley is a participant in the Draft HCP and mitigation according to its guidelines and programs may be available and utilized by the project proponents.

## City of Oakley General Plan

The following applicable goals and policies are from the Oakley 2020 General Plan Land Use Element:

## General Land Use

Goal 2.1 Guide development in a manner that creates a balanced and desirable community, maintains and enhances the character and best qualities of the community, and ensures that Oakley remains an economically viable City.

## Policies

2.1.5 Preserve open space areas, of varying scales and uses, both within development projects and at the City's boundary.
2.1.6 Ensure a strong physical connection to the Delta and the waterfront, including convenient public access and recreational opportunities.
2.1.10 When considering large-scale development projects, the City may, at its discretion, authorize a Specific Plan (SP) or Planned Unit Development (PUD) approach that allows flexibility within a project area. Under this approach, the distribution of land uses may vary from the land uses as designated on the Land Use Diagram. The SP/PUD approach shall not allow either an overall greater development density than allowed under the Land Use Diagram, or a combination of uses that undermines the overall intent of the project area as established under the General Plan policies and Land Use Diagram.

Implementation Programs
2.1.F Provide public access to the Delta and the Oakley waterfront through discretionary approvals of development projects, coordinated efforts with involved agencies and organizations, and the improvement of City public facilities.

The following applicable goals and policies are from the Oakley 2020 General Plan Open Space and Conservation Element:

## Open Space

Goal 2.6 Ensure that open space areas are properly managed and designed to conserve natural resources and enhance the community's character and provide passive recreational activities.

Policies
2.6.2 Preserve, enhance and/or restore selected existing natural habitat areas, as feasible.
2.6.3 Create new wildlife habitat areas in appropriate locations, which may serve multiple purposes of natural resource preservation and passive recreation, as feasible.

## Biological Resources

Goal 6.3 Encourage preservation of important ecological and biological resources.

## Policies

6.3.1 Encourage preservation of important ecological and biological resources as open space.
6.3.2 Develop open space uses in an ecologically sensitive manner.
6.3.3 Use land use planning to reduce the impact of urban development on important ecological and biological resources identified during application review and analysis.
6.3.4 Encourage preservation and enhancement of the natural characteristics of the San Joaquin Delta and Dutch Slough in a manner that encourages public access.
6.3.5 Encourage preservation and enhancement of Delta wetlands, significant trees, natural vegetation, and wildlife populations.
6.3.6 Encourage preservation of portions of important wildlife habitats that would be disturbed by major development, particularly adjacent to the Delta.
6.3.7 Preserve and expand stream corridors in Oakley, restoring natural vegetation where feasible.

Implementation Programs
6.3.A Prior to development within identified sensitive habitat areas, the area shall be surveyed for special status plant and/or animal species. If any special status plant or animal species are found in areas proposed for development, the appropriate resource agencies shall be contacted and species-specific management strategies established to ensure the protection of the particular species. Development in sensitive habitat areas should be avoided or mitigated to the maximum extent possible.
6.3.B Participate with regional, state, and federal agencies and organizations to establish and preserve open space that provides habitat for locally present wildlife.

## Tree Protection and Preservation Ordinance

The tree protection and preservation ordinances for the City of Oakley are presented in Appendix A.

## IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

## Standards of Significance

For the purposes of this EIR, impacts are considered significant if implementation of the proposed project would do any one or more of the following:

- Adversely affect, either directly or through habitat modification, any endangered, threatened or rare species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Section 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Regulations (Section 17.11 or 17.12 ) or their habitats (including but not limited to plants, fish, insects, animals, and birds);
- Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modification, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or specialstatus species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the CDFG or USFWS, including CNPS plants listed as 1B;
- Have a substantial adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulation or by the CDFG or USFWS;
- Allow development that would be inconsistent with the City's General Plan;
- Allow development that would be inconsistent with other City plans, policies, or ordinances;
- Adversely affect federally protected wetlands (including but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) either individually or in combination with the known or probable impacts of other activities through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means;
- Have a substantial adverse effect on significant ecological resources including:
- Wetland areas including vernal pools;
- Large areas of non-fragmented natural communities that support endangered, threatened or rare species;
- Wildlife movement zones, including but not limited to, non-fragmented stream environment zones, avian and mammalian routes, and known concentration areas of waterfowl within the Pacific Flyway;
- Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or
impede the use of wildlife nursery sites;
- Conflict with any local or regional policies or ordinances designed to protect or enhance biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance;
- Substantially fragment, eliminate or otherwise disrupt foraging areas, access to food sources, range and/or movement;
- Disrupt critical time periods (i.e., nesting and breeding) for fish and other wildlife species;
- Conflict with local, state, or federal resource conservation plans, goals, or regulations that would result in a physical impact on the environment; or,
- Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

An evaluation of whether or not an impact on biological resources would be substantial must consider both the resource itself and how that resource fits into a regional or local context. Substantial impacts would be those that would diminish or result in the loss of an important biological resource, or those that would obviously conflict with local, state, or federal resource conservation plans, goals, or regulations.

## Project-Specific Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The following discussion of impacts is based on the implementation of the proposed project as shown in current plans.

## 4.8-1 Impacts to jurisdictional waters of the U.S. and waters of the State.

The project site contains 2.50 acres of Section 404 jurisdictional wetlands that were confirmed by the USACOE in August, 2006. The jurisdictional areas include a perennial wetland (1.21 acres), Dutch Slough ( 0.52 acres), Seasonal wetlands adjacent to the Slough ( 0.63 acres), and a former tidal wetland ( 0.14 acres).

Grading for the residential development and other infrastructure improvements will require the fill of 1.98 acres of jurisdictional area. This includes all of the perennial, seasonal, and former tidal wetlands on the site. The development project will not impact Dutch Slough.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-1(a) To the extent feasible implementation of the project shall be designed
and constructed to avoid and minimize adverse effects to waters of the United States or jurisdictional waters of the State of California within the project area.
4.8-1(b) A Section 404 permit for fill of jurisdictional wetlands shall be sought, and mitigation for impacts to jurisdictional waters that cannot be avoided shall conform with the USACE "no-netloss" policy and the USACE Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 02-2 establishing policies and guidance on appropriate mitigation for impacts to jurisdictional waters. Mitigation for impacts to both federal and State jurisdictional waters shall be addressed using these guidelines.
4.8-1(c) Mitigation shall include creation of wetlands at a minimum 1:1 ratio and all temporarily impacted areas resulting from construction access or similar activities shall be revegetated and restored.

Or,
Alternatively, the applicant shall provide the required mitigation either through an in-lieu fee program, purchase of the required acreage in an approved mitigation bank, or an approved Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) or similar mechanism.
4.8-1 (d) A mitigation plan shall be prepared for mitigation and implemented that provides guidance on managing and monitoring the wetland mitigation habitat. The mitigation plan shall include jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional wetland mitigation. The mitigation plan shall include standards deemed acceptable by the City of Oakley, USACE, RWQCB, and CDFG. Annual reports of the monitoring activities and results shall be provided to the City of Oakley, USACE, USFWS, CDFG and RWQCB.

## 4.8-2 Impacts to Protected and Heritage Trees.

The City of Oakley recently approved a new Zoning Code, which includes Section 5-D-3A on Heritage Tree Preservation, and Section 5-D-3B on Tree Preservation (which includes preservation of "protected trees"). This ordinance supercedes the Contra Costa County Tree Protection and Heritage Tree Ordinances cited above. The Oakley Heritage Tree Preservation Ordinance (Section 5-D-2-3A) states that a heritage tree is a tree either 50 or more inches diameter at breast height (dbh, or $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet above the natural grade); or any tree or trees "worthy of protection" because they have historical
or ecological interest or significance, is dependent upon other trees for health or survival, or is considered an outstanding specimen due to location, size, age, rarity, shape, or health.

The Tree Preservation Ordinance (5-D-3B), which applies to any protected tree, indicates that proposed development shall consider tree alteration or removal as part of the project application, and if necessary, a tree survey shall be submitted. Protected trees are those trees which are adjacent to or part of a riparian, foothill woodland, or oak savannah area, or part of a stand of four or more trees, measure 20 inches or larger dbh , and are one or more of the following native species: bigleaf maple, box elder, California buckeye, white alder, madrone, toyon, California black walnut, California juniper, tanoak or tanbark oak, knobcone pine, digger pine, California Sycamore, Fremont cottonwood, black cottonwood, California or coast live oak, canyon live oak, blue oak, California black oak, Valley oak, interior live oak, and California bay or laurel. Protected trees can also include any tree that is shown in an approved tentative map, development, or site plan that is required to be retained as a condition of approval, or any tree required to be planted as a replacement for an unlawfully removed tree.

Heritage and protected trees as defined by this Section 5-D-3 of the Oakley Zoning Ordinance are protected from destruction or removal, and construction activities are limited around the dripline of heritage and protected trees. If heritage or protected trees are damaged, the contractor, developer, or owner must repair or replace the damaged tree according to the fees noted in the ordinance.

A tree survey was conducted on the Gilbert property on March 10, 2006. The survey indicated that 15 black cottonwood (Populus balsamifera spp. Trichocarpa) are located within the property. All 15 of these trees measure 20 " dbh or greater and thus would be subject to the Tree Preservation Ordinance (5-D-3B). Removal of the protected trees would have a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-2(a) Building structure and yard design, along with construction activities, shall attempt to retain existing protected trees on the project site to the maximum extent practicable. Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the project developer shall have a tree preservation plan prepared by an ISA-certified arborist to minimize damage to on-site protected trees during the
construction of the project, replace any protected trees damaged or killed by development of the project, and plant additional trees as determined by the Community Development Department. The plan shall be reviewed and approved by the Community Development Department prior to issuance of a grading permit, and the plan shall be in compliance with Sections 5-D-3A and 5-D-2-3B of the City of Oakley Zoning Ordinance. The tree preservation plan shall include but not be limited to the following elements:

- The preservation element of the plan shall include but not be limited to installation of protective fencing during construction, appropriate irrigation practices, and inclusion of appropriate tree preservation notes on grading and construction plans. The replacement and new plantings portion of the plan shall include a map showing where the replacement and new trees will be located.
- Where mitigation is determined to be necessary, tree removal shall be mitigated at a minimum 3:1 ratio or other ratio acceptable to the City of Oakley, or an in-lieu fee shall be paid on a per-inch basis as determined by the Community Development Department. The mitigation trees shall be established with appropriate maintenance to ensure longterm self-sustaining survivorship.
- In the event that any protected or heritage tree is damaged during the construction process, the applicant shall comply with subsection 5-D-3A.5(E) and/or 5-D-3B.6(D) and 5.-D3B. 6 (E) of the Oakley Zoning Ordinance as applicable, including but not limited to notification of the Community Development Director.
4.8-2(b) Per the Tree Preservation Ordinance Section 5-D-3B.6(B) and (C), prior to the issuance of any grading or building permit for a property where trees will be removed, the applicant shall deposit cash or other acceptable security with the Community Development Department on a per-tree basis in the amount established by the involved development's conditions of approval of approved applications. As required, the City may hold the deposit for a two-year period to guarantee the health of the trees for a two-year period upon completion of construction. In addition, the applicant may be required to enter into a tree maintenance agreement secured by said deposit/bond by which the applicant agrees to maintain said trees in a living and viable condition throughout the term of agreement. This agreement may be transferred to any new
owner of the property for the remaining length of the agreement.
4.8-2(c) The applicant shall obtain the necessary permit for the removal and/or destruction of heritage trees that cannot be avoided during project construction for the review and approval of the Community Development Department.


## 4.8-3 Impacts to special-status brachiopods.

The potential for occurrence of special-status brachiopod species is considered low based on a lack of occurrences in the vicinity. However, due to the presence of potentially suitable habitat within the Gilbert property for the protected vernal pool fairy shrimp (federally-listed Threatened); midvalley fairy shrimp (federal Species of Concern); Longhorn fairy shrimp(federallylisted Endangered); California linderiella, (federal Species of Concern); and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (federally-listed Endangered) focused surveys should be conducted according to USFWS protocol guidelines (USFWS 1996b). One wet season survey was completed in 2005 with negative result, however the second and last wet season survey is being conducted this year. If these special-status brachiopods occur within the project site, the project could have a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-3 (a) Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall conduct wet season surveys per the 1996 USFWS Interim Survey Guidelines for Vernal Pool Branchiopods within potentially suitable habitat on the Gilbert property during the appropriate season. Because protected brachiopods were not found during the 2005 wet season surveys, a second wet season will be conducted this year. If federally protected branchiopods are not found after completion of protocol-level surveys, then no further mitigation shall be required. If federally protected branchiopods are found during one or more of the surveys, then the following measures shall be implemented.
4.8-3 (b) If protected brachiopods are found to occur during protocol surveys on the Gilbert property, properties that are connected biologically and hydrologically (via ground or surface water) shall also be considered as potentially occupied habitat. Assessment of presence or absence shall be determined for each wetland, taking into account connectivity of the wetland areas. Project impacts shall be evaluated and mitigation shall
be based on an analysis of the following:

- Connectivity of aquatic habitats (both ground and surface water);
- Habitat quality measured as potential to support listed shrimp species;
- Potential for cyst (egg) dispersal;
- Adjacent land uses, current and anticipated, and resulting effects on the hydrology of aquatic habitats;
- Threats and encroachment on populations of listed species, including edge effects and associated buffers, and habitat fragmentation;
- If protected brachiopods are found within the boundary of the project site, impacts to occupied or potentially occupied aquatic habitats and an associated upland buffer, to be determined according to the criteria above, shall be avoided to the extent feasible. If avoidance is not feasible, aquatic habitat and the amount of watershed associated with the preserved pools necessary to sustain the existing hydrology of the pool habitat shall be replaced at a 1:1 ratio at a location approved by the City and USFWS. The habitat in the amount specified above shall be acquired, permanently protected, and enhanced through management for the benefit of the species, to compensate for the loss of aquatic habitat on the project site. A plan describing the mitigation and monitoring requirements and performance standards shall be prepared if habitat is preserved or acquired for special-status fairy shrimp species. This mitigation measure shall be coordinated with the plan in Mitigation Measure 4.8-1 (d). Alternatively, the applicant can provide the required mitigation either through an inlieu fee program, purchase of the required acreage in an approved mitigation bank, or an approved Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). Take authorization shall be obtained from the USFWS if federally-listed branchiopods are present on-site.
4.8-3(c) If presence of protected brachiopods is confirmed during protocol surveys, the uppermost layer of soil in seasonally inundated habitat may contain cysts of listed crustaceans as well as seeds of vernal pool plants. Therefore, before these wetlands are filled, the top layer of soil shall be made available prior to the start of project grading to any vernal pool creation bank that requests it, with USFWS approval, for inoculating newly created pools. Soil stockpiled for this purpose shall be shielded
from rain with a waterproof cover to ensure that it remains completely dry.


## 4.8-4 Impacts to special-status sand dune insects.

Special-status insect species, including but not limited to andrenid bee and anthicid beetle are not expected to occur within the sand mound habitats on the project site (see Table 4.8-1 for a full list of special-status insect species with a low potential to occur on the site). These invertebrate species are federal Species of Concern and are not afforded any formal protection under the federal or State Endangered Species Acts. Given the degraded quality of the sand dune habitat and the lack of suitable plant species to act as host plants, the sand mound is not likely to support these species. In addition, the remnant sand dune on the adjacent Emerson property was surveyed with negative findings for these species in 2004 by entomologist Dr. Dick Arnold. Given these negative findings, the degraded quality of the habitat, the implementation of the project is expected to have a less-than-significant impact on these species.

Mitigation Measure(s)
None required.

## 4.8-5 Impacts to special-status fish species.

Potential special-status fish species that may be present in Dutch Slough include Sacramento perch, a federal Species of Concern and a California Species of Special Concern; delta smelt, federally- and state-listed as Threatened; and Sacramento splittail, a California Species of Special Concern; Chinook salmon, winter-run, federally- and state-listed Endangered; Central Valley fall/late run, a federal Candidate species; Central Valley spring-run, federally- and State-listed Threatened; and steelhead, Central Valley ESU, federally-listed Threatened. However, no work will be conducted within Dutch Slough and therefore no impacts are anticipated by the project and no mitigation measures will be required.

## 4.8-6 Impacts to silvery legless lizard.

Silvery legless lizard was positively identified in a remnant dune area located on the far south portion of the Cypress Grove project approximately one mile west of the Gilbert project site in similar but less disturbed habitat in January and June 2004 during pre-construction surveys (Sycamore 2004a). Silvery legless lizard was also found just off site of the Cypress Grove property on a remnant dune located along the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BSNF) Railroad tracks. Additionally, a population of silvery legless lizards is known
to occur approximately 1.5 miles west of the project site within the East Bay Regional Park Legless Lizard Preserve as recently as May 2000 (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002).

The biological assessment for the Gilbert property (Zentner and Zentner 200?) indicated that silvery legless lizards had a moderate potential to occur within the vegetated mound habitat on the site. Therefore a silvery legless lizard survey was conducted within the mound, but with negative results. Accordingly, the silvery legless lizard is considered to have a low likelihood of occurrence on the project site. Therefore, the implementation of the project is expected to have a less-than-significant impact on these species.

Mitigation Measure(s)
None required.

## 4.8-7 Impacts to giant garter snake.

Potential aquatic habitat for the giant garter snake, federally-listed Threatened, is present within Dutch Slough, perennially inundated irrigation/drainage ditches, and the Contra Costa Canal adjacent to the project site. Adjacent upland habitats contain ground squirrel burrows that provide dispersal, refugia, and winter retreat opportunities. Focused surveys for giant garter snake in the Contra Costa Canal in 2003 resulted in negative findings as did focused surveys conducted in 2005 on the Gilbert and the adjacent Burroughs properties to the east. However, individual giant garter snakes have been observed approximately four miles northwest and six miles north of the project site, within the waterways of the Delta (CDFG 2004a, Swaim Biological Consulting 2002) in 2002 and 1998 respectively. These recent occurrences in the region suggest that individual giant garter snakes may make occasional use the main Delta waterways north of the project. Given occurrences in the region, and the presence of limited potential habitat in Dutch Slough, irrigation/drainage ditches and the Contra Costa Canal, giant garter snake have a very limited potential to move through the site although they are not expected to occur on-site at the present. Therefore, the project could have a potentially significant impact if construction begins at the time a snake is moving through the site.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-7(a) The following measures shall be implemented to avoid potential take of individual garter snakes during construction:

- All construction activity within potential giant garter snake aquatic habitat shall be conducted between May 1 and

October 1. This is the active period for giant garter snakes and if present, potential effects are lessened because snakes are actively moving and can avoid danger.

- A qualified biologist shall provide project contractors and construction crews with a worker-awareness program appropriate for giant garter snakes before any work within aquatic habitats or adjacent upland habitats is initiated. This program shall be used to describe the species, its habits and habitats, its legal status and required protection, all applicable mitigation measures, and conditions of any state or federal permits as they relate to giant garter snake. Proof of this instruction shall be submitted to the City.
- During project activities and following construction, all trash shall be properly contained, removed from the work site, and disposed of properly.
- 24-hours prior to construction activities, the project area shall be surveyed for giant garter snake. Survey of the project area shall be repeated if a lapse in construction activity of two weeks or greater has occurred. If a giant garter snake is encountered during construction, activities shall not begin until appropriate corrective measures have been completed or it has been determined that the snake shall not be harmed. Any sightings and any incidental take shall be reported immediately to the USFWS at (916) 4146600.
- Movement of heavy equipment to and from the project site shall be restricted to established roadways to minimize disturbance.
- After completion of construction activities, any temporary fill and construction debris shall be removed and, wherever feasible, disturbed areas shall be restored to pre-project conditions. Restoration work shall include replanting emergent vegetation.
- All fueling and maintenance of vehicles or other equipment and staging areas shall occur at least 66 feet from any water body that could be potentially used by the snake. Prior to the onset of work, the applicant shall prepare a plan to allow prompt and effective response to any accidental spills. All workers shall be informed of the importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate
measures to take should a spill occur.
- To control erosion during and after project implementation, the applicant shall implement best management practices, as identified by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Drainage banks shall be stabilized by compacting additional soil after sediment and vegetation removal to minimize the potential for erosion. Additionally, during sediment and vegetation removal in a channel that still contains flowing water during August, September, and October, a silt fence shall be installed directly downstream of the project site. This will help to prevent silt accumulation downstream of the project site.


## 4.8-8 Impacts to western pond turtle.

Western pond turtle, a California Species of Special Concern, has been documented in the Contra Costa Canal and on the Cypress Grove property approximately one mile to the west and a nest was observed in the adjacent Emerson project site just west of the project. This species has the potential to occur in Dutch Slough and perennial irrigation/drainage ditches on the project site and to nest and over-winter in upland habitats such as the grassland habitats adjacent to aquatic habitats on the property. Temporary construction impacts that may affect this species include presence of heavy equipment and earthmoving activities and fill of irrigation/drainage canals as part of residential and levee alignment or modification construction. The proposed project may result in impacts to upland habitat for western pond turtle. Loss of habitat and potential loss of individuals and nests if this species is present within construction areas could have a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-8(a) A qualified biologist shall conduct pre-construction surveys for western pond turtles in all construction areas identified as potential nesting or dispersal habitat located within 1,000 feet of potential aquatic habitat 48 hours prior to initiation of construction activities. If western pond turtle is found during pre-construction surveys, it shall be relocated as necessary to a location deemed suitable by the biologist and CDFG (i.e., at a location which is a sufficient distance from construction activities). This survey shall include looking for turtle nests within the construction area. If a nest is found within the construction area, construction shall not take place within 100
feet of the nest until the turtles have hatched and have left the nest or can be safely relocated with assistance from CDFG.
4.8-8 (b) Because attempting to locate pond turtle nests will not result in a realistic probability of detection, after completion of preconstruction surveys, and relocation as necessary, exclusion fencing shall be placed around all construction-sites adjacent to aquatic habitats to eliminate the possibility of nest establishment in uplands adjacent to aquatic areas.
4.8-8 (c) If construction activities occur in aquatic areas where turtles have been identified during pre-construction or other surveys, a biological monitor shall be present during disturbance of those aquatic habitats. If any turtle is found, it shall be relocated as necessary to a location deemed suitable by the biologist and CDFG (i.e., at a location which is a sufficient distance from construction activities).
4.8-8 (d) A qualified biologist shall provide project contractors and construction crews with a worker-awareness program before any work within aquatic habitats or adjacent upland habitats that are appropriate for western pond turtles. This program shall be used to describe the species, its habits and habitats, its legal status and required protection, and all applicable mitigation measures.

## 4.8-9 Impacts to western burrowing owl.

Burrowing owl is a California and federal Species of Concern. Despite negative focused breeding and non-breeding season surveys suitable habitat is present on the Gilbert property. A burrowing owl was noted on the site in November, 2004 and burrowing owls have been observed during wintering surveys approximately 250 feet south of the southern property boundary and approximately $1 / 2$ mile east of the site. Disturbance of over-wintering or nesting owls and habitat loss could have a potentially significant impact on burrowing owls.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-9(a) Prior to issuance of a grading permit, pre-construction surveys of all potential burrowing owl habitat shall be conducted by a qualified biologist within the project area and within 250 feet of the project boundary. Presence or sign of burrowing owl and all potentially occupied burrows shall be recorded and
monitored according to CDFG and California Burrowing Owl Consortium guidelines. If burrowing owls are not detected by sign or direct observation, construction may proceed.
4.8-9(b) Because more than two years will have passed since breeding season surveys were conducted before project construction is set to begin, and because the burrowing owl is a mobile species, prior to issuance of a grading permit, focused breeding season surveys of all potential burrowing owl habitat shall be conducted by a qualified biologist within the Gilbert property within 1 year prior to development. Presence or sign of burrowing owl shall be recorded and monitored according to CDFG and California Burrowing Owl Consortium guidelines.
4.8-9(c) If potentially nesting burrowing owls are present during preconstruction surveys conducted between February 1 and August 31, grading shall not be allowed within 250 feet of any nest burrow during the nesting season (February-August), unless approved by the CDFG.
4.8-9(d) If burrowing owl is detected during pre-construction surveys outside the nesting season (September 1-January31), passive relocation and monitoring may be undertaken by a qualified biologist following CDFG and California Burrowing Owl Consortium guidelines, which involve the placement of oneway exclusion doors on occupied and potentially occupied burrowing owl burrows. Owls shall be excluded from all suitable burrows within the project area and within a 160-foot buffer zone of the impact area. A minimum of a week shall be allowed to accomplish this task and allow for owls to acclimate to alternate burrows. These mitigation actions shall be carried out prior to the burrowing owl breeding season (February 1August 31) and, until construction begins, the site shall be monitored weekly by a qualified biologist to ensure that burrowing owls do not re-inhabit the site.
4.8-9(e) If nesting or wintering burrowing owl or sign of nesting or wintering burrowing owl is detected at any time on the project site, a minimum of 6.5 acres of foraging habitat per pair or individual resident bird, shall be acquired and permanently protected to compensate for the loss of burrowing owl habitat. The acreage shall be based on the maximum number of owls observed inhabiting the property for any given observation period, preconstruction survey, or other field visit. The protected lands shall be occupied burrowing owl habitat and at a location acceptable to CDFG and the City of Oakley. The habitat in the
amount specified above shall be acquired, permanently protected, and enhanced through management for the benefit of the species, to compensate for the loss of burrowing owl habitat on the project site. Alternatively, the applicant shall provide the required mitigation either through an in-lieu fee program, purchase of the required acreage in an approved mitigation bank, or an approved Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP).
4.8-9(f) Before construction activities begin, all construction personnel shall receive training that includes photos of burrowing owl for identification purposes, habitat description, limits of construction activities in the project area, and guidance regarding general measures being implemented to conserve burrowing owl as they relate to the project.
4.8-9(g) A monitoring report of all activities associated with pre-construction surveys, avoidance measures, and passive relocation of burrowing owls shall be submitted to the City and CDFG no later than two weeks before initiation of grading.

## 4.8-10 Impacts to raptors and migratory birds.

Several special-status and common bird species have the potential to nest in existing vegetation, including trees, shrubs and grasslands within the project area although the have not been seen on-site recently, including the following: raptors such as white-tailed kite, northern harrier and short-eared owl; and birds such as California horned lark, loggerhead shrike, and tri-colored blackbird. Any removal of buildings, trees or shrubs, grading, or construction activities in the vicinity of active passerine or non-passerine land bird nests, or active raptor nests, could result in nest abandonment, nest failure, or premature fledging. Destruction or disturbance of active nests would be in violation of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Code. Such disturbance would be considered a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-10(a) The removal of any buildings, trees, emergent aquatic vegetation, or shrubs shall occur from September 1 through December 15, outside of the avian nesting season. If removal of buildings, trees, emergent aquatic vegetation, or shrubs occurs, or construction begins between February 1 and August 31 (nesting season for passerine or non-passerine land birds) or

December 15 and August 31 (nesting season for raptors), a nesting bird survey shall be performed by a qualified biologist within 14 days prior to the removal or disturbance of $a$ potential nesting structure, trees, emergent aquatic vegetation, or shrubs, or the initiation of other construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (late December through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August). During this survey, a qualified biologist shall inspect all potential nesting habitat (trees, shrubs, structures, grasslands, pastures, emergent aquatic vegetation, etc.) in and immediately adjacent to the impact areas for nests.
4.8-10(b) All vegetation and structures with active nests shall be flagged and an appropriate non-disturbance buffer zone shall be established around the nesting tree. The size of the buffer zone shall be determined by the project biologist in consultation with CDFG and will depend on the species involved, site conditions, and type of work to be conducted in the area. Typically, if active nests are found, construction activities shall not take place within 500 feet of the raptor nests and within 100 feet of other migratory birds until the young have fledged. A qualified biologist shall monitor active nests to determine when the young have fledged and are feeding on their own. The project biologist and CDFG shall be consulted for clearance before construction activities resume in the vicinity.

## 4.8-11 Impacts to Swainson's hawk.

Mature trees provide suitable nesting habitat on the project site for Swainson's hawk, state-listed Threatened. At least two Swainson's hawk nests are known to occur within approximately 3,000 feet of the project area, one active and one removed (CDFG 2004a, Monk 2005).

The grassland on the project site provide suitable foraging habitat for Swainson's hawks, and they have been observed foraging over these areas. Dryland pasture, irrigated pasture, grasslands, and other suitable foraging habitats such as row crops, in particular alfalfa fields, are abundant in the vicinity of the project area. CDFG identifies whether or not a project will adversely affect suitable foraging habitat within a ten-mile radius of an active Swainson's hawks nest (used during one or more of the last 5 years). The tenmile radius standard is the flight distance between active nest sites and suitable foraging habitats as documented in telemetry studies by Estep (1989) and Babcock (1993, 1995). Telemetered Swainson's hawks have been documented by Babcock (1993) utilizing foraging areas of up to 19,000 acres surrounding nest sites. According to calculations that were completed for the
adjacent Emerson property and documented in the Revised Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat Analysis the 150-Acre Emerson Property South of the Contra Costa Canal, Oakley, Contra Costa County, California (Sycamore 2005), presently between 65,181 and 120,078 acres of foraging habitat exist within the ten-mile radius of the nest sites, depending upon individual nest. Development of the proposed project would remove approximately 120 acres of foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk within the above-described area presently available. Based on this analysis, the currently proposed project will not substantially affect Swainson's hawk foraging habitat.

Loss of an occupied Swainson's hawk nest, however, would be considered a significant impact. If during the pre-construction surveys, Swainson's hawks are found nesting on or adjacent to the site, the project could have a potentially significant impact on Swainson's hawks.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-11(a) In order to ensure that nesting Swainson's hawks shall not be affected by construction on the project site or off-site improvement locations, a qualified biologist shall conduct preconstruction surveys according to CDFG and Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee guidelines (2000). Survey Period I occurs from January 1 - March 20, Period II from March 20 - April 5, Period III from April 5 - April 20, Period IV from April 21 - June 10, and Period V is from June 10 July 30. Three surveys shall be completed in at least each of the two survey periods immediately prior to a project's initiation and encompass the area within $1 / 2$ mile of the project site. If a nest site is found, then either of the following measures shall be implemented:
4.8-11(b) Trees containing known or potential raptor nest sites that must be removed as a result of project implementation shall be removed during the non-breeding season (September 1 to January 31) to discourage future nesting attempts, on the condition that no Swainson's hawk pair is currently utilizing the nest site. Monitoring evidence that any nests in trees planned for early removal are unattended by reproductiveaged birds must be provided; or
4.8-11(c) If an active Swainson's hawk nest is found sufficiently close (as determined by the qualified biologist and CDFG) to the construction area to be affected by construction activities, a qualified biologist shall determine the extent of a construction-
free buffer zone to be established around the nest. Intensive new disturbances (e.g., heavy equipment activities associated with construction) that may cause nest abandonment or forced fledging shall not be initiated within this buffer zone between March 1 and September 1 until it is determined by a qualified biologist in coordination with CDFG that the young have fledged and are feeding on their own.

## 4.8-12 Impacts to special-status bat species.

Several mature trees exist on the project site which provide suitable bat roosting habitat. In addition, the old residence located on the project site provide potentially suitable roosting habitat for bats. Special-status bat species that have the potential to occur on-site including pallid bat, a California Species of Special Concern; Townsend’s big-eared bat, a California Species of Special Concern; greater western mastiff bat, a Federal and California Species of Special Concern; the small-footed myotis bat, a Federal Species of Special Concern; long eared myotis bat, a Federal Species of Special Concern; fringed myotis bat, a Federal Species of Special Concern; long-legged myotis bat, a Federal Species of Special Concern and the Yuma myotis bat, a Federal and California Species of Special Concern. Although these species are not expected to occur on-site presently, existing buildings and mature trees located within the project site provide potential roosting habitat for these special-status bat species if they reach this site after leaving another site. If special-status bats are found roosting on-site, the project could have a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-12(a) A pre-construction survey for roosting bats shall be performed by a qualified biologist within 30 days prior to any removal of trees or structures on the site. If no active roosts are found, then no further action shall be required. If either a maternity roost or hibernacula (structures used by bats for hibernation) is present, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented.
4.8-12(b) If active maternity roosts or hibernacula are found in trees or structures which are to be removed as part of project construction, the project shall be redesigned to avoid the loss of the tree or structure occupied by the roost to the extent feasible as determined by the City. If an active maternity roost is located and the project cannot be redesigned to avoid removal of the occupied tree or structure, demolition shall
commence before maternity colonies form (i.e., prior to March 1) or after young are volant (flying) (i.e., after July 31). Disturbance-free buffer zones as determined by a qualified biologist in coordination with CDFG shall be observed during the maternity roost season (March 1 - July 31).
4.8-12(c) If a non-breeding bat hibernacula is found in a tree or structure scheduled for removal, the individuals shall be safely evicted, under the direction of a qualified biologist (as determined by a Memorandum of Understanding with CDFG), by opening the roosting area to allow airflow through the cavity. Demolition shall then follow at least one night after initial disturbance for airflow. This action shall allow bats to leave during darkness, thus increasing their chance of finding new roosts with a minimum of potential predation during daylight. Trees or structures with roosts that need to be removed shall first be disturbed at dusk, just prior to removal that same evening, to allow bats to escape during the darker hours.

## 4.8-13 Impacts to wildlife corridors.

Dutch Slough and the Contra Costa Canal, are potential wildlife movement corridors, which may facilitate the movement of animals to and from the project area and may provide safe refuge for species that may forage within the project area during various times of the year, including the fish and western pond turtle (if present). However, lands and hydrologic features surrounding the site are not suitable to facilitate movement and dispersal of many other special-status species as described in the above section due to agricultural practices, the isolated nature of habitats (i.e., sand mounds), introduction of exotic predators, and suburban development. Additionally, the project site does not provide a key movement corridor for wildlife in the region given the present agricultural practices and surrounding land uses. Therefore, project impacts to wildlife corridors are expected to be less-thansignificant.

Mitigation Measure(s)
None required.

## Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The following discussion of impacts is based on the implementation of the proposed project in combination with other proposed and pending projects in the region. Unless otherwise indicated, mitigation measures apply to all three of the subject properties.

## 4.8-14 Contribution to cumulative impacts to biological resources in the project area.

The Oakley area, like many other communities in the San Francisco Bay Area, is experiencing a great deal of urban growth. Many housing developments are already approved in the surrounding areas. In the immediate vicinity of the project site are several projects in various stages of the entitlement and development process. Adjacent to the west is the 155 -acre Cypress Grove development, which resulted in the removal of 155 acres that were previously under cultivation. Approximately 1.5 miles to the east is the East Cypress Corridor Specific Plan area, an approximate 2,500-acre area that has been used historically for irrigated pasture and row crops and which is planned for urban development. Although the 1,166-acre Dutch Slough restoration project located immediately north of the project area will provide valuable habitat for native plant and wildlife species in the region, cumulatively, these projects could affect common as well as special-status plant and animal species with the reduction of available habitat and the potential loss of individuals.

The Oakley 2020 General Plan EIR (Impact 3.9-F) states that a potentially significant impact to biological resources would result from the cumulative conversion of habitat; however, implementation of applicable General Plan policies and programs would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level. One of the programs that the Oakley General Plan EIR lists under Impact 3.9A is Program 6.3.A, which is in the Open Space and Conservation element of the Oakley 2020 Genera Plan. Program 6.3.A states that prior to development within identified sensitive habitat areas, the area shall be surveyed for special status plant and/or animal species. If any special status species are found, the program requires consultation with the appropriate resource agency to establish management strategies to ensure the protection of the particular species. The mitigation measures pertaining to special status plant and animal species included in this EIR would be consistent with program 6.3.A. The project could contribute to the cumulative loss of these special-status species, their habitat, and special-status natural communities and common wildlife communities, resulting in potentially significant cumulative impacts.

Mitigation Measure(s)
Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce cumulative impacts to biological resources to a less-than-significant level.
4.8-14 Implement Mitigation Measures 4.8-1 through 4.8-12.

## Appendix A

## Tree Ordinance

The City of Oakley Zoning Ordinance for Heritage Tree Preservation and Tree Preservation was adopted by the City Council in October 2005. Section D-3A Heritage Tree Preservation and Section D-3B Tree Preservation of Chapter 5: Additional Requirements for Development, in Zoning Ordinances, serve as an overview for protected trees within the project site.

## Section D-3A Heritage Tree Preservation

5-D-3A. 3 Definition and Designation
A. Heritage tree definition.
"Heritage tree" means:

1. A tree fifty inches or more in circumference measured four and one-half feet above the natural grade; or
2. Any tree or a group of trees particularly worthy of protection, and specifically designated as a heritage tree by the City Council pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, because of:
a. Having historical or ecological interest or significance, or
b. Being dependent upon each other for health or survival, or
c. Being considered an outstanding specimen of its species as to such factors as location, size, age, rarity, shape, or health.

## 5-D-3A. 4 Destruction or Removal.

A. Prohibition. No person shall destroy or remove any designated heritage tree unless a permit has been obtained therefore. This chapter does not require a permit for nor prevent trimming, pruning, or maintenance of a heritage tree where such does not result in destruction nor substantially change the tree's form or shape.

5-D-3A. 5 Preservation.
A. Encroachment, construction or excavation. When proposed developments or construction encroach into the drip line or a radius of twelve feet from the trunk of any designated heritage tree, whichever is greater, special construction to allow the roots to breathe, obtain water and nutrients shall be required, as determined necessary by the Community Development Department to minimize damage to such tree visible above ground level. Excavation, cuts, fills or compaction of the existing ground surface within the drip line or a radius of twelve feet from the trunk of a designated heritage tree, whichever is greater, shall minimize such damage to the root system so as to result in least damage to such tree. Permission is required prior to back filling. Tree wells may be used where approved by the building inspection department. The cost of required pruning or other treatment to compensate for root damage and/or cost of removal shall be at the expense of the involved developer and/or contractor but may be shared by the owner. Such pruning as is done shall not cause permanent injury or destroy any designated heritage tree;
B. Storage and dumping. No person shall store or dump any oil, gas, or chemicals that may be harmful to trees, nor place heavy construction machinery or construction materials in the open within the drip line of any designated
heritage tree or within a radius of twelve feet from the trunk of such tree, whichever is greater;
C. Burning. Burning of any material within or near the drip line of any designated heritage tree shall not be done where such will injure the tree;
D. Attachments. No person shall attach any wire (except as needed for support) or sign (other than approved tree identification signs) to any heritage tree where such wire or sign may damage such designated heritage tree;
E. Damage notification. The contractor, developer or owner or any agent thereof shall notify the Community Development Department without undue delay of any damage that occurs to any heritage tree during construction. The cost of repair of the damage or tree replacement shall be at the expense of the responsible party and the repair work done according to standards approved by the Community Development Department. The cost of repair or replacement is to be determined by the following method, pending adoption by the City Council by resolution:

1. The applicant shall secure an appraisal of the condition and value of the damaged tree(s). The appraisal shall be done in accordance with the then current edition of the Guide for Establishing Values of Trees and Other Plants by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers under the auspices of the International Society of Arboriculture. The appraisal shall be done at the applicant's sole expense, and the appraiser shall be subject to the City's approval, which it shall not unreasonably withhold. The appraisal shall be performed by a certified arborist, as that term is defined by the Western Chapter of the International Society of Arboriculture; a consulting arborist who satisfies the requirements of the American Society of Consulting Arborists; or such other arborist who, after review by the Community Development Department, is determined to meet the standards established for certified or consulting arborists.
2. If the appraised value of the tree(s) is $\$ 25,000$ dollars or less, the responsible party shall deposit with the Community Development Department, in cash or such other security as may be acceptable to the director, an amount equal to the value of each tree within the development area of the site. If the appraised value of the tree(s) is over $\$ 25,000$ dollars, the responsible party shall deposit with the Community Development Department in cash or such other security as may be acceptable to the director an amount equal to $\$ 25,000$ dollars plus an additional amount as a percentage of the total appraised value, as defined in the table below:

| Appraised Value | Deposit |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 25,001--\$ 50,000$ | 50 percent |
| $50,001--100,000$ | 25 percent |
| 100,001 and up | 10 percent |

5-D-3A. 6 Permits.
A. Application.

1. Any application for a permit to destroy, cut down or remove a designated heritage tree shall be submitted to the Community Development Department by the owner or his authorized agent (satisfactory evidence of such authorization to be submitted with the application) on the form provided by the Community Development Department together with any specified fee;
2. The application shall contain the location, number, species, size, and heritage designation of the tree to be destroyed, cut down or removed and a statement of reasons for the proposed action, together with such other information as may be required by the Community Development Department, including an Arborist Report.

## Section D-3B Tree Preservation

A. 5-D-3B. 2 Definitions.
B. Definitions, as used in this section:
a. "Arborist" shall mean a person currently certified by the Western Chapter of the International Society of Arboriculture, as an expert on the care of woody trees, shrubs and vines in the landscape, a consulting arborist who satisfies the requirements of the American Society of Consulting Arborists or such other arborist who, after review by the director, is determined to meet the standards established for certified or consulting arborists hereinabove described.
b. "Arborist Report" shall mean a report prepared by an arborist on:
i. The possible impact of development on trees or existing tree condition;
ii. The impact of any alteration; and/or
iii. Restorative or other remedial action that might be feasible to address tree alterations.
c. "Department" means the Community Development Department;
d. "Development" means any modification of land for human use from its existing state which requires a discretionary entitlement for its establishment or a building and/or grading permit involving a protected tree or trees;
e. "Development Application" shall mean an application for development (as defined in this article) requiring either ministerial or discretionary approvals including design review, use permits, subdivisions, rezoning applications, building and/or grading permits;
f. "Director" means the Director of Community Development or his/her designee;
g. "Riparian Vegetation" shall mean vegetation that is found along creeks and streams. Runoff streams that only carry runoff during the rain seasons in this area are known to support significant riparian vegetation;
h. "Routine Pruning" shall mean the removal of dead or dying, diseased, weak or objectionable branches of a tree in a reasonable and scientific manner which does not structurally harm the tree;
i. "Topping" shall mean the removal of the upper twenty-five percent or more of a tree's trunk(s) or primary leader;
j. "Tree" shall mean a large woody perennial plant with one or more trunks, branches and leaves, not including shrubs shaped to tree forms;
k. "Tree removal" shall mean the destruction of any protected tree by cutting, regrading, girdling, interfering with water supply, applying chemicals or by other means;
l. "Undeveloped property" shall mean:
a. A parcel of private land which is vacant or a developed parcel which has remaining development potential;
b. A parcel of land that can be further divided in accordance with zoning regulations of the City;
c. A parcel of land on which the structures are proposed to be demolished or relocated.

5-D-3B. 3 Protected Trees.
A. Prohibition. No person shall trench, grade or fill within the dripline of any
protected tree or cut down, destroy, trim by topping or remove any protected tree on private property within the City without a tree permit, except as provided for in Section 5-D-3A.6, subsection A;
B. Protected Trees.

1. On all properties within the City of Oakley, a protected tree is any one of the following (See also Section 5-D-3A, Heritage Tree Preservation, for definition of a Heritage Tree):
a. Where the tree to be cut down, destroyed or trimmed by topping is adjacent to or part of a riparian, foothill woodland or oak savanna area, or part of a stand of four or more trees, measures twenty inches or larger in circumference (approximately 6.5 inches in diameter) as measured four and one-half feet from ground level, or multi-stemmed tree with the sum of the circumferences measuring forty inches or larger, measured four and one-half feet from ground level, and is included in the following list of indigenous trees: Acer macrophyllum (Bigleaf Maple), Acer negundo (Box Elder), Aesculus califonica (California Buckeye), Alnus Rhombifolia (White Alder), Arbutus menziesii (Madrone), Heteromeles arbutifolia (Toyon), Juglans Hindsii (California Black Walnut), Juniperus californica (California Juniper), Lithocarpus densiflora (Tanoak or Tanbark Oak), Pinus attenuata (Knobcone Pine), Pinus sabiniana (Digger Pine), Platanus Racemosa (California Sycamore), Populus fremontii (Fremont Cottonwood), Populus trichocarpa (Black Cottonwood), Quercus agrifolia (California or Coast Live Oak), Quercus chrysolepis (Canyon Live Oak), Quercus douglasii (Blue Oak), Quercus kelloggii (California Black Oak), Quercus lobata (Valley Oak), Quercus wislizenii (Interior Live Oak) Umbellularia californica (California Bay or Laurel);
b. Any tree shown to be preserved on an approved tentative map, development or site plan or required to be retained as a condition of approval;
c. Any tree required to be planted as a replacement for an unlawfully removed tree.

## 5-D-3B. 4 Applications.

A. Permit requirement. Any person proposing to trench, grade or fill within the dripline of any protected tree or cut down, destroy, trim by topping or remove any protected tree shall apply to the department for a tree permit, not less than ten days prior to the proposed tree removal or tree alterations. Persons who would be eligible to apply for three or more individual tree permits under provisions of this chapter may apply for a collective tree permit for the site.

## 5-D-3B. 6 Tree Protection.

A. Tree protection. Except where otherwise provided by the involved development's conditions of approval or approved permit application, on all properties where trees are required to be saved during the course of development, the developer shall follow the following tree preservation standards:

1. Prior to the start of any clearing, stockpiling, trenching, grading, compaction, paving or change in ground elevation on a site with trees to be preserved, the applicant shall install fencing at the dripline or other area as determined by an arborist report of all trees adjacent to or in the area to be altered. Prior to grading or issuance of any permits, the fences may be inspected and the location thereof approved by appropriate City staff.
2. No grading, compaction, stockpiling, trenching, paving or change in ground elevation shall be permitted within the dripline unless indicated on the grading plans approved by the City and addressed in any required report prepared by an arborist. If grading or construction is approved within the dripline, an arborist may be required to be present during grading operations. The arborist shall have the authority to require protective measures to protect the roots. Upon completion of grading and construction, an involved arborist shall prepare a report outlining further methods required for tree protection if any are required. All arborist expense shall be borne by the developer and applicant unless otherwise provided by the development's conditions of approval.
3. No parking or storing vehicles, equipment, machinery or construction materials, construction trailers and no dumping of oils or chemicals shall be permitted within the dripline of any tree to be saved.
B. Construction tree damage. A development's property owner or developer shall notify the department of any damage that occurs to any tree during the construction process. As determined by a director-approved arborist, the owner or developer shall be responsible for securing an appraisal of and repairing the damage. If the tree is damaged beyond repair or dies as a result of development activities, subsection 5-D-3B.6(E) shall be applicable.
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A. Tree Survey Summary Data and suitability ratings

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SETTING

Sycamore Associates LLC (Sycamore) was contracted to provide a tree survey report for a $150-$ acre portion of the Emerson property south of Contra Costa Canal and off-site project areas along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue in Oakley, Contra Costa County, California (Figure 1). This report presents the results of the tree survey.

The subject portion of the Emerson property consists of low-lying, relatively level land situated on the north side of Cypress Road, and the west side of Sellers Avenue, east of State Highway 4. The approximate 150 -acre property is bordered to the north by the Contra Costa Canal, to the west by the Cypress Grove residential development, which is currently under construction, and to the south and east by rural residential, agricultural lands, and a portion of Emerson Slough. Suront land use practices within the study area include rural residential and agricultural. The huric. tes are located in the central portion of the property. Adjacent land uses consist of agricultural aciivities to the north, south, and east, including farming and livestock grazing, and construction activities to the west.

The Emerson property consists predominately of disturbed lands ranging in elevation from 5 to 29 feet above sea level, in which most of the naturally-occurring vegetation has been removed. Cultivated and disturbed lands represent the dominant habitat present on the property. Other vegetation communities identified on site include sand mounds, and a very small amount of valley freshwater marsh vegetation associated with an inrigation ditch and Emerson Slough. Several native and non-native trees are located adjacent to homesites and associated roads on the property, and are assumed to be either ornamentally planted or remnants of previous landscaping. Additionally, there are several trees bordering the property along Cypress Road.

The off-site project area consists of the Sellers and Cypress roadways approximately $1 / 2$ mile from their intersection in each direction and approximately 35 feet from the roadway's edge. The off-site study area is based upon measurements taken from the Cypress Grove Property Road Improvement Plans prepared by Carlson, Barbee, and Gibson, dated November 22, 2004. Ruderal vegetation, debris, agricultural fields and orchards characterize the area bordering Sellers Road. The off-site area bordering Cypress Road is characterized hy agricultural fields, a roadside ditch, and irrigated pasture. There are native and non-native trees lining stretches of both the north and south sides of Cypress Road, and associated with private properties on both the east and west sides of Sellers Avenue.


## Legend



Figure 1
Location of the Project Site Emerson Property Centex Homes Ponderosa Homes
Oakley, Contra Costa County, California

This document provided for the sole use of Centex Homes. This document not intended for detail design work. USGS quadrangles from MapTech Terrain Professional (1998).


Sycamore Associates LLC 2099 Mt. Diablo Blvd. Suite 204

Walnut Creek, CA 94596 925.279.0580 www.sycllc.com

### 2.0 METHODS

Sycamore conducted the tree survey of the 150 -acre Emerson Property on February 25 and 28 and the Off-site areas on February 28, 2005. All trees in the study area with a diameter at breast height (dbh, 54 inches above grade) greater than 6.5 inches were identified to species, tagged, and the location recorded on a map. A few trees were inaccessible and were only assigned a tag number. The trees were visually assessed from the ground and assigned a condition rating on a scale of 0 to 5 as follows:

0 - dead;
1 - declining; majority of new growth epicormic, dieback in scaffold branches and/or trunk, extensive structural defects not correctable with proper care;
2 - poor; significant epicormic growth, dieback in large branches, significant structural defects not correctable with proper care;
3 - fair; average vigor, some dieback of twigs and/or small branches, structural defects that may be corrected or alleviated with proper care;
4 - good; good vigor and leaf color, some twig dieback may be present, minor structural defects correctable with proper care;
5 - excellent; healthy, vigorous tree with good structure and form typical of the species; reasonably free of signs and symptoms of disease.

Any areas of structural weakness such as decay, cracks, poor crown formation or branch structure, and signs of disease were noted. Each tree's suitability for preservation was then rated as "good", "moderate", or "poor" based upon the tree's health, age, structural condition, and its potential to remain an asset to the site.

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 Emerson Property

Ninety-seven trees were identified representing 20 species (Table 1 and Map Pocket). The most common species were black walnut lining Cypress Road on the southem property boundary and purple-leaf cherry plum which lines both sides of the driveway leading to the homesites midway along the eastern boundary of the property. The remaining trees were surrounding the two central homesites and the homesite in the southeast corner of the property. Three of the 20 species ( 15 percent) and 35 of the 97 trees ( 36 percent) are listed as indigenous.

Table 1. Summary of Trees Surveyed for Emerson Property

| Species | Common Name | Number of <br> Trees | Listed as <br> Indigenous |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cedrus atlantica | Atlas cedar | 1 | N |
| Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | 1 | N |
| Cupressus sempervirens | Italian cypress | 2 | N |
| Eucalyptus globulus | blue gum | 5 | N |
| Eucalyptus sp. | gum | 2 | N |
| Grevillea noel | silkoak | 2 | N |
| Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 27 | Y |
| Morus alba | white mulberry | 9 | N |
| Phoenix canariensis | Canary Island date palm | 1 | N |
| Pinus radiata | Monterey pine | 1 | N |
| Pinus sp. | pine | 1 | N |
| Platanus racemosa | California sycamore | 6 | Y |
| Populus nigra Italica' | Lombardy poplar | 2 | N |
| Populus tomentosa | Chinese poplar | 2 | N |
| Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 25 | N |
| Pyrus sp. | pear | 1 | N |
| Quercus agrifolia | Coast live oak | 2 | Y |
| Schinus molle | Peruvian pepper | 1 | N |
| Ulnus parvifolia | Siberian elm | 3 | N |
| Washingtonia filifera | California fan palm | 3 | N |
|  | Grand Total | 97 |  |

### 3.2 Off-site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue

Seventy-seven trees were identified representing eight species (Table 2 and Map Pocket). The most common species was black walnut found along Cypress road ( 63 of the 77 trees are black walnut). Two of the eight species ( 25 percent) and 64 of the 77 trees ( 83 percent) are listed as indigenous.

Table 2. Summary of Trees Surveyed for Off-site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue

| Species | Common Name | Number of <br> Trees | Listed as <br> Indigenous |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 63 | Y |
| Juglans regia | English walnut | 1 | N |
| Morus alba | white mulberry | 1 | N |
| Pinus muricata | Bishop pine | 3 | N |
| Prunus amygdalus | almond | 2 | N |
| Salix laevigata | red willow | 1 | Y |
| Ulmus parvifolia | Siberian elm | 3 | N |
| Unknown sp. |  | 3 | N |
|  | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |  |  |

### 3.3 Heritage and Protected Trees

Contra Costa County Municipal Code contains two chapters pertaining to trees: Chapter 816-4 Heritage Tree Preservation (HTP) District and Chapter 816-6 Tree Protection and Preservation. Heritage trees are defined as all trees greater than 72 inches in circumference ( 23 inches dbh ). All trees greater than 20 inches in circumference ( 6.5 inches dbh ) on any property within the unincorporated area of the county that are included in the list of indigenous species are protected trees, as are all trees greater than 20 inches in circumference on undeveloped property in any district of the county.

### 3.3.1 Emerson Property

There were 40 heritage trees identified representing 15 species and 41 percent ( 40 out of 97 ) of the total population (Table 3). Three of the 15 species ( 20 percent) are included in the list of indigenous trees, § 816-6.6004. Of the 40 total heritage trees, 18 ( 45 percent) are listed as indigenous and 22 are not listed.

There were 57 protected trees identified representing 15 species and 59 percent ( 57 out of 97 ) of the total population (Table 4). Three of the 15 species ( 20 percent) are included in the list of indigenous trees. Of the 57 total protected trees, 17 ( 30 percent) are listed as indigenous and 40 are not listed.

Table 3. Heritage Trees

| LISTED AS INDIGENOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Common Name | Emerson <br> Property | Off-site Areas |  |  |  |
| Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Platanus racemosa | California sycamore | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Salix laevigata | red willow |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Quercus agrifolia | Coast live oak | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |


| NOT LISTED AS INDIGENOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cedrus atlantica | Atlas cedar | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Cupressus sempervirens | Italian cypress | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Eucalyptus globulus | blue gum | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Eucalyptus sp. | gum | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Morus alba | white mulberry | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Phoenix canariensis | Canary Island date palm | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Pinus muricata | Bishop pine |  |  |  |  |  |
| Populus nigra 'Italica' | Lombardy poplar | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Populus tomentosa | Chinese poplar | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Prunus cerasifera <br> 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schinus molle | Peruvian pepper | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Ulmus parvifolia | Siberian elm | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Washingtonia filifera | California fan palm | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTALS |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |  |

Table 4. Protected Trees

## LISTED AS INDIGENOUS

| Species | Common Name | Emerson <br> Property | Off-site Areas |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 14 | 46 |  |  |  |
| Platanus racemosa | Califormia sycamore | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Quercus agrifolia | Coast live oak | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  | 17 | 46 |


| NOT LISTED AS INDIGENOUS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | 1 |  |
| Cupressus sempervirens | Italian cypress | 1 |  |
| Grevillea robusta | silkoak | 2 |  |
| Juglans regia | English walnut |  | 1 |
| Morus alba | white mulberry | 5 |  |
| Pinus radiata | Monterey pine | 1 |  |
| Pinus sp. | pine | 1 |  |
| Populus nigra 'Italica' | Lombardy poplar | 1 |  |
| Populus tomentosa | Chinese poplar | 1 |  |
| Prunus amygdalus | almond |  | 2 |
| Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 24 |  |
| Pyrus sp. | pear | 1 |  |
| Ulmus parvifolia | Siberian elm | 1 | 3 |
| unknown species |  |  | 1 |
| Washingtonia filifera | California fan palm | 1 |  |
|  | TOTALS | 40 | 7 |

### 3.3.2 Off-site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue

There were 22 heritage trees identified representing four species and 29 percent ( 22 out of 77 ) of the total population (Table 3). Two of the four species ( 50 percent) are included in the list of indigenous trees. Of the 22 total heritage trees, 18 ( 82 percent) are listed as indigenous and four are not listed. Of note is a group of four red willows on the south side of Cypress Road approximately one quarter-mile west of Sellers Avenue and represented by one tag number, 55. The tagged and measured individual is of heritage size and the remaining three willows are all multi-trunked with at least one trunk measuring 37.5 inches or more in circumference ( 12 inches dbh ). These trees are growing closely together with intertwined trunks and branches and can be considered to be dependent on one another for health and survival (§ 816-4.402 2B).

There were 53 protected trees identified representing five species and 69 percent ( 53 out of 77 ) of the total population (Table 4). One of the five species ( 20 percent) is included in the list of indigenous trees. Of the 53 total protected trees, 46 ( 87 percent) are listed as indigenous and seven are not listed. Two pollarded trees (pollarding is yearly pruning of all branches back almost to the trunk) in a yard fronting the west side of Sellers Avenue approximately one-tenth of a mile south of Cypress Road were noted (110 and 111) but were estimated to be less than 20 inches in circumference ( 6.5 inches dbh ) and are therefore not protected.

### 4.0 SUITABLITY FOR PRESERVATION

Trees that are preserved on development sites must be able to survive construction impacts, adapt to a new environment, and perform well in the landscape. Prior to evaluating any impacts from proposed development it is necessary to consider the tree resource itself and its ability to function well over an extended period of time. Each tree was rated for suitability for preservation based upon its species, approximate age, health, structural integrity, and ability to safely coexist within a development environment. Suitability was rated as good, moderate, or low (Appendix A).

Trees rated good have good health and structural stability, and the potential for longevity at the site. Trees rated moderate have fair health and/or structural defects that may be abated with management measures. Trees in this category may require more management and may have shorter life spans than those rated good. Trees rated low have poor health or significant structural defects that cannot be abated with treatment. Trees in this category can be expected to decline regardless of management practices.

### 4.1 Emerson Property

The trees are in variable condition ranging from severe decline to vigorous healthy trees (Appendix A). The trees along Cypress road on the southern boundary of the property have had little or no maintenance other than pruning for clearance. The majority are old and in decline. Lining the driveway leading to the homesites are rows of purple-leaf plum that have been largely neglected. Most of the trees surrounding the homesites have been cared for and are in good condition. Of the 97 trees on the property, 23 were rated good, 36 rated moderate, and 38 rated low suitability for preservation (Table 5).

Table 5. Summary of Suitability Ratings

| AREA | SUTABILITY RATING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Good | Moderate | Low |
| Emerson Property | 23 | 36 | 38 |
| Off-site* | 2 | 4 | 66 |
| TOTALS | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ |

*Five trees are undetermined

### 4.2 Off-site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue

The trees are in variable condition ranging from dead snags to vigorous healthy trees (Appendix A). The majority of the trees have had little or no maintenance other than pruning for clearance. Many are old and in decline. The few trees that have been cared for and are in relatively good condition are those that are associated with homes along Sellers Avenue. Five of the 77 trees were inaccessible without trespassing and their condition could not be rated, therefore their suitability for preservation could not be determined. Of the remaining 72 trees on the property, 2 were rated good, 4 rated moderate, and 66 rated low suitability for preservation (Table 5).

### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Trees with moderate and good suitability ratings can be considered for preservation depending on the intensity of proposed site changes. Retention of trees with low suitability for preservation cannot be recommended in areas where people or property may be present.

### 5.1 Emerson Property

The daylight line or grading envelope of the proposed project on the Emerson property extends to the boundaries of the property; therefore impacts from development preclude the recommendation of any trees for preservation.

### 5.2 Off-site Areas Along Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue

The off-site areas were evaluated for a proposed street widening up to 35 feet from the edge of the existing road. Only trees approximately 35 feet from the edge of the existing road and therefore within the area of impact were surveyed. Two trees, Numbers 106 and 114, are very near to but possibly further than 35 feet from the edge of the existing road. Number 106 is young, healthy, in good condition, and has good suitability for preservation. If this tree is outside the area of impact it is recommended that it be preserved. Number 114 was clearly on private property and its condition could not be ascertained, therefore no recommendation can be made without further evaluation. Guidelines for preservation of trees during construction can be supplied as needed.

[^5]
## APPENDIX A

| Tag no. | Latin name | Common name | Candition | DEH | [DBH2] | DBH3 | DBH4 | DBH5 | Total Circ. | Suitability | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 997 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 11.1 | - |  |  |  | 34.9 | Moderate | some sprouts: neglected |
| 998 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  | 26.4 | Moderate | neglected |
| 999 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  | 34.6 | Moderate | neg!ected |
| 0 | Platanus racemosa | California sycamora | 2 | 65.01 |  |  |  |  | 204.2 | Maderate | some eplcormic growth; large wound at major branch attachment |
| $\dagger$ | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  | 29.2 | Moderate | neglected |
| 2 | Prunus cerasifara 'Atropurpurea' | 1purple-leaf plum | 3 | 8,2 |  |  |  |  | 25.8 | Maderate | neglected |
| 3 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | lpurple-leaf plum | 3 | 13.3 |  |  |  |  | 41.8 | Moderate | neglected |
| 4 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 2 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | Moderate | Ineglected, die back in center of crown |
| 5 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | \|purple-leaf plurn | 3 | 14.0\| |  |  |  |  | 44.0 | Maderate | Ineglected |
| 6 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | Ipurple-leat plum | 3 | 10,6 |  |  |  |  | 33.3 | Moderate | nreglected |
| 7 | Prunus cerzsifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 11.6 |  |  |  |  | 36.4 | Moderate | neglected |
| B | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | lpurple-leaf plum | 3 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  | 30.5 | Moderate | ineglected |
| 9 | Prunus cerasifera 'Alroputpurea' | purple-Ieai plum | 3 | 11.2 |  |  |  |  | 35.2 | Moderate | neglected |
| 10 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 9.71 |  |  |  |  | 30.5 | Moderale | Ineglected |
| 11 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  | 28.0 | Moderate | Ineglected |
| 12 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 3 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  | 25.8 | Maderate | neglected |
| 13 | Phoenix canariensis | Canary Island date palm | 4 | 60.5 |  |  |  |  | 190.1 | Gaad |  |
| 14 | Pinus radiata | Manterey plne | 3 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  | 35.8 | Moderate | crown dieback <br> significan lean, lead splits into several smaller branches; scaffold branches dead; 25\% |
| 15 | Pinus sp. | pine | 4 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | Moderate | 5mall brenches dieback |
| 16 | Pyrus sp. | pear | 2 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  | 30.2 | Low | many wound scars on trunk and scaffold branches; 6 " wide trunk sear from 2.5'4.5 |
| 17 | Morus alba | white mutberry | 3 | 27.3 |  |  |  |  | 85.8 | Moderate | o.k. structure, lots of watersprouts |
| 18 | Morus alba | white mulberry | 2 | 8.6 | 11.7 |  |  |  | 63.8 | Low | liunks split al $1^{\prime}$, ihen nub/fuse al $5^{\prime}$. codom: assymetrical due to 017 |
| 19 | M Morus alba | white mulberry | 2 | 5.7 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 40.81 | Low | lopsided, shaded out and leaning due to 018 |
| 20 | Washinglonta miliera | Californla fan palm | 3 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | Good | sheathed to ground |
| 21 | Washingtonia rillera | California fan palm | 3 | 29.8 |  |  |  |  | 93.6 | Gaod | Isheathed to ground |
| 22 | Ulmus parvifolla | Siberian elm | 3 | 28.11 |  |  |  |  | 88.3 | Moderate | some large branch dleback |
| 23 | Washingtonia filiera | Callfornia fan palm | 3 | 56,5 |  |  |  |  | 177.5 | Good | sheathed to ground |
| 24 | Ulmus parvifolla | Siberlan elm | 3 | 12.41 | 10.7 | 14.3 |  |  | 117.5 | Low | significant lean of both leads, codom at 1': major scaffold brancties crosslng |
| 25 | Ulmus parvifolla | Siberian elm | 2 | 8.8. |  |  |  |  | 27.8 | Low | laying down under 024 |
| 26 | Morus alba | \|white mulberry | 4 | 31.1 |  |  |  |  | 97.7 | Moderale | goad structure for mulberry, 2 major limbs need removal; some watersprouts |
| 27 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | purple-leaf plum | 4 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  | 35.8 | Good | cared for - good struclure |
| 28 | Prunus cerasifera 'Atropurpurea' | Ipurple-leaf plum | 4 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  | 44.3 | Good | cared for - good stnucture |
| 29 | Cuprassus sempervirans | Italian cypress | 4 | 24.4 |  |  |  |  | 76.7 | Good |  |
| 30 | Cupressus sampervirans | Ilalian cypress | 4 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  | 36.8 | Goad |  |
| 31 | Morus alba | white muliberry | 3 | 37.2 |  |  |  |  | 116.9 | Maderate | good structure, but possible rot at base |
| 32 | Eucalyptus glabulus | blue gum | 4 | 16.9 | 42.9 |  |  |  | 187.9 | Good | sligt lean, but balanced canopy |
| 33 | Eucalyplus glabulus | blue gum | 4 | 37.0 |  |  |  |  | 116.2 | Good | leaning into 032 and shaded |
| 34 | Eucalyplus glabulus | blue gum | 4 | 48.0 |  |  |  |  | 150.8 | Good | slightly assymetrical canopy due to 033; codom |
| 35 | Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | 3 | 8.1 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 68.8 | Moderate | wound scars on Irunk |
| 36 | Eucalyplus sp. | gum | 2 | 16.6 | 20.0 |  |  |  | 115.0 | Low | decilining |
| 37 | Morus alba | white mulberry | 3 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 76.0 | Low | lots of weak branch attachments |
| 38 | Eucalyptus globulus | blue gum | 2 | 55.2 |  |  |  |  | 173.4 | Low |  |
| 39 | Eucalyplus glabulus | blue gum | 2 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  | 131.9 | Low | very lopsided, leaning; declining |

Indigenous species / Heritage tree Herligage tree
Indigenous species / Protected tree Protected tree
Not protected
EMERSON PROJECT OFF-SITE AREAS, OAKLEY

| Tagno. | Latin name | Common name | Condition | DBH | DBH2 | \|DBH3] | DBH4 | DBH5] | DBH6 | DBH7 | DBH8 | Total CIrc. | Sultabillty | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 1 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | Low | [040-088 are located along south slde of Cypress Road west of Sellers Ave. |
| 41 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 1 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | Low | most of these trees are already In decline or are nearing senescence |
| 42 | Prunus amygdalus | almond | 1 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.0 | Low | inone would merit preservation with the exception of the group of willows |
| 43 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 1 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | Low | lindicated by 055, though they too are in poor condition |
| 44 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 1 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0 | Low | (Most dbh are estimated) |
| 45 | Juglans hindsill | black walrut | 1 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | Low |  |
| 46 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 3 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.4 | Low | o.k. structure; some basal sprouls |
| 47 | Juglans hindsili | black walnut | 3 | 3.9 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | L.ow | lo.k. structure; some basal sprouls |
| 48 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 3 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | Low | lo.k. structure: some basal sprouls; few big branches broken |
| 49 | Juglans hindsl | black walnut | 1 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | L.ow |  |
| 50 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 1 | 5.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | Low |  |
| 51 | Juglans hinds/I | black walnut | 1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 12.0 | Low |  |
| 52 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 1 | 17.0 | 12.0 |  |  | . | . |  |  | 29.0 | Low |  |
| 53 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 1 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | Low |  |
| 54 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 1 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | Low |  |
| 55 | Salix laevigata | red willow | 1 | 24.0 | 24,0 | 24.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 12.0 |  |  | 120.0 | Low | Graup of 4, all in decline; largest was tagged and numbers recorded; others are muttl trunked with at least ona trunk $>12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{dbh}$ |
| 55 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 2 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | Low |  |
| 57 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 2 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | Low |  |
| 58 | Juglans hindsil | black walrut | 2 | 14.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.0 | Low |  |
| 59 | Juglans hindsill | black walnut | 2 | 24.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.0 | Low |  |
| 60 | Juglans hindsis | black walnut | 2 | 4.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | Low |  |
| 61 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | Low |  |
| 62 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.0 | Low |  |
| 63 | Juglans hindsh | black walnut | 2 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | Low |  |
| 64 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | Low |  |
| 65 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 7.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 | L.ow |  |
| 66 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | Low |  |
| 67 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 7.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | Low |  |
| 68 | Juglans hintsif | black walnut | 2 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3,0 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | Low |  |
| 69 | Juglans hindsif | black walnut | 2 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.1 | Low |  |
| 70 | juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  | 37.0 | Low |  |
| 71 | \|Juglans hindsil] | black walnut | 2 | 10.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | Low |  |
| 72 | IJuglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | Low |  |
| 73 | \|Juglans hindisil | black walnut | 2 | 12.0 | 8,0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | Low |  |
| 74 | Juglans hindsif | black walnut | 2 | 5.0 | 5.01 | 3.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | L.OW |  |
| 75 | Juglans himdsil | black walnut | 2 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0 | Low |  |
| 78 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 13.0 | 12.0\| |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.0 | Low |  |
| 77 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | Low |  |
| 78 | Juglans hinds/I | black walnut | 2 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.4 | Low |  |
| 79 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | Low |  |
| 80 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 22.0 | Low |  |
| 81 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | Low |  |
| 82 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | B. 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0 | Low |  |
| 83 | Juglans hindsif | black walnut | 2 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | Low |  |
| 84 | Juglans hindisif | black walnut | 2 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | Low |  |
| 85 | Juglans hindsil | black walnut | 2 | 8.0 | 8.0 | B. 0 | B. 0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 46.0 | Low |  |
| 86 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 34.0 | Low |  |
| 87 | Juglans hindisii | black walnut | 2 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  | 38.0 | Low |  |
| 88 | Prunus amygdalus | almond | 1 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 | Low |  |
| 89 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 3 | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.1 | Low | 089-097 are located along north side of Cypress Road east of Sellers Ave. |
| 90 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 13.3 | 8.9 | 8.3 |  | - | . |  |  | 30.5 | Low | most of these frees are already in dectine or are nearing senescence |
| 91 | Juglans hindsif | black walnut | 2 | 14.4 | 17.6 |  |  | : |  |  |  | 32.0 | Low | none would merit preservalion (most dbh are estimated) |
| 92 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 0 | 22.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.8 | Low |  |
| 93 | Juglans hindsif | black walrut | 2 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  | 27.2 | Low |  |
| 94 | Juglans hindsii | black walnut | 2 | 5.11 | 7.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 | Low |  |

Indigenous species / Heritage tree
Heritage tree
Indigenous species / Protected tree
Not protected

## SUBMITTED

[^6]March 4, 2005
Mr. Jeff Schroeder
Ponderosa Homes
6671 Owens Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Subject: Emerson Property - Southern 140 Acres
Contra Costa County, California
GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION

Dear Mr. Schroeder:
With your authorization, we have conducted a geotechnical exploration for the subject property, located on the north side of Cypress Road in Oakley, California. The accompanying report presents the results of our site explorations and geotechnical recommendations for design of the proposed development. Based on our study, it is our opinion that the currently proposed development is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint provided the recommendations included in this report are followed.

We are pleased to provide our services to you on this project and look forward to consulting further with you and your design team.

Very truly yours,
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## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this geotechnical exploration was to evaluate the feasibility of site development for residential use and to provide design-level geotechnical recommendations for the proposed development. Our scope of services included the following:

1. Review of published geologic maps and geotechnical reports of the project site and general vicinity.
2. Drilling and sampling of three exploratory borings to depths of 50 feet using rotary wash drilling methods.
3. Advancing 46 cone penetrometer test (CPT) soundings to a depth of up to 50 feet.
4. Laboratory testing of relatively undisturbed and bulk samples of site soils.
5. Engineering analyses.
6. Preparation of this report.

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of Ponderosa Homes and their design team consultants. In the event changes are made in the character, design or layout of the development, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should be reviewed by ENGEO Incorporated to determine whether modifications to the report are necessary. This report may not be reproduced in whole or in part by any means whatsoever, nor may it be quoted or excerpted without the express written consent of ENGEO Incorporated.

## Site Location and Description

The subject property consists of approximately 140 acres, located in Oakley, California. The subject property is bound by Sellers Avenue to the east, Cypress Road to the south, a Contra Costa County canal to the north, and an agricultural field to the west as shown on Figures 1 and 2.

The site is nearly square and the topography is generally flat with some slight manmade and natural rises. Existing site elevations range from approximately 7 feet above mean sea level (MSL) to approximately 12 feet above MSL.

The northem and southern majority of the site consists of undeveloped agricultural land which was disced at the time of our exploration. The central eastern portion of the site consists of an east- to west-trending strip of land which varies up to approximately 8 feet higher in elevation than the remainder of the site. An existing driveway, trees, and several residential structures with associated buildings are located in this area. A modular home and a shed are located at the southeast corner of the property. The residences are serviced by a private water well and septic systems. There is a system of irrigation pipelines that run east-west across the southernmost portion, east-west in the central portion of the site, and another that runs north-south approximately thirty feet west of Sellers Avenue. Some abandoned cement pipes were found in the northwest corner of the site. There may be additional piping buried beneath the ground surface in this location.

The Contra Costa County Canal, which borders the property to the north, consists of an approximately 12 -foot-high berm and a relatively shallow canal. At the time of our field activities, the flow line in the canal was lower than the ground surface of the subject property.

There has been minor grading on the property to construct roads, dikes, and level areas for buildings and other improvements.

## Proposed Development

Although specific development plans have not been prepared as of the date of this report, it is our understanding that the proposed development will consist of single-family residential housing. We anticipate relatively light loadings for the single-family residential structures. Additional improvements will likely consist of streets and underground utility construction. It is our understanding that the site grading for this project will likely include only minor cutting and filling to establish pads and streets.

## GEOLOGY

## Regional Geology

The site is located at the margin of the Great Valley Geomorphic Province and the Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province. The Great Valley Geomorphic Province consists of an elongated structural trough that has been filled with a sequence of sedimentary deposits ranging from Jurassic to recent in age. In the San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta, sedimentary bedrock is up to six miles in thickness (Atwater, 1982). Geophysical evidence suggests that the Great Valley is underlain at depth with granitic rocks of the Sierra Nevada Province. The adjacent Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province is underlain at depth by Franciscan Assemblage rocks.

The San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta lies at the junction of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, the two major waterways that drain the Central Valley. This area currently consists of a braided pattern of brackish to freshwater tidally-influenced channels and sloughs encircling a series of low-lying islands.

## Site Geology

The near-surface sediments across the site consist of eolian (wind-blown), lacustrine (lake-deposited) and alluvial deposits. These sediments are typically irregularly-stratified, poorly consolidated deposits of clay, silt, sand, and minor gravel.

The surficial geology of the Delta has been mapped by Atwater (1982) as shown in Figure 3. The geology of the surficial deposits on the site has been largely influenced by changes in sea level during the Late Pleistocene. Most of the high-standing areas in the site vicinity are the crests of old sand dunes and are underlain by sandy eolian deposits deposited during the later part of the most
recent low-stand of sea level. According to Atwater, these eolian deposits formerly extended across most of the surface of the site but are now buried in low-lying areas by younger sediments.

The alluvial fan of Marsh Creek extends across the site and Atwater's map and text imply that alluvium of Marsh creek typically overlies the sandy eolian deposits in low-lying areas. According to Atwater (1982), much of the alluvium in the site vicinity consists of gray silt and clay deposited in near sea-level flood basins and ephemeral lakes.

## Soil Survey Maps

The site is mapped by the Soil Survey of Contra Costa County (1977) as shown in Figure 5. The predominant soil across the southern and western portions of the site is Sycamore Silty Clay Loam (So). Marcuse Clay (Mb), Dehli Sand (DaC), and Piper Loamy Sand (Pe) are mapped along the eastern side of the parcel.

## Regional Seismicity

The site is located in an area of moderate seismicity. No faults, active or otherwise, are known to come to the ground surface within or very close to the project site (Figure 4). In addition, no portion of the site is mapped within any Seisrnic Special Study Zone. The closest active strike-slip fault with surface expression, as identified by the California Division of Mines and Geology, is the Concord fault located approximately 19 miles to the west. Other nearby active strike-slip faults include the Calaveras fault, 22 miles to the southwest; the Hayward fault, 32 miles to the west; and the San Andreas fault, 50 miles to the west.

The Midland fault was mapped by Jennings (1994) approximately 1.5 miles east of the site and by Bortugno (1991) approximately 3 miles east of the site. An unnamed queried fault, assumed to be the Midland fault, is also mapped by the City of Oakley (Oakley General Plan 2020
adopted December 2002) to be approximately 2 miles east of the site. Crane (1971) mapped a postulated concealed splay of the Midland fault across the subject site. There is no evidence that supports that Crane's postulated concealed splay of the Midland fault has a risk of surface rupture on the subject site. The Midland fault is thought to be a part of the Coast Ranges - Sierran Block (CRSB) fault system.

Because of the presence of active faults in the region, the area is considered seismically active. Numerous small earthquakes occur every year in the region, and large ( $>\mathrm{M} 7$ ) earthquakes have been recorded and can be expected to occur in the future. Table I lists distances to known active and potentially-active strike-slip faults located within 100 kilometers of the site and summarizes their estimated earthquake magnitudes and UBC Classification. Figure 4 shows the approximate locations of these faults and significant historic earthquakes recorded within the San Francisco region.

A significant seismic source listed in Table I and shown on Figure 4 is the Coast Ranges Sierran Block (CRSB) boundary, mapped along the west side of the Central Valley. As the name implies, it is the approximate boundary between the actively uplifting east side of the Coast Range crustal block and the west side of the Sierran crustal block. The west side of the Sierran block is covered by the thick veneer of sedimentary rock that fills the Central Valley. The boundary between the two blocks is thought to be a zone of tectonic crustal shortening and compression. The compression is structurally accommodated by a series of generally west-dipping buried or "blind" thrust faults, along which Coast Range rocks have been thrust eastward over Central Valley sediments. According to Wakabayashi and Smith (1994), the CRSB can be divided into a series of segments that are thought to be seismically independent. The local segments of the CRSB, according to the California Division of Mines and Geology (Peterson, et al., 1996) pass through the area in the approximately vicinity of the site. Since the CRSB thrust faults are thought to exist entirely in the subsurface, the exact location of the
boundary, that is a "surface fault trace," can not be defined. However, it should be assumed that an earthquake on the local segment of the CRSB could occur in the subsurface below or a few miles east or west of the site.

The historic seismicity of the eastern Coast Ranges includes a number of earthquakes in the M 5.0 to M 6.8 range, including the M 6.3 1889 Antioch-Collinsville earthquake, the M 6.4-6.8 1892 Vacaville-Winters earthquakes, and the M 6.0-6.5 1983 Coalinga earthquakes. Based on historic seismicity and segment lengths, it is believed that the CRSB is generally capable of producing M 6.0-6.8 earthquakes. The actual location of a possible earthquake epicenter in the CRSB can not be easily estimated, so the maximum ground shaking levels at the site could vary as described above. However, the recurrence interval for the local segments of the CRSB is believed to be in the range of 500 to 650 years (Peterson, et al. 1996), much Ionger than the for the nearby strike-slip faults (commonly 150 to 250 years).

Since the CRSB faults are not known to extend to the ground surface, the State of Califormia has not defined Earthquake Fault Hazard Zones around the postulated traces.

## FIELD EXPLORATION

## Previous Site Studies

A preliminary geotechnical exploration was prepared by ENGEO in 1999 for the Cypress Corridor Planning area which included the subject property. Three test borings, two cone penetrometer test soundings, four test pits, and laboratory testing on selected soil samples were conducted as part of the 1999 study. The locations of the exploratory data points are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2, and the boring logs, CPT data, test pit logs, and associated laboratory test results are presented in Appendix C.

## Field Exploration

Our field exploration was conducted between June 14 and July 16, 2004. The field exploration consisted of drilling three borings to a maximum depth of about 50 feet and advancing 46 Cone Penetration Tests (CPTs) to a maximum depth of about 50 feet at the approximate locations shown on Figure 2. The borings and CPTs were approximately located by pacing from existing features. Boring logs and CPT logs are presented in Appendix A. The borings were drilled using a CME45 drill rig using the rotary wash method of drilling. Soil samples recovered during drilling were from Standard Penetration Tests and a 3-inch O.D. California-type split-spoon sampler fitted with 6 -inch-long brass liners. In addition, three shallow borings were drilled next to CPTs 8, 9, and 10 to verify that the sensitive fines/organic material encountered in the upper ten feet did not contain peat.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) requires a 140 -pound hammer with a 30 -inch drop. The drilling rig used for this sampling was equipped with a safety hammer that was raised using a rope and cathead. The penetration of the 3 -inch-diameter sampler and the SPT sampler into the native materials was field recorded as the number of blows needed to drive the sampler eighteen inches in 6 -inch increments. Field blow count results on the boring logs were recorded as the

INCORPORATED
number of blows required for the last one foot of penetration. The blow counts recorded in the field for the last one foot of penetration are presented on the boring logs without correction factors.

Borings were logged in the field by an ENGEO Geologist. The field logs were then used to develop the boring logs as shown in Appendix A. The logs depict subsurface conditions within the borings for the date of drilling; however, subsurface conditions will vary with time.

The CPT soundings were performed utilizing a 20 -ton compression-type cone with a 15-square-centimeter ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) base area, an apex angle of 60 degrees, and a friction sleeve with a surface area of $225 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The cone, connected with a series of rods, is pushed into the ground at a constant rate. Cone readings are taken at approximately $5-\mathrm{cm}$ intervals with a penetration rate of 2 cm per second in accordance with ASTM D-3441. Measurements include the tip resistance to penetration of the cone (Qc), the resistance of the surface sleeve (Fs), and pore pressure (U) (Robertson and Campanella, 1988).

## Laboratory Testing

Following the exploratory drilling, the collected soil samples were reexamined in our laboratory to confirm field classifications. Representative soil samples recovered during drilling were tested for the following physical characteristics:

| Characteristic | Test Method |  | Location of Results <br> Within this Report |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Unit Weight and Moisture Content | ASTM D-2216 |  | Boring Logs in <br> Appendix A |
| Incremental Consolidation | ASTM D-2435 |  | Appendix B |
| Gradation | ASTM D-422 |  | Appendix B |
| Plasticity Index | ASTM D-4318 |  | Appendix B |
| Sulfate Testing | EPA 300M |  | Appendix B |

Individual test results are presented in Apperidices A and B as noted above.

## Subsurface Stratigraphy

In general, approximately the southern one-third of the site consists of 12 to 23 feet of medium stiff to stiff clayey material. Several CPT probes identified areas of interbedded organic material varying up to 5.5 feet thick in the upper 10 feet. Therefore, three additional borings were drilled in the location of CPTs 8, 9, and 10 and samples were collected within the zones of material identified by the CPTs as being organic material. The samples collected within the zones of material identified in the CPTs as being organic material were observed to be clayey without organic material. The clayey soiIs are underlain primarily by loose to very dense sandy material to a depth of approximately 30 to 45 feet, which was then underlain primarily by very stiff clayey material to the maximum depth explored of 50 feet.

The northern approximately two-thirds of the site consists primarily of 3 to 6 feet of medium stiff to stiff clayey material underlain by loose to dense sandy material with variable interbedded layers of clayey material to approximately 35 to 40 feet, then underlain primarily by clayey material with minor interbedded sand layers.

Plasticity Index (PI) determinations of the on site clayey material ranged from 24 to 42 . These are indications that the native soil materials have a high to very high shrink-swell potential.

A detailed description of the subsurface stratigraphy is shown on the exploratory boring logs and CPT results presented in Appendix A at each location explored.

## Existing Canal Embankment Fills

The northern portion of the site is bordered by a Contra Costa Canal which consists of a levee type of embankment on the subject property. The side slopes are typically inclined at between
1.5:1 to $2: 1$ (horizontal:vertical). Based on examination of exposed soils, the levees appear to have been constructed from nearby native soils consisting mainly of sands and clays. Based on experience with other levees in the delta, it should be assumed that the fill comprising the existing levees is uncompacted and relatively weak. The levees are likely founded directly on the surface of the native soils. The composition of the levee foundation soils varies across the site depending on the local conditions that existed at the time the levees were constructed in the early 1900 s.

## Groundwater

Groundwater was estimated in all three of our borings based on the moisture content in the samples at a depth of about 4 to 6 feet below the existing ground. Due to the method of drilling for this exploration, the exact depth to groundwater could not be determined. In 1999, the borings encountered groundwater at depths ranging from 3 to 9 feet below the existing ground surface. In addition, the groundwater elevation may fluctuate due to seasonal variation in rainfall, tidal action or other factors not in evidence at the time of our exploration.

## DISCUSSION

## Seismic Hazards

Potential seismic hazards resulting from a nearby moderate to major earthquake can generally be classified as primary and secondary. The primary effect is ground rupture, also called surface faulting. The common secondary seismic hazards include ground shaking, ground lurching, soil liquefaction, and lateral spreading. These hazards are discussed in the following sections.

Based on topographic and lithologic data, risk from earthquake-induced lurch cracking, regional subsidence or uplift, or tsunamis, is considered low at the site.

Ground Rupture. The site is not located within a State of California Earthquake Fault Hazard Zone and no known active faults cross the site. Therefore, it is our opinion that ground rupture is not likely to occur at the site.

Ground Shaking. A major potential seismic hazard at the site is strong ground shaking from a nearby moderate to major seismic event. The degree of shaking is dependent on the magnitude of the event, the distance to its epicenter, and the nature of the underlying soils.

For this site, a probabilistic seismic hazard evaluation has been conducted. In this analysis, a computer program (EZ-FRISK) was used to model the seismic setting of the region and is able to explicitly account for uncertainty relating to:

- Earthquake magnitude
- Rupture length
- Location of rupture
- Maximum possible earthquake magnitude
- Attenuation relationship

The program calculates, by summation from earthquake sources, the total average annual expected number of occurrences of an acceleration greater than each of several specified values. Once the annual probability is obtained, the probability of the level of ground acceleration being exceeded over a specified time period can be calculated by the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{P}=1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{PT}}
$$

in which $P$ is the probability of the level of ground acceleration being exceeded in $T$ years and $p$ is the annual probability of exceedence.

Using this method, a horizontal ground acceleration of 0.31 g is predicted to have a 10 percent probability of exceedence in a 50-year design life, which is equivalent to an earthquake with an average return period of 475 years. This acceleration is the design level of ground shaking for liquefaction analyses.

Liquefaction. As noted in ENGEO (1999), the most significant geotechnical concem to be considered in the design of the project is the presence of liquefiable dune sand in the near subsurface across most of the site. During earthquakes, ground shaking may cause a loss of strength in cohesionless saturated soils. This process is called liquefaction, and it occurs most commonly in loose sands associated with a high water table. For this exploration, we evaluated the liquefaction potential of the silts and sands by measuring penetration resistance using the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and the cone penetrometer. The test borings and cone penetrometer soundings typically found that the some sand found in the upper 5 to 15 feet is potentially liquefiable with some potentially liquefiable layers extending to 25 feet. Deeper layers are dense and generally not liquefiable. The data from SPT measurements and cone penetrometer soundings was evaluated based on the work of Seed and Idriss (1982) and Robertson and Wride (1997).

Ground Failure Assessment. In addition to the above analysis, we also evaluated the capping effect of overlying non-liquefiable soils. In order for liquefaction induced ground surface disruption to
occur, the pore water pressure generated within the liquefied strata must exert a sufficient force to break through the overlying soil and vent to the surface resulting in sand boils or fissures.

In 1985, Ishihara presented preliminary empirical criteria to assess the potential for ground surface disruption at liquefiable sites based on the relationship between thickness of liquefiable sediments and thickness of overlying non-liquefiable soil. A more recent study by Youd and Garris (1995) expanded on the work of Ishihara to include data from over 308 exploratory borings, 15 different earthquakes, and several ranges of recorded peak ground acceleration.

The eastern central portion of the site contains potentially liquefiable soils that are relatively shallow and are not generally capped by a sufficient thickness of non-liquefiable soils to prevent venting. Therefore in our opinion, there is a relatively high probability that sands susceptible to liquefaction would be significantly vented to the surface during a design-level seismic event.

The detrimental effects of liquefaction-induced ground disruption and settlement can be reduced by densifying the loose, near-surface sands. The liquefaction potential of the loose sands can be reduced by several methods: (1) removing and recompacting, (2) in-place vibro compaction, (3) the installation of stone columns, and (4) deep dynamic densification. The viability of each of these methods depends on the consistency, depth and grain size of the liquefiable soils. If removal and replacement is selected, it may be sufficient to remove only the upper portion of the sand layer such that the liquefiable layer thickness is reduced and the upper capping layer thickness is increased. In this case, some settlement potential will remain, but the potential for damaging ground disruption will be mitigated. Based on our analyses, the required subexcavation depth varies from 6 to 12 feet.

The approximate thickness of liquefiable material, approximate liquefaction induced settlement, and approximate limit of ground rupture from liquefaction is shown on Figures 7, 8, and 9, respectively, within the Appendix A.

Liquefaction-Induced Settlement. Densification of the sandy soils above and below groundwater levels can result in settlement/densification during an earthquake. Since some of the granular materials were characterized as loose to medium dense and liquefiable, we estimate that up to 2.5 inches of settlement may occur as a result of densification. After mitigation to address liquefaction-induced ground failure, the thickness of liquefiable deposits will be reduced. Post mitigation, depending on the method selected, we estimate from zero to 2 inches of settlement may occur as a result of densification. We recommend that structures be designed to accommodate 1 inch of differential settlement over the length of the structure (SCEC, 1999).

Seiches. Tsunamis are long sea waves, generated by displacements associated with earthquakes. These waves can reach great heights when they encounter shallow water. The subject development will be located far enough from the ocean that the potential for tsunamis affecting it is remote.

Seiches are caused by seismically-induced ground motions imparted to bodies of water which cause them to oscillate from side to side. Seiches may occur in the waters within the Contra Costa Canal located adjacent to the site during strong earthquakes. However, we believe there is sufficient freeboard within the canal to contain any seiche action.

Lateral Spreading and Earthquake-Induced Landsliding. Lateral spreading and earthquake-induced landsliding involve lateral ground movements caused by earthquake vibrations. These lateral ground movements are often associated with a weakening or failure of an embankment or soil mass overlying a layer of liquefied sands. The potential for lateral spreading appears to be high where existing levees and ennbankments are underlain at shallow depth by liquefiable sands such as along the northern property boundary. The likelihood of damage from such an occurrence will depend on the relative grades and distance between the canal and project improvements.

## Compressible Soils

Another geotechnical concern is the presence of layers of compressible clay in the near subsurface of the site. We conducted consolidation tests. on three samples of the weaker clay and found them to be overconsolidated; therefore, at least at these locations, the potential for consolidation settlement of the clay soils is limited under moderate loads.

If soft or organic soils are encountered during grading, we recommend removal of soft or organic material, where practical, prior to filling.

## Ground Subsidence

Ground subsidence is a widespread phenomenon throughout the Delta. Possible causes of subsidence have been categorized as related to shallow and deep phenomena. Shallow subsidence is primarily related to a reduction in the thickness of the alluvium by oxidation of the surficial organic peaty soils. Other causes of shallow subsidence or depletion are wind erosion and consolidation following the lowering of the water table. No areas of major amounts of shallow subsidence are mapped within the boundaries of the subject property.

Deep subsidence is thought to result from such factors as natural gas and groundwater withdrawal and tectonic subsidence related to movements of the earth's crust. Rates of deep subsidence have been estimated at 0.006 inch per year in the Delta ( 1 inch in more than 160 years).

## Flooding

The site is not located within a 100 -year flood plain, as shown on Figure 6. According to FEMA, the water surface elevation during peak runoff from the 100 -year flood is +7.0 feet. This
finding is based on the results of a 1986 study performed by the Corps of Engineers. The subject site elevations are currently between approximately +7 and +12 feet.

## Corrosive Soils

Five samples of the near-surface soil were collected from the subject site and tested for sulfate content. The following table, based on the 1997 UBC (Table 19-A-4), provides guidelines to mitigate sulfate attack on concrete in contact with soil.

| Sulfate <br> Exposure | Sulfate In Soil |  | Cement Type | Maximum <br> Water-Cement <br> Ratio | Minimum <br> Strength <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{(\mathrm{psi})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $(\%)$ |  | $0.55^{*}$ | $3,000^{*}$ |
| Negligible | $0-1,000$ | $0.00-0.10$ | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.50 | 4,000 |
| Moderate | $1,000-2,000$ | $0.10-0.20$ | II, IP(MS), <br> IS(MS) | V | 0.45 |
| Severe | $2,000-20,000$ | $0.20-2.00$ | 4,500 |  |  |
| Very Severe | Over 20,000 | over 2.00 | V plus pozzolan | 0.45 | 4,500 |

Nate: * Recommended Practice to Minimize Altack on Concrete by Sulfate Soils and Waler provided by the Cement Technical Committee of Califomia

The samples collected yielded sulfate concentrations ranging from 129 to $5,850 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. As shown in the above Table 19-A-4 of the 1997 UBC, these soils are classified in the negligible to severe sulfate exposure range. Due to the minimal amount of soil tested, it is recommended that additional samples be collected from the building pads once grading of the site is complete to determine the cement type, maximum water-cement ratio, and minimum strength for the concrete to mitigate sulfate attack. As an alternative, all foundations for the project can be designed to resist the severe sulfate-exposure condition.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMIMENDATIONS

## General

Based on our studies, we conclude that the proposed project is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. It is our opinion that the geotechnical concerns can be mitigated if our recommendations are incorporated in the design of the project. The primary geotechnical concern that will need to be considered in the design of the project includes the presence of liquefiable sands. Secondary geotechnical concerns include potential consolidation settlement of relatively thin clay soils, and the presence of expansive and corrosive surface soils. Liquefaction and consolidation settlement can be most effectively addressed during site grading; the detrimental effects of expansive and corrosive soils should be mitigated through proper foundation design.

We anticipate that the most economical method for mass grading is the use of conventional earth-moving equipment and dewatering. Caution must be exercised when excavations are performed near the canal levees to avoid destabilizing them.

In order to perform grading using conventional equipment, dewatering may be required when excavations extend below the level of the groundwater surface and particularly when excavations extend through the clayey cap into sandy soils below groundwater.

## Liquefaction Mitigation

The test borings and CPTs typically found that generally the upper 5 to 15 feet of soil on site contains loose sands which have the potential to liquefy. Liquefaction-induced settlement and surficial ground rupture may be experienced during a large seismic event. The liquefiable sands will require mitigation prior to construction of improvements. Park areas and open space areas
will not require mitigation. The approximate extent of the project that will require liquefaction mitigation is shown on Figure 9.

The liquefaction potential of the loose sands can be reduced by several methods: (1) removing and recompacting, (2) in-place vibro compaction, (3) the installation of stone columns, and (4) deep dynamic compaction. The viability of each of these methods depends on the consistency, depth and grain size of the liquefiable soils. Based on our preliminary cost evaluation, the least expensive methods will be either (1) removal and recompaction of the upper portion of the sands as described above in the Discussion section, or (2) deep dynamic compaction, which consists of dropping a 10 -to 30 -ton weight from 50 to 100 feet above the ground in a 7 - to 20 -foot grid. The actual extent of removal and recompaction or deep dynamic compaction required will be determined by ENGEO once the final grading plan is prepared.

To help minimize the extent of liquefaction mitigation, the project Civil Engineer should raise the final surface grades within the zone identified on Figure 9. Conversely, areas with significant cuts may result in insufficient capping to prevent surface manifestation of liquefiable sands.

As an alternative, the proposed residential structures could be designed to accommodate the expected liquefaction-induced settlement. However, sufficient capping of the potentially liquefiable material will be needed to prevent surface manifestation of the sand. In general, this will require site grades to be raised to approximately 5 feet above existing grades. In addition, the associated underground utilities will require flexible connections and adequate fall to accommodate the expected settlement.

## Demolition and Stripping

Grading should begin with the removal of existing structures and associated foundation systems, any buried pipes, septic tanks, leach fields, irrigation lines, debris piles, designated fences, trees and associated root systems, and any other deleterious materials. Underground structures that will be abandoned or are expected to extend below proposed finished grades should be removed from the project site.

All vegetation in areas to be graded should also be removed as necessary for project requirements. The depth of removal of these materials should be determined by ENGEO at the time of grading. Evaluation of unsuitable deposits should be performed during grading and may include sampling and laboratory analyses.

Tree roots should be removed to a depth of at least 3 feet below original grades. The organically contaminated materials should not be used in proposed building pads or pavement areas. The organics should be stockpiled and may be used in landscape areas or may be off hauled. Any debris found within any areas to be graded should be removed.

The actual depth of removal should be determined in the field by a representative of ENGEO based on actual conditions encountered during the site grading. Excavations resulting from demolition and stripping below design grades should be cleaned to a firm undisturbed, non-yielding soil surface as determined by ENGEO.

As an alternative to stripping of organic material, agricultural fields and/or fallow open fields may be cutharvested as low to the ground as possible and as close to the time of grading as practical. The organic material should be hauled off site or to landscaping areas subject to approval by the landscape architect. The remaining stubs of the crops/grass and roots then may
incorporated
be thoroughly disced into the underlying soil providing the organic content of the resulting soil does not exceed 3 percent organic content.

All backfilling of depressions resulting from demolition, stripping, or removal of tree root bulb excavations, should be observed by ENGEO. ENGEO should be notified prior to the backfill of any depression to observe the backfill operations. Tree removal should be monitored by ENGEO on a part-time basis, with full-time observation of the backfill operations.

## Existing Fill Removal

All stockpiles and fills associated with dikes, roads, and structures should be treated as nonengineered fill. These materials should be subexcavated and reworked as engineered fill provided the materials are free of deleterious matter.

## Soft and Compressible Material

As previously discussed, significant layers of compressible clay and peat were not encountered within our borings and CPTs. However, if encountered during grading, they should be removed and replaced with engineered fill.

## Subgrade Preparation

After the method of liquefaction mitigation has been implemented, the site has been properly cleared, stripped and necessary excavations have been made, a minimum of the upper 12 inches should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and compacted in accordance with the recommendations presented below in the "Fill Placement" section prior to replacing and recompacted any overlying soils as engineered fill. Except for landscaping areas, the site should be underlain by a minimum thickness of 24 inches of moisture conditioned and compacted engineered fill. The compaction
recommendations for the preparation of existing soil to prepare for fill placement are the same as those for engineered fill, as described in a subsequent section of this report.

## Selection of Materials

With the exception of organically contaminated soil containing more than 3 percent organics, the site soils are suitable for use as engineered fill. The Geotechnical Engineer should be informed when import materials are planned for the site. Import materials should conform to Section 2.02B of Part I in the Guide Contract Specifications and must be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. A sample of such material should be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer for evaluation by laboratory testing prior to delivery at the site.

## Treatment of Over-Optimum Soil Moisture Conditions

Subsurface material being placed as engineered fill may contain an over-optimum moisture content. Within the building pads and streets, it is recommended that the material be placed in thin lifts over large areas to allow the material to dry prior to placing additional fill. The time needed for the material to dry will be dependent on the amount of moisture in the material and weather conditions at the time of grading. Based on our experience with similar grading projects, over optimum moisture conditions should not delay the grading process.

In our opinion, highly organic (greater than 3 percent organics) and wet soils should be removed prior to placement of fill. Wet soils will require aeration before being used in filling. Soils that are not suitable for use as engineered fill may be placed in nonstructural fills such as parks.

Over-optimum moisture conditions on street subgrades can be treated by either ripping the subgrade and letting it dry out; removing the wet material and replacing it with drier material; or removing 12 inches of wet material, placing, and backfilling the excavation with Class II aggregate base rock.

## Placement of Fill

Areas to receive fill should be scarified to a depth of 12 inches, moisture conditioned and recompacted to provide adequate bonding with the initial lift of fill. All fills should be placed in thin lifts. The lift thickness should not exceed 8 inches or the depth of penetration of the compaction equipment used, whichever is less. Track rolling to compact faces of slopes is not acceptable; slopes should be overfilled and cut back to design grades.

## Cut-Fill Transition Lots and Cut Lots

Some lots may be traversed by a cut-fill transition. In general, cut portions of trarsition lots should be overexcavated to the greater of the depth of fill on the lot or 3 feet and replaced with properly compacted engineered fill. Cut lots should be overexcavated to provide a blanket of at least 24 inches of engineered fill as described above.

Lots traversed by significantly different material types, such as sand and expansive clay, should also be made more uniform. These lots should be treated in a similar manner as cut-fill transition lots by overexcavating to a depth of 3 feet and replacing the material with properly compacted engineered fill.

## Monitoring and Testing

The Geotechnical Engineer's representative should be present during all phases of grading operations to observe demolition, site preparation, and grading operations. The following compaction recommendations should be used for the placement and compaction of fills:

1. Moderately to highly expansive soils (P.I. greater than 12) to be used as fill should be compacted as follows: Within the upper 5 feet of finished grade, these fill materials should be moisture conditioned to at least 5 percentage points above optimum moisture content and compacted to between 87 and 92 percent relative compaction. At depths below 5 feet of finished grade, these fill materials should be moisture conditioned to at least 3 percentage points above optimum moisture content and compacted to between 90 and 95 percent relative compaction.
2. Non- to low-expansive soil (P.I. less than or equal to 12 ) to be used as fill should be compacted a minimum of 92 percent of the maximum dry density at a minimum moisture content of 2 percentage points above optimum.

Relative compaction refers to in-place dry density of the fill material expressed as a percentage of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557 (latest edition). Optimum moisture is the moisture content corresponding to the maximum dry density.

It is important that all site preparation, including demolition and stripping, is done under the observation of a Geotechnical Engineer's field representative and should be carried out according to the requirements contained in the Guide Contract Specifications in Appendix D.

## Foundation Design

The major consideration in foundation design at the site is the swelling potential of the on-site soils. The effects of expansion must be minimized by the choice of a proper foundation system. In order to reduce the effects of the potentially expansive soils, the foundations should be sufficiently stiff to move as rigid units with minimum differential movements. It is
recommended that the proposed residential structures be founded on a Post-Tensioned Mat Slab Foundation. The recommendations that follow are intended for construction on level pads.

## Post-Tensioned Mat Design Criteria

Provided the surface soil materials are compacted as recommended in this report, a post-tensioned foundation system can be used to support the proposed residential buildings.

The following recommendations are based upon the characteristics of the expansive clayey material located at the site. If sandy material is used to establish the subgrade for the building pads, less stringent design parameters may be acceptable for the proposed mat foundations. Once the grading is complete, the building pads should be evaluated by ENGEO to determine if following recommendations are appropriate.

Post-tensioned mats should be designed according to methods recommended in the Post Tensioning Institute "Design and Construction of Post-Tensioned Slabs-on-ground, Second Edition," dated 1996. The soil design parameters are presented below. These parameters should be confirmed following the completion of mass grading.

## Center Lift Condition:

Edge Moisture Variation Distance, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=5.0$ feet
Differential Soil Movement, $y_{m}=3.2$ inches
Edge Lift Condition:
Edge Moisture Variation Distance, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}=4.0$ feet Differential Soil Movement, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}=1.4$ inches

The post-tensioned mats should be designed to impose a maximum allowable bearing pressure of 1,000 pounds per square foot (psf) for dead-plus-live loads. This value may be increased by
one-third when considering total loads including wind or seismic loads. A minimum mat thickness of 12 inches is recommended. Depending on the method of liquefaction mitigation, the foundations may need to be designed to resist up to 1 inch of differential settlement across the length of individual structures. The minimum soil backfill height against the mat at the perimeter should be 6 inches. The actual thickness of the mat should be determined by the project structural engineer using the above mentioned criteria.

Subgrade Treatment for Post-Tensioned Mat Foundations. The subgrade material under post-tensioned mats should be uniform. The top 12 inches of pad subgrade should be moisture conditioned to a moisture content of at least 5 percentage points above optimum if expansive soils are present. The subgrade should be thoroughly soaked prior to placing the concrete. The subgrade should not be allowed to dry prior to concrete placement.

Where floor coverings are anticipated, we recommend that the concrete be underlain by a tough, vapor retarder at least 10 mils thick (Section 2.05D, Part I of Guide Contract Specifications) to minimize moisture transmission through the mat. All joints and penetrations of the vapor retarder medium should be sealed. If a sand cushion is not used between the vapor retarder and the mat, the vapor retarder thickness should be increased to 20 mils through the use of a thicker single sheet or two $10-\mathrm{mil}$ layers.

Foundation subgrade soils should be protected from seepage by providing impermeable plugs within utility trenches as described in the "Utilities" section of this report.

Secondary Slab-on-Grade Construction. This section provides guidelines for secondary slabs such as porch slabs, exterior patio slabs, walkways, driveways and steps. Secondary slabs-on-grade should be constructed structurally independent of the foundation system. This allows slab movement to occur with a minimum of foundation distress. Where slab-on-grade
construction is anticipated, care must be exercised in attaining a near-saturation condition of the subgrade soil before concrete placement.

Slabs-on-grade should be designed specifically for their intended use and loading requirements. Some of the site soils have a high expansion potential; therefore, cracking of conventional slabs should be expected in the future. As a minimum requirement, slabs-on-grade should be reinforced and provided with frequent control joints to reduce and control the cracking. The Structural Engineer should design the reinforcement, which in our opinion, should consist of a minimum of No. 3 bars spaced 12 inches on center each way. In our experience, welded wire mesh is not sufficient to control slab cracking.

Secondary slabs-on-grade should have a minimum thickness of 4 inches. A 4-inch-thick layer of clean, crushed rock or gravel should be placed under all concrete slabs.

## Retaining Walls

Unrestrained drained retaining walls, less than 10 feet in vertical height, constructed on level ground may be designed for active lateral fluid pressures determined as follows:

| Backfill Slope Condition <br> (horizontal:vertical) | Active Pressure <br> (pound per cubic foot (pcf)) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level | 50 |
| $4: 1$ | 55 |
| $3: 1$ | 60 |
| $2: 1$ | 70 |

Passive pressures acting on foundations and keyways may be assumed as 250 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) provided that the area in front of the retaining wall is level for a distance of at least 10 feet or three times the depth of foundation and keyway, whichever is greater. The upper one
ingorporated
foot of soil should be excluded from passive pressure computations unless it is confined by pavement or a concrete slab.

The friction factor for sliding resistance may be assumed as 0.30 . It is recommended that retaining wall footings be designed using an allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) in firm native materials or fill. Appropriate safety factors against overturning and sliding should be incorporated into the design calculations.

The Geotechnical Engineer should be consulted on design values where surcharge loads, such as from automobiles, are expected or where a downhill slope exists below a proposed wall.

All retaining walls should be provided with drainage facilities to prevent the build-up of hydrostatic pressures behind the walls. Wall drainage may be provided using a 4-inch-diameter perforated pipe embedded in Class 2 permeable material (Part I of Guide Contract Specifications, Section 2.05B), or free-draining gravel surrounded by synthetic filter fabric. The width of the drain blanket should be at least 12 inches. The drain blanket should extend to about one foot below the finished grades. As an alternative, prefabricated synthetic wall drain panels can be used. The upper one foot of wall backfill should consist of on-site clayey soils. Collector perforated pipes should be directed to an outlet approved by the Civil Engineer. Subdrain pipe, drain blanket and synthetic filter fabric should meet the minimum requirement as listed in Part I of the Guide Contract Specifications.

All backfill should be placed in accordance with recommendations provided above for engineered fill. Light equipment should be used during backfill compaction to minimize possible overstressing of the walls.

## Sound Walls

Sound walls should be founded on a pier-and-grade-beam foundation in accordance with the recommendations presented in the following recommendations.

Pier design and construction criteria are as follows:

| Pier diameter: | Minimum 12 inches. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pier depth: | Minimum 10 feet deep. |
| Maximum allowable skin friction: | 500 pounds per square foot (psf). This value may <br> be increased by one-third when considering seismic <br> or wind loads. Exclude the upper 24 inches from <br> pier load capacity computations. |
| Minimum pier spacing: | 3 pier diameters, center-to-center. Where closer <br> spacings are unavoidable, the piers should be <br> designed with a reduced skin friction of 330 psf. |

An equivalent fluid weight of 250 pounds per cubic foot acting on $11 / 2$ times the pier diameter may be used to evaluate passive resistance. The passive pressure may be increased by one-third for transient loads such as wind or seismic.

The expansive soils may exert upward pressure on the base of wall panels. The potential uplift pressure can be neglected if a 2 -inch void form is utilized at the base of the panels. Otherwise, the panels should be designed for an uplift pressure of $2,000 \mathrm{psf}$. These design guidelines assume the subgrade soils are well over optimum moisture at the time of concrete placement. Under no circumstance should the grade beams be cast upon dry, desiccated soil.

The Structural Engineer should design the pier reinforcement, but, as a minimum, at least two No. 4 rebars should extend the full length of each pier.

## Preliminary Pavement Design

Based on field explorations and our experience on neighboring sites, we estimate that clayey surface soils will have a resistance ("R") value of 5 and the sandy will have an $R$ value of 20 . However, additional R-value tests should be performed prior to final pavement design on the actual exposed subgrade soils at the site. The following preliminary pavement sections have been determined for Traffic Indices of 5, 6 and 7 according to the method contained in Topic 608 of Highway Design Manual by CALTRANS (revised August 5, 1988).

CLAYEY SOIL - R-VALUE 5

| Traffic <br> Index | Pavement Section |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AC (in.) | AB (in.) |
| 5.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |
| 6.0 | 3.5 | 13.0 |
| 7.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 |

SANDY SOLL-R-VALUE 20

| Traffic <br> Index | Pavement Section |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AC (in.) | AB (in.) |
| 5.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |
| 6.0 | 3.5 | 10.0 |
| 7.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 |

AC is asphaltic concrete
AB is aggregate base Class 2 Material with minimum $\mathrm{R}=78$
The above pavement sections are based on assumed Traffic Indices and not an equivalent wheel load analysis developed by a traffic study. The actual subgrade material should be tested for R -values at the completion of rough grading.

Pavement materials and construction should conform to the specifications and requirements of the Standard Specifications by the Division of Highways, Department of Public Works, State of

California, latest edition, and Contra Costa County requirements and the following minimum requirements.

- All clayey pavement subgrades should be scarified to a depth of 12 inches below finished subgrade elevation, moisture conditioned to at least 3 percentage points above optimum moisture, and compacted to at least 92 percent relative compaction and in accordance with City and County requirements. Granular subgrades should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction, at a moisture content of at least 2 percentage points above optimum.
- Subgrade soils should be in a stable, non-pumping condition at the time aggregate base materials are placed and compacted.
- Adequate provisions must be made such that the subgrade soils and aggregate base materials are not allowed to become saturated.
- Aggregate base materials should meet current Caltrans, City and County requirements for Class 2 aggregate base and should be compacted to at least 95 percent of maximum dry density.
- Asphalt paving materials should meet current Caltrans specifications for asphalt concrete.
- All concrete curbs separating pavement and irrigated landscaped areas should extend into the subgrade and below the bottom of adjacent aggregate base materials. In addition, an edge of pavement drain or cut-off should be installed to intercept water infiltrating the aggregate base.

Due to the clayey nature of the site soils, it is our opinion that the subgrade soils for pavement areas should be tested utilizing ASTM test methods (ASTM D-1557 and ASTM D-2016). This will allow for control of moisture in the subgrade, which is critical for clayey (expansive) soils.

## Drainage

The building pads must be positively graded at all times to provide for rapid removal of surface water runoff from the foundation systems and to prevent ponding of water under floors or
seepage toward the foundation systems at any time during or after construction. Ponded water will cause undesirable soil swell and loss of strength.

Ponding of storm water must not be permitted on the building pads during prolonged periods of inclement weather. As a minimum requirement, finished grades should have slopes of at least 3 to 5 percent within 7 feet from the exterior walls at right angles to them to allow surface water to drain positively away from the structures. For paved areas, the slope gradient can be reduced to 2 percent. All surface water should be collected and discharged into the storm drain system. Landscape mounds must not interfere with this requirement. Sufficient area drains should be constructed around the residential buildings to remove excessive surface water.

All roof storm water should be collected and directed to downspouts. Storm water from roof downspouts should be directed to a solid pipe that discharges to the street or to an approved outlet.

## Requirements for Landscaping Irrigation

Vegetation should not be planted immediately adjacent to structures. If planting adjacent to the buildings is desired, we recommend using plants that require very little moisture with drip irrigation systems.

Sprinkler systems should not be installed where they may cause ponding or saturation of foundation soils within ${ }^{-} 5$ feet of the walls or under structures. Ponding or saturation of foundation soils may cause soil swell, consequent loss of strength, and movements of the foundation and slabs.

Irrigation of landscaped areas should be strictly limited to that necessary to sustain vegetation. Excessive irrigation could result in saturating, weakening, and possible swelling of foundation
incorporated
soils. The Landscape Architect and prospective owners should be informed of the surface drainage requirements included in this report.

If, at any time, the drainage or irrigation requirements cannot be followed, it is very important that the foundation soils be protected from adverse wetting caused by poor drainage. This can be accomplished by installing a perimeter subdrain.

## Utilities

It is recommended that utility trench backfilling be done under the observation of a Geotechnical Engineer. Pipe zone backfill (i.e. material beneath and immediately surrounding the pipe) may consist of a well-graded import or native material less than $3 / 4$ inch in maximum dimension. Trench zone backfill (i.e. material placed between the pipe zone backfill and the ground surface) may consist of native soil compacted in accordance with recommendations for engineered fill.

Where import material is used for pipe zone backfill, we recommend it consist of fine- to medium-grained sand or a well-graded mixture of sand and gravel and that this material not be used within 2 feet of finish grades. In general, uniformly-graded gravel should not be used for pipe or trench zone backfill due to the potential for migration of: (1) soil into the relatively large void spaces present in this type of material, and (2) water along trenches backfilled with this type of material. All utility trenches entering buildings and paved areas must be provided with an impervious seal consisting of native materials or concrete where the trenches pass under the building perimeter or curb lines. The impervious plug should extend at least 3 feet to either side of the crossing. This is to prevent surface-water percolation into the sands under foundations and pavements where such water would remain trapped in a perched condition, allowing clays to develop their full expansion potential.

## ENGEO

Care should be exercised where utility trenches are located beside foundation areas. Utility trenches constructed parallel to foundations should be located entirely above a plane extending down from the lower edge of the footing at an angle of 45 degrees. Utility companies and Landscape Architects should be made aware of this information.

Utility trenches in areas to be paved should be constructed in accordance with Contra Costa County requirements. In addition, all materials used for trench backfill should be in conformance with the Standard Plans and Specifications by Contra Costa County. Compaction of trench backfill by jetting should not be allowed at this site. If there appears to be a conflict between County or other agency requirements and the recommendations contained in this report, this should be brought to the Owner's attention for resolution prior to submitting. bids.

## LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner to transmit the information and recommendations of this report to developers, contractors, buyers, architects, engineers and designers for the project so that the necessary steps can be taken by the contractors and subcontractors to carry out such recommendations in the field. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are solely professional opinions.

The professional staff of ENGEO Incorporated strives to perform its services in a proper and professional manner with reasonable care and competence but is not infallible. There are risks of earth movement and property damages inherent in land development. We are unable to eliminate all risks or provide insurance; therefore, we are unable to guarantee or warrant the results of our work.

This report is based upon field and other conditions discovered at the time of preparation of ENGEO's work. This document must not be subject to unauthorized reuse, that is, reuse without written authorization of ENGEO. Such authorization is essential because it requires ENGEO to evaluate the document's applicability given new circumstances, not the least of which is passage of time. Actual field or other conditions will necessitate clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes to ENGEO's work. Therefore, ENGEO must be engaged to prepare the necessary clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes before construction activities commence or further activity proceeds. If ENGEO's scope of services does not include on-site construction observation, or if other persons or entities are retained to provide such services, ENGEO cannot be held responsible for any or all claims, including, but not limited to claims arising from or resulting from the performance of such services by other persons or entities, and any or all claims arising from or resulting from clarifications, adjustments, modifications, discrepancies or other changes necessary to reflect changed field or other conditions.
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## EXPLANATION

## Qpm peat and mud of tidal wetlands and waterways (holocene)


Qyme younger alluvium of marsh creek and vicinty (holocene AND UPPER PLEISTOCENE)
\|\|\|\|\| LANDWARD MARGN OF TIDAL WETLAND AT LOW RIVER STAGES CIRCA 1850, QUERIED WHERE LOCATION MAY ERR BY MORE THAN 1000 FEET

 INFERRED APPROXIMATE FORMER LOCATION OF DUTCH SLOUGH
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TABLE I
REGIONAL ACTIVE AND POTENTIALLY ACTIVE FAULTS

| FAULT NAME | Approximate <br> Distance <br> mi (km) | Maximum <br> Moment <br> Mag. $^{2}$ | UBC Fault $_{\text {Type }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GREAT VALLEY | $5.2(8.3)$ | 6.7 | B, omitted |
| GREENVILLE | $12.0(19.3)$ | 6.9 | B |
| CONCORD - GREEN VALLEY | $17.6(28.3)$ | 6.9 | B |
| CALAVERAS | $21.3(34.2)$ | 6.8 | A |
| HAYWARD | $30.3(48.8)$ | 7.1 | A |
| WEST NAPA | $32.8(52.8)$ | 6.5 | B |
| ROGERS CREEK | $36.4(58.5)$ | 7.0 | A |
| HUNTING CREEK - | $42.4(68.2)$ | 6.9 | B |
| BERRYESSA | $47.3(76.1)$ | 6.5 | C |
| FOOTHILLS FAULT SYSTEM | $48.8(78.6)$ | 7.9 | A |
| SAN ANDREAS (1906 | $49.3(79.4)$ | 6.5 | B |
| MONTE VISTA - SHANNON | $53.1(85.4)$ | 7.3 | A |
| SAN GREGORIO | $54.2(87.2)$ | 6.9 | B |
| ORTIGALITA | $60.8(97.8)$ | 6.8 | B |
| SARGENT | $61.8(99.4)$ | 6.8 | B |
| POINT REYES |  |  |  |

1 -SOURCE: EQFAULT (2003)
2-SOURCE: CDMG, OPEN-FILE REPORT $96-08$.
3-SOURCE: ICBO, 1998
16 FAULTS FOUND WITHIN THE SPECIFIED 100-KILOMETER SEARCH RADIUS
$\qquad$












| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT\# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | Cap <br> Thickness <br> (feet) | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | Ishihara (Pass/Fail) |
| I | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 50 | 0 | pass |
| 2 | 3.5 | 14-17.5 | 0.84 | 14 | 3.5 | pass |
| 3 | 5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 7 | borderline |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 19-20 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 15-16.5 | 0.24 | 15 | 3 | pass |
|  | 2 | 22-23.5 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3.5 | 23-27.5 | 0.84 | 23 | 3.5 | pass |
| 6 | 3.5 | 17-20.5 | 0.84 | 17 | 3.5 | pass |
| 7 | 3 | 12.5-15.5 | 0.72 | 12.5 | 3 | pass |
| 8 | 1.5 | 16-17.5 | 0.36 | 16 | 1.5 | pass |
| 9 | 2.5 | 19.5-22 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 2.5 | pass |
| 10 | 3 | 15.5-18.5 | 0.72 | 15.5 | 3 | pass |
| 11 | 9.5 | 6-15.5 | 2.28 | 6 | 9.5 | fail |
| 12 | 8 | 6.5-14.5 | 1.92 | 6.5 | 10.5 | fail |
|  | 2.5 | 21-23.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 5 | 6.5-11.5 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
|  | 1 | 22-23 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 9 | 6-15 | 2.16 | 6 | 9 | fail |
| 15 | 2 | 18-20 | 0.48 | 18 | 2 | pass |
| 16 | 5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 5 | pass |
| 17 | 6 | 6.5-12.5 | 1.44 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
| 18 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 19 | 5.5 | 5-10.5 | 1.32 | 5 | 6.5 | fail |
|  | 1 | 11.5-12.5 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.7 | 5.2-5.9 | 0.17 | 5.2 | 9.5 | fail |
|  | 5 | 6.7-11.7 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 12.6-11.3 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 14-17 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.5 | 14.2-15.7 | 0.36 | 14.2 | 1.5 | pass |


| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT \# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cap } \\ & \text { Thickness } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | Ishihara (Pass/Fail) |
| 22 | 1 | 6-7 | 0.24 | 6 | 2.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 9.5-11 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 4 | 11-15 | 0.96 | 11 | 4 | pass |
| 24 | 3.2 | 5.3-8.5 | 0.77 | 5.3 | 3.2 | pass |
| 25 | 4 | $7.5-11.5$ | 0.96 | 7.5 | 4 | pass |
| 26 | 13 | 6.5-19.5 | 3.12 | 6.5 | 13 | fail |
| 27 | 1 | 15-16 | 0.24 | 15 | 1 | pass |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | 14-15 | 0.24 | 14 | 1 | pass |
| 30 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | pass |
| 31 | 8 | 5-13 | 1.92 | 5 | 13 | fail |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 5 | 6.5-11.5 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 5 | borderline |
| 34 | 7.4 | 6.2-13.6 | 1.78 | 6.2 | 7.4 | fail |
| 35 | 1 | 5-6 | 0.24 | 5 | 3.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 10.5-12 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 6 | 5.3-11.3 | 1.44 | 5.3 | 6.3 | fail |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 10 | 9-19 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 11 | fail |
| 39 | 3 | 11-14 | 0.72 | 11 | 6 | pass |
|  | 3 | 15-18 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 5 | 9-14 | 1.2 | 9 | 5 | pass |
| 41 | 4.5 | 14.5-19 | 1.08 | 14.5 | 4.5 | pass |
| 42 | 1.5 | 18-19.5 | 0.36 | 18 | 1.5 | pass |
| 43 | 4 | 9.5-13.5 | 0.96 | 9.5 | 6 | pass |
|  | 2 | 15-17 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 8.5 | 5.5-14 | 2.04 | 5.5 | 8.5 | fail |
| 45 | 2 | 11-13 | 0.48 | 11 | 2 | pass |
| 46 | 9 | 6.5-15.5 | 2.16 | 6.5 | 9 | fail |

March 4, 2005

10 gravelly sand to sand
国 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

behavlor type and 5t．．ied on dato from UBC－1 983

10 gravally sand to sand
目 11 very siff fine gralned（＂）
Bend to clayey sand（＊）

## VBI In－Situ Testing

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sounding：} 04 W 053 & \text { Location：CPT－2 } \\ \text { Cone Used：HO738TC } & \text { Job Number：4603．4100．01 }\end{array}$



Depth Increment $=0.16$ feat
嵓 7 sllty sand to sandy silt

behavior type and SF ad on data from UBC-1 9B3


ehavlor type and SPI I an data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grainad (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")


Depth increment $=0.10$ feet
7 silty sand to sandy slit
48
8
8
sand to sility sand
sand

Maximum Depth $=51.67$ faet
CPT Date/Time: 06-14-04 15:15 Location: CPT-4
Sounding: Q4W055 Job Number: 4603.4100 .01

## VBI In-Situ Testing

Operator: Mike Robertson
Sounding: $04 W 055$
Cone Used: HO73BTC

10 gravelly sand to sand
国 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")
ehavior type and SPI I on data from UAC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
圈 11 very stlff fine grainad (")
空 12 sand to clayey sand (")

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")
 CPT Date/TIme: 06-15-04 10:26
Location: CPT-7 Job Number: 4603.4100.01

Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
迻 7 sllty sand to sandy silt
8
9

## VBI In-Situ Testing

ehavior type and SPT 1 on data from UBC-1983


behavior type and SP. Id an data from UBC-1 983
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sounding: } 04 \text { W059 } & \text { Location: CPT-8 } \\ \text { Cona Used: HO738TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$



10 gravelly sand to sand
區 11 very stiff fina grained ("),
[12 sand to clayay sand (")



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dapth lncremant }=0.16 \text { feet } \\
& \text { 園 } 7 \text { silty sand to sandy silt } \\
& \text { 8 sand to silty sand } \\
& 9 \text { sand }
\end{aligned}
$$

behavior type and 5P1 .d on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
ared 11 very stlf fine grained (")
要 12 sand to clayey sand (")





Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
F silty sand to sandy silt
B
9
behavior type and SP. At on data from UBC-1 983







Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
E 7 slity sand to sandy silt
$\begin{array}{cc}1 & \text { sengitive fine grained } \\ \text { 沓 } 2 & \text { organle materal } \\ \text { 国 } 3 & \text { clay }\end{array}$

ehavior type and SP، ad an data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
酸 11 very guff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

## VBI In-Situ Testing

## CPT DateTTlma: 06-15-04 16:34 Location: CPT-11 <br> Sounding. Used: HO738TC Job Number. 4603.4100.01 <br> Operator: Mlke Robartsan Sounding: $04 W 062$ Cone Used: HO738TC





Depth Increment $=0.16$ feat
国 7 sility sand to sandy silt
sand to silty sand
9 sand
sehavior type and 5Pi . sed on date from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
图 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

 Sounding: 04W064
Cone Used: HO839TC


I behavlor type and $\leq$ sed on data from UBC-I 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
興 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayay sand (")




Deplh $\operatorname{Increment}=0.16$ feat
3 sllty sand to sandy silt
 9 sand


behavlor type and S. sed on dato from UBC-1 983


behavior type and SPI used on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Daie/Time: 06-17-04 07:25 } \\ \text { Sounding: O4WO68 } & \text { Location: CPT-17 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO839TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$


䓳 7 silty sand to sandy silt
8 sand to silty sand
behavtor type and SP, wised on data from URC-1 983



## VBI In-Situ Tēsting

## CPT Dateハime: 06-17-04 08:42 Location: CPT-18 <br> Job Number. 4603.4100 .01



Depth increment $=0.16$ feet


behavor type and S: sed on data from UBC-1 983

## VBI In-Situ Testing


10 gravelly ssind to sand
11 very stff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

behavlor type and $55 \quad$ sd on data from UBC-1 983
 10 gravelly sand to sand
䒛 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

ehavtor type and $58 \quad$ an data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
窃 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")

## VBI In-Situ Testing <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator. Mike Robertaon } & \text { CPT Daterflme: 06-18-04 06:57 } \\ \text { Soundidg: :0.WW076 } & \text { Locatlon: CPT-25 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$



10 gravally sand to sand
毗 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (*)
Depth Increment $=0.16$ feat






Max/mum Depth $=51.84$ feet
ehavior type and SF ed on data from UBC-1 983

10 graveily sand to sand
11 very stiff fine gralned (")
12 sand to clayay sand ( $"$ )

an data from UBC-1 983
is pue ad서 s매사ㄴㅣㅕㅕ

10 gravelly sand to sand
国 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")
ehavior type and SP, sed on dato from UBC-1 983

10 gravally sand to sand
11 very stif fine grained (*)
12 sand to clayey sand (")


sehavior type and SF sed on date from UBE-1983

## VBI In-Situ Testing

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operalor: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT DaterTime: 07-15-04 07:32 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04w093 } & \text { Location: CPT-32 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO856TC } & \text { Job Number: 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$







behavtor type and Sr. -dsed an data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
畨 11 very sllf fine grained (")
12 sand to clayay sand (")


10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")
Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
比 7 silly sand to sandy silt
B
9
9



## VBI In-Situ Tēsting

Operator. Mike Robertson CPT Date/TIme: 07-15-04 12:34
Soundling: 04w097
Cons Used: H0856TC
Job Number: 4603.4100.01
0




10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained（＂）
12 sand to clayey sand（＂）
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator：Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date／Time：07－15－04 13：27 } \\ \text { Sounding：0\＆w09B } & \text { Location：CPT－37 }\end{array}$
Job Number：4603．4100．01



Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
silty sand to sandy 5 lli
sand to sllty sand
8
9
$\sim_{0}^{\infty}$

[^7]Cone Used：HO856TC


| Operator．Mike Roberison | CPT Date／Tme：07－15－04 13：27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sounding：Ofwo98 | Location：CPT－37 |
| Cone Used：HOB56TC | Job Number：4603．4100．01 |


10 gravelly sand to sand
图 11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
behavtor type and SF ．ased on data from UBC－1 983

## VBI In－Situ Tēsting

 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator：Mlke Robertson } & \text { CPT Date／Time：07－15－04 15：24 } \\ \text { Sounding：04w100 } & \text { Location：CPT－39 } \\ \text { Cone Used：HO856TC } & \text { Job Number．} 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$



[^8]

Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
silty sand to sandy silt
9 sand
7
8
9



ehavior type and SPI _..ed on data from UBC-1 983



$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { BBI In-OILU } \\ & \\ \text { Operator: Mike Roberison } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-16-04 09:18 } \\ \text { Sounding: O4w103 } & \text { Location: CPT-42 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO856TC } & \text { Job Number: 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { BSIIN-OILU } \\ & \\ \text { Operator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-16-04 09:18 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04w103 } & \text { Location: CPT-42 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO856TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$



VBI In-Situ Testing

言玉
behavtor type and S... ssed on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
明 11 very stiff fline grained (")
娄 12 sand to clayey sand (")

behavior type and $\leq \quad$ ased on data from UBC- 1983

 (.) pues kakep of pues 21 :
(.) poulens ouy hins den it

ehavior type and 5. sed on data from UBC-1 983
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## Particle Size Distribution Report



| SIEVE <br> SIZE | PERCENT <br> FNER | SPEC. <br> PERCENT | PASS? <br> (X=NO) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 200$ | 25.9 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


(no specilication provided)





## Particle Size Distribution Report



| SIEVE <br> SIZE | PERCENT <br> FNER | SPEC.* <br> PERCENT | PASS? <br> (X=NO) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H 200$ | 6.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



Date: 06-2404 Elev./Depth:





Client:
Project: 4603.4.100.01



## Client:

Project: 4603.4.100.01








CONSOLIDATION TEST REPORT


| Natural |  | Dry Dens. <br> (pcf) | LL | Pl | Sp. Gr. | USCS | AASHTO | Initlal Void <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturation | Moisture |  |  | CL |  | 0.992 |  |  |
| $100.6 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | 86.2 |  |  | 2.75 |  |  |  |

Olive brown silty Clay

## Project No. 4603.4.100.01 Client:

Project: 4603.4.100.01
Source: Consol
Sample No.: 3-4
Remarks:

Project Name: Emerson Property
Date: 6-24-04
Project No. 4603.4.100.01
Test by: mh

| Sescription <br> Sample |  | Sulfates <br> ppm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-1$ |  |  | 215 |
| $1-2$ |  |  | 5850 |
| $1-3$ |  |  | 1578 |
| $2-1$ |  |  | 203 |
| $2-2$ |  |  | 129 |
| $3-2$ |  |  | 4620 |
|  |  |  |  |






| Test Pit <br> Number | Depth (Feet) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP-15 | 0-. 5 | Silty SAND, black, loose, moist, with roots. |
|  | . $5-1$ | Silty SAND, mottled orange/light brown, loose, moist. |
|  | 1-5 | Silty SAND, light brown to orange, dense, wet. |
|  |  | Bottom of test pit at 5 fet. |
| TP - 16 | 0-2 | Silty SAND, yellow brown, loose, moist, with roots. |
|  | 2-8 | Silty SAND, light brown, dense, moist to wet, with roots to 4 feet. |
|  |  | Bottom of test pit at 8 feet. |
| TP-17 | 0-1 | Silty CLAY, brown, medium stiff, moist, with roots. |
|  | 1-2 | Silty CLAY, light brown, stiff, moist, with roots. |
|  | 2-3 | Sandy silty CLAY, dark brown, stiff, moist, with roots. |
|  | 3-4 | Silty SAND, dark brown, dense, moist. |
|  | 4-6 | Silty SAND, brown, medium dense, wet, caving on sides. |
|  |  | Bottom of test pit at 6 feet. |
| TP - 18 | 0-2 | Silty CLAY, brown, stiff, moist, with roots. |
|  | 2-3 | Sandy silty CLAY, brown, medium stiff, moist to wet, with roots. |
|  | 3-4 | Silty CLAY, brown, medium stiff, wet. |
|  | 4-5 | Clayey silty SAND, light brown, medium dense, wet, caving on sides. |
|  | $5-7$ | Silty SAND, gray, medium dense, wet, caving on sides. |
|  |  | Bottom of test pit at 7 feet. |

TEST PIT LOGS

| Test Pit <br> Number | Depth (Feet) | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| TP -19 | $0-2$ | Sandy silty CLAY, brown, stiff, moist. |
|  | $2-3$ | Silty CLAY, brown/orange mottled, stiff, moist. |
|  | $3-5$ | Silty CLAY, brown, soft, wet. |
|  | $5-7$ | Silty CLAY, gray with brown mottled, stiff, moist. |
|  | $7-10$ | Silty SAND, gray, medium dense, wet. |
| TP-20 Bottom of test pit at 10 feet. |  |  |

RODJECT: CYPRESS CORRIDOR PLANNING AREA
LOCATION: COHTRA COSTA COUHTY PROJ. NO.: 4603.002.01(EGD-14)

CPT NO.: CPT-10
DATE : 03-12-1999
Groundwater estimated at 3.0 feet

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEPTH } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta c \\ (t \operatorname{sf}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fs } \\ \text { (tsf) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rf } \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | SPT <br> (N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPT } \\ & \left(N^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | TotHzStr (ksf) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PHI } \\ \text { (deg.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SU } \\ \text { (ksf) } \end{gathered}$ | SOIL BEHAVIOR TYPE | OENSITY RANGE (prf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 9.53 | 0.403 | 4.2 | 10 | 15 | 0.06 | --.- | 1.27 | CLAY | 110-120 |
| 1.00 | 11.19 | 0.365 | 3.3 | 7 | 12 | 0.12 | ---- | 1.48 | Silty clay to clay | 110-120 |
| 1.50 | 8.09 | 0.296 | 3.7 | 8 | 13 | 0.17 | -..- | 1.60 | clay | 1 |
| 2.00 | 10.43 | 0.478 | 4.6 | 10 | 17 | 0.23 | -- | 1.38 | $\because$ | 120-130 |
| 2.50 | 9.47 | 0.380 | 4.0 | 9 | 15 | 0.29 | --.. | 1.24 | " | 110-120 |
| 3.00 | 6.00 | 0.262 | 4.4 | 6 | 10 | 0.34 | ---- | 1.17 | ' 1 | 100-110 |
| 3.50 | 4.82 | 0.210 | 4.4 | 5 | 8 | 0.40 | ---- | 0.92 | 11 | 100 |
| 4.00 | 4.64 | 0.065 | 1.4 | 2 | 4 | 0.44 | -- | 0.88 | Sensitive fine Grained | 85-90 |
| 4.50 | 10.19 | 0.069 | 0.7 | 5 | 8 | 0.49 | -- | 1.33 | clayey SILT to Silty clay | 90-100 |
| 5.00 | 29.66 | 0.012 | 0.0 | 10 | 16 | 0.54 | 43 | ---- | Silty SAND to Sandy SILT | 110-120 |
| 5.50 | 32.10 | 0.053 | 0.2 | 11 | 17 | 0.60 | 43 | $\cdots$ | Stil | , |
| 6.00 | 32.04 | 0.041 | 0.1 | 11 | 17 | 0.66 | 43 | - | 1 | ' 1 |
| 6.50 | 35.47 | 0.079 | 0.2 | 12 | 19 | 0.72 | 43 | .... | 11 | 11 |
| 7.00 | 38.89 | 0.050 | 0.1 | 13 | 21 | 0.77 | 43 | .... | 1 | 11 |
| 7.50 | 44.21 | 0.018 | 0.0 | 15 | 24 | 0.85 | 44 | -... | 3 | \% |
| 8.00 | 46.29 | 0.072 | 0.2 | 15 | 25 | 0.89 | 44 | ...- | 11 | 11 |
| 8.50 | 46.97 | 0.103 | 0.2 | 16 | 25 | 0.95 | 44 | ---- | * | 11 |
| 9.00 | 45.74 | 0.118 | 0.3 | 15 | 24 | 1.00 | 43 | ---- | " | 11 |
| 9.50 | 45.38 | 0.112 | 0.2 | 15 | 24 | 1.06 | 43 | ---- | \% | \% |
| 10.00 | 43.05 | 0.129 | 0.3 | 14 | 23 | 1.12 | 43 | --.- | 11 | 11 |
| 10.50 | 40.23 | 0.103 | 0.3 | 13 | 21 | 1.18 | 42 | -...- | " | 1 |
| 11.00 | 41.75 | 0.117 | 0.3 | 14 | 22 | 1.23 | 42 | ---- | 11 | ' |
| 11.50 | 42.44 | 0.098 | 0.2 | 14 | 23 | 1.29 | 42 | ---. | 1 | " |
| 12.00 | 43.47 | 0.144 | 0.3 | 14 | 23 | 1.35 | 42 | ---- | " | 1 |
| 12.50 | 47.75 | 0.238 | 0.5 | 16 | 25 | 1.41 | 42 | ---- | 11 | $\prime$ |
| 13.00 | 56.80 | 0.459 | 0.8 | 19 | 30 | 1.47 | 43 | ---- | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 120-130 |
| 13.50 | 26.47 | 0.358 | 1.4 | 11 | 16 | 1.53 | --- | 3.43 | Sandy SILT to clayey SILT | \% |
| 14.00 | 19.56 | 0.504 | 2.6 | 10 | 15 | 1.59 | ---- | 2.50 | Clayey SILT to Silty Clay | ' |
| 14.50 | 21.34 | 0.451 | 2.1 | 9 | 13 | 1.65 | --- | 2.73 | Sandy SILT to Clayey SILT | '1 |
| 15.00 | 58.70 | 0.158 | 0.3 | 20 | 28 | 1.72 | 42 | ---- | silty SAND to Sandy SILT | ' |
| 15.50 | 66.21 | 0.264 | 0.4 | 17 | 24 | 1.78 | 43 | ---. | SAHD to Silty SAND | ' |
| 16.00 | 91.54 | 0.269 | 0.3 | 23 | 32 | 1.84 | 44 |  | Hity | " |
| 16.50 | 94.03 | 0.373 | 0.4 | 24 | 32 | 1.90 | 44 | --** | 11 | ' |
| 17.00 | 95.51 | 0.346 | 0.4 | 24 | 33 | 1.97 | 44 | --- | 11 | " |
| 17.50 | 96.35 | 0.350 | 0.4 | 24 | 32 | 2.03 | 44 | ---- | ${ }^{1}$ | 11 |
| 18.00 | 96.11 | 0.354 | 0.4 | 24 | 32 | 2.09 | 44 | -..- | 11 | 11 |
| 18.50 | 95.98 | 0.366 | 0.4 | 24 | 32 | 2.15 | 44 | ---- | 11 | 11 |
| 19.00 | 98.00 | 0.364 | 0.4 | 25 | 32 | 2.22 | 44 | ---- | '1 | ' |
| 19.50 | 92.32 | 0.288 | 0.3 | 23 | 30 | 2.28 | 43 | --.- | * | 18 |
| 20.00 | 103.57 | 0.362 | 0.3 | 26 | 33 | 2.34 | 44 | ---- | 18 | \% |
| 20.50 | 107.06 | 0.386 | 0.4 | 27 | 33 | 2.40 | 44 | --.- | \% | H |
| 21.00 | 111.04 | 0.306 | 0.3 | 28 | 34 | 2.47 | 44 | -.-- | $1 /$ | 11 |
| 21.50 | 92.44 | 0.621 | 0.7 | 23 | 28 | 2.53 | 43 | ---- | * | 11 |
| 22.00 | 87.86 | 0.741 | 0.8 | 22 | 26 | 2.59 | 43 | ...- | 11 | ' |
| 22.50 | 100.02 | 0.257 | 0.3 | 25 | 30 | 2.65 | 43 | ---- | 11 | 14 |
| 23.00 | 129.57 | 0.381 | 0.3 | 26 | 30 | 2.72 | 44 | ---. | SAND | 11 |
| 23.50 | 156.70 | 0.586 | 0.4 | 31 | 36 | 2.78 | 45 | ---- | \# | " |
| 24.00 | 175.96 | 0.707 | 0.4 | 35 | 40 | 2.84 | 45 | ---- | 1 | 11 |
| 24.50 | 200.48 | 0.815 | 0.4 | 40 | 46 | 2.90 | 46 | *--- | \% | ' |
| 25.00 | 217.48 | 0.665 | 0.3 | 43 | 49 | 2.97 | 46 | ---- | \# | \% |
| 25.50 | 242.67 | 0.639 | 0.3 | 49 | 54 | 3.03 | 46 | ---- | $1 /$ | \% |
| 26.00 | 234.05 | 0.665 | 0.3 | 47 | 52 | 3.09 | 46 | ---- | 18 | 4 |
| 26.50 | 235.90 | 1.143 | 0.5 | 47 | 51 | 3.15 | 46 | -*-- | 11 | 14 |
| 27.00 | 270.98 | 1.201 | 0.4 | 54 | 58 | 3.22 | 46 | ---- | ' | 4 |
| 27.50 | 327.35 | 0.878 | 0.3 | 65 | 70 | 3.28 | 47 | ---* | \% | $1!$ |
| 28.00 | 318.42 | 0.681 | 0.2 | 64 | 67 | 3.34 | 47 | - | \% | $1 \%$ |
| 28.50 | 308.27 | 0.905 | 0.3 | 62 | 65 | 3.40 | 47 | -.-- | $1 /$ | ' |
| 29.00 | 283.51 | 0.842 | 0.3 | 57 | 59 | 3.47 | 46 | ---- | ' | 11 |
| 29.50 | 267.68 | 2.167 | 0.8 | 54 | 55 | 3.53 | 46 | --.- | 1 | 1 |
| 30.00 | 317.93 | 1.117 | 0.4 | 64 | 65 | 3.59 | 47 | ---* | '1 | * |
| 30.50 | 354.56 | 0.646 | 0.2 | 71 | 72 | 3.65 | 47 | ---- | ' | 11 |
| 31.00 | 328.08 | 1.376 | 0.4 | 66 | 66 | 3.72 | 47 | ---- | 11 | \% |
| 31.50 | 118.12 | 2.470 | 2.1 | 39 | 40 | 3.78 | 42 | --.- | Silty SAND to Sandy SILT | 130-140 |
| 32.00 | 59.18 | 1.262 | 2.1 | 20 | 20 | 3.85 | 38 | ---* | Ht | \% |
| 32.50 | 177.55 | 0.865 | 0.5 | 36 | 35 | 3.91 | 44 | ---- | SAND | 120-130 |
| 33.00 | 199.97 | 1.603 | 0.B | 40 | 40 | 3.98 | 44 | --. | $\because$ | ! |


| PROJECT: CYPRESS CORRIDOR PLANNING AREA LOCATION: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY <br> PROJ. NO.: 4603.002.01(EGO-14) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { DEPTH } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{tsf})}{\mathrm{Fs}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rf} \\ (X) \end{gathered}$ | SPT <br> (H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPT } \\ & \left(N^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | TothzStr (ksf) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PHI } \\ \text { (deg.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(k \in f)}{s u}$ | SOIL GEHAVIOR TYPE | DENSITY RANGE (pcf) |
| 0.50 | 7.63 | 0.484 | 6.3 | 8 | 12 | 0.06 | -... | 1.52 | CLAY | 110-120 |
| 1.00 | 6.09 | 0.386 | 6.3 | 6 | 10 | 0.12 | -... | 1.21 | ' | " |
| 1.50 | 10.32 | 0.497 | 4.8 | 10 | 17 | 0.17 | -..- | 1.36 | " | 120-130 |
| 2.00 | 11.15 | 0.595 | 5.3 | 11 | 18 | 0.24 |  | 1.47 | '1 | ' |
| 2.50 | 8.82 | 0.467 | 5.3 | 9 | 14 | 0.30 | ---- | 1.74 | " | 110-120 |
| 3.00 | 119.73 | 0.423 | 0.4 | 30 | 48 | 0.36 | >48 | --.- | SAND to Silty SAND | 120-130 |
| 3.50 | 104.70 | 0.474 | 0.5 | 26 | 42 | 0.42 | $>48$ | ---- | " | ' |
| 4.00 | 70.40 | 0.100 | 0.1 | 18 | 28 | 0.48 | 47 | ---- | " | " |
| 4.50 | 63.61 | 0.149 | 0.2 | 16 | 25 | 0.54 | 46 | ---* | ' 1 | " |
| 5.00 | 64.35 | 0.225 | 0.3 | 16 | 26 | 0.61 | 46 | ---- | " | ' |
| 5.50 | 72.85 | 0.320 | 0.4 | 18 | 29 | 0.67 | 46 | --.- | " | '" |
| 6.00 | 42.40 | 0.155 | 0.4 | 14 | 23 | 0.73 | 44 | -...* | silty SAND to Sandy SILT | 110-120 |
| 6.50 | 51.88 | 0.144 | 0.3 | 17 | 28 | 0.79 | 44 | ---- | ', | 120-130 |
| 7.00 | 50.66 | 0.067 | 0.1 | 17 | 27 | 0.85 | 44 | *-." | " | " |
| 7.50 | 47.72 | 0.108 | 0.2 | 16 | 25 | 0.92 | 43 | ---- | " | 110-120 |
| 8.00 | 49.86 | 0.123 | 0.2 | 17 | 27 | 0.98 | 43 | ---- | " | 120-130 |
| 8.50 | 44.61 | 0.118 | 0.3 | 15 | 24 | 1.04 | 43 | ---" | " | 110-120 |
| 9.00 | 34.51 | 0.045 | 0.1 | 12 | 18 | 1.09 | 41 | ---* | " | ' |
| 9.50 | 39.53 | 0.091 | 0.2 | 13 | 21 | 1.15 | 42 | --- | 1 | " |
| 10.00 | 48.57 | 0.146 | 0.3 | 16 | 26 | 1.21 | 43 | --** | " | 120-130 |
| 10.50 | 60.85 | 0.180 | 0.3 | 15 | 24 | 1.27 | 43 | --.- | SAND to Silty SAND | " |
| 11.00 | 71.13 | 0.179 | 0.3 | 18 | 28 | 1.34 | 44 | --.- | " | " |
| 11.50 | 71.86 | 0.200 | 0.3 | 18 | 28 | 1.40 | 44 | ---* | ' | '' |
| 12.00 | 65.25 | 0.218 | 0.3 | 16 | 25 | 1.46 | 43 | ---- | " | ', |
| 12.50 | 61.59 | 0.090 | 0.1 | 15 | 23 | 1.52 | 43 | ---- | '1 | $1 /$ |
| 13.00 | 47.05 | 0.275 | 0.6 | 16 | 23 | 1.58 | 41 | --.- | Silty SAND to Sandy SILT | 110-120 |
| 13.50 | 47.85 | 0.768 | 1.6 | 16 | 23 | 1.65 | 41 | *-- | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 120-130 |
| 14.00 | 42.33 | 1.013 | 2.4 | 17 | 24 | 1.71 | ---- | 5.53 | Sandy SILT to Clayey SILT | 130-140 |
| 14.50 | 27.49 | 0.652 | 2.4 | 11 | 15 | 1.78 | -... | 3.55 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 120-130 |
| 15.00 | 38.19 | 1.229 | 3.2 | 19 | 26 | 1.84 | -..- | 4.97 | Clayey SILT to Silty CLAY | 130-140 |
| 15.50 | 20.51 | 0.436 | 2.1 | 8 | 11 | 1.91 | --- | 2.61 | Sandy SILT to Clayey SILT | 120-130 |
| 16.00 | 80.12 | 0.356 | 0.4 | 20 | 27 | 1.97 | 43 |  | SAND to Silty SAND | " |
| 16.50 | 32.32 | 0.590 | 1.8 | 13 | 17 | 2.03 | - | 4.17 | Sandy SILT to Clayey SILT | " |
| 17.00 | 22.04 | 0.199 | 0.9 | 9 | 11 | 2.09 | **. | 2.80 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 100-110 |
| 17.50 | 69.73 | 0.272 | 0.4 | 17 | 22 | 2.15 | 42 | ---- | SAND to Silty SAND | 120-130 |
| 18.00 | 106.82 | 0.450 | 0.4 | 27 | 34 | 2.21 | 44 | -..-- | "' | ' |
| 18.50 | 116.38 | 0.261 | 0.2 | 29 | 36 | 2.28 | 44 | -...- | ' | " |
| 19.00 | 81.40 | 0.153 | 0.2 | 20 | 25 | 2.34 | 42 | ---- | " | ' |
| 19.50 | 60.06 | 0.183 | 0.3 | 15 | 18 | 2.40 | 41 | ---- | " | " |
| 20.00 | 43.63 | 0.101 | 0.2 | 15 | 18 | 2.46 | 39 | --.- | Silty SAND to Sandy SILT | T 110-120 |
| 20.50 | 64.35 | 0.031 | 0.0 | 16 | 19 | 2.52 | 41 | -..-- | SAND to Silty SAND | 12D-130 |
| 21.00 | 80.13 | 0.122 | 0.2 | 20 | 24 | 2.58 | 42 | -.." | " | ' |
| 21.50 | 115.74 | 0.210 | 0.2 | 29 | 34 | 2.65 | 44 | --- | ' | ' |
| 22.00 | 132.49 | 0.324 | 0.2 | 26 | 30 | 2.71 | 44 | ---- | SAND | '' |
| 22.50 | 142.09 | 0.309 | 0.2 | 28 | 32 | 2.77 | 44 | -*** | " | " |
| 23.00 | 140.09 | 0.564 | 0.4 | 28 | 32 | 2.83 | 44 | ---- | " | ' |
| 23.50 | 171.19 | 0.551 | 0.3 | 34 | 38 | 2.90 | 45 | -*- | " | " |
| 24.00 | 194.96 | 0.657 | 0.3 | 39 | 43 | 2.96 | 45 | -.." | 11 | " |
| 24.50 | 190.64 | 1.023 | 0.5 | 38 | 42 | 3.02 | 45 | -.... | $1 /$ | " |
| 25.00 | 196.02 | 1.156 | 0.6 | 39 | 42 | 3.08 | 45 | ---- | " | ' |
| 25.50 | 201.30 | D. 798 | 0.4 | 40 | 43 | 3.15 | 45 | ---- | '' | ' |
| 26.00 | 176.44 | 0.521 | 0.3 | 35 | 37 | 3.21 | 45 | --.- | ' | ' |
| 26.50 | 147.67 | 0.667 | 0.5 | 30 | 31 | 3.27 | 44 | --.-- | " | " |
| 27.00 | 170.22 | 0.940 | 0.6 | 34 | 36 | 3.33 | 44 | -..- | " | ' |
| 27.50 | 155.23 | 1.927 | 1.2 | 31 | 32 | 3.40 | 44 | --.- | " | 130-140 |
| 28.00 | 177.73 | 1.449 | 0.8 | 36 | 37 | 3.46 | 44 | -.." | " | 120-130 |
| 28.50 | 240.53 | 1.248 | 0.5 | 48 | 49 | 3.53 | 46 | *-* | " | " |
| 29.00 | 269.90 | 1.187 | 0.4 | 54 | 55 | 3.59 | 46 | $\cdots$ | " | "' |
| 29.50 | 296.86 | 1.239 | 0.4 | 59 | 60 | 3.65 | 46 | -..- | " | " |
| 30.00 | 286.90 | 0.610 | 0.2 | 57 | 57 | 3.71 | 46 | -..- | " | '' |
| 30.50 | 256.11 | 1.101 | 0.4 | 51 | 51 | 3.78 | 46 | -..- | " | " |
| 31.00 | 177.93 | 1.145 | 0.6 | 36 | 36 | 3.84 | 44 | ---- | " | " |
| 31.50 | 231.88 | 1.452 | 0.6 | 46 | 46 | 3.90 | 45 | -..- | " | " |
| 32.00 | 351.81 | 2.393 | 0.7 | 70 | 70 | 3.96 | 47 | -..- | " | " |
| 32.50 | 345.06 | 2.134 | 0.6 | 69 | 69 | 4.03 | 46 | --.- | " | " |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | John Sarmiento Cone Penetration T | \& Associa esting Servi |




PLASTICITY CHART
A PORTION OF THE CYPRESS CORRIDORE PLANNING AREA
PROJECT NO.: 4603.5.002.01
DATE: MAY 1999
OAKLEY CALIFORNLA


Percent Strain
Unconfined Compressive Strength:
900 psf
0.5 tsf

Sample Description: Dark brown silty Clay to Clay with fine sand

| Initial Diameter: | 2.375 | in. | Sample Number: | $1-1$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Initial Height: | 4.73 | in . | Boring Number: | 6 |  |
| Strain Rate: | 1.241 | $\% /$ min | Dry Unit Weight: | 89.0 | pcf |
| Total Strain: | 19.97 | $\%$ | Moisture Content: | 31.5 | $\%$ |
|  |  |  |  | Depth of Sample: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Job | 3500201 | Flgure No. 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number: | 6-1-1 |  |
| Date: | 4/30/99 |  |
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## GUIDE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS

## PART I - EARTHWORK

## PREFACE

These specifications are intended as a guide for the earthwork performed at the subject development project. If there is a conflict between these specifications (including the recommendations of the geotechnical report) and agency or code requirements, it should be brought to the attention of ENGEO and Owner prior to contract bidding.

## PART 1-GENERAL

### 1.01 WORK COVERED

A. Grading, excavating, filling and backfilling, including trenching and backfilling for utilities as necessary to complete the Project as indicated on the Drawings.
B. Subsurface drainage as indicated on the Drawings.

### 1.02 CODES AND STANDARDS

A. Excavating, trenching, filling, backfilling, and grading work shall meet the applicable requirements of the Uniform Building Code and the standards and ordinances of state and local governing authorities.
1.03 SUBSURFACE SOIL CONDITIONS
A. The Owners' Geotechnical Exploration report is available for inspection by bidder or Contractor. The Contractor shall refer to the findings and recommendations of the Geotechnical Exploration report in planning and executing his work.

### 1.04 DEFINITIONS

A. Fill: All soil, rock, or soil-rock materials placed to raise the grades of the site or to backfill excavations.
B. Backfill: All soil, rock or soil-rock material used to fill excavations and trenches.
C. On-Site Material: Soil and/or rock material which is obtained from the site.
incorporated
D. Imported Material: Soil and/or rock material which is brought to the site from off-site areas.
E. Select Material: On-site and/or imported material which is approved by ENGEO as a specific-purpose fill.
F. Engineered Fill: Fill upon which ENGEO has made sufficient observations and tests to confirm that the fill has been placed and compacted in accordance with specifications and requirements.
G. Degree of Compaction or Relative Compaction: The ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the in-place dry density of the fill and backfill material as compacted in the field to the maximum dry density of the same material as determined by ASTM D-1557 or Califormia 216 compaction test method.
H. Optimum Moisture: Water content, percentage by dry weight, corresponding to the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557.
I. ENGEO: The project geotechnical engineering consulting firm, its employees or its designated representatives.
J. Drawings: All documents, approved for construction, which describe the Work.

### 1.05 OBSERVATION AND TESTING

A. All site preparation, cutting and shaping, excavating, filling, and backfilling shall be carried out under the observation of ENGEO, employed and paid for by the Owners. ENGEO will perform appropriate field and laboratory tests to evaluate the suitability of fill material, the proper moisture content for compaction, and the degree of compaction achieved. Any fill that does not meet the specification requirements shall be removed and/or reworked until the requirements are satisfied.
B. Cutting and shaping, excavating, conditioning, filling, and compacting procedures require approval of ENGEO as they are performed. Any work found unsatisfactory or any work disturbed by subsequent operations before approval is granted shall be corrected in an approved manner as recommended by ENGEO.
C. Tests for compaction will be made in accordance with test procedures outlined in ASTM D-1557, as applicable. Field testing of soils or compacted fill shall conform with the applicable requirements of ASTM D-2922.
D. All authorized observation and testing will be paid for by the Owners.

### 1.06 SITE CONDITIONS

A. Excavating, filling, backfilling, and grading work shall not be performed during unfavorable weather conditions. When the work is interrupted by rain, excavating, filling, backfilling, and grading work shall not be resumed until the site and soil conditions are suitable.
B. Contractor shall take the necessary measures to prevent erosion of freshly filled, backfilled, and graded areas until such time as permanent drainage and erosion control measures have been installed.

## PART 2 - PRODUCTS

### 2.01 GENERAL

A. Contractor shall furnish all materials, tools, equipment, facilities, and services as required for performing the required excavating, filling, backfilling, and grading work, and trenching and backfilling for utilities.

### 2.02 SOIL MATERIALS

A. Fill

1. Material to be used for engineered fill and backfill shall be free from organic matter and other deleterious substances, and of such quality that it will compact thoroughly without excessive voids when watered and rolled. Excavated on-site material will be considered suitable for engineered fill and backfill if it contains no more than 3 percent organic matter, is free of debris and other deleterious substances and conforms to the requirements specified above. Rocks of maximum dimension in excess of two-thirds of the lift thickness shall be removed from any fill material to the satisfaction of ENGEO.
2. Excavated earth material which is suitable for engineered fill or backfill, as determined by ENGEO, shall be conditioned for reuse and properly stockpiled as required for later filling and backfilling operations. Conditioning shall consist of spreading material in layers not to exceed 8 inches and raking free of debris and rubble. Rocks and aggregate exceeding the allowed largest dimension, and deleterious material shall be removed from the site and disposed off site in a legal manner.
3. ENGEO shall be immediately notified if potential hazardous materials or suspect soils exhibiting staining or odor are encountered. Work activities shall be discontinued within the area of potentially hazardous materials. ENGEO environmental personnel will conduct an assessment of the suspect hazardous material to determine the appropriate response and mitigation. Regulatory agencies may also be contacted to request concurrence and oversight. ENGEO will rely on the Owner, or a designated Owner's representative, to make necessary notices to the appropriate regulatory agencies. The Owner may request ENGEO's assistance in notifying regulatory agencies, provided ENGEO receives Owner's written authorization to expand its scope of services.
4. ENGEO shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of filling and backfilling operations so that it may evaluate samples of the material intended for use as fill and backfill. All materials to be used for filling and backfilling require the approval of ENGEO.
B. Import Material: Where conditions require the importation of fill material, the material shall be an inert, nonexpansive soil or soil-rock material free of organic matter and meeting the following requirements unless otherwise approved by ENGEO.

| Gradation (ASTM D-421): | Sieve Size | Percent Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-inch } \\ & \text { \#200 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 15-70 \end{gathered}$ |
| Plasticity (ASTM D-4318): | Liquid Limit | Plasticity Index |
|  | $<30$ | $<12$ |
| Swell Potential (ASTM D-4546B): (at optimum moisture) | Percent Heave | Swell Pressure |
|  | $<2$ percent | $<300 \mathrm{psf}$ |
| Resistance Value (ASTM D-2844): | Minimum 25 |  |
| Organic Content (ASTM D-2974): | Less than 2 per |  |

A sample of the proposed import material should be submitted to ENGEO for evaluation prior to delivery at the site.

### 2.03 SAND

A. Sand for sand cushion under slabs and for bedding of pipe in utility trenches shall be a clean and graded, washed sand, free from clay or organic material, suitable for the intended purpose with 90 to 100 percent passing a No. 4 U.S. Standard Sieve, not more than 5 percent passing a No. 200 U.S. Standard Sieve, and generally conforming to ASTM C33 for fine aggregate.

### 2.04 AGGREGATE DRAINAGE FILL

A. Aggregate drainage fill under concrete slabs and paving shall consist of broken stone, crushed or uncrushed gravel, clean quarry waste, or a combination thereof. The aggregate shall be free from fines, vegetable matter, loam, volcanic tuff, and other deleterious substances. It shall be of such quality that the absorption of water in a saturated surface dry condition does not exceed 3 percent of the oven dry weight of the samples.
B. Aggregate drainage fill shall be of such size that the percentage composition by dry weight as determined by laboratory sieves (U. S. Series) will conform to the following grading:

Sieve Size
$11 / 2$-inches
1-inch
\#4

100
Percentage Passing Sieve100

$$
90-100
$$

$$
0-5
$$

### 2.05 SUBDRAINS

A. Perforated subdrain pipe of the required diameter shall be installed as shown on the drawings. The pipe(s) shall also conform to these specifications unless otherwise specified by ENGEO in the field.

Subdrain pipe shall be manufactured in accordance with one of the following requirements:

Design depths less than 30 feet

- Perforated ABS Solid Wall SDR 35 (ASTM D-275I)
- Perforated PVC Solid Wall SDR 35 (ASTM D-3034)
- Perforated PVC A-2000 (ASTM F949)
- Perforated Corrugated HDPE double-wall (AASHTO M-252 or M-294, Caltrans Type $\mathrm{S}, 50 \mathrm{psi}$ minimum stiffness)
Design depths less than 50 feet
- Perforated PVC SDR 23.5 Solid Wall (ASTM D-3034)
- Perforated Sch. 40 PVC Solid Wall (ASTM-1785)
- Perforated ABS SDR 23.5 Solid Wall (ASTM D-2751)
- Perforated ABS DWV/Sch. 40 (ASTM D-2661 and D-1527)
- Perforated Corrugated HDPE double-wall (AASHTO M-252 or M-294, Caltrans Type S, 70 psi minimum stiffness)


## Design depths less than 70 feet

- Perforated ABS Solid Wall SDR 15.3 (ASTM D-2751)
- Perforated Sch. 80 PVC (ASTM D-1785)
- Perforated Corrugated Aluminum (ASTM B-745)
B. Permeable Material (Class 2): Class 2 permeable material for filling trenches under, around, and over subdrains, behind building and retaining walls, and for pervious blankets shall consist of clean, coarse sand and gravel or crushed stone, conforming to the following grading requirements:


## Sieve Size

1 -inch 100
$3 / 4$-inch
${ }^{3} / 8$-inch
\#4
\#8
\#30
\#50 90-100
40-100
25-40 18-33

+ 200
5-15
\#200
0-7
0-3
C. Filter Fabric: All filter fabric shall meet the following Minimum Average Roll Values unless otherwise specified by ENGEO.
Grab Strength (ASTM D-4632)......................................... 180 lbs
Mass Per Unit Area (ASTM D-4751)................................ 6 oz/yd ${ }^{2}$
Apparent Opening Size (ASTM D-4751)...........................70-100 U.S. Std. Sieve
Flow Rate (ASTM D-4491).............................................. $80 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Puncture Strength (ASTM D-4833)................................... 80 lbs
D. Vapor Retarder: Vapor Retarders shall consist of PVC, LDPE or HDPE impermeable sheeting at least 10 mils thick.


### 2.06 PERMEABLE MATERIAL (Class 1; Type A)

A. Class 1 permeable material to be used in conjunction with filter fabric for backfilling of subdrain excavations shall conform to the following grading requirements:

## Sieve Size

$3 / 4$-inch 100
$1 / 2$-inch
$3 / 8$-inch
\#4
\#8
\#200

95-100
70-100
0-55
0-10

## Percentage Passing Sieve

0-3

## PART 3-EXECUTION

### 3.01 STAKING AND GRADES

A. Contractor shall lay out all his work, establish all necessary markers, bench marks, grading stakes, and other stakes as required to achieve design grades.

### 3.02 EXISTING UTILITIES

A. Contractor shall verify the location and depth (elevation) of all existing utilities and services before performing any excavation work.

### 3.03 EXCAVATION

A. Contractor shall perform excavating as indicated and required for concrete footings, drilled piers, foundations, floor slabs, concrete walks, and site leveling and grading, and provide shoring, bracing, underpinning, cribbing, pumping, and planking as required. The bottoms of excavations shall be firm undisturbed earth, clean and free from loose material, debris, and foreign matter.
B. Excavations shall be kept free from water at all times. Adequate dewatering equipment shall be maintained at the site to handle emergency situations until concrete or backfill is placed.
C. Unauthorized excavations for footings shall be filled with concrete to required elevations, unless other methods of filling are authorized by ENGEO.
D. Excavated earth material which is suitable for engineered fill or backfill, as determined by ENGEO, shall be conditioned for reuse and properly stockpiled for later filling and backfilling operations as specified under Section 2.02, "Soil Materials."
E. Abandoned sewers, piping, and other utilities encountered during excavating shall be removed and the resulting excavations shall be backfilled with engineered fill as required by ENGEO.
F. Any active utility lines encountered shall be reported immediately to the Owner's Representative and authorities involved. The Owner and proper authorities shall be permitted free access to take the measures deemed necessary to repair, relocate, or remove the obstruction as determined by the responsible authority or Owner's Representative.

### 3.04 SUBGRADE PREPARATION

A. All brush and other rubbish, as well as trees and root systems not marked for saving, shall be removed from the site and legally disposed of.
B. Any existing structures, foundations, underground storage tanks, or debris must be removed from the site prior to any building, grading, or fill operations. Septic tanks, including all drain fields and other lines, if encountered, must be totally removed. The resulting depressions shall be properly prepared and filled to the satisfaction of ENGEO.
C. Vegetation and organic topsoil shall be removed from the surface upon which the fill is to be placed and either removed and legally disposed of or stockpiled for later use in approved landscape areas. The surface shall then be scarified to a depth of at least eight inches until the surface is free from ruts, hummocks, or other uneven features which would tend to prevent uniform compaction by the equipment to be used.
D. After the foundation for the fill has been cleared and scarified, it shall be made uniform and free from large clods. The proper moisture content must be obtained by adding water or aerating. The foundation for the fill shall be compacted at the proper moisture content to a relative compaction as specified herein.

### 3.05 ENGINEERED FILL

A. Select Material: Fill material shall be "Select" or "Imported Material" as previously specified.
B. Placing and Compacting: Engineered fill shall be constructed by approved and accepted methods. Fill material shall be spread in uniform lifts not exceeding 8 inches in uncompacted thickness. Each layer shall be spread evenly, and thoroughly blade-mixed to obtain uniformity of material. Fill material which does not contain sufficient moisture as specified by ENGEO shall be sprinkled with water; if it contains excess moisture it shall be aerated or blended with drier material to achieve the proper water content. Select material and water shall then be thoroughly mixed before being compacted.
C. Unless otherwise specified in the Geotechnical Exploration report, each layer of spread select material shall be compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction at a moisture content of at least three percent above the optimum moisture content. Minimum compaction in all keyways shall be a minimum of 95 percent with a minimum moisture content of at least l percentage point above optimum.
D. Unless otherwise specified in the Geotechnical Exploration report or otherwise required by the local authorities, the upper 6 inches of engineered fill in areas to receive pavement shall be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction with a minimum moisture content of at least 3 percentage points above optimum.
E. Testing and Observation of Fill: The work shall consist of field observation and testing to determine that each layer has been compacted to the required density and that the required moisture is being obtained. Any layer or portion of a layer that does not attain the compaction required shall be reworked until the required density is obtained.
F. Compaction: Compaction shall be by sheepsfoot rollers, multiple-wheel steel or pneumatic-tired rollers or other types of acceptable compaction equipment. Rollers shall be of such design that they will be able to compact the fill to the specified compaction. Rolling shall be accomplished while the fill material is within the specified moisture content range. Rolling of each layer must be continuous so that the required compaction may be obtained uniformly throughout each layer.
G. Fill slopes shall be constructed by overfilling the design slopes and later cutting back the slopes to the design grades. No loose soil will be permitted on the faces of the finished slopes.
H. Strippings and topsoil shall be stockpiled as approved by Owner, then placed in accordance with ENGEO's recommendations to a minimum thickness of 6 inches and a maximum thickness of 12 inches over exposed open space cut slopes which are 3:1 or flatter, and track walked to the satisfaction of ENGEO.
I. Final Prepared Subgrade: Finish blading and smoothing shall be performed as necessary to produce the required density, with a uniform surface, smooth and true to grade.

### 3.06 BACKFILLING

A. Backfill shall not be placed against footings, building walls, or other structures until approved by ENGEO.
B. Backfill material shall be Select Material as specified for engineered fill.
C. Backfill shall be placed in 6 -inch layers, leveled, rammed, and tamped in place. Each layer shall be compacted with suitable compaction equipment to 90 percent relative compaction at a moisture content of at least 3 percent above optimum.

### 3.07 TRENCHING AND BACKFILLING FOR UTILITIES

A. Trenching:

1. Trenching shall include the removal of material and obstructions, the installation and removal of sheeting and bracing and the control of water as necessary to provide the required utilities and services.
2. Trenches shall be excavated to the lines, grades, and dimensions indicated on the Drawings. Maximum allowable trench width shall be the outside diameter of the pipe plus 24 inches, inclusive of any trench bracing.
3. When the trench bottom is a soft or unstable material as determined by ENGEO, it shall be made firm and solid by removing said unstable material to a sufficient depth and replacing it with on-site material compacted to 90 percent minimum relative compaction.
4. Where water is encountered in the trench, the contractor must provide materials necessary to drain the water and stabilize the bed.
B. Backfilling:
5. Trenches must be backfilled within 2 days of excavation to minimize desiccation.
6. Bedding material shall be sand and shall not extend more than 6 inches above any utility lines.
7. Backfill material shall be select material.
8. Trenches shall be backfilled as indicated or required and compacted with suitable equipment to 90 percent minimum relative compaction at the required moisture content.

### 3.08 SUBDRAINS

A. Trenches for subdrain pipe shall be excavated to a minimum width equal to the outside diameter of the pipe plus at least 12 inches and to a depth of approximately 2 inches below the grade established for the invert of the pipe, or as indicated on the Drawings.
B. The space below the pipe invert shall be filled with a layer of Class 2 permeable material, upon which the pipe shall be laid with perforations down. Sections shall be joined as recommended by the pipe manufacturer.
C. Rocks, bricks, broken concrete, or other hard material shall not be used to give intermediate support to pipes. Large stones or other hard objects shall not be left in contact with the pipes.
D. Excavations for subdrains shall be filled as required to fill voids and prevent settlement without damaging the subdrain pipe. Alternatively, excavations for subdrains may be filled with Class 1 permeable material (as defined in Section 2.06 ) wrapped in Filter Fabric (as defined in Section 2.05).

### 3.09 AGGREGATE DRAINAGE FILL

A. ENGEO shall approve finished subgrades before aggregate drainage fill is installed.
B. Pipes, drains, conduits, and any other mechanical or electrical installations shall be in place before any aggregate drainage fill is placed. Backfill at walls to elevation of drainage fill shall be in place and compacted.
C. Aggregate drainage fill under slabs and concrete paving shall be the minimum uniform thickness after compaction of dimensions indicated on Drawings. Where not indicated, minimum thickness after compaction shall be 4 inches.
D. Aggregate drainage fill shall be rolled to form a well-compacted bed.
E. The finished aggregate drainage fill must be observed and approved by ENGEO before proceeding with any subsequent construction over the compacted base or fill.
3.10 SAND CUSHION
A. A sand cushion shall be placed over the vapor retarder membrane under concrete slabs on grade. Sand cushion shall be placed in uniform thickness as indicated on the Drawings. Where not indicated, the thickness shall be 2 inches.

### 3.11 FINISH GRADING

A. All areas must be finish graded to elevations and grades indicated on the Drawings. In areas to receive topsoil and landscape planting, finish grading shall be performed to a uniform 6 inches below the grades and elevations indicated on the Drawings, and brought to final grade with topsoil.

### 3.12 DISPOSAL OF WASTE MATERIALS

A. Excess earth materials and debris shall be removed from the site and disposed of in a legal manner. Location of dump site and length of haul are the Contractor's responsibility.
incorporateo

## PART II - GEOGRID SOL REINFORCEMENT

## 1. DESCRIPTION:

Work shall consist of furnishing geogrid soil reinforcement for use in construction of reinforced soil slopes and retention systems.

## 2. GEOGRID MATERIAL:

2.1 The specific geogrid material shall be preapproved by ENGEO.
2.2 The geogrid shall be a regular network of integrally connected polymer tensile elements with aperture geometry sufficient to permit significant mechanical interlock with the surrounding soil or rock. The geogrid structure shall be dimensionally stable and able to retain its geometry under construction stresses and shall have high resistance to damage during construction, to ultraviolet degradation, and to all forms of chemical and biological degradation encountered in the soil being reinforced.
2.3 The geogrids shall have an Allowable Strength $\left(T_{n}\right)$ and Pullout Resistance, for the soil type(s) indicated, as listed in Table I.
2.4 Certifications: The Contractor shall submit a manufacturer's certification that the geogrids supplied meet the respective index criteria set when geogrid was approved by ENGEO, measured in full accordance with all test methods and standards specified. In case of dispute over validity of values, the Contractor will supply test data from an ENGEO-approved laboratory to support the certified values submitted.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION:

3.1 Delivery, Storage, and Handling: Contractor shall check the geogrid upon delivery to ensure that the proper material has been received. During all periods of shipment and storage, the geogrid shall be protected from temperatures greater than $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, mud, dirt, dust, and debris. Manufacturer's recommendations in regard to protection from direct sunlight must also be followed. At the time of installation, the geogrid will be rejected if it has defects, tears, punctures, flaws, deterioration, or damage incurred during manufacture, transportation, or storage. If approved by ENGEO, tom or punctured sections may be repaired by placing a patch over the damaged area. Any geogrid damaged during storage or installation shall be replaced by the Contractor at no additional cost to the owner.
3.2 On-Site Representative: Geogrid material suppliers shall provide a qualified and experienced representative on site at the initiation of the project, for a minimum of three days, to assist the Contractor and ENGEO personnel at the start of construction. If there is more than one slope on a project, this criterion will apply to construction of the initial slope only. The representative shall also be available on an as-needed basis, as requested by ENGEO, during construction of the remaining slope(s).
3.3 Geogrid reinforcement may be joined with mechanical connections or overlaps as recommended and approved by the Manufacturer. Joints shall not be placed within 6 feet of the slope face, within 4 feet below top of slope, nor horizontally or vertically adjacent to another joint.
3.4 Geogrid Placement: The geogrid reinforcement shall be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The geogrid reinforcement shall be placed within the layers of the compacted soil as shown on the plans or as directed.

The geogrid reinforcement shall be placed in continuous longitudinal strips in the direction of main reinforcement. However, if the Contractor is unable to complete a required length with a single continuous length of geogrid, a joint may be made with the Manufacturer's approval. Only one joint per length of geogrid shall be allowed. This joint shall be made for the full width of the strip by using a similar material with similar strength. Joints in geogrid reinforcement shall be pulled and held taut during fill placement.

Adjacent strips, in the case of 100 percent coverage in plan view, need not be overlapped. The minimum horizontal coverage is 50 percent, with horizontal spacings between reinforcement no greater than 40 inches. Horizontal coverage of less than 100 percent shall not be allowed unless specifically detailed in the construction drawings.

Adjacent rolls of geogrid reinforcement shall be overlapped or mechanically connected where exposed in a wrap around face system, as applicable.

The Contractor may place only that amount of geogrid reinforcement required for immediately pending work to prevent undue damage. After a layer of geogrid reinforcement has been placed, the next succeeding layer of soil shall be placed and compacted as appropriate. After the specified soil layer has been placed, the next geogrid reinforcement layer shall be installed. The process shall be repeated for each subsequent layer of geogrid reinforcement and soil.

Geogrid reinforcement shall be placed to lay flat and pulled tight prior to backfilling. After a layer of geogrid reinforcement has been placed, suitable means, such as pins or small piles of soil, shall be used to hold the geogrid reinforcement in position until the subsequent soil layer can be placed.

Under no circumstances shall a track-type vehicle be allowed on the geogrid reinforcement before at least six inches of soil have been placed. Turning of tracked vehicles should be kept to a minimum to prevent tracks from displacing the fill and the geogrid reinforcement. If approved by the Manufacturer, rubber-tired equipment may pass over the geosynthetic reinforcement at slow speeds, less than 10 mph . Sudden braking and sharp turning shall be avoided.

During construction, the surface of the fill should be kept approximately horizontal. Geogrid reinforcement shall be placed directly on the compacted horizontal fill surface. Geogrid reinforcements are to be placed within three inches of the design elevations and extend the length as shown on the elevation view unless otherwise directed by ENGEO. Correct orientation of the geogrid reinforcement shall be verified by ENGEO.

|  | Allowable Ge With Variou For Geosynthetic Mechanically Stab <br> eogrid Pullout Resistance and Allowable Stren anchorage and site damage factors | Strength il Types nforcement d Earth Slo vary with re uidelines are | ced backfill ided below. | due to soil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MINIMUM | $\begin{gathered} \text { OWABLE } \\ (\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})^{*} \end{gathered}$ | ENGTH, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  | SOIL TYPE | GEOGRID Type I | GEOGRID Type $\amalg$ | GĖOGRID Type III |
| A. | Gravels, sandy gravels, and gravel-sand-silt mixtures (GW, GP, GC, GM \& SP)** | 2400 | 4800 | 7200 |
| B. | Well graded sands, gravelly sands, and sandsilt mixtures (SW \& SM)** | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 |
| C. | Silts, very fine sands, clayey sands and clayey silts (SC \& ML)** | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 |
| D. | Gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, and lean clays (CL)** | 1600 | 3200 | 4800 |
| * All partial Factors of Safety for reduction of design strength are included in listed values. Additional factors of safety may be required to further reduce these design strengths based on site conditions. <br> ** Unified Soil Classifications. |  |  |  |  |

## PART III - GEOTEXTLLE SOIL REINFORCEMENT

## 1. DESCRIPTION:

Work shall consist of furnishing geotextile soil reinforcement for use in construction of reinforced soil slopes.

## 2. GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL:

2.1 The specific geotextile material and supplier shall be preapproved by ENGEO.
2.2 The geotextile shall have a high tensile modulus and shall have high resistance to damage during construction, to ultraviolet degradation, and to all forms of chemical and biological degradation encountered in the soil being reinforced.
2.3 The geotextiles shall have an Allowable Strength $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and Pullout Resistance, for the soil type(s) indicated as listed in Table II.
2.4 Certification: The Contractor shall submit a manufacturer's certification that the geotextiles supplied meet the respective index criteria set when geotextile was approved by ENGEO, measured in full accordance with all test methods and standards specified. In case of dispute over validity of values, the Contractor will supply the data from an ENGEO-approved laboratory to support the certified values submitted.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION:

3.1 Delivery, Storage and Handling: Contractor shall check the geotextile upon delivery to ensure that the proper material has been received. During all periods of shipment and storage, the geotextile shall be protected from temperatures greater than $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, mud, dirt, dust, and debris. Manufacturer's recommendations in regard to protection from direct sunlight must also be followed. At the time of installation, the geotextile will be rejected if it has defects, tears, punctures, flaws, deterioration, or damage incurred during manufacture, transportation, or storage. If approved by ENGEO, torn or punctured sections may be repaired by placing a patch over the damaged area. Any geotextile damaged during storage or installation shall be replaced by the Contractor at no additional cost to the owner.
3.2 On-Site Representative: Geotextile material suppliers shall provide a qualified and experienced representative on site at the initiation of the project, for a minimum of three days, to assist the Contractor and ENGEO personnel at the start of construction. If there is more than one slope on a project, this criterion will apply to construction of the initial slope only. The representative shall also be available on an as-needed basis, as requested by ENGEO, during construction of the remaining slope(s).
3.3 Geotextile Placement: The geotextile reinforcement shall be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The geotextile reinforcement shall be placed within the layers of the compacted soil as shown on the plans or as directed.

The geotextile reinforcement shall be placed in continuous longitudinal strips in the direction of main reinforcement. Joints shall not be used with geotextiles.

Adjacent strips, in the case of 100 percent coverage in plan view, need not be overlapped. The minimum horizontal coverage is 50 percent, with horizontal spacings between reinforcement no greater than 40 inches: Horizontal coverage of less than 100 percent shall not be allowed unless specifically detailed in the construction drawings.

Adjacent rolls of geotextile reinforcement shall be overlapped or mechanically connected where exposed in a wrap around face system, as applicable.

The Contractor may place only that amount of geotextile reinforcement required for immediately pending work to prevent undue damage. After a layer of geotextile reinforcement has been placed, the succeeding layer of soil shall be placed and compacted as appropriate. After the specified soil layer has been placed, the next geotextile reinforcement layer shall be installed. The process shall be repeated for each subsequent layer of geotextile reinforcement and soil.

Geosynthetic reinforcement shall be placed to lay flat and be pulled tight prior to backfilling. After a layer of geotextile reinforcement has been placed, suitable means, such as pins or small piles of soil, shall be used to hold the geotextile reinforcement in position until the subsequent soil layer can be placed.

Under no circumstances shall a track-type vehicle be allowed on the geotextile reinforcement before at least six inches of soil has been placed. Turning of tracked vehicles should be kept to a minimum to prevent tracks from displacing the fill and the geotextile reinforcement. If approved by the Manufacturer, rubber-tired equipment may pass over the geotextile reinforcement as slow speeds, less than 10 mph . Sudden braking and sharp turning shall be avoided.

During construction, the surface of the fill should be kept approximately horizontal. Geotextile reinforcement shall be placed directly on the compacted horizontal fill surface. Geotextile reinforcements are to be placed within three inches of the design elevations and extend the length as shown on the elevation view unless otherwise directed by ENGEO. Correct orientation of the geotextile reinforcement shall be verified by ENGEO.

| Table II <br> Allowable Geotextile Strength With Various Soil Types <br> For Geosynthetic Reinforcement In Mechanically Stabilized Earth Slopes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOIL TYPE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GEOTEXTILE <br> Type I | GEOTEXTILE Type II | GEOTEXTLLE Type III |
| A. | Gravels, sandy gravels, and gravel-sandsilt mixtures (GW, GP, GC, GM \& SP)** | 2400 | 4800 | 7200 |
| B. | Well graded sands, gravelly sands, and sand-silt mixtures (SW \& SM)** | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 |
| C. | Silts, very fine sands, clayey sands and clayey silts (SC \& ML)** | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 |
| D. | Gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, and lean clays (CL)** | 1600 | 3200 | 4800 |
| * All partial Factors of Safety for reduction of design strength are included in listed values. Additional factors of safety may be required to further reduce these design strengths based on site conditions. <br> ** Unified Soil Classifications. | All partial Factors of Safety for reduction of design strength are included in listed values. <br> Additional factors of safety may be required to further reduce these design strengths based on site conditions. <br> Unified Soil Classifications. |  |  |  |

## PART IV - EROSION CONTROL MAT OR BLANKET

1. DESCRIPTION:

Work shall consist of furnishing and placing a synthetic erosion control mat and/or degradable erosion control blanket for slope face protection and lining of runoff channels.

## 2. EROSION CONTROL MATERIALS:

2.1 The specific erosion control material and supplier shall be pre-approved by ENGEO.
2.2 Certification: The Contractor shall submit a manufacturer's certification that the erosion mat/blanket supplied meets the criteria specified when the material was approved by ENGEO. The manufacturer's certification shall include a submittal package of documented test results that confirm the property values. In case of a dispute over validity of values, the Contractor will supply property test data from an ENGEOapproved laboratory, to support the certified values submitted. Minimum average roll values, per ASTM D 4759, shall be used for conformance determinations.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION:

3.1 Delivery, Storage, and Handling: Contractor shall check the erosion control material upon delivery to ensure that the proper material has been received. During all periods of shipment and storage, the erosion mat shall be protected from temperatures greater than $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, mud, dirt, and debris. Manufacturer's recommendations in regard to protection from direct sunlight must also be followed. At the time of installation, the erosion mat/blanket shall be rejected if it has defects, tears, punctures, flaws, deterioration, or damage incurred during manufacture, transportation, or storage. If approved by ENGEO, torn or punctured sections may be removed by cutting OUT a section of the mat. The remaining ends should be overlapped and secured with ground anchors. Any erosion mat/blanket damaged during storage or installation shall be replaced by the Contractor at no additional cost to the Owner:
3.2 On-Site Representative: Erosion control material suppliers shall provide a qualified and experienced representative on site, for a minimum of one day, to assist the Contractor and ENGEO personnel at the start of construction. If there is more than one slope on a project, this criteria will apply to construction of the initial slope only. The representative shall be available on an as-needed basis, as requested by ENGEO, during construction of the remaining slope(s).
3.3 Placement: The erosion control material shall be placed and anchored on a smooth graded, firm surface approved by the Engineer. Anchoring terminal ends of the erosion control material shall be accomplished through use of key trenches. The material in the trenches shall be anchored to the soil on maximum $11 / 2$ foot centers. Topsoil, if required by construction drawings, placed over final grade prior to installation of the erosion control material shall be limited to a depth not exceeding 3 inches.
3.4 Erosion control material shall be anchored, overlapped, and otherwise constructed to ensure performance until vegetation is well established. Anchors shall be as designated on the construction drawings, with a minimum of 12 inches length, and shall be spaced as designated on the construction drawings, with a maximum spacing of 4 feet.
3.5 Soil Filling: If noted on the construction drawings, the erosion control mat shall be filled with a fine grained topsoil, as recommended by the manufacturer. Soil shall be lightly raked or brushed on/into the mat to fill the mat voids or to a maximum depth of 1 inch.

## PART V - GEOSYNTHETIC DRAINAGE COMPOSITE

## 1. DESCRIPTION:

Work shall consist of furnishing and placing a geosynthetic drainage system as a subsurface drainage medium for reinforced soil slopes.

## 2. DRAINAGE COMPOSITE MATERIALS:

2.1 The specific drainage composite material and supplier shall be preapproved by ENGEO.
2.2 The drain shall be of composite construction consisting of a supporting structure or drainage core material surrounded by a geotextile. The geotextile shall encapsulate the drainage core and prevent random soil intrusion into the drainage structure. The drainage core material shall consist of a three dimensional polymeric material with a structure that permits flow along the core laterally. The core structure shall also be constructed to permit flow regardless of the water inlet surface. The drainage core shall provide support to the geotextile. The fabric shall meet the minimum property requirements for filter fabric listed in Section 2.05C of the Guide Earthwork Specifications.
2.3 A geotextile flap shall be provided along all drainage core edges. This flap shall be of sufficient width for sealing the geotextile to the adjacent drainage structure edge to prevent soil intrusion into the structure during and after installation. The geotextile shall cover the full length of the core.
2.4 The geocomposite core shall be fumished with an approved method of constructing and connecting with outlet pipes or weepholes as shown on the plans. Any fittings shall allow entry of water from the core but prevent intrusion of backfill material into the core material.
2.5 Certification and Acceptance: The Contractor shall submit a manufacturer's certification that the geosynthetic drainage composite meets the design properties and respective index criteria measured in full accordance with all test methods and standards specified. The manufacturer's certification shall include a submittal package of documented test results that confirm the design values. In case of dispute over validity of design values, the Contractor will supply design property test data from an ENGEO-approved laboratory, to support the certified values submitted. Minimum average roll values, per ASTM D 4759, shall be used for determining conformance.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION:

3.1 Delivery, Storage, and Handling: Contractor shall check the geosynthetic drainage composite upon delivery to ensure that the proper material has been received. During all periods of shipment and storage, the geosynthetic drainage composite shall be protected from temperatures greater than $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, mud, dirt, and debris. Manufacturer's recommendations in regards to protection from direct sunlight must also be followed. At the time of installation, the geosynthetic drainage composite shall be rejected if it has defects, tears, punctures, flaws, deterioration, or damage incurred during manufacture, transportation, or storage. If approved by ENGEO, torn or punctured sections may be removed or repaired. Any geosynthetic drainage composite damaged during storage or installation shall be replaced by the Contractor at no additional cost to the Owner.
3.2 On-Site Representative: Geosynthetic drainage composite material suppliers shall provide a qualified and experienced representative on site, for a minimum of one half day, to assist the Contractor and ENGEO personnel at the start of construction with directions on the use of drainage composite. If there is more than one application on a project, this criterion will apply to construction of the initial application only. The representative shall also be available on an as-needed basis, as requested by ENGEO, during construction of the remaining applications.
3.3 Placement: The soil surface against which the geosynthetic drainage composite is to be placed shall be free of debris and inordinate irregularities that will prevent intimate contact between the soil surface and the drain.
3.4 Seams: Edge seams shall be formed by utilizing the flap of the geotextile extending from the geocomposite's edge and lapping over the top of the fabric of the adjacent course. The fabric flap shall be securely fastened to the adjacent fabric by means of plastic tape or non-water-soluble construction adhesive, as recommended by the supplier. Where vertical splices are necessary at the end of a geocomposite roll or panel, an 8 -inch-wide continuous strip of geotextile may be placed, centering over the seam and continuously fastened on both sides with plastic tape or non-water-soluble construction adhesive. As an alternative, rolls of geocomposite drain material may be joined together by turning back the fabric at the roll edges and interlocking the cuspidations approximately 2 inches. For overlapping in this manner, the fabric shall be lapped and tightly taped beyond the seam with tape or adhesive. Interlocking of the core shall always be made with the upstream edge on top in the direction of water flow. To prevent soil intrusion, all exposed edges of the geocomposite drainage core edge must be covered. Alternatively, a 12 -inch-wide strip of fabric may be utilized in the same manner, fastening it to the exposed fabric 8 inches in from the edge and folding the remaining flap over the core edge.

INCORPORATED
3.5 Soil Fill Placement: Structural backfill shall be placed immediately over the
geocomposite drain. Care shall be geocomposite drain. Care shall be taken during the backfill operation not to damage the geotextile surface of the drain. Care shall also be taken to avoid excessive settlement of the backfill material. The geocomposite drain, once installed, shall not be exposed for more than seven days prior to backfilling.

### 4.9 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

## INTRODUCTION

This section analyzes the effects of the proposed subdivisions upon soils and geology within the project area.

Information in this chapter is drawn from a Geotechnical Exploration Report (Appendix _) prepared by ENGEO, Inc. (March 2005), a Geotechnical Exploration Report (Appendix _) Prepared by Stevens, Ferrone, \& Bailey Engineering Company Inc. (Dated 8/30/04), a Geotechnical Report (Appendix _) prepared by Kleinfelder (Dated ___), Pertinent comments received in response to the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the proposed projects have been integrated into the analysis.

## GEOLOGIC SETTING

The following background setting information focuses on the existing topography of the project sites, and site seismicity, as well as the general conditions and expansiveness of the on-site soils.

## Regional Geology

The site is located at the margin of the Great Valley Geomorphic Province and the Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province. The Great Valley Geomorphic Province consists of an elongated structural trough that has been filled with a sequence of sedimentary deposits ranging from Jurassic to recent in age. In the San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta, sedimentary bedrock is up to six miles in thickness (Atwater, 1982). Geophysical evidence suggests that the Great Valley is underlain at depth with granitic rocks of the Sierra Nevada Province. The adjacent Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province is underlain at depth by Franciscan Assemblage rocks.

The San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta lies at the junction of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, the two major waterways that drain the Central Valley. This area currently consists of a braided pattern of brackish to freshwater tidally-influenced channels and sloughs encircling a series of low-lying islands.

## Site Geology

The near-surface sediments across the project consist of eolian (wind-blown), lacustrine (lake-deposited) and alluvial deposits. These sediments are typically irregularly-stratified, poorly consolidated deposits of clay, silt, sand, and minor gravel.

The geology of the surficial deposits on the site has been largely influenced by changes in sea level during the Late Pleistocene. Most of the high-standing areas in the site vicinity are the crests of old sand dunes and are underlain by sandy eolian deposits deposited during the later part of the most recent low-stand of sea level. According to Atwater, these eolian deposits formerly extended across most of the surface of the site but are now buried in lowlying areas by younger sediments.

The alluvial fan of Marsh Creek extends across the site and Atwater's map and text imply that alluvium of Marsh creek typically overlies the sandy eolian deposits in low-lying areas. According to Atwater (1982), much of the alluvium in the site vicinity consists of gray silt and clay deposited in near sea-level flood basins and ephemeral lakes.

## Site Seismicity

The project is located in an area of moderate seismicity. No faults, active or otherwise, are known to come to the ground surface within or very close to the project site. In addition, no portion of the site is mapped within any Earthquake Fault Zone. The closest active strike-slip fault with surface expression, as identified by the California Geological Survey, is the Concord fault located approximately 19 miles to the west. Other nearby active strike-slip faults include the Calaveras fault, 22 miles to the southwest; the Hayward fault, 32 miles to the west; and the San Andreas fault, 50 miles to the west.

The Midland fault was mapped by Jennings (1994) approximately 0.5 miles east of the site and by Bortugno (1991) approximately 2 miles east of the site. An unnamed queried fault, assumed to be the Midland fault, is also mapped by the City of Oakley (Oakley General Plan 2020 adopted December 2002) to be approximately 1 mile east of the site. Crane (1971) mapped a postulated concealed splay of the Midland fault across the subject site. There is no evidence that supports that Crane's postulated concealed splay of the Midland fault has a risk of surface rupture on the subject site. The Midland fault is thought to be a part of the Coast Ranges - Sierran Block (CRSB) fault system.

Because of the presence of active faults in the region, the area is considered seismically active. Numerous small earthquakes occur every year in the region, and large ( $>$ M7) earthquakes have been recorded and can be expected to occur in the future. Table I lists distances to known active and potentially-active strike-slip faults located within 100 kilometers of the site and summarizes their estimated earthquake magnitudes and UBC Classification.

A significant seismic source listed is the Coast Ranges - Sierran Block (CRSB) boundary, mapped along the west side of the Central Valley. As the name implies, it is the approximate boundary between the actively uplifting east side of the Coast Range crustal block and the west side of the Sierran crustal block. The west side of the Sierran block is covered by the thick veneer of sedimentary rock that fills the Central Valley. The boundary between the two blocks is thought to be a zone of tectonic crustal
shortening and compression. The compression is structurally accommodated by a series of generally west-dipping buried or "blind" thrust faults, along which Coast Range rocks have been thrust eastward over Central Valley sediments. According to Wakabayashi and Smith (1994), the CRSB can be divided into a series of segments that are thought to be seismically independent. The local segments of the CRSB, according to the California Geological Survey (Peterson, et al., 1996) pass through the area in the approximately vicinity of the site. Since the CRSB thrust faults are thought to exist entirely in the subsurface, the exact location of the boundary, that is a "surface fault trace," can not be defined. However, it should be assumed that an earthquake on the local segment of the CRSB could occur in the subsurface below or a few miles east or west of the site.

The historic seismicity of the eastern Coast Ranges includes a number of earthquakes in the M 5.0 to M 6.8 range, including the M 6.31889 Antioch-Collinsville earthquake, the M 6.4-6.8 1892 Vacaville-Winters earthquakes, and the M 6.0-6.5 1983 Coalinga earthquakes. Based on historic seismicity and segment lengths, it is believed that the CRSB is generally capable of producing M 6.0-6.8 earthquakes. The actual location of a possible earthquake epicenter in the CRSB can not be easily estimated, so the maximum ground shaking levels at the site could vary as described above. However, the recurrence interval for the local segments of the CRSB is believed to be in the range of 500 to 650 years (Peterson, et al. 1996), much longer than the for the nearby strike-slip faults (commonly 150 to 250 years).

Since the CRSB faults are not known to extend to the ground surface, the State of California has not defined Earthquake Fault Hazard Zones around the postulated traces.

## Soil Conditions

The site is mapped by the Soil Survey of Contra Costa County (1977). The predominant soil across the southwestern portions of the project is Sycamore Silty Clay Loam (So). Marcuse Clay (Mb), Dehli Sand (DaC), and Piper Loamy Sand (Pe) are mapped along the eastern side of the project. \{\{SFB and Kleinfelder, please confirm\}\}

Marcuse Clay (Mb), Delhi Sand (DaC), and Piper Loamy Sand (Pe) are predominately mapped in the middle portion of the project area (Gilbert site).

In general, the project is underlain by variable layers of soft to stiff clayey material and loose to very dense sandy material to the maximum depth explored of 50 feet.

## Groundwater

The depth to groundwater varies across the project from approximately 1 to 15 feet below the existing ground surface. In addition, the groundwater elevation may fluctuate due to seasonal variation in rainfall, tidal action or other factors not in evidence at this time.

## Expansive Soil

The near surface soils in portions of the site consist of moderately expansive clay. Expansive soils shrink and swell as a result of moisture changes. This can cause heaving and cracking of slabs-on-grade, pavements, and structures founded on shallow foundations. Building damage due to moisture changes in expansive soils can be reduced by re-grading the pad areas with appropriate non-expansive soils, pre-swelling the soils by moisture conditioning, stabilizing the expansive soil through lime treatment, and/or modifying or stiffing foundations to resist movement.

## Weak or Compressible Clay

Some weak or compressible clays interbedded with some moderately organic clays exist within the near surface of the eastern portion of the site. This material will consolidate due to the addition of additional fill material and building loads.

## Liquefaction

The most significant geotechnical concern to be considered in the design of the project is the presence of liquefiable dune sand near the ground surface across most of the project. During earthquakes, ground shaking may cause a loss of strength in cohesionless saturated soils. This process is called liquefaction, and it occurs most commonly in loose sands associated with a high water table. In general, variable layers of potentially liquefiable material were encountered in the upper 30 feet of the project. Below a depth of 30 feet, the sandy materials are dense and generally not liquefiable.

## Regulatory Context

Existing policies, laws and regulations that would apply to the proposed projects are summarized below.

## California Building Standards Code / Uniform Building Code

Site development and design are regulated in the State of California by the California Building Standards Code (CBC), based on the federal Uniform Building Code (UBC) and suited to the unique sensitivity of the state's geology and faultlines. CBC and UBC regulations must be complied with in consideration of expansive soils, drainage, erosion, earthquake resistance, and required safety measures during on-site development. Geologic and soils conditions would also determine the proper installation of underground communications and utility lines.

## City of Oakley General Plan

## Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The geological impacts related to the proposed projects are analyzed and assessed in this section.

## Standards of Significance

An impact on the geology of the subject site would be considered significant if any of the following conditions would potentially result from the proposed projects’ implementation:

- Exposure of people, structures, or infrastructure components to increased risk of injury or damage due to the presence of expansive soils, soil settlement/compaction, or other geotechnical constraints.
- Exposure of people or structures to substantial, adverse effects as a result of strong ground shaking, seismic-related ground failure, liquefaction, lateral spreading, landslides, or lurch cracking;
- Substantial alteration of the existing topography through significant grading activities;
- Substantial erosion or unstable slope or soil conditions through alteration of topographic features, dewatering, or changes in drainage patterns; or


## Method of Analysis

The analysis for the proposed project relies on from a Geotechnical Exploration Report (Appendix _) prepared by ENGEO, Inc. (March 2005), a Geotechnical Exploration Report (Appendix _) Prepared by Stevens, Ferrone, \& Bailey Engineering Company Inc. (Dated 8/30/04), a Geotechnical Report (Appendix _) prepared by Kleinfelder (Dated
$\qquad$

## Project-Specific Impacts and Mitigation Measures

### 4.9I-1 Impacts related to expansive soil.

Construction of the proposed roadways and future construction of the houses would require solid building surfaces. Expansive soils shrink and swell as a result of moisture changes. This can cause heaving and cracking of slabs-on-grade, pavements, and structures founded on shallow foundations. Therefore, expansive soil could have a potentially significant impact.

## Mitigation Measure

Implementation of the following mitigation measure would mitigate potential impacts related to foundation support/expansive soil to a less-than-significant level.
1)
2)

Re-grading the pad areas with appropriate non-expansive soils, pre-swelling the soils by moisture conditioning, stabilizing the expansive soil through lime treatment, and/or modifying or stiffing foundations to resist movement.

A design-level geotechnical study should be completed for each proposed development before a grading permit is issued. The study should specifically address whether expansive soils are present in the development area and include measures to address these soils where they occur. Measures included in the study should be implemented as appropriate, based on the specific soil conditions and the type of facility being constructed.

### 4.9I-2 Impacts related to weak or compressible clay

Weak or compressible clays can consolidate under additional loads from engineered fill and buildings. This can cause settlement of pavements and structures founded on shallow foundations. Therefore, weak or compressible soil could have a potentially significant impact.

## Mitigation Measure

Implementation of the following mitigation measure would mitigate potential impacts related to weak or compressible soil to a less-than-significant level.

1) It is estimated that a majority of the settlement would occur during earthwork operations and would be aerial in extent. Once the project grading plans are completed and the approximate building loads are determined, the project geotechnical engineer will determine if remediation measures such as removing or surcharging the compressible material will be necessary to minimize future settlement to acceptable levels.

### 4.9I-3 Impacts related to Liquefaction

Liquefaction is a phenomenon during which granular material (silt or sand) is transformed from a solid state into a liquid state as a result of seismic activity. The primary factors determining liquefaction potential of a soil deposit are: (1) the level and duration of seismic ground motions; (2) the type and consistency of the soil; and (3) the depth to groundwater. All of the geotechnical studies performed on the subject sites indicate that variable thicknesses of liquefiable material exist below a majority of the entire project. It is estimated that up to 4 inches of settlement could occur due to liquefaction. In addition, portions of the site do not have enough capping material to prevent the liquefiable material from venting to the surface creating sand boils, ground cracking, and other ground
surface disruption. Therefore, liquefaction could have a potentially significant impact.

Mitigation Measure
Implementation of the following mitigation measure would mitigate potential impacts related to liquefiable soil to a less-than-significant level.

1) Depending on the thickness of potentially liquefiable material and thickness of material capping the liquefiable material, several different methods of mitigating the effects of liquefaction can be implemented consisting of post-tensioned mat foundations, removing and recompacting the liquefiable material, deep dynamic compaction, in-place vibro compaction, gravel filled geo-piers, or other similar procedures. Once final grading plans are designed, the project geotechnical engineer will need to determine the most appropriate and effective method of mitigating the effects of liquefaction.


July 30, 2004

Mr. Jeffrey C. Schroeder<br>Vice President, Land Acquisition \& Planning<br>PONDEROSA HOMES II, Inc.

6671 Owens Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588
RE: Cultural Resources Assessment - Emerson Property, Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue (APN 037-192-015 and 037-102-016) - City of Oakley, Contra Costa County

Dear Mr. Schroeder,
Please let this letter serve as our Cultural Resources Assessment (Archaeology) of the Emerson Property located at Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue, City of Oakley, Contra Costa County. This assessment was requested in order to fulfill the various mandates of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and cultural resources and planning directives of the City of Oakley. The report provides the results of a records search, reviews pertinent literature, provides the results of an archaeological field inventory, and presents management recommendations.

## PROJECT LOCATION

The Emerson Property (the project) is located east of State Route 4 and Frank Hengle Way in the City Oakley in the far northeast portion Contra Costa County. Marsh Creek is to the west, the Contra Costa Canal is on the north, and Emerson Slough is just north of Sellars Avenue. Big Break is to the northwest, and Dutch Slough is further north (opposite Jersey Island).

The Emerson Property is located within the "Cypress Corridor Area" a special planning area of the City of Oakley (Oakley, 2002a:General Plan, Fig. 2-3). The property consists of two parcels: a smaller, 0.97-acre parcel is at the northwest corner of Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue (APN 037-192-015); and a much larger, 140.16-acre parcel (APN 037-192-016) surrounds the smaller parcel. The latter is bounded by the Contra Costa Canal on the north, Sellars Avenue on the east, and Cypress Road on the south (Ponderosa Homes 2004; USGS Brentwood, Calif. 1978; T 2N, R 3E, most of the NE 1/4 of Section 30) [Figs. 1-3].

## RESEARCH SOURCES CONSULTED AND REVIEW RESULTS

A prehistoric and historic site records and literature search was completed by the California Historical Resources Information System, Northwest Information Center, California State

University Sonoma, Rohnert Park (CHRIS/NWIC File No. 04-04). ${ }^{1}$ Reference material from the Bancroft Library, University of California at Berkeley and Basin Research Associates, San Leandro was also consulted. ${ }^{2}$

## RESULTS

The majority of the archaeological data available for the study area have been compiled as a result of cultural resource compliance programs undertaken for both public agencies and private entities.

The Contra Costa County General Plan appears to assign a "low" archaeological sensitivity rating to the project area and near vicinity (Contra Costa County Community Development Department (CCC/CDD) 1996:9-11 to 9-18, Figure 9-2).

There are no recorded or formally reported archaeological sites located in or adjacent to the project.

A historic building, the former Iron House School, was originally located within the northwest corner of Cypress Avenue and Sellars Road but has since been remodeled as a private residence and moved from its original location to the main parcel. The Historic Properties Directory (HPD) has assigned Primary Number P-07-000903 to the building (CAL/OHP 2004:3). The resource is listed on the HPD as on Cypress Road in Brentwood [sic] as a "code 7", not evaluated for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources or needs reevaluation.

## Cultural Resources Compliance Reports

Four cultural resources compliance reports on file at the CHRIS/NWIC include part of the project area or adjacent areas along Cypress Road.

The Cultural Resources Survey East/Central Contra Costa County Wastewater Management Plan provides the results of a review of recorded sites, various historical listings, a general background review, and a field survey which included a pipeline along Cypress Road and a segment (\#12) along the east-west unpaved road off of Sellars Avenue within the Emerson Property. Iron House School was identified as 150 yards away from the proposed pipeline route \#12. No other cultural resources were observed in or adjacent to the Emerson Property (Busby 1976/S-18352). ${ }^{3}$

1. Dated July 23, 2004.
2. Specialized listings consulted include the Historic Properties Directory for Contra Costa County (CAL/OHP 2004) with the most recent updates of the National Register of Historic Places; California Historical Landmarks; and, California Points of Historical Interest as well as other evaluations of properties reviewed by the State of California Office of Historic Preservation. Other sources consulted include: California History Plan (CAL/OHP 1973); California Inventory of Historic Resources (CAL/OHP 1976); Five Views: An Ethnic Sites Survey for California (CAL/OHP 1988); and, Historic Civil Engineering Landmarks of San Francisco and Northern California (ASCE 1977); as well as local inventories, lists, and historic maps.
3. S-\# assigned by the CHRIS/NWIC.

A Class II Archeological Survey of the Contra Costa Canal includes the results of a records search, historical context, and windshield survey of most of the canal system, reservoirs, and other facilities and lands. Existing conditions were described, noting that all portions of the canal right-of-way have been modified and that canals are/were cleaned twice each year with sediments dried within the canal right-of-way and then hauled away. No historic properties eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places were identified (West and Welch 1996/S-18440).

## A Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report for the City of Brentwood

 Wastewater Facilities Expansion Project includes a records search, environmental setting, archaeological survey and review of architectural properties. This survey was negative for the area along Cypress Road adjacent to the project (Jones \& Stokes Associates 1998/S20808).Report S-25276, which includes a project located adjacent to the west side of the Emerson Property, was not available for review.

## NATIVE AMERICAN RESOURCES <br> PREHISTORIC

The general study area appears to have been favored by Native Americans for both occupation and hunting and collecting activities. The area would have provided a favorable environment during the prehistoric period with riparian and inland resources readily available and the bayshore in relative close proximity. Native American occupation and use of the general area appears to extend over 5000-7000 years and may be longer. Archaeological information suggests an increase in the prehistoric population over time with an increasing focus on permanent settlements with large populations in later periods. This change from huntercollectors to an increased sedentary lifestyle is due to more efficient resource procurement but with a focus on staple food exploitation, the increased ability to store food at village locations, and the development of increasing complex social and political systems including long-distance trade networks. General overviews and perspectives on the regional prehistory can be found in Moratto (1984), Elsasser (1978), and Rice et al. (1994).

## ETHNOGRAPHIC

The proposed project appears to have been within the Julpun and/or Volvon tribelet area of the Bay Miwok or Eastern Miwok. The Julpun territory appears to have extended along the Old River of the San Joaquin River and lower Marsh Creek while the Volvon held Mount Diablo and upper Marsh Creek drainage on the eastern side of Mount Diablo (Milliken 1995:229, Map 5, 246, 259). They may have been subject to some Northern Yokuts influence, a group clustered along the San Joaquin River and its main tributaries. Following Bennyhoff (1977) and Levy (1978), Chupcan is the closest known ethnographic village ${ }^{4}$ and the tribelet center appears to
4. Note researchers differ as to group names and locations, sometimes reflecting new and/or differing interpretations of research sources. Arguello stated that the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers joined to form the "bays of the Julpunes, Ompines, and Chupcanes" (Bennyhoff 1977:161, \#68 after Cook 1960:287, May 21). Bennyhoff refers to the group and village as Chupcan and places the Julpun to the southeast, east of Marsh Creek. Milliken places the Chupcan further west holding the lower Diablo Valley with the Julpun in the Marsh
have been located at present-day Antioch ${ }^{5}$ (Kroeber 1925; Davis 1961; Bennyhoff 1977; Levy 1978; Wallace 1978; Elsasser 1986).

The Bay Miwok were the first of the Eastern Miwok to be missionized and the largest group of Julpun went to Mission San Jose in present-day Fremont. Julpunes is identified as a Christian village on a 1824 Topographic map of the Mission San Jose. At the time, this village is shown on an island on the north bank of the San Joaquin River suggesting the Julpun moved as a result of missionization (Anonymous 1824 in Bennyhoff 1977:144, 166-167, Maps 4a-b; Levy 1978:401).

In 1838, Dr. John Marsh, the namesake of Marsh Creek, found a few Native Americans when he settled on his Rancho Los Meganos (the sand-banks or sand dunes), a rancho located south of the project. ${ }^{6}$ They appear to have returned to the area at the end of 1836 after the secularization ${ }^{7}$ of Mission San Jose. Marsh was noted for his good relations with local Native Americans, whom he referred to as the Pulpunes and placed his adobe dwelling ${ }^{8}$ on the bank opposite their rancheria (Hoover et al. 1966; Bennyhoff 1977; Milliken 1995).

Extensive ethnographic data for the San Francisco Bay Region are lacking, and the aboriginal lifeway apparently disappeared by approximately 1810 due to introduced diseases, a declining birthrate, the cataclysmic impact of the mission system and the later secularization of the missions by the Mexican government (Levy 1978).

No Native American villages or known trails are situated within or near the project area.
For additional information on the Native Americans in the study area see Kroeber (1925), Bennyhoff (1977), Levy (1978), and Milliken (1995).

## HISTORIC ERA RESOURCES HISPANIC PERIOD

Between 1769 and 1776 a number of Spanish expeditions passed through the San Francisco Bay region, including those led by Portola, Fages, Fages and Crespi, Anza, Rivera, and Moraga. Even though the routes of the early explorers cannot be determined with total accuracy, none are

Creek vicinity (e.g., Schenck 1926:133, Fig. 1 after Spanish Reports).
5. CA-CCo-138, located on the Hotchkiss Tract near Cypress and Bethel Island Roads may have functioned as a main pre-contact village for the Julpun tribelet (Bennyhoff 1977:144).
6. This rancho is located south of Lone Tree Way and includes present-day Brentwood.
7. The program which involved the release of Indian neophytes from mission jurisdiction and the conversion of Mission property. The 1826 decree in California was ineffective and followed by proclamation in 1834 (Hart 1987:322, 464).
8. Replaced by a stone house located nearby on Marsh Creek Road two and a half miles south of Brentwood. He called his estate the Farm of Pulpones (Hoover et al. 1966:60-61). The stone house is probably the most notable cultural resource in the general study area. It is listed on the National Register of Historic Places, the California Inventory of Historic Resources, designated a Contra Costa County Structure of Historical Significant/Architectural Specimen, and on the Contra Costa County Map of Historical Points of Interest (Hoover et al. 1966:60; CAL/OHP 1976:229; CCC/CDD 1989; CCCoHS 1994:\#143; CAL/OHP 2004).
known to have traveled near the project area (Schenck 1926; Cook 1957; Beck and Haase 1974:\#17; Milliken 1995:33, Map 3). The closest known historic trail corridor, the 1776 Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail, passed just west and south of the Emerson Property (USNPS 1995). ${ }^{9}$

The Spanish philosophy of government in northwestern New Spain was directed at the founding of presidios, missions, and secular towns with the land held by the Crown (1769-1821), while the later Mexican policy (1822-1848) stressed individual ownership of the land. After the secularization of the missions was declared by Mexico in 1833, vast tracts of the mission lands were granted to individual citizens (Hart 1987).

During the Mexican Period (1822 to 1846) and into the American Period, the project was situated in ungranted/patented lands north of the Rancho Los Meganos (sand hills). Governor Jose Castro granted the rancho to Jose Noriega in October 1835. He sold it to John Marsh in 1837. Marsh moved to the rancho in April 1838 and was murdered in 1856 by three Mexican Vaqueros. His daughter patented the rancho in August 1867.

No known Hispanic Period features, dwellings, roads, corrals, etc. appear to have been present within or near the project (Hendry and Bowman 1940:484-486; Collier 1983).

## AMERICAN PERIOD

In the mid-19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century, most of the rancho and pueblo lands in California were subdivided as the result of population growth and the American takeover. This American ascendancy was the result of the confirmation of property titles throughout California, prior to which the transfer of real estate had been extremely risky. The initial explosion in population was associated with the Gold Rush (1848), followed later by the construction of the transcontinental railroad (1869). Still later, the development of the refrigerator railroad car (ca. 1880s), used for the transport of agricultural produce to distant markets, had a major impact on population growth (Hart 1987).

Contra Costa County is among the 27 initial California counties. Growth in the general study area has been linked with agriculture, a coal mining boom from the $1850 \mathrm{~s}-1880 \mathrm{~s}$, and the development of transportation networks to service both industry and agriculture with market links. The towns of Crockett, Port Costa, Vallejo Junction, Martinez, former Bay Point/Port Chicago, Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch, and later Oakley were important focal points for services and the transport of coal, fish, lumber, and wheat to San Francisco and Sacramento and beyond by water and, later, by rail.

## Project Study Area

The project is located in the eastern part of present-day Oakley. Prior to reclamation, Marsh's Landing at the edge of the extensive tule area opposite Sherman Island and Iron House/Babbe's Landing (both dating to the 1850s) along Dutch Slough were the most important features in the study area.
9. A proposed recreational trail with the potential to be marked as the Anza Trail has been placed just south of Cypress Road (USNPS 1995).

By 1873 the San Pablo and Tulare Railroad ran through the study area skirting the northeastern portion of Rancho Los Meganos (e.g., Geological Survey of California 1873). By 1878, the San Pablo and Tulare Railroad was complete and ran south of the future Oakley vicinity, from Tracy through Byron, Brentwood, Antioch, ${ }^{10}$ Pittsburg/Cornwall, and Bay Point and on to Martinez to connect with the Central Pacific Railroad. In 1899, a parallel line was constructed between Seal Bluff and Antioch by the San Francisco \& San Joaquin Valley Railroad Company. This line was conveyed to the Santa Fe Railroad Company in 1901 which was a 1897 reorganization of the Atchison Topeka \& Santa Fe Railway Company (AT\&SF).

Late $19^{\text {th }}$ century Oakley was/is located on the south side of Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe (AT\&SF) railroad line ${ }^{11} 1.5$ mile east and slightly south of the Emerson Property. The town is situated about 6.0 miles east of Antioch and about 1.5 mile northwest of Knightsen (on the Atchison Topeka \& Santa Fe Railway Company rail line). ${ }^{12}$ Oakley was named for the abundant native oak trees by R.C. Marsh and was noted for apricot, almond and grapes. R.C. Marsh, farmer and first postmaster of the Oakley post office which opened October 7, 1878, negotiated the right-of-way across the northwest quarter of Section 25 for at least a half mile of side-track and a small station "room" . . . to be followed by a station dependent on business.

The land for "Oakley" was provided by James O'Hara who had purchased government-grant land in 1887. The town was founded in 1897, initially subdivided by R.C. Marsh with O'Hara Avenue as the main north-south street followed to the east to the tracks by Second, Third, and Fifth streets. East-west streets from Main Street (present-day State Route 4) south consisted of Acme, Ruby, Star, and Home streets. By 1902 Oakley had a general merchandise store, blacksmith shop, a post office, and a "pigeon industry" and the first hotel opened in 1908 by Mr. and Mrs. S. Dal Porto who also built a town hall. In 1910 A.G. Ramos opened a store specializing in harnesses, whips, lap robes, and blankets and in 1911 M.A. Ferrell opened another grocery store and also sold feed and hardware.

Fruit and vegetable wholesalers built packing sheds along the north side of the half-mile long railroad spur to ship almonds, celery, asparagus and wine grapes to the eastern markets. Reportedly the field workers in the area were "mostly Oriental" [Chinese and Japanese] but also included Hindus and later, Mexicans provided labor (Smith and Elliot 1879:map; Collier 1983:141-142; Emanuels 1986:209-211; Patera 1991:154; Fickewirth 1992:13, 129, 137; Metcalfe 1994 [1902]:not paginated; Walker 1994:Map CA-13; Gudde 1998:266; Oakley 2002b:EIR, 3-2)).
10. Antioch Station was south of Antioch. Smith's Landing, dating to the 1850s at Fulton Shipyard Road, was the first landing in Antioch and on land purchased from Dr. John Marsh. This landing is on the California Inventory of Historic Resources under the theme of Economic/Industrial and Revised Preliminary Historic Resources Inventory of Contra Costa County (CAL/OHP 1976:111, 230; Emanuels 1986:213; CCC/CDD 1989:Antioch area).
11. in the northeast $1 / 4$ of the $1 / 4$ NW of Section 25 T2N R3E (USGS 1916 Byron [surveyed 1911])
12. This line, incorporated in December 1895 was the successor of the Atchison, Topeka \& Santa Fe Railroad Company incorporated in 1859 (Fickewirth 1992:13).

## Project Specific Historic Map Review

Goddard's 1857 Map of the State of California shows major points of interest in the general study area: Antioch and New York of the Pacific (present-day Pittsburg) are mapped north of the project and the name "Marsh" is mapped south of the project while the project area is shown as marshy.

The 1862 Government Land Office (GLO) survey plat for Township 2 North, Range 3 East shows a markedly different shoreline. Iron House Landing is located north of the project in the N $1 / 2$ of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 19. Two roads lead to the Landing, one of which would have proceed through the Emerson Property west of Sellars Avenue and not along the present-day alignment between Sections. ${ }^{13}$

The 1872 Plat showing the subdivision of the Two Bodies of land "Notoriously Swampy \& Overflowed" (United States Surveyor General (USSG) 1872) shows Dutch Slough and the zigzaging boundary of the swampy \& overflowed lands thorough the SW 1/2 of T2N R3E north and east the project's. Chan's (1986:166-167, Map 7) reconstruction of the sequence of reclamation in the study area between 1860-1930 shows the Emerson Property as not reclaimed in contrast to the area on the east side of Sellars Avenue which was reclaimed 1890-1900.

The 1873 Geological Survey of California map shows Iron House Landing on Dutch Slough and two roads leading to it. None of the farmsteads shown were located in or near the project.

The 1914 USGS Brentwood and 1916 USGS Byron [surveyed 1911] topographic maps include Oakley, the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe tracks, and Knightsen in the vicinity of the project. Both present-day Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue were extant. Two paved roads proceeded about a third west into the larger Emerson Parcel (APN 037-102-016) from Sellars Avenue and connected to a paved road north through the parcel. The northernmost paved road was parallel to the boundary of T2N R3E Sections 19 and 30 and the southernmost paved road was situated about midpoint of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 30 . In addition, an unpaved road proceeded north from Cypress Road at the midpoint of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 30 and connected to southernmost paved road off of Sellars Avenue. Iron House School, the only structure in the project area, is labeled and shown in the northwest corner of the intersection of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue (e.g., APN 037-192-015). ${ }^{14}$

A 1938 Map of Contra Costa County, California shows the project by "Emerson" as well as property on the north side of the Contra Costa Canal north to Dutch Slough (Anonymous 1938).

The 1943 US War Dept [photography 1937] quadrangle also shows and labels Iron House School in the northwest corner of the intersection of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue. Part of unpaved road at a diagonal is shown about mid-property connecting to a north unpaved road to the Contra Costa Canal and also east to Sellars Avenue. Three structures are located in the vicinity of these unpaved roads on the Emerson Property.

[^9]The 1978 USGS show two unpaved roads, one north/south and the other trending east-west, off of Sellars Avenue which appear to conform to the alignment of earlier roads. Iron House School at the corner of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue is not shown or labeled. ${ }^{15}$ Two structures are shown in the vicinity of the unpaved roads: one in the northeast quadrant of the property [the location of the moved School as observed during the field survey] and another at about project mid-point slightly south of the slightly extended east-west unpaved road. The third structure present in the late 1930s/early 1940s situated near Sellars Avenue just north of the unpaved midpoint east-west road had been removed by 1978 [Fig. 2].

## Historic Resources In/Near the Project

No Historic Era sites have been recorded or formally reported in the project area or adjacent (CHRIS/NWIC File No. 04-4).

Two historic Era cultural resources are located in/adjacent to the Emerson Property: Iron House School, and part of the Contra Costa Canal (adjacent). No other local, state or federal historically or architecturally significant structures, landmarks, or points of interest have been identified within or adjacent to the project. One historic Era cultural resource, Iron House Landing (later known as Babbe's Landing), is located just north of the project.

## Iron House School

The former Iron House School was originally located at the northwest corner of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue (e.g., USGS 1916 Bryon [surveyed 1911]). ${ }^{16}$ "School house built in 1850s. Structure now used as a private home and dairy, quarter-mile north of Cypress, west side of Sellars Road." (CCCoHS 1994:\#148). ${ }^{17}$ By 1882, the Iron House School District was one of 39 in Contra Costa County (Slocum 1882:207). This school has been described as a 1852 single story wood frame building with shiplap siding capped with a double pitched roof. In 1976, the school was located about 150 yards from a proposed pipeline along Cypress Road and had been modified and modernized for use as a private residence (Busby 1976).

The former school is on the California History Plan (CAL/OHP 1973:55) and California Inventory of Historic Resources under the theme of Social/Educational (CAL/OHP 1976:209, 229), is listed on the Revised Preliminary Historic Resources Inventory of Contra Costa County as a "Structure of Historical Significance" (CCCo/CDD 1989:East Contra Costa County area), and is on the Contra Costa County Map of Historical Points of Interest places (Contra Costa County Historical Society (CCCoHS) 1994:\#148). Iron House School is listed on the Historic Properties Directory (HPD) on Cypress Road in Brentwood [sic] as a "code 7", not evaluated for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical
15. Note Busby (1976:Segment \#12/S-18352) places the school 150 yards from unpaved east-west road.
16. Anonymous/Source Unknown (1976?:\#324) maps the school near the corner [likely in small corner parcel, APN 037-192-015).
17. The text places it on the northwest corner of Cypress Avenue and Sellars Avenue in Brentwood. The accompanying map shows the "Iron Horse [sic] School" (site)" straddling the Contra Costa Canal.

Resources or needs reevaluation. The HPD assigns Primary Number P-07-000903 to the school.

## Contra Costa Canal

The Contra Costa Canal, the first canal built as part of the Central Valley Project, is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the larger Emerson Property project parcel (APN 037-102016). The United States Bureau of Reclamation opened an office in Antioch in 1936. Construction on the canal began in late 1937 and was completed in 1948 (after work was suspended during World War II). The 46 -mile long Contra Costa Canal has been evaluated as not eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (Craigo 1992; West and Welch 1996/S-18440; JRP 2000:73-77, Appendix A, p. 5 as code 6Y1, determined ineligible by consensus with no potential for any listing).

## Iron House Landing

One historic Era cultural resource, Iron House Landing (later known as Babbe's Landing), is described as located at the foot of Sellers Avenue on Dutch Slough (e.g., CAL/OHP 1976:228). ${ }^{18}$ Iron House Landing, as mapped on the 1862 Government Land Office (GLO) survey plat for Township 2 North, Range 3 East, is north of the project in the N $1 / 2$ of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 19. The landing dates to the 1850 s and appears on a number of historic maps. Reportedly the name "Iron House" is associated with a store owned by Larrabee and Henderson, on the landing who replaced a their make-shift pole and tule structure with a house built of sheet iron. ${ }^{19}$ The Iron House was later bought by the Halstead family." (Hohlmayer 1991:239-240). The landing was on a channel cut to high land and was the central point for horse and hay transport to San Francisco in the Iron House and Eden Plains districts. It also included the store of Martin Hamburg ${ }^{20}$ until he moved to Antioch (Smith and Elliott 1879:30, ff22 [illustrated]; Slocum 1882:484; Baker 1985:2-4/S-7639). Research conducted by Baker at the Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley located some material on Frederick Babbe, but not for Martin Hamburg at the Landing site. Baker posits, given the lack of data ${ }^{21}$, that the Martin Hamburg store at the landing may be "a mistake". ${ }^{22}$
18. Anonymous/Source Unknown (1976?:\#325) maps the Landing mostly on the east side of Sellars Avenue on the south side of the Contra Costa Canal.
19. Reportedly, a cargo of $24 \times 36$ and $24 \times 72$ inch iron sheets arrived in San Francisco via the "Delia Chapin" out of Massachusetts in 1849 and were traded for firewood up and down the San Joaquin River.
20. It [Hamburg's store] was first situated about five miles above Marsh Landing, on the bank of a sheet of water that had acquired the name of Dutch slough, whence there had been cut a channel to the high land, and saving the expense of a road and wharf on the tule lands, thus making a central point for the residents of the Iron House and Eden Plains districts. (Solcum 1882:484).
21. Including the Contra Costa County Community Development Department.
22. The surveys of the Burroughs property opposite Sellars Avenue and northeast of the Emerson Property [the Ponderosa Homes Project] were negative for prehistoric and historic era sites including evidence of Babbe's Landing (Baker 1985a/S-7639; Baker 1985b/S-7666).

Babbe's Landing [sic] is listed on the California History Plan under the theme of Exploration/Settlement (CAL/OHP 1973:53), California Inventory of Historic Resources under the theme of Economic/Industrial (CAL/OHP 1976:68, 228), and the Revised Preliminary Historic Resources Inventory of Contra Costa County (CCC/CDD 1989:East Contra Costa County area) as a "Site of Historic Event".

## FIELD INVENTORY <br> PREVIOUS SURVEYS

Three previous archaeological field surveys for water projects have included Cypress Road adjacent to the southern boundary of the Emerson Property (Busby 1976/S-18352; West and Welch 1996/S-18440; Jones \& Stokes Associates 1998/S-20808). No cultural resources were identified along Cypress Road in/adjacent to the project. Busby (1976/S-18352) also conducted a pipeline survey along the east-west unpaved road off of Sellars Avenue within the larger APN 037-192-016 Emerson property parcel. At that time, the Iron House School was identified as 150 yards away from the proposed pipeline route \#12.

## PROJECT SURVEY

A field inventory of the Emerson Property was undertaken by Ms. Christine Marshall, MSc (Archaeologist and Physical Anthropologist), on July 13-14, 2004 in accordance with standard archaeological practice for central California. The Emerson Property consists of two parcels, a small 0.97 acre parcel at the northwest corner of Sellars Avenue and Cypress Road (APN 037-192-015) and the larger 140.16 acre parcel (APN 037-192-016).

APN 037-192-015: Access was limited by two large and aggressive dogs. The property was visible from both Cypress Road and Sellers Road. A large double-wide mobile home and nearby metal outbuilding with two garage bays currently occupy this corner lot.

APN 037-192-016: The larger parcel is subdivided into four fields separated by either fencing or a modern linear berm. The inventory was conducted in transects spaced at 30 meter intervals. The property had been recently plowed resulting in excellent ground surface visibility. Four structures, a large farmhouse with an associated barn and outbuilding, and smaller one-story farmhouse, are present on the property. The large house consists of the former "Iron Horse School" distinguished by the cupola. The school has been converted into a private residence and enlarged. Landscaping around the residence includes two tall cypress visible from a distance. The smaller residence, a one-story ca. 1940s? farmhouse, is located to the southwest and is also surrounded by landscaping.

No evidence of prehistoric or historically significant archaeological materials was observed during the field inventory. No indications of the Iron House/Babbe's Landing and/or buildings and features at the foot of Seller's Road were observed. A loose, dark blackish gray silty loam with unmodified complete and fragmented fresh water clam shell is present in the northeast quadrant of the Emerson property. This approximately 20 cm deep loam stratum overlays a compact layer of dark yellowish brown silty clay. The upper loam layer may have been imported or the residue from dredging operations of the adjoining Contra Costa Canal (e.g.,

Corbicula (clam) valves after West and Welch 1996:6/S-18440). ${ }^{23}$ Other parcel soils vary from a firm medium yellowish gray sandy silts with rounded and subrounded gravel to loose yellowish brown fine sand. Occasional cement rubble is also scattered throughout the property.

## FINDINGS

The intent of this report was to identify cultural properties including prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, historic features and standing structures which may be potentially eligible for inclusion on the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) ${ }^{24}$ using available archival data and a field inventory of the Emerson Property.

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY

- The Contra Costa County General Plan appears to assign a "low" archaeological sensitivity rating to the project area and near vicinity (Contra Costa County Community Development Department (CCC/CDD) 1996:9-11 to 9-18, Figure 9-2).


## RECORDS SEARCH RESULTS

- No archaeological resources have been recorded or formally reported in or adjacent to the project (CHRIS/NWIC File No. 04-04)
- Four cultural resources compliance reports on file at the CHRIS/NWIC include either the project area or adjacent areas (Busby 1976/S-18352; West and Welch 1996/S-18440; Jones \& Stokes Associates 1998/S-20808; Author/date?/S-25276). No cultural resources have been identified along Cypress Road in/adjacent to the project.


## IDENTIFIED CULTURAL RESOURCES

- No prehistoric, ethnographic settlements or traditional Native American use areas have been recorded or identified in or adjacent to property.

23. Likely Corbicula (clam) valves from dredged canal sediments (e.g., West and Welch 1996:6/S-18440).
24. A historical resource may be listed in the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) if it meets one or more of the following criteria: "(1) it is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history, or the cultural heritage of California or the United States; (2) it is associated with the lives of persons important to local, California or national history; (3) it embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master or possesses high artistic values; or (4) it has yielded or has the potential to yield information important in the prehistory or history of the local area, California or the nation." Automatic listings include properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places, determined eligible for the National Register either by the Keeper of the National Register or through a consensus determination on a project review, or State Historical Landmarks from number 770 onward. In addition Points of Interest nominated from January 1998 onward will be jointly listed as Points and in the California Register. Landmarks prior to 770 and Points of Historical Interest may be listed through an action of the State Historical Resources Commission (CAL/OHP ca. 1999, 2001a-c).

- No Hispanic Period archaeological resources have been recorded or identified in or adjacent to the property.
- Two American Era cultural resources have been noted in/adjacent to the Emerson Property. The location of the former Iron House School site is at the northwest corner of Sellars Avenue and Cypress Road (APN 037-192-015). Archaeological deposits may be associated with the original building site. The school (building) was relocated to the northeast quadrant of the Emerson Property and has been modified/expanded (APN 037-192-016). The available USGS map series indicate that the structure was moved after ca. 1940 (US War Dept 1943 [labeled]; USGS 1980). The structure has been described as ".. now used as a private home and dairy, quarter-mile north of Cypress, west side of Sellars Road." (CCCoHS 1994:\#148)." The former school, P-07-000903, is listed on the Historic Properties Directory (HPD) as a "code 7", not evaluated for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources or needs reevaluation.
- The other identified American Era cultural resource, part of the Contra Costa Canal, is situated adjacent to the north side of the project (APN 037-192-016). The canal has been evaluated as not eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (code 6Y1).
- No other local, state or federal historically or architecturally significant structures, landmarks, or points of interest have been recorded or identified in or adjacent to the project.


## FIELD SURVEY - Archaeological Resources

No prehistoric or historically significant archaeological resources were observed during previous surveys or during the survey conducted for the current project. No evidence of the former Iron House/Babbe's Landing were observed during the survey. Any remnants were likely destroyed by the construction of Contra Costa Canal (completed in 1948) along the northern boundary of the Emerson property.

## FIELD SURVEY - Built Resources

The former Iron House School previously located at the northwest corner of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue has been moved to the northeast quadrant of the Emerson Property (APN 037-192-016). The building has been modified/expanded and is currently a private residence. Three other buildings that could be over 50 years in age on the property include: a small one-story farm house, a barn, and an outbuilding. The small house definitely post dates the 1914 USGS topographic map and appears to be one of structures on the 1943 US War Department quadrangle. None of the buildings have been evaluated for inclusion on the California Register of Historical Resources or National Register of Historic Resources.

A large double-wide mobile home and metal outbuilding with two garage bays currently occupy this corner lot (APN 037-192-015). The buildings and structures appear to be less than 50 years in age.

## MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Management recommendations are provided in accordance with the Oakley 2020 General Plan provisions for cultural and historic resources (Oakley 2002a:General Plan, 6-5, 6-6).

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

It is the considered opinion of Basin Research Associates, based on a review of pertinent records, maps and other documents, and a field inventory that the proposed project can proceed as planned in regard to prehistoric and historic archaeological resources. However, future development of the Emerson Property could impact potentially significant historic era archaeological materials associated with the former location of the Iron House School at the northwest corner of Sellars Avenue and Cypress Road. Avoidance of the this area is recommended in accordance with the Oakley 2020 General Plan (Oakley 2002a:General Plan, 65, 6-6) which encourages preservation of cultural resources within the Plan Area (e.g., Policy 6.4.1 Preserve areas that have identifiable and important archaeological or paleontological significance). If avoidance is not possible, archaeological monitoring during ground disturbing construction is recommended in the vicinity of the former school. Subsurface testing to determine the presence/absence of buried archaeological resources does not appear necessary.

If any significant cultural materials ${ }^{25}$ are exposed or discovered during site clearing or during subsurface construction, operations should stop within 25 feet of the find and a qualified professional archaeologist contacted for further review and recommendations.
25. Significant prehistoric cultural resources may include:
a. Human bone - either isolated or intact burials.
b. Habitation (occupation or ceremonial structures as interpreted from rock rings/features, distinct ground depressions, differences in compaction (e.g., house floors).
c. Artifacts including chipped stone objects such as projectile points and bifaces; groundstone artifacts such as manos, metates, mortars, pestles, grinding stones, pitted hammerstones; and, shell and bone artifacts including ornaments and beads.
d. Various features and samples including hearths (fire-cracked rock; baked and vitrified clay), artifact caches, faunal and shellfish remains (which permit dietary reconstruction), distinctive changes in soil stratigraphy indicative of prehistoric activities.
e. Isolated artifacts

Historic cultural materials may include finds from the late 19th through early 20th centuries. Objects and features associated with the Historic Period can include.
a. Structural remains or portions of foundations (bricks, cobbles/boulders, stacked field stone, postholes, etc.).
b. Trash pits, privies, wells and associated artifacts.
c. Isolated artifacts or isolated clusters of manufactured artifacts (e.g., glass bottles, metal cans, manufactured wood items, etc.).
d. Human remains.

In addition, cultural materials including both artifacts and structures that can be attributed to Hispanic, Asian and other ethnic or racial groups are potentially significant. Such features or clusters of artifacts and samples include remains of structures, trash pits, and privies.

## BUILT RESOURCES

The former Iron House School previously located at the northwest corner of Cypress Road and Sellars Avenue has been moved to the northeast quadrant of the Emerson Property (APN 037-192-016). This property will be moved to another location as part of the proposed project. The three other buildings on APN 037-192-016 that could be over 50 years in age - a small one-story farm house, a barn, and an outbuilding - should be reviewed and evaluated by a qualified architectural historian to determine if they can be considered historic resources under the California Environmental Quality Act.

The Oakley 2020 General Plan Goal 6.5 (Oakley 2002a:General Plan, 6-5, 6-6) encourages preservation and enhancement of selected historic structures and features within the community. Policy 6.5.6 mandates working with property owners to preserve historic features within the community. Program 6.5C requires assessing development proposals for potential impacts to significance historic resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.

The double-wide mobile home and metal outbuilding with two garage bays on APN 037-192015 appear to less than 50 years in age and no further management is recommended.

## CLOSING REMARKS

If I can provide any additional information or be of further service please don't hesitate to contact me. Thank you for retaining our firm for the project.

Sincerely, BASIN RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.

Colin I. Busby Ph.D., R.P.A. Principal
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With your authorization, we have conducted a groundwater study for the subject property, located on the north side of Cypress Road in Contra Costa County, California. The accompanying report presents the results of our study of hydrogeologic conditions beneath the proposed development. Based on our study, it is our opinion that groundwater can be used for evaporation make up requirements of the currently proposed lakes within the development from a hydrogeologic standpoint; provided the recommendations included in this report are followed.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Development is planned on the southern portions of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties (Assessor's Parcel Numbers [APN] 032-270-809, 032-081-016 and 032-270-801 totaling 305 acres) that includes construction of two lakes that would be supplied by stormwater runoff and groundwater resources for the purpose of establishing storage capacity. Groundwater resources would be utilized to maintain the water level of the lakes and also supply turf irrigation water for perimeter green spaces. This report is intended to provide an assessment of groundwater conditions in light of future land use changes. This assessment considers the cumulative affects on groundwater supply and demand contributed from other nearby planned developments for which additional lakes and community park and turf space are planned. This assessment has included research of available data on groundwater quantity and quality, site reconnaissance and interviews, development of a conceptual site model, and a comparison of historical to anticipated future groundwater needs.

The site is irregularly shaped and generally flat with some slight manmade and natural rises. Existing site elevations range from approximately 14 to 4 feet above mean sea level (msl). The northern and southern majority of the site consists of undeveloped agricultural land. Existing driveway, trees, irrigation pipelines and several residential structures with associated buildings are located on the site. Several canals and sloughs exist adjacent to and in the vicinity of the site.

It has been necessary to evaluate groundwater conditions over a much broader area than the site (approximately 12 square miles herein referred to as the "study area") to provide an adequate and cumulative assessment of the potential for impacts to result from land use changes. The groundwater flow direction is northeast at an estimated rate of approximately one (1) gallon per day per square foot based on a hydraulic gradient of 0.1 . The primary aquifers in the area are within the upper 500 feet of unconsolidated alluvial sediments of the Great Valley comprised of interlayered sequences of sand, silt and clay. While aquifer characteristics are best determined by aquifer
pumping test data, for the purposes of assessing potential environmental impacts, a pumping test may be omitted in favor of theoretical modeling of aquifer performance. Specific recommendations are presented at the end of this report to conduct pumping tests for well design purposes.

In general, this assessment concludes that planned land use changes for the site and surrounding area should not have significant impacts to groundwater conditions. While significant amounts of groundwater recharge, such as infiltration from rainfall and irrigation, may be reduced due to the affects of urbanization, the reduction appears to be greatly counteracted by a reduction in historical groundwater pumpage rates and a potential increase in streambed recharge, such that an overall change in the groundwater budget is not expected. A balanced groundwater budget is expected with the assumption that groundwater resources will meet the future water demands created by the cumulative amount of evaporation from surface water in the lakes and from irrigation water applied to common area parks and street landscape planned in the study area that is estimated to be 540 and 290 acre-feet per year, respectively.

This study has also concluded that groundwater in the site and study area is of sufficient quality for the purpose of landscape irrigation and lake evaporation loss makeup. In addition, it appears plausible for the quality of groundwater in the area to improve due to urbanization primarily due to less infiltration of nitrate-laden irrigation water from agricultural activities and a greater component of recharge from streams and sloughs having lower concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) than either recharge of irrigation water or the lateral flow of higher TDS groundwater sourced from the Coast Ranges located to the west of the study area.

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Proposed development on the southern portions of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties (Assessor's Parcel Numbers [APN] 032-270-809, 032-081-016 and 032-270-801 totaling 305 acres) includes planned construction of lakes (two are planned at approximately 5 acres each in size) that would be supplied by stormwater runoff and groundwater resources for the purpose of establishing storage capacity. Groundwater resources would be utilized to maintain the lakes' water level (i.e. account for make up losses due to evaporation) and also supply turf irrigation water for perimeter green spaces (total of approximately 16 acres). A CEQA study of the proposed lakes currently in progress recently revealed a need to complete an assessment of potential hydrogeologic impacts resulting from incorporating lake operations into the planned development. This report is intended to provide the needed assessment. This assessment also includes the cumulative affects on groundwater supply and demand contributed from other nearby planned developments for which additional lakes (approximately 167 total acres) and community park and turf space (up to 58 acres) are planned that would also utilize groundwater resources.

### 1.2 Purpose

This groundwater study is being conducted to assess current and historical groundwater conditions at the site, and in the general vicinity, to provide background data useful in assessing the affects, if any, of planned groundwater use at the property.

### 1.3 Scope

The scope of the study consists of:

- Review of existing surface and groundwater databases.
- Field reconnaissance to identify wells and locations where more detailed groundwater data can be collected in the future.
- Compiling information that will allow for modeling of the current groundwater use rates.
- Evaluation of the hydrogeologic cycle under current agricultural-use in the site's low-lying delta setting.
- Research and evaluation of regional water resource critical issues such as, Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) goals for adjacent surface water bodies to assess the potential for contributing to brackish water intrusion that could result from groundwater removal.
- Constructing a groundwater balance for the site vicinity that will assist in determining estimates of current and future groundwater use.
- Develop a conceptual site groundwater model including the following data types:
- Quantity and quality of applied water (evaluation of changes in salt concentrations in storage pond due to evaporation).
- Quantity and quality of percolating water (comparison to leaching factor available from similarly situated projects in the vicinity of the subject site).
- Develop data to assist with modeling the aquifer conditions and predicting the effects of operation of the proposed lakes.
- Identify any accessible well suitable for conducting a short-duration pump test with water quality testing.
- Compare water demand with historical groundwater pumping.

Aquifer characteristics are, in the long term, determined by aquifer pumping test data. Such data are best collected from a pumping well that is screened across the entire shallow aquifer thickness and monitoring wells that are screened at the same depths as the pumping well and that are constructed at specific distances and directions from the pumping well. However, for the purposes of assessing potential environmental impacts, a pumping test may be omitted in favor of theoretical modeling of aquifer performance. Additionally, pumping tests for the purpose of establishing a sustainable aquifer yield must be conducted on the actual well to be used and in
the late Fall, when groundwater levels are lowest due to low precipitation, low stream levels and high pumping demands. Specific recommendations are presented at the end of this report to conduct pumping tests for well design purposes.

### 1.4 Site Location and Description

The site consists of approximately 305 acres, located in Contra Costa County, California east of Oakley. The site is generally bound by the Contra Costa Canal to the north and east, Cypress Road to the south and the Emerson Property boundary to the west (north-south trending line approximately one-half mile west of Sellers Avenue as shown on Figures 1 and 2. The site consists collectively of portions of properties also referred to as the Emerson and Burroughs properties. Figure 2 also shows the area covered by this study.

The site is irregularly shaped and generally flat with some slight manmade and natural rises. Existing site elevations range from approximately 14 to 4 feet above mean sea level (msl).

The northern and southern majority of the site consists of undeveloped agricultural land which was fallow or disced at the time of our exploration. The central eastern portion of the site consists of an east-to-west-trending strip of land which varies up to approximately 8 feet higher in elevation than the remainder of the site. An existing driveway, trees, and several residential structures with associated buildings are located in this area. There is a system of irrigation pipelines that run east-west across the southernmost portion, east-west in the central portion of the site, and another that runs north-south approximately thirty feet west of Sellers Avenue.

The Contra Costa County Canal, which borders the property to the north, consists of an approximately 12 -foot-high berm and a relatively shallow canal. At the time of our field activities, the flow line in the canal was lower than the ground surface of the subject property.

There has been minor grading on the property to construct roads, dikes, and level areas for buildings and other improvements.

### 1.5 Proposed Development

Although specific development plans have not been prepared as of the date of this report, it is our understanding that the proposed development will consist of single-family residential housing. Two surface water impoundments (lakes) are proposed in the central portions of the site. Approximately 10 acres of lakes are proposed on the site and an additional 167.3 acres of lakes are proposed within the rest of the study area of this report. Therefore, a total of approximately 177.3 acres of lakes are planned within the study area. Additional site improvements will likely consist of streets and underground utility construction. It is our understanding that the site grading for this project will likely include minor cutting and filling to establish building pads and streets. To improve ground stability, geotechnical recommendations call for over-excavation and recompaction of compressible soils to depths of six to ten feet below existing grade (based on final site elevations of 3 feet below seal level). Excavation for the lakes may involve cuts up to 15 feet deep and will likely require dewatering to that depth for construction purposes due to shallow groundwater conditions. A perimeter dike system surrounding the site is also proposed that will involve substantial thickness of fill placement (up to at least 10 feet thick).

### 2.0 GEOLOGY, BASIN AND SUBBASIN BOUNDARIES, AND HYDROGEOLOGY

### 2.1 Geology

The site is located at the margin of the Great Valley Geomorphic Province and the Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province. The Great Valley Geomorphic Province consists of an elongated structural trough that has been filled with a sequence of sedimentary deposits ranging from Jurassic to recent in age. In the San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta, sedimentary deposits are up to six miles in thickness (Atwater, 1982) and consist of marine and non-marine deposits. Based on generalized geologic cross sections prepared by the California Division of Oil and Gas from oil and gas well logs, it is estimated that undifferentiated non-marine sedimentary deposits, which are of primary importance with respect to the freshwater aquifer systems, extend to depths of approximately 1,000 to 3,000 feet in the study area and beneath the San Joaquin River (DOG, 1982). These deposits rise to the west and pinch out as they meet the flank of the Coast Ranges geomorphic province. Geophysical evidence suggests that the Great Valley is underlain at depth with granitic rocks of similar origin to those of the Sierra Nevada Province. The adjacent Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province consists of the Franciscan Assemblage rocks which also likely underlie a portion of the Great Valley and the study area.

The San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta lies at the junction of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, the two major waterways that drain the Central Valley. The Delta area currently consists of a braided pattern of brackish to freshwater tidally-influenced channels and sloughs encircling a series of low-lying islands.

### 2.1.1 Site Geology

The near-surface sediments across the site consist of eolian (wind-blown), Iacustrine (lake-deposited) and alluvial deposits. These sediments are typically irregularly-stratified, poorly consolidated deposits of clay, silt, sand, and minor gravel.

The surficial geology of the Delta has been mapped by Atwater (1982) (Figure 3) which shows that the surficial deposits on the site have been largely influenced by changes in sea level during the Late Pleistocene. Most of the high-standing areas in the site vicinity are the crests of old sand dunes and are underlain by sandy eolian deposits deposited during later stages of the most recent low-stand of sea level. According to Atwater, these eolian deposits formerly extended across most of the surface of the site but are now buried in low-lying areas by younger sediments.

The alluvial fan of Marsh Creek extends across the site and Atwater's map and text imply that alluvium of Marsh Creek typically overlies the sandy eolian deposits in low-lying areas. According to Atwater (1982), much of the alluvium in the site vicinity consists of gray silt and clay deposited in near sea-level flood basins and ephemeral lakes.

### 2.1.2 Soil Survey Maps

The site and study area is mapped by the Soil Survey of Contra Costa County (1977). The predominant soil types across the site are the Marcuse Clay (Mb) and Delhi Sand (DaC) with lesser amounts of Piper Loamy Sands (Pe). Sycamore Silty Clay Loam (So) appears south and southwest of the site. Sacramento Clays ( Sa and Sb ) and Egbert Mucky Clay Loam (Ea) along with some other muck soils appear east of the site but within the study area boundary delineated on Figure 2.

### 2.2 Groundwater Basin and Subbasin

The site is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Central District of the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) as shown on Figure 4. According to DWR classification, the site is situated in the northemmost portion of the Tracy Subbasin (No. 5-22.15) of the San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region as shown on Figure 5 (DWR, 2003). Groundwater aquifers at the site and surrounding area have subsurface recharge from converging sources that included the western alluvial plain deposits of the Central Valley, chiefly the Marsh Creek alluvial fan deposits, and the San Joaquin Valley interbasin deposits that are more prevalent to the southeast. According to DWR, the Tracy Subbasin is defined by the areal extent of unconsolidated to semi-consolidated sedimentary deposits that are bounded by the Diablo Range on the west; the Mokelumne and San Joaquin Rivers on the north; the San Joaquin River to the east; and the San Joaquin-Stanislaus County line on the south. The Tracy Subbasin is located adjacent to the Eastern San Joaquin Subbasin on the east and the Delta-Mendota Subbasin on the south. All of the above-mentioned subbasins are located within the larger San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin. The Tracy Subbasin also lies to the south of the Solano Subbasin that is within the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin (DWR, 2004).

The basin boundaries shown in Figure 5 are approximately the same as those used in previous groundwater investigations. These boundaries generally follow the edge of the relatively young alluvial deposits that comprise the valley floor areas. However, the young sedimentary formations exposed in hills adjacent to some of the valley floor areas to the west also extend beneath the site, where they may contribute to some of the thickness of the groundwater basin. The young sedimentary formations exposed in the hills are thought to be fault displaced to their positions beneath the valley floor. In those areas, the true boundaries of the groundwater flow system are defined by faults (for example, the Midland fault and other faults thought to be a part of the Coast Ranges - Sierran Block fault system).

### 2.3 Regional Hydrogeology

In 1999, Luhdorff and Scalmanini Consulting Engineers (LSCE) completed an investigation of the groundwater conditions in the east Contra Costa County area for the East County Water Management Association, a collection of the major water and irrigation districts in the area (LSCE, 1999). In this study, LSCE characterized four general hydrogeologic regions based on a deposition model of alluvium in the study area and surrounding region as follows:

- Fluvial Plain - An area east and south of the study area along the floor of the San Joaquin Valley comprised of several thick beds ( 20 to 30 feet) of sands and gravels at distinct levels separated by intervening clay to silt beds.
- Delta Islands - An area within the northeast portion of the study area and to the northeast comprised of sand and gravel beds correlated to the sand and gravel beds of the Fluvial Plain region but with greater thickness and increased net sand thickness to the north. Sand is reportedly finer with lesser occurrences of gravels.
- Marginal Delta Dunes - An area mostly within the study area but also to the west of the study area comprised of numerous thin to thick sand beds having net sand thicknesses of generally greater than 30 feet per 100 feet. The sand beds are generally finer grained than the Delta Islands region and have areas of locally thick (greater than 30 feet) beds.
- Alluvial Plain - An area generally south of the study area that includes the Brentwood area comprised of discontinuous thin sand and gravel with generally low net sand thickness of less than 20 feet per 100 feet. The Alluvial Plain deposits thin westward to pinch-out against the Coastal Range foothills and thicken eastward where they probably interbed with the flood plain deposits of the Fluvial Plain deposits.

To further evaluate the hydrogeology specific to the study area, available Water Well Drillers Reports (WWDRs) were obtained from DWR for a 12 -square-mile area surrounding the site (primarily to the south and east of the site). In addition, a reconnaissance of the area was conducted to identify groundwater wells for which WWDRs were not on file. Figure 6 presents a graphical summary of the groundwater wells identified through both research activities. Table 1 presents a summary of some of the data presented on the WWDRs reviewed. Most of the wells in the study
area were screened between 100 and 250 feet below the ground surface (bgs), while some of the boreholes extended as deep as 610 feet bgs.

Based on the information contained in the WWDRs, several simplified geologic cross sections were constructed and are presented in Figure 7. These cross sections are in general agreement with the cross section presented in the LSCE study, although the LSCE cross section covers a much larger area. Since the sediment descriptions on lithologic logs of the WWDRs generally only made the distinction as to whether the sediment was "clay", "sand" or "gravel", these cross sections are equally simplified and only generally represent the depth and thickness of more permeable sediments relative to less permeable sediments. In general, the cross sections indicate that the deposits beneath the site and sumounding area consist of discontinuous, interlayered deposits of varying thickness that have highly contrasting permeabilities. This characterization is supported by more detailed subsurface information contained in previous geotechnical studies for the site (ENGGEO, 2005 and 1993; data excerpts in Appendix A) which indicated a high degree of variability up to depths of 50 feet. The degree of variability can be interpolated to also extend to greater depths.

Recent work conducted by LSCE in 2005 involved the installation of two wells within the study area and included detailed lithologic logging of samples collected from depths as much as 460 feet bgs, as well as down-hole geophysical surveys to depths as much as 250 feet bgs (Appendix B). These two test holes are an appreciable distance apart; the northern test hole is located approximately $1 / 2$ mile east of the intersection of Cypress and Bethel Island Roads and the southern test hole is located approximately 2,000 feet further south. Logs for these two test holes indicated some larger-scale similarities at both sites with moderate to high permeable sediments encountered at the depth intervals of 140 to 180,210 to 260 and 270 to 295 feet bgs. This level of similarity between the two test holes suggests that aquifer boundaries are defined, on a larger scale, by the generalized occurrences of mostly sand beds versus mostly clay and silt beds. In other words, sequences of predominantly sand beds (higher permeable layers) can represent an aquifer while sequences of predominantly clay and silt beds (lower permeable layers) can represent boundaries to
vertical groundwater flow. Based on the WWDRs, work by Luhdorff and Scalmanini, and the geologic cross sections, the subsurface hydrogeologic conditions can be characterized as semi-confined aquifers having moderate to high water yield.
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### 3.0 GROUNDWATER USE

### 3.1 Sources of Water

The site and near vicinity have a rural setting and, as such, rely strictly on groundwater for a source of domestic water. Groundwater use also includes some industrial and agricultural water supply uses. However, these uses appear limited. The vast majority of agricultural irrigation water is supplied to the area by the surrounding sloughs via lift pumps and sluice gates. Some irrigation water in the south and southwestern portions of the study area is also supplied by the East Contra Costa Inrigation District (ECCID) that conveys surface water from the south through a series of concrete-lined open ditches and buried concrete pipes. The main source of ECCD's water is surface water diverted from Indian Slough and groundwater pumped regionally and introduced into the irrigation system. ECCID does not appear to operate groundwater wells in the study area. In general, ECCDD irrigation water from Indian Slough does not reach the study area. Instead, irrigation water is delivered to a large portion of the northem half of the study area via gravity feed from Little Dutch Slough (slough aligned with Knightsen Avenue).

In 1994, the City of Brentwood commissioned the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) to conduct a groundwater isotope study in the Brentwood area (Davisson and Campell, 1994). The study cites that 50 years of historical water table maps compiled by ECCD indicate that the change in groundwater storage in the region is essentially zero as there have been no substantial changes in the water table elevation and slope. This appears to be generally true even based on limited information on depth to groundwater recorded in WWDRs for the area which usually indicated a very shallow depth to groundwater of between 5 and 10 feet. Major points regarding sources of water that are presented in the LLNL study include:

- ECCD's irrigation water is chiefly sourced from surface water diverted at Indian, Little Dutch and Rock Sloughs, but is also supplied from groundwater on demand.
- Most of the groundwater extracted by ECCID is related to drainage tile water removal in the low-lying areas east of the site and is exported from the basin.
- Irrigation water delivered to the area has averaged approximately 37,550 acre-feet (ac-ft) per year for the service area of at least 17,000 acres (approximately 26.5 square miles).
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from ECCID agricultural irrigation water is estimated to be approximately $11,265 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ( 30 percent of applied water).
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from stream beds is approximately 550 ac -ft per year.
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from rainfall is approximately $2,550 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year.
- ECCID's average groundwater pumpage is 3,750 ac-ft per year.
- Domestic pumpage in the region (includes Brentwood, Knightsen and rural sites) was estimated to be approximately 950 ac-ft per year using population figures and an assumed consumption rate of 164 gallons per capita per day.
- Safe yield from groundwater for the Brentwood area was estimated by the LLNL study to be 300 to $1,800 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year. This safe yield is based on the assumption that 90 percent of available recharge from over irrigation and rainfall is lost due to urbanization, which makes the estimate conservatively low.
- Elevated nitrate levels in groundwater are primarily located in shallow groundwater (less than 100 feet bgs ) in the Brentwood area located to the south of the site.

It is reasonable to assume that available groundwater recharge from irrigation in the subject Oakley study area is less than that for the Brentwood area due to the study area being about one-half of that used for the Brentwood area study ( 12 square miles compared to 26 square miles) and due to the differences in agricultural practices (cattle feed versus orchard and row crops). For a 12 -square-mile ( 7,680 acre) study area, the irrigation water applied to the study area would amount to approximately 17,000 ac-ft per year (based on 2.21 ac -ft applied per year per acre). If it is assumed that the differences in agricultural practices between the two areas reduce the amount of imigation water applied by 33 percent, recharge to the study area groundwater basin would be 20 percent ( 30 percent x 67 percent) of the applied irrigation water or approximately $3,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ( 0.4 ac -ft per year per acre). Water used for irrigation in
the study area is primarily delivered by gravity flow from Little Dutch and Emerson Sloughs and, to a lesser extent, from Rock Slough. Lift pumps also help deliver water from the sloughs to the area. Assuming 10 square miles are agricultural land use and about 30 percent of irrigated water reaches the groundwater table, irrigation rates would be approximately 1.8 feet per year ${ }^{1}$ which is about 50 percent the average rate for Califomia of 3.6 feet per year. The 1.8 feet per year rate is reasonable given that the vast majority of agricultural land in the study area is farmed for cattle feed or pasture that is irrigated much less than conventional crops (usually only irrigated during the peak hot weather season). Shallow groundwater conditions also lessen the amount of crop water demand.

Assuming rainfall of 1 foot per year over a 7,680-acre area (very little of the study area is urbanized) and an infiltration rate to groundwater of 20 percent (same as used in the LLNL study), recharge from rainfall would amount to approximately $1,550 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year.

Stream recharge for the study area is generally not that significant because streams do not cross the area. However, major waterways do include the Contra Costa Canal and Emerson, Sand Mound and Dutch Sloughs. It is reasonable to estimate that the Sloughs do not currently contribute to significant groundwater recharge in the study area because drainage tiles and lift pumps used to dewater the lands below sea level exist adjacent to these sloughs that provide a point of hydraulic control with a zero net effect. In other words, the amount of water recharges from the sloughs equals, or is less than, the amount of water being removed by the drainage tiles and drainage lift pumps. Conversely, the Contra Costa Canal likely provides groundwater recharge because it is unlined and typically has a water level greater than the groundwater table. Using Darcy's Law governing hydraulic flow in the subsurface and assuming the water level in the canal is 10 feet above the underlying groundwater table, the distance between bottom of the canal and the water table is 5 feet, the intervening sediments are primarily silty sand having an approximate hydraulic conductivity of 0.1 gPd per square foot (gPd/sf) (Freeze and Cherry, 1979,

[^10]Table 2.2), and the areal extent of the canal affecting the study area is 1.5 million sf $(20,000$ feet long by 75 feet wide), the amount of recharge available from the canal is approximately $335 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ${ }^{2}$.

Domestic and agricultural pumping in the study area is quite different than in the Brentwood area primarily because the City of Oakley uses very little groundwater for domestic water and agricultural groundwater pumping is significantly less in the study area (based on area reconnaissance and observed agricultural practices). In general, agricultural groundwater wells were not identified in the area. WWDRs only identified 7 agricultural supply wells and 62 domestic supply wells in the study area. Reconnaissance of the study area identified at least 150 additional domestic water supply wells. Applying a similar ratio of WWDR-identified to reconnaissance-identified domestic water wells to the WWDR-identified agricultural wells, it is estimated that approximately 25 agricultural wells exist in the study area.

Assuming that the daily use for all private domestic water supply wells is approximately 700 gallons $^{3}$, private domestic water pumping in the area is approximately 166 ac-ft per year ${ }^{4}$.

The aforementioned amount of domestic water pumpage does not include pumpage from several community well supplies identified by the area reconnaissance and records review (e.g. Knightsen Community Water System, Sandmound Mutual, Riverview Water Association, Pleasant Times Mutual Water Company, Dutch Slough Water Works, Angler's Ranch, Bethel Island Mutual Water Company, Delta Mutual Water Company, Big Oak Mobile Park, Belle Vista Trailer Court and Island Park Trailer Court). Except for the Knightsen Community Water System, these community water supply systems service recreational developments that would be expected to consume much less (conservatively, about half of full-time residences) the amount of

[^11]water private non-recreational development domestic water wells produce. Each of the 11 community supply systems likely averages not more than 25 connections or 150 people for half the year because the wells primarily serve vacation homes. The Knightsen water system is reported to serve a population of 150 with 21 service connections that serve full-time residences. Based on the aforementioned information, it is estimated that the community water systems in the study area result in approximately 165 ac-ft per year of additional domestic water pumpage ${ }^{5}$. Including the pumpage from other identified wells in the area, total domestic water pumpage in the study area is estimated to be approximately 330 ac-ft per year.

Estimating agricultural pumpage in the study area requires much more generalized assumptions because inrigation practices appear to vary greatly from farm to farm without accurate flow measurements being collected and it is uncertain how much of the irrigation demand is supplied by groundwater. A reasonable approach to estimating agricultural pumpage would be to estimate the average use for each well. Assuming each agricultural well ( 25 are estimated to exist) only operates one-third the time during the two months of July and August to supplement irrigation water or improve water quality when irrigation demand is the highest and that each well produces, on average, a 1,000 gallons per minute (gpm), an estimation of agricultural pumpage in the study area is approximately $2,260 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ${ }^{6}$. This amount seems reasonable given that the LLNL study estimated 4,500 ac-ft per year of agricultural pumpage for the Brentwood area. It appears that much of the difference in agricultural pumpage in the study area compared to the Brentwood area is attributable to the fact that the subject study area is about half of the Brentwood study area and irrigating fields for pasture or cattle feed (predominant in the study area) with pumped groundwater is generally discouraged due to higher operating costs and the availability of slough water.

[^12]Another approach to estimating agricultural pumpage is to estimate crop demand and make an assumption on how much of that demand is met by groundwater pumpage. Alfalfa is a common crop used for dairy cattle feed that appears to be the primary agricultural activity in the study area covering approximately 6 square miles. Orchards do exist in the southwest quadrant of the study area and cover about 4 square miles, but it is believed that the water demands for these orchards are chiefly supplied by ECCDD ditch water and groundwater pumpage in this area can be ignored. Alfalfa requires approximately 60 inches of water per year while com, another common cattle feed crop, requires much less, about 34 inches per year. As mentioned above, agricultural pumpage in the study area likely only occurs during the summer months of July and August when water demand is highest. During these two months, alfalfa could demand as much as 16 inches of water. Assuming that groundwater supplies as much as 50 percent of the crop demand and a cultivated area of approximately 6 square miles, agricultural pumpage for the two months (and year) is estimated to be 2,560 ac-ft per year ${ }^{7}$. This estimate ( $2,560 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year) agrees well with the estimate derived from the estimated number of wells ( $2,260 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft per year) such that, a reasonable estimate of groundwater agricultural pumpage in the area is set at $2,500 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.

Other types of water uses in the study area included limited industrial uses (e.g. commercial, dairy operations, light industrial, etc.). While these uses are incrementally minor in terms of the overall groundwater balance in the region, their local affects on groundwater flow and supply can not be overlooked, especially in light of major land use changes.

Based on site reconnaissance and interviews with property owners, the Emerson Dairy operated just north of the site (within the study area) up until September 2003 and used significant amounts of groundwater for continuous washdown of the milking parlor. Based on discussions with Mr. Stan Emerson who managed the dairy for many years and grew up in the study area, the dairy started in about 1970 and significantly increased the size of its operation with the

[^13]In summary, groundwater uses in the study area are given below.

## Study Area Groundwater Recharge

Rainfall Infiltration $=1,550$ ac-ft per year Irrigation Infiltration $=3,400$ ac-ft per year Waterway Infiltration $=335 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year

## Study Area Groundwater Pumpage

Domestic Water $=330$ ac-ft per year Agricultural Water = 2,500 ac-ft per year Industrial Water $=750 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year Commercial Water $=250 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year

The above listing indicates that groundwater recharge exceeds the groundwater pumpage by 1,455 ac-ft per year.

### 3.2 Types of Groundwater Use

Based on the findings of the LLNL study, review of the WWDRs, area surveillance, and planned future needs, groundwater uses in the region include:

- Domestic
- Agricultural
- Industrial
- Commercial
- Environmental (i.e. wetland and tidal marsh preservation, slough and river water quality, lake water levels, green space irrigation etc.)


### 3.3 Water Use Pattems and Trends

According to the City of Oakley's September 13, 2002, General Plan Draft EIR, groundwater is a source of water in the East Contra Costa County, mostly in rural areas. Several small public and private water companies extract underground water through wells and convey it to nearby
customers ${ }^{8}$. These include Bethel Island, Knightsen, Byron, and Discovery Bay. Whereas the City of Oakley does not significantly use groundwater for its municipal water supply, there are many private wells in the area as the review of WWDRs and area surveillance suggest (Figure 6). Sources not served by Diablo Water District (DWD) that use water wells are located primarily south of Laurel Road and east of Main Street. Wells are primary water sources in some rural areas, and could be a source of water for Oakley and its sphere-of-influence areas. However, the feasibility of utilizing well water is dependent on the quality and quantity of the groundwater supplies. The City of Oakley has suggested in its General Plan that a major problem with groundwater quality is the concentration of nitrates in the water supply.

Limited groundwater quality data available from DWR's online Water Data Library ${ }^{9}$ (Appendix C) does not suggest prevalent nitrate groundwater impact, particularly to the east of Oakley and along Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs. However, a certain percentage of the wells in the area (e.g. around Knightsen and Brentwood) have nitrate levels at or just above drinking water standards. Also, according to the LLNL study, the elevated nitrate levels are attributed to groundwater recharge on agricultural land. The highest nitrate levels are found in water less than 100 feet deep in the area southeast of Brentwood where row crop farming and orchards are predominant.

In contrast to the City of Oakley that does not currently depend on groundwater as a municipal water source, the City of Brentwood operates entirely on groundwater. Data for fourteen wells owned by the City of Brentwood were reviewed on Geotracker (Appendix C) that indicated that, of the 14 groundwater supply wells, five have been abandoned. The data included historical water quality data as far back as 1988 for some of the wells. The data indicated recent total dissolved solids (TDS) levels ranging from 490 to 1,230 milligrams per liter (mg/l). Historical TDS trends have generally increased over the last 10 to 15 years. Some of the City of Brentwood wells have had elevated nitrate levels that have approached or exceeded the drinking

[^14]water standard for Nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ of $45 \mathrm{mg} / l$, including a few that have been abandoned. However, most of the newer wells have recent nitrate levels ranging from 4.5 to $11.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, suggesting they are either screened deeper or are located in areas less impacted with nitrates from agricultural practices.

Water use trends in the area are expected to change from agricultural to domestic as urban development moves into predominantly agricultural areas. With this change, it is anticipated that groundwater recharge from irrigation will be reduced while recharge from yard and green space landscape irrigation will increase. Estimating the overall affect of this change would be speculative. However, one expectation is that the there will be a net reduction of groundwater recharge. Another expectation is that the quality of the recharge water should be improved as the use of agrichemicals (added nutrients that are the primary source of elevated nitrate levels in the area) is reduced.

### 3.4 Groundwater Levels and Trends

Groundwater level data compiled by ECCD and contained on WWDRs strongly support the idea that groundwater levels in the area have remained shallow and constant for a long period. Groundwater occurs beneath the site at depths of approximately 4 to 6 feet bgs (elevations of 10 to -2 feet NGVD). Within the study area boundaries, groundwater levels are between approximately 10 and -10 feet NGVD. Throughout the region, groundwater generally is encountered at depths of less than 20 feet. The study area does not appear to be in a state of overdraft as demonstrated by the shallow groundwater levels.

One of the better records of historic groundwater levels in the area exists for a well (State $\mathbb{D}$ NO. 01N03E17E001M) located approximately one mile east of Brentwood and approximately 2.5 miles south of the southem study area boundary and 3 miles south of the site. A graph of the

[^15]data from this well is presented on Figure 8 and shows a moderately stable history of water levels (water surface elevations vary less than 10 feet over 8 years) and shows a slight upward trend (from season high elevations of 42 feet NGVD in 1976 to 45 feet in 1983). The increasing groundwater table elevations are more likely attributable to changes in climatic patterns (i.e. period of increased rainfall) versus an increase in ECCID irrigation water deliveries to the area (ECCID deliveries are assumed to have reached capacity by 1972 when 100 percent of available land was being irrigated). Additionally, the rising water table levels do not appear to be attributable to a reduction of groundwater pumpage in the area (ECCDD pumpage was significantly reduced by 1970 and was chiefly comprised of drainage tile pumpage, the degree of which is not expected to have changed since that time).

In general, the overall groundwater levels have been very stable and are expected to remain that way irrespective of whether recharge from irrigation or rainfall increase or decreases over the area due to urbanization. It appears that the stability of groundwater levels in the study area are due to influences of the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers Delta. Groundwater levels have the potential to be lowered only a relatively small amount as a result of urbanization for the following reasons:

- The surface water levels in the adjoining sloughs and river will remain constant, thereby providing a significant recharge source for the area.
- While urbanization has the potential of reducing available groundwater recharge from irrigation and rainfall by up to 90 percent (from 3,400 to $340 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year), an increase in available recharge from slough and river course stream beds would occur. The potential end result could be a lowering of the groundwater table by as much as 10 feet in the northeastern portion of the study area and 30 feet in the southwestern portions of study areas.
- A removal of groundwater pumpage in the study area due to land use changes (e.g. removal of industrial groundwater use like that which formerly existed at the Emerson Dairy, located north of the site, the Lesher Property, east of the site, and other agricultural irrigation pumping in surrounding areas) would counteract the affects of lost recharge from urbanization. The amount of gain in the groundwater budget by stopping industrial and commercial groundwater pumpage is conservatively estimated at $1,000 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.
- The 90 percent recharge loss rate due to urbanization used in the LLNL study appears overly conservative given the layout of the planned development which will have significant park and street landscaping areas. The lakes are planned to be lined and would not contribute significant quantities to recharge. A more accurate estimate of the recharge loss rate might be 60 percent based on the current planned development for the area. However, for this study, 90 percent is used as a more conservative estimate.
- Planned increases in groundwater demand as a result of completion of the planned developments in the study area only consist of providing makeup water due to evaporation losses off the planned lakes and turf irrigation of certain common spaces. Groundwater is not intended to be used for domestic-use water supplies. Some of the groundwater wells in the study area may also provide emergency municipal water supply to the developments in the event of a failure of the transmission line that will be providing municipal water for the developments from the Randall-Bold Water Treatment Plant located in Oakley. However, groundwater use for emergency water supply should be considered temporary, not affecting the long-term groundwater budget, and therefore can be ignored. The estimated water demand for evaporation makeup for the lakes (total area of 177.3 acres in the study area) is estimated to be approximately 540 ac-ft per year ${ }^{10}$. Of this amount, the direct site demands are only 30 ac-ft per year since only 10 acres of lakes are planned on the site.

The amount of irrigation demand for the site parks and street landscape on the Emerson portion of the site has been estimated by vanderToolen Associates Inc. (vTA), the project landscape design professionals, to be approximately 40 ac-ft per year. According to vTA, this is a conservative estimate. It is reasonable to assume that a similar amount of irrigation demand is planned for the remaining Burroughs portion of the site. Therefore, a total site irrigation demand is estimated to be $80 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.

The amount of turf irrigation demand in other portions of the study area is conservatively estimated to be approximately 210 ac-ft per year ${ }^{11}$ based on planting cool season turf over approximately 72 percent of the approximate 58 acres available for the large community parks planned at the intersection of East Cypress and Bethel Island Roads. This is a very conservative, worse-case scenario that considers poor irrigation efficiency of 75 percent and planting turf over a vast majority of the available space. For comparison purposes, a more realistic assumption would result in only 30 percent of the available space being planted with cool season turf and an irrigation efficiency of 90 percent; thus yielding a turf irrigation

[^16]demand of approximately 72 ac-ft per year. If warm season turf was to be planted, the irrigation demand would be further reduced to 58 ac-ft per year, an overall reduction of 150 ac-ft per year over the worse-case scenario.

The lake evaporation makeup water and turf irrigation demand (540 and 290 ac-ft per year, respectively) therefore conservatively totals approximately 830 ac-ft per year which is a little more than half of the overall current excess flux of groundwater through the study area estimated to be approximately 1,455 ac-ft per year (based on current recharge amounts less the pumpage amounts given in Section 3.1). It is important to recognize that an additional amount of groundwater flows into the study area from the southwest. The total amount of groundwater flux into the study area from the southwest is conservatively estimated to be approximately $1,600 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ${ }^{12}$.

It is uncertain how much water DWD will pump from the two groundwater wells that have recently been constructed at a location east of the site near the intersection of Cypress and Bethel Island Roads. In addition to lake makeup water and turf irrigation demands, the wells are also planned to supply groundwater for initial filling of the lakes. However, filling of the lakes should be considered to only have a temporal affect and to not affect the long-term groundwater budget. Each well appears capable of producing up to 1,200 gallons per minute or 1.73 million gallons per day on a continuous basis. If both wells were run continuously, approximately 3,900 ac-ft per year of groundwater could be pumped. It is not unreasonable to expect DWD to utilize the wells to the greatest capacity should they be able to demonstrate no adverse impacts in doing so. However, for the purposes of this study, groundwater demands are assumed to only consist of lake makeup and irrigation water demands.

[^17]
### 4.0 GROUNDWATER BUDGETS

### 4.1 Regional Groundwater Budgets

It is our opinion that the data presented in the LLNL study provides an adequate characterization of groundwater budgets in the adjacent area and can be used as a basis for the current study. The LLNL study concluded that the groundwater storage in the area is in a steady state. The LLNL study estimated groundwater flow exiting the Brentwood area to the east to be approximately $7,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year. For comparison purposes, in Section 3.4 of this study, it is estimated that 1,600 ac-ft per year are entering the study area. The differences can be attributed to the smaller size of the study area compared to the LLNL Brentwood study area. The LLNL study indicates their estimate is based solely on comparison of groundwater recharge occurring within their studied area to groundwater pumpage within the same area. The excess groundwater is the result of recharge from irrigation, streams and rainfall, totaling approximately $12,100 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year, exceeding agricultural and domestic pumping of approximately 4,700 ac-ft per year. On this basis, it is estimated the study area has groundwater recharge exceeding pumpage by $1,455 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year (see Section 3.1 of this report).

The excess groundwater quantified for the Brentwood area by LLNL suggests that up to 7,400 ac-ft per year of groundwater could be pumped from the Brentwood area before an overdraft condition would occur. However, the study concluded that only 300 to 1,800 ac-ft per year could be safely pumped from the area primarily due to perceived future affects of retiring agricultural land, estimated to provide 67 to 84 percent of the available groundwater recharge in the area, and replacing it with urbanized areas that have limited availability for sources of recharge. The reduction in groundwater recharge amounts are attributed to urbanization causing increases of impervious areas (e.g. more rooftops and pavement) and creating landscape areas that utilize more water efficient vegetation than orchards and row crops that otherwise cause a significant amount of irrigation water infiltration to the groundwater table. As previously
mentioned, the LLNL study cites that it is estimated that the urbanization of agricultural land causes over a 90 percent reduction in groundwater recharge. A similar scenario for the study area is presented in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 to estimate the affect to groundwater resources from cumulative land use changes.

### 4.2 Study Area Groundwater Budgets

The high percent reduction in groundwater recharge postulated in the LLNL study is suspect and is not necessarily applicable to the site and surrounding area where irrigation practices are not necessarily similar to that in the Brentwood area. The study area has historically been primarily used to grow cattle feed and the majority of the land has been irrigated less than in the Brentwood area where row crops or orchards have been cultivated. In addition, cultivation in the study area relies heavily on rainfall (i.e. is often dry-farmed and is not irrigated land). In the case of "pasture" land that is not heavily irrigated, urbanization may actually contribute greater amounts of recharge to groundwater due to a significant increase in yard landscape and green space watering. In addition, much of the areas inclusive of the study area historically have not been irrigated with ECCD water from outside the study area, but rather with water from nearby sloughs. The LLNL study did not account for the irrigation water captured from Emerson, Little Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs because these water sources were consider outside of the LLNL study area. It has not been determined to what degree historic irrigation water locally derived from the sloughs will continue to be a contributing factor to groundwater recharge.

If, in fact, recharge to groundwater from irrigation and rainfall in the study area is reduced due to urbanization, groundwater levels will likely experience only a relatively small change. Reduced recharge may cause the direction of groundwater flow to change from flowing northeast to flowing southwest, particularly in the northeastem portion of the study area. This change results from loss of available groundwater recharge and the onset of groundwater pumping for lake makeup water and community park irrigation which could cause the groundwater table to
become lower in the southwest than in the northeast. It can be expected that if, at some point, the water table is lowered, a reduction in pumpage would arise as the need for drainage tile pumping along the sloughs is reduced due to a lower water table. However, to be conservative, the reduction in drainage tile pumping (that essentially removes groundwater) is ignored in the assessment of future changes in the groundwater budget.

Based on the amount of available streambed recharge along Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs (approximately 5 miles of wetted perimeter having an approximate width of 60 feet), a hydraulic gradient of 10 feet of head over a 100-foot flow path, and an assumed hydraulic conductivity of 10 gallons per day, per square-foot (typical for silty sand considered to be the limiting aquifer material type), it is estimated stream bed recharge from the sloughs and river could increase to 1,700 ac-ft per year ${ }^{13}$. This estimate is based on very crude assumptions and only provides a rough estimate of the potential increase in available streambed recharge that could result from depression of the water table in the study area. However, keep in mind that if the water table were to depress further, say 20 feet, due to a greater imbalance in the groundwater budget, the hydraulic head and groundwater flow velocity at the stream bed boundary would increase, streambed recharge would increase and a steady state in the groundwater table would ultimately be achieved (e.g. a hydraulic head difference of 20 feet versus 10 feet would increase the streambed recharge amount by a factor of 2 to $3,400 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year). The considerations listed below should mitigate concerns over impacts from increased stream bed recharge in the form of changes in groundwater quality, a potential loss of available water to the sloughs or a change in slough water quality:

- In general, the quality of slough water is better than groundwater (lower total dissolved solids and nitrate levels).

[^18]- The rate of potential stream bed recharge (estimated above to potentially be 1,700 ac-ft per year), and therefore, lost from the sloughs, would be much less than the historic rate of irrigation water withdrawal from the sloughs and applied on land (estimated in footnote \#15 to be 9,650 ac-ft per year). Therefore, salinity in the sloughs should not be expected to increase under a future urbanized land use scenario because much less water will be removed from the sloughs causing a lower propensity for upstream migration of more brackish downstream water (in other words, there will be greater amounts of flushing with fresh water from upstream thus improving the slough water quality).
- The sloughs would not experience less flushing with high quality river water or changes in water levels for the same reason as stated in the second bullet above. The main slough affected, Dutch Slough, receives water upstream from the San Joaquin River.


### 4.3 Groundwater Available for Lake Makeup Water and Community Park Turf Irrigation

The planned developments within the study area include a total of 177.3 acres of surface water lakes to provide recreational and aesthetic amenities, stormwater quality management and storage for irrigation during the dry season. It is our understanding that the ponds will have a synthetic liner to eliminate water loss due to infiltration at the base of the ponds. Even if the lakes were to have a clay liner that had some component of leakage, the leakage would return to the groundwater table and would not affect the overall groundwater budget since the volume of water introduced by leakage would be equaled by groundwater removed by pumpage to maintain the lake water levels. Because of the synthetic lining proposed for the surface water lakes, supplemental water requirements are only comprised of free-surface evaporation. Work being conducted by Balance Hydrologics, Inc. for design of the lakes has estimated their annual makeup requirements based on pan evaporation rates, coefficients for lake evaporation precipitation, runoff and runoff to the lakes. Based on this work, Balance Hydrologics have estimated an annual makeup requirement for the lakes of 36.5 inches per year. The 36.5 -inch-per-year rate is reasonable based on review of a generalized map of evapotranspiration rates for California which indicates a evapotranspiration rate of 57 inches per year for the study area (CIMIS, 1999) and the fact that the transpiration component of evapotranspiration is absent of free-surface evaporation. Based on a total surface area of the lakes of 177.3 acres, it is estimated
that the amount of water needed for the makeup requirement is approximately 540 ac - ft per year (see footnote \#10). Again, for the site irrigation demands, lake make up water is on approximately 30 ac-ft per year.

It is currently planned that groundwater will provide 100 percent of the water losses for the lakes due to evaporation (i.e. makeup requirement of $540 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year) and the irrigation demand for the site parks, site street landscaping and the large planned community parks east of the site. In addition, the peak lake makeup requirement occurs during the month of August when evaporation is greatest and there is no runoff to the lakes. During this peak month, approximately 95 ac-ft of groundwater will be needed or approximately $690 \mathrm{gpm}^{14}$ collectively for all the lakes.

As previously mentioned in Sections 2.3 and 3.4, two groundwater supply wells have been recently installed by LSCE for the Shea Homes development within the study area in anticipation of using groundwater to provide lake makeup water and community park turf irrigation demands, emergency backup domestic-use water and initial filling of the lakes. Upon completion of the wells, short-duration, step-drawdown pump tests were conducted for the purpose of sizing pumps for the wells. The pumping flow rates for each well were $800,1,200$ and $1,500 \mathrm{gpm}$ for the north well; and $1,000,1,500$ and $1,800 \mathrm{gpm}$ for the south well. After each well's step-drawdown tests, 8 -hour pump tests were conducted at $1,200 \mathrm{gpm}$ for each well. The pump test durations were limited to eight hours due to restrictions on construction noise and do not necessarily represent sustainable well yields. At the end of the 8 -hour pump tests, maximum drawdowns were 36.99 feet (pumping water level went from 11.26 to 48.25 feet bgs) and 40.50 feet (from 11.85 to 52.35 feet bgs ) for the north and south wells, respectively. At the end of each test, the rate of drawdown was approximately 0.40 and 0.37 foot per hour for the north and south wells, respectively. Based on the information from these pump tests, it appears that the installed wells

[^19]are be capable of producing the high flow rates required for lake free-surface evaporation during the peak demand month of August.

With respect to the sustainability of these flow rates and the affects of loss recharge and pumpage due to urbanization, the following points indicate that the groundwater basin is capable of providing groundwater for lake makeup and turf irrigation requirements. The total loss of irrigation and rainfall recharge due to urbanization is estimated to be approximately $4,455 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ( 90 percent of the total recharge of 4,950 ac-ft per year available from irrigation and rainfall). This amount needs to be further reduced because only about 75 percent of the agricultural land use will be urbanized. Therefore, 4,455 ac-ft per year is reduced to approximately $3,340 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year of lost recharge. In conjunction with this lost recharge, irrigation pumpage of 2,500 ac-ft per year and industrial use pumpage of 750 ac -ft per year would likely also be reduced by 75 percent. Therefore, groundwater pumpage for agricultural and industrial uses will be reduced by approximately 2,440 ac-ft per year due to urbanization. This leaves a net loss of groundwater supply of approximately 900 ac-ft per year (3,340 ac-ft per year lost recharge; 2,440 ac-ft per year lost pumpage). Along with urbanization and the availability of municipal water from outside of the area, comes the opportunity of providing higher quality treated water to existing private and commercial domestic water users. Combined private and commercial domestic water use in the study area is estimated to be approximately 580 ac-ft per year. It is reasonable to assume that 50 percent ( 290 ac -ft per year) of these users will switch from their own private groundwater wells to a municipal water supply that imports water from outside the area. This still leaves a net loss to the existing groundwater supply due to urbanization of 610 ac-ft per year ( 900 ac-ft less 290 ac-ft per year). This amount should be coupled with the 830 ac- ft per year required for the lake makeup water and turf irrigation demands to yield a net negative change in the groundwater budget of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year. It is important to consider that this net negative difference can be partially or entirely offset by utilizing surface water (e.g., water from the sloughs) to provide lake makeup water and turf irrigation requirements.

As previous discussed, the probable net affect of lost recharge due to urbanization and future groundwater pumpage for lake makeup water and turf irrigation requirements is a shift of groundwater flow from northeastward to southwestward in the northeastern portion of the study area as the loss recharge is replaced by stream bed infiltration along Emerson, Sand Mound, Little Dutch and Dutch Sloughs. The potential available rate of infiltration from the sloughs to groundwater is estimated to be between 1,700 to $3,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year depending on the degree of hydraulic gradient reversal that may occur. The net negative difference of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year due to urbanization is less than the minimum 1,700 ac-ft per year potentially available from infiltration of slough water. Under this hypothetical scenario, it is very important to keep in mind that much of the land in the study area is currently irrigated through lift pumps or sluice gates that take water from the sloughs and disperse it on the land. The amount of irrigation water sourced from the sloughs is conservatively estimated to be approximately 9,650 ac-ft per year ${ }^{15}$. Under an urbanization scenario, 75 percent of the $9,650 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year of water pumped from the sloughs will cease and that water will become available for indirect recharge to the groundwater basin through slough bed recharge. Given that $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year is relatively small compared to approximately 7,240 ac-ft per year ( 75 percent of the estimated 9,650 ac-ft per year historically derived from the sloughs for irrigation purposes), it appears that there will be a net beneficial affect of increased amounts of water in the sloughs once the area is urbanized.

[^20]
### 5.0 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

Whereas groundwater quality data is very limited for the site and surrounding area, sufficient groundwater quality information exists to provide a general sense of the water quality and what changes to water quality may arise from changes in land use. Sources of groundwater quality data include DWR's Water Data Library, Geotracker and site-specific studies (Appendix C).

### 5.1 Groundwater Quality

The study area has a generally low occurrence of chemical release sites because of its rural agricultural setting. Previous phase one environmental site assessments by ENGEO for the site did not reveal significant contaminated sites that would indicate potential to impact deep groundwater.

### 5.1.1 Nitrates

Groundwater in the some areas within the study area and to the south contain elevated nitrate levels. The LLNL study conducted for the Brentwood area to the south of the study area indicated that high nitrate levels in groundwater less than 100 feet deep are due to agricultural practices (i.e. infiltration of inigation water laden with agrichemicals). LSCE (1999) also noted differences in groundwater quality with respect to high nitrate levels being limited to the upper sequence of aquifer materials and that nitrate levels decreased appreciably in wells screened below 200 feet. Review of a map of the aerial distribution of nitrate concentrations at selected wells shows pronounced differences between the Brentwood, Discovery Bay, Oakley and Delta areas. In general, nitrate concentrations were low to below detection limits for wells within the study area.

Additional data available from GeoTracker and the USGS Water Data Library (WDL) websites (Appendix C) suggests that some conditions similar to the Brentwood area exist in
the southwestern quadrant of the study area, but, in general, do not exist elsewhere in the study area. Generally, detectable nitrate levels trend lower across the study area from the southwest to the northwest. In the northeastern and eastern portions of the study area, closer to Emerson, Little Dutch, Dutch and Rock Sloughs, nitrate is generally not detectable in groundwater. This pattern of lower nitrate levels in the northeast may be attributable to the local groundwater recharge conditions that are comprised of significant amounts of irrigation with higher quality river water and without much use of agrichemicals (fertilizers and pesticides). The river water is expected to have non-detectable nitrate levels. Nitrate was not detected in groundwater that services the communities located on Sandmound Road, Bethel Island, and Holland Tract.

An example of the localized and sporadic occurrence of elevated nitrate levels occurs in the vicinity of Delta Road and State Highway 4. A groundwater well at the Bethel Mission Baptist Church located just west of Highway 4 had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ level of $46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ while the groundwater well at Delta Kids Center located less than a 1,000 feet to the east of the church on Delta Road does not have detectable levels of nitrate. One well, located at the intersection of Sellers Avenue and Cypress Road is only 90 feet deep and had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ level of $93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. A large area of orchards is shown on aerial photographs of the study area (USGS, 1998) in the immediate vicinity and upgradient of this well that may have a localized affect on the groundwater quality less than 100 feet deep.

In contrast, in the vicinity of the City of Oakley where less farming occurs, nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ levels are low, ranging from less than $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at Diablo Water District's Contra Costa Canal Pumping Station (well likely greater than 100 feet deep) to $12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at State Well Number 002N002E036M001M (a well that is 130 feet deep) located approximately $1 / 2$ mile further west of the Bethel Mission Baptist Church.

In July 2003, ENGEO conducted a focused investigation on shallow groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the Emerson Dairy north of the site that indicated nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ levels
were below analytical detection levels in six of the seven samples collected. One sample had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ concentration of $320 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ that was in close proximity to the wash water disposal pond for the dairy operation and was considered a localized affect since nitrate levels were below detection levels at locations less than 500 feet away.

### 5.1.2 Total Dissolved Solids

Review of the available data regarding total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in groundwater presented in the LSCE report and online at Geotracker and the USGS WDL sites indicate a slightly different trend in the distribution of concentrations in the study area with respect to surrounding areas like Brentwood and Discovery Bay. Higher concentrations (generally above $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) are evident in the Brentwood compared to the study area and Discovery Bay (generally between 500 and $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ). It can not be concluded that TDS concentrations show the same trend with depth as nitrate concentrations. It appears that the groundwater beneath Brentwood has a higher component of groundwater recharge from the Coast Ranges geomorphic providence (notorious for having high TDS concentrations) than the Great Valley or Sierra Nevada providences to the east that may have a stronger influence on groundwater beneath the study area than in Brentwood. Another plausible explanation for the lower TDS concentrations beneath the study area and the Discovery Bay area is their closer proximity to the San Joaquin River and Delta resulting in a greater component of stream bed recharge of higher quality surface water, generally having low TDS concentrations, to their underlying aquifers than recharge from the Coast Ranges. The lithologic profile in the study area indicates generally thicker and shallower sequences of sand that would increase the chances for surface water infiltration to reach the underlying aquifer.

### 5.1.3 Chloride

Review of the available data regarding chloride concentrations in groundwater presented in the LSCE report and online at Geotracker and the USGS WDL sites again indicate lower chloride concentrations in the study area compared to the areas to the south and west (e.g., the Brentwood and central Oakley areas). However, in general, chloride concentrations are below $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, well below concentrations considered brackish (e.g., $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ).

The data collected in and surrounding the study area suggest that, in general, groundwater in the study area is less impacted with nitrates than in the Brentwood area where farming of row crops that use agrichemicals more heavily appears to have contributed significantly to nitrate in groundwater. The study area has primarily been used to raise cattle feed and, in general, application of fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides is not done, and therefore, irrigation infiltration in the study area has a lower propensity to cause nitrate contamination in the groundwater.

Finally, water quality in the sloughs appears to be of higher quality (i.e. lower TDS and no nitrates) than agricultural runoff water that also infiltrates to the groundwater table (high TDS and nitrates). Figure 9 provides graphs of river stage and electrical conductivity (EC) for a monitoring station on Rock Slough (available from the California Data Exchange Center [CDEC]) located upstream of the intake for the Contra Costa Canal. The EC values essentially represent water quality at the mixing point between: (1) agricultural runoff and groundwater base flow into the slough from the heavily farmed upland areas to the south and west with (2) the San Joaquin River water that flows to the slough from the southern Central Valley of Califomia. A key observation of the charts is that EC drops as the river stage rises during higher flows of the San Joaquin River, which represents an improvement in water quality. Parallels in water quality can be drawn between the surface water at this location and groundwater under a future urbanized scenario. With the onset of urbanization and a
reversal of the groundwater flow direction, water quality is expected to improve as a result of slough water recharge, much like the water quality improves at the Rock Slough CDEC monitoring station when the river stage is high and there is an influx of river water to the area.

### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 6.1 General Conclusions

Based on information gathered for this study, the following conclusions regarding current groundwater conditions can be made:

- The study area groundwater conditions are static with a current excess flux of $1,455 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year through the area exiting to the north and east due to recharge amounts exceeding pumpage amounts.
- Groundwater quality in the area is generally suitable for domestic water supplies but has been marginally impacted with nitrates to depths of up to 100 feet bgs in the southwest portions due to agricultural practices in that area.
- Significant differences in groundwater quantity and quality exist between the study area and the Brentwood area to the south.

The planned development on the site is part of an overall urbanization in the entire study area. The combined affects of this urbanization will result in a change in the sources of recharge and pumpage within the overall groundwater budget. While these changes are appreciable in quantity, they have a tendency to counteract each other and will not result in a significant overall loss of groundwater supply or a significant drop in the groundwater table elevation. In addition, the changes in sources of recharge and pumpage in the groundwater beneath the study area has the potential to improve the general quality of the groundwater by changing the groundwater flow direction. The following points support these conclusions:

- Urbanization can cause significant loss of available recharge, in some cases up to 90 percent, but this affect is not expected to reach that rate in the study area due to the nature of the planned development (e.g. extensive green spaces will continue to be imigated and large parcels [25 percent of the overall land developed] will remain as agricultural land use). However, for the purpose of this study and to be conservative, a 90 percent recharge loss rate was used.
- It is estimated that urbanization will have an overall affect of removing $1,455 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year of current excess inflow to groundwater in the area (i.e. current recharge minus current pumpage in the area) and creating a groundwater deficit of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year (i.e. future recharge minus future pumpage). However, at the same time, up to 7,240 less ac-ft per year of irrigation water will be diverted from the sloughs adjacent to the study area while the deficit of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft per year may be replaced by recharge from the base of the sloughs as a result of lower groundwater table conditions.
- With the onset of a potential change in the groundwater flow direction due to urbanization in the study area (from northeastward to southwestward in the area adjacent to the sloughs), a potential beneficial effect of improving groundwater quality in the region may be realized. This is because future slough water that is capable of recharging the groundwater is of higher quality than a substantial portion of the irrigation water currently recharging groundwater. The poorer quality irrigation water that appears to currently affect groundwater is limited to a four-square-mile region around the communities of Knightsen and Sand Hill in the southwest portion of the study area.


### 6.2 Recommendations

As stated in Section 1 of this report, a specific need exists to perform one or more pumping tests to determine the aquifer characteristics for the site for the purpose of designing water supply wells. Based on pervious ENGEO studies and site reconnaissance observations, a high-production well exists at the Emerson Dairy (Well I-1 on Figure 6) that would be suitable for conducting a long-term pump test. WWDRs exist for other nearby wells and well lithology and geophysical logs are available for the DWD wells to the east (LSCE, 2005) (DWD-North and DWD-South on Figure 6) that adequately characterize the lithologic conditions at the proposed pump test well site. Construction characteristics of the proposed pump test well can be investigated prior to the pump test to determine the well's water bearing depth for correlation to the available logs. Therefore, it is recommended that a one- to two-week pump test be conducted on the well. The actual duration of the pump test is dependent on the changes in the rate of drawdown and should be determined during the pump test. Prior to conducting the pump test, short-duration, step-drawdown tests need to be conducted to determine a suitable pumping rate for the well that will provide for adequate stress to the aquifer while not exceeding the sustainable well yield during the test. In addition, four
observation monitoring wells, two at approximately 100 and 250 feet downgradient of the pumping well and two at approximately 50 and 100 feet in a cross gradient direction, should be installed in the same production zone of the pumping well ( 150 to 180 feet bgs). These observation wells, as well as the pumping well, should be ouffitted with automated water level data recorders to measure the changes in water levels during the pump test and recovery period. An accurate flow meter and flow control valve also may need to be installed on the discharge line to the pumping well and monitored to maintain a constant flow rate during the pump test. A water level transducer should also be installed at the end of Little Dutch Slough lateral to determine if the slough is hydraulically connected with the groundwater table and affected by the pumping well.

Alternatively, a new well could be constructed with a design based on existing well designs in the area that would be sited adjacent to infrastructure planned to have future groundwater demands (i.e., adjacent to surface water lakes and street landscaping) with the intention of serving the future groundwater demands for the site. Once installed, a long-duration pump test, similar to the one described above could be conducted to determine this well's safe yield. In any event, a pump test must be performed on the actual wells to be used to establish their sustainable aquifer yield.

Multiple groundwater quality samples should also be collected at set intervals during the test to determine if changes in water quality are experienced as a result of changes in the flow field during the test. The samples should be analyzed for general minerals and nitrates. It does not appear to be necessary at this time to assess surface water quality from adjacent sloughs during the test.

### 7.0 LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner to transmit the information and recommendations of this report to developers, contractors, buyers, architects, engineers and designers for the project so that the necessary steps can be taken by the contractors and subcontractors to carry out such recommendations in the field. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are solely professional opinions.

The professional staff of ENGEO Incorporated strives to perform its services in a proper and professional manner with reasonable care and competence but is not infallible. There are risks of earth movement and property damages inherent in land development. We are unable to eliminate all risks or provide insurance; therefore, we are unable to guarantee or warrant the results of our work.

This report is based upon field and other conditions discovered at the time of preparation of ENGEO's work. This document must not be subject to unauthorized reuse, that is, reuse without written authorization of ENGEO. Such authorization is essential because it requires ENGEO to evaluate the document's applicability given new circumstances, not the least of which is passage of time. Actual field or other conditions will necessitate clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes to ENGEO's work. Therefore, ENGEO must be engaged to prepare the necessary clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes before construction activities commence or further activity proceeds. If ENGEO's scope of services does not include on-site construction observation, or if other persons or entities are retained to provide such services, ENGEO cannot be held responsible for any or all claims, including, but not limited to claims arising from or resulting from the performance of such services by other persons or entities, and any or all claims arising from or resulting from clarifications, adjustments, modifications, discrepancies or other changes necessary to reflect changed field or other conditions.
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| Table 1-Existing and Histor\|cal Well Detalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Identifier | Date Drilled | Location | Owner Name | Total Depth | Log <br> Available | Casing Dapth | Casing Dlamator | Soal Dopth | Scroen Typo | Scroen Interval | Formatlon Stabllizor | Dapth to Groundwatar | Date Mansured | Well Yield | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exlsting } \\ \text { Idlef } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feel bgs) | (YIN) | (feet bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feat bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIID) |
| 1-1 | 9/5/1880 | South side of Emerson second milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emersan Dalry | 200 | Y | 180 | 10 | 153 | Slat (0.45") | 155-180 | \#3-16 | 20 | 9/5/1980 |  | 80-160 | E |
| I-2D | 1/11/1982 | North side of Emerson second milk bam at end of Sallars Avanue | Emersan Dairy | 198 | Y | 190 | 10 | 153 | Slat (1/32") | 160-190 | \#3-16 | 22 | 1/12/1982 |  |  | D; 5/21/1993 |
| 1-3 | 6/23/1993 | North slde of Emerson secand millk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emersan Dairy | 191 | Y | 190 | 10 | 153 | Slot (1/32") | 160-190 | 1/4* Gravel | 30 | 6/24/1993 |  | 20 | E |
| 1-4D | 1/1/1965 | Northeast side of Emerson first milk bam at and of Sallars Avenue | Etrerson Daity | 85 | N | 85 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| 1-5D | 1/1/1965 | Northeast side of Emerson first milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emerson Dairy | 170 | $N$ | 170 | 3 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| 1-60 | 1/1/1965 | Northeasl side of Emerson first milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emerson Dairy | 160 | N | 160 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| D-1 | 1/1/1905 | Emiarsan Residence west of Sellars Ave | Stan Emersan |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| D-2 | 4/15/1984 | West of Jersey Island Road north of Cypress Rd | Vaughn Hummal | 240 | Y | 235 | 6 | 210 | Slot (0.45") | 215-235 | \#3-16 | 11 | 4/15/1984 |  |  | E? |
| A-1 | $\begin{gathered} B / 10 / 1959 \\ 10 \\ 12 / 19 / 1959 \end{gathered}$ | 5/8 ml N and 100 fl E of Cypress and Sellers Ave | Burroughs Brothers | 610 | Y | 333 | 18 | 31 | Torch $(1 / 4 *\}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 161-185 ; \\ 209-233_{i} \\ 257-333 \end{array}\right\|$ | $3 / 4^{n}$ gravel |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-2 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 13 / 1961 \\ \text { to } \\ 11 / 16 / 1961 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 mil N and $1 / 4 \mathrm{ml}$ W from Cypress and Jersey Island Roads | Burroughs Brothers | 428 | Y | 350 | 22 | 200 | Torch (1/4") | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 206-254 ; \\ 302-305 \end{array}\right\|$ | 3/4" gravel |  | . |  |  |  |
| M-1 | 7/6/1985 | On Dutch Slaugh Road (south side) $3 / 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{E}$ of Jersey Island | Dutch Slough Water Works | 300 | $Y$ | 245 | 6 | 215 | Slot (0.45") | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 22 | 7/6/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-3 | 10/4/1979 | 4220 Sand Mound Road | Morgan Fong | 240 | $Y$ | 240 | 2 | 50 | Slot (1/16") | 180-220 | 1/8* | 11 | 10/4/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-4 | 2/13/1981 | 5372 Tule Tree Lane | B. Adams | 200 | $Y$ | 200 | 2.5 | 40 | Saw (1/8") | 160-180 | $1 / \mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | 12 | 2/13/1981 |  |  |  |
| 0-5 | 7/71985 | Sand Mound Road (southwest side) | Ellis Sidney | 220 | $Y$ | 210 | 6 | 175 | SIOㅇ ( $0.45^{\circ}$ ) | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 18 | 7П/1985 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Exlsting and Hilstorical Well Detalls Eased on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wall Idontifiar | Date Drllled | Location | Owner Name | Total Depth | Log Avallable | Casing Dopth | Casing Diameter | Seal Depth | Screen Туре | Screen Interval | Fommation Stabilizer | Depth to Groundwater | Date Measured | Woll Yloid | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Pump } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Existlng <br> Ildle! <br> Destroyed? |
|  |  |  |  | (feat hgs) | (Y/N) | (feet bgs) | (Inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (feat bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EI/ID) |
| D-61 | 1/10/1977 | 3600 Slone Road | Sand Mound Mutual Water Co. | 201 | $Y$ | 155 | 8 | 50 | Screen | 145-155 | pea gravel | 2 | 1/10/1977 |  |  |  |
| D.62 | 8/1/1983 | 2566 Taylor Road | Farrar Park Water Co. | 520 | $Y$ | 265 | 6 | 230 | Slat (0.45") | 245-265 | \#3-16 | 5 | 8/1/1983 |  |  |  |
| M-4 | 9/1/1983 | 3600 Stone Road | Sand Mound Mulual Water Co. | 240 | Y | 152 | 6 | 120 | Siot (0.45") | 220-240 | 3/8" gravel | 10 | 9/1/1983 |  |  |  |
| D-6 | 9/26/1983 | Sandmaund Road 1/2 mil east of Bethel Island Road | John Dunn | 300 | Y | 300 | 6 | 240 | Stot (0.45") | 280-300 | 3/8" gravel | 10 | 9/26/1983 |  |  |  |
| D-7 | 6/23/1985 | 3460 Sandmound Road | Monros Long | 252 | $Y$ | 252 | 2.5 | 60 | Saw (1/8") | 232-252 | $1 / 8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | 6/23/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-8D |  | [5332 Tule Tree Lane | Dlane Maybee | 80 | $N$ |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Di 1/31/1990 |
| D-9 | 3/9/1989 | West side of Aspen Road | Batty Bunting | 270 | $Y$ | 220 | 6 | 190 | Slot (1/16") | 200-220 | $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 3/9/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-10 | 7/3/1989 | 3500 Sandmound Road (south sida) | Greg Nordine | 320 | $Y$ | 300 | 4.5 | 260 | Slot (1/16") | 280-300 | 1/4** | 4 | 7/3/1989 |  |  |  |
| 0-110 |  | Wells Ratd at Mariner | Willow Park Marina H.O.A. | 167 | $N$ |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 121/89 |
| D-12D |  | Wells Road at Mariner | Willow Park Marina H.O.A. | 190 | $N$ |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 121/89 |
| M-5 | 9/24/1996 | 5998 Bethel IsIand Road (200 yds in to aast) | Mark Gilbert | 320 | Y | 300 | 6 | 240 | Screen <br> (0.40") | 240-300 | 1/4" gravel | 10 | 9/26/1997 |  |  | . |
| M-6 | 2/3/1972 | $\begin{aligned} & 3600 \text { Stane Road (1/2 } \\ & \mathrm{mit} \text { SW of Eetheyl } \\ & \text { Island Road) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sand Maund Mutual Watar Co. | 197 | Y | 161 | 8 5 18 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{"} \times 1 / 8^{n}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 140-161 | pea graval | 5 | 2/3/1972 |  |  |  |
| D-13 | 6/11/1973 | Tule Tree Lane | Cand Jackson | 180 | $Y$ | 170 | 6.5 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 156-170 | pea graval | 10 | 6/11/1973 |  |  |  |
| D-14 | 57/1986 | End of Sandmound Road | Darrell Edwards | 320 | $Y$ | 312 | 6 | 230 | Slot (1/16") | 282-312 | \#3-16 | 8 | 5/7/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-61 | 7/18/1984 | Cypress Road 1 mi East of Kightson Road | Pacific Water Systems | 260 | $Y$ | 260 | 6 | 70 | Screen | 240-260 | Sand \& Gravel | 8 | 7/18/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-62 | 7/23/1984 | Cypress Road 1 ml East of Kightson Road | Pacilic Watar Systems | 187 | Y | 187 | 4 | 40 | Screbn | 169-187 |  <br> Gravel | 8 | 7/23/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-15 | 6/17/1986 | Belhel Island Road | Manuel Bonilla | 113 | $Y$ | 113 | 5 | 50 | Slot (0.05*) | 93-113 | $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 8 | 6/19/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-16 | 7/3/1989 | 3761 Cypress Rd. | Charles Pringle | 260 | $Y$ | 250 | 6 | 195 | Slot (1/16") | 230-250 | $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ gravel | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| D-17 | 3/30/1981 | Bethel Island Road | E\&C Gorpas/Bruce Their | 215 | $Y$ | 215 | 8 | 175 | Slot (1/8x6") | 175-215 | 3/8" pea gravel | B | 4/15/1981 |  | 30 after 4 hours |  |
| D-18 | 10/9/1989 | 1751 Tule Lane | Robert Llayd | 160 | $Y$ | 140 | 6 | 55 |  | 120-160 | Sand |  | 10/9/1989 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Existing and Historical Well Datalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Well } \\ \text { Identifier } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dato } \\ & \text { Cillad } \end{aligned}$ Drillad | Locaton | Owner Name | Total Doplh | $\underset{\text { Avallablo }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Depth | Casing Diamater | Seal Dopth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { Typı } \end{gathered}$ | Scraon Interval | Formation Stabilizer | Dopth to Groundwator | Date Measured | Woll Yield | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exlsting } \\ \text { /dlal } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feet bgs) | (Y/N) | (feet bgs) | (1nches) | (feel bgs) |  | (feel'bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIID) |
| D-19 | 12/121989 | Sellars Ave | Fred \& Jan Grexton | 180 | $Y$ | 120 | 5 | 80 | Stot (1/16") | 100-120 | 1/4* Gravel | 20 | 12/13/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-20 | 11/30/1989 | End of Sandmound Road | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { James Aberer } \\ \text { Jr. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 320 | Y | 255 | 4.5* | 200 | Slat (1/16") | 235-255 | 1/4* Gravel | 5 | 1221989 |  |  |  |
| A-3 | 10/19/1984 | Defta Road in Contra Costa County | Rich Fuller | 260 | $Y$ | 245 | 日 | 70 | 45th | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 11 | 10/19/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-21 | 4/11/1978 | ```of Crismore Rd., Parce! C``` | Travis Cinsmore | 139 | Y | 135 | 6 | 20 | Slot (1116") | 115-135 | \#3-16 | 15 | 4/17/1978 |  | 250 |  |
| D-22 | 1/2711978 | 5 miles south of Bethel Island on Sandmound RD. | E.J Brown | 180 | $Y$ | 180 | 3 | 20 | Slot ( $1 / 155^{*}$ ) | 162-182 |  | 5 | 1/27/1878 |  |  |  |
| D-23 | 8/11/1981 | Delta Road in Contra Costa Counly | A Fuller | 240 | $Y$ | 240 | 6 | 70 | 45th | 220-240 | \#3-16 | 10 | 8/11/1981 |  |  |  |
| D-24 | 8/121981 | Delta Road in Contra Costa County | Rich Fuller | 260 | Y | 245 | 6 | 70 | 45th | 225-245 | \#3-15 | 12 | 8/12/1981 |  |  |  |
| 0-25 | 2/21/1973 | Delta and Eden Plalns Rd., past school | Car Jackson | 110 | $Y$ | 75 | 6.5 |  | Slot (1/8x3") | 65-75 | peag gravel | 17 | 221/1873 |  |  |  |
| 0-25 | 1212/1997 | 2800 Delta Rd. | Zenalda Ramirez | 160 | $Y$ | 140 | 6 | 115 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { slot } \\ (40 / 1000 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 115-140 | 1/4" Gravel | 7 | 12/12/1997 |  |  |  |
| A-4 | 4/16/1987 | Tula Lane | Dante Massori | 180 | $Y$ | 141 | 6 | 80 | Slat (1/16") | 121-141 | 1/4* Gravel | 18 | 4/16/1987 |  |  |  |
| 0-27 | 7/19/1989 | 4019 Meadows Lana Oakley | Mr. Showaker | 160 | Y | 155 | 6 | 125 | Slot (1/160) | 135-155 | 1/4* Gravel | 30 | 7/20/1989 |  |  |  |
| A-5 | 11/24/1987 | North end of sellers Ave on West side down road | Emarsan Dairy | 180 | $Y$ | 180 | 10 | 155 | Slat (1/16") | 155-185 | 1/4" Gravel | 20 | 11/28/1987 |  |  |  |
| D-28 | 11/6/1985 | Machado Ln, Oakley | L.H. Bannet | 113 | $Y$ | 113 | 5 | 30 | 0.04 | 103-113 | 1/8" Gravel | 19 | 11/6/1985 |  |  |  |
| 0-29 | Jun-83 | Machado Ln., Oakley | Paulfino Hajas | 100 | Y | 80 | 6 | 48 | 45th | 70-90 | \#3-16 | 27 | Jun-83 |  |  |  |
| D.30 | Aug-82 | Sellars Ave., $1 / 2 \mathrm{mil}$. south of Cypress, wast side | D.C. Ellison | 180 | Y | 165 | 6 | 60 | 45th | 145-165 | \#3-16 | 15 | Aug-82 |  |  |  |
| 0.31 | 9/28/1984 | Sallars Ave. | Gary Frost | 240 | $Y$ | 235 | 5 | 175 | 45th | 195-235 | \#3-16 | 17 | 9/28/1984 |  |  |  |
| 0.32 | 5/1/1987 | 300 Yards South of Cypress Rd. on West side of Sellars Ave. | Juan Nodal | 122 | $Y$ | 122 | 4.5 | 50 | 0.02 | 102-122 | pea graval | 18 | 5/1/1987 |  |  |  |
| 0.33 | 7/25/1985 | North Sellars Ave | Mantel Gansalves | 175 | Y | 163 | 6 | 130 | 0.045 | 143-163 | \#3-16 | 18 | 7/25/1985 |  |  |  |
| 0-34 | 6/26/1985 | Laurel Rd. | Jim Nabas | 140 | $Y$ | 134 | 6 | 112 | 0.045 | 114-134 | \#3-16 | 26 | 6/27/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-35 | 1/24/1978 | Cypress Road 2 mi south of bethel island | Flayd Duegon | 230 | $Y$ |  | 6 | 20 | Slot (1/46") | 212-232 | pea gravel | 10 | 29/1978 |  |  |  |
| D-36 | 12/26/1984 | $\text { \|RI } 2 \text { Box 210-a Oakley }$ | Donald Durst | 230 | Y | 210 | 6 | 150 | 0.045 | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 5 | 12/26/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-37 | 12/23/1996 | 2901 E Cypress Road | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milan } \\ \text { Petrovich } \end{gathered}$ | 240 | $Y$ | 200 | 5 | 165 | Slot (1/32") | 180-200 | 1/4* Gravel | 日 | 12/23/1996 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Existing and Historlcal Well Detalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Well } \\ \text { Idontiner } \end{array}\right\|$ | Dato Drllled | Locallon | Ownor Name | Total Dopth | $\underset{\text { Avallable }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Dopth | Casing Dlameter | Seal Depth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scraen } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Scraen Interval | Formation Stabillzer | Dapth to Groundwater | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Woll } \\ & \text { Yield } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Existing } \\ \text { Ildele/ } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feel bgs) | (YIN) | (feel bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feel bgs) |  | (feet bug) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIV) |
| D-38 | 12/1/1997 | 2521 E Cypress Road | Pam Boyce | 240 | $Y$ | 217 | 6 | 195 | 0.04 | 197-217 | 1/4* Gravel | 4 | 12/28/1997 |  |  |  |
| D-39 | 9/28/1980 | South side of cypress. betwean sellers \& knightsen | George Ferrelra | 140 | Y | 120 | 6 | 45 | 45th | 100-120 | \#3-16 | 8 | 9/28/1980 |  |  |  |
| D-40 | 11/21981 | Knightsen Ave $1 / 2$ mile south of cypress rd. | James Eaca | 140 | Y | 135 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 115-435 | \#3-16 | 13 | 11/2/1981 |  |  |  |
| D-41 | 7/121978 | Sellars Rd 1000' south of cypress rd, east slde | John Moore | 100 | Y | 100 | 6 | 20 | "screen" | 80-100 | Birdseya | 11 | 7/121978 |  |  |  |
| D-42 | 3/14/1979 | Knightsen Ave onta mile south of Cypress on West side of road | Don Kirkpalrick | 138 | Y |  | 6 | 37 | 45th | 118-138 | 1/4" Gravel | 20 | 3/44/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-43 | 4/18/1979 | East side of Knightsen Ave 0.3 milles south of Cypress Ave | Bill Perkhurat | 146 | Y | 125 | 6 | 38 | 45th | 105-125 | \#3-16 | 6 | 4/18/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-44 | 7110/1979 | $1 / 4$ mille south of Cypress Ave on E side of Broadway st. | Louls Hemandez | 240 | $Y$ | 215 | 6 | 155 | 451h | 195-215 | \#3-16 | 12 | 7/11/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-45 | 8/3/1979 | 100 Yds W of Jersey Istand Rd. on N side of Cypress Rd | Arley Reeves | 240 | Y | 210 | 6 | 140 | 45th | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 10 | 8/3/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-46 | 5/26/1976 | Sellars Ave, $1 / 4$ mille 5 of Cypress Ave, E side | Charles Marin | 100 | Y | 88 | 6 | 20 |  | 78-88 | pea gravel | 12 | 5/26/1976 |  |  |  |
| D-47 | 6/22/1976 | Cypress Rd between Jersey Istand \& Knightsen Rd. | Emest Burroughs | 245 | Y | 237 | 6-5/8" | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stot } \\ \left(3^{4} \times 1 / 0^{-4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205-215, } \\ & \text { 222-237 } \end{aligned}$ | Airdsaye | 15 | 6/22/1976 |  |  |  |
| D-48 | 4/25/1986 | Cypress Rd. Oakley | Russel Hooper | 260 | $Y$ | 245 | 6 | 216 | Slot (1/16") | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 5 | 4/26/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-49 | Sep-84 | South of Cypress Rd. | Jim Owens | 140 | $Y$ | 118 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 118-198 | \#3-16 | 10 | Sep-84 |  |  |  |
| A.E | 12/29/1982 | $1 / 4$ milie S of Cypress Rd on Knlghtsen Ave | Wayne West | 139 | $Y$ | 138 | 8 | 70 | 45th | 119-139 | \#3-16 | 15 | 12229/1982 |  |  |  |
| D.50 | 10/3/1989 | Cypress Rd/Broadway | Bill Koanig | 220 | $Y$ | 200 | 6-3/4" | 50 | 0.02 | 200-220 | 1/8" рөа |  | 10/8/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-51 | 10/6/1973 | Broadway St. off Cypress Ave. Oakley | Gelasia Velez | 212 | Y | 212 | 6.5 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{*} \times 1 / 0^{n}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 202-212 | pea gravel | 10 | 10/6/1973 |  |  |  |
| 0-52 | 5/81979 | $\begin{aligned} & 4002 \text { CreaksIde Dr., } \\ & \text { Daksey } \end{aligned}$ | Bob Buchanan | 100 | $Y$ |  | 6 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(2 \times 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 50-100 | 1/4* Gravel | 15 | 5/9/1979 | 150+ |  |  |
| D-53 | 9/13/1978 | Oakley | Ken Grunstad | 152 | Y | 152 | 6-5/8* | 40 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{4} \times 1 / 6^{n}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 122-142 | 1/4* Gruval | 25 | 9/15/1978 |  |  |  |
| D.54 | 7/25/1980 | North of Meadow Ln. | Edward Rogers | 160 | Y | 154 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 134-154 | \#3-16 | 25 | 7/25/1980 |  |  |  |
| D-55 | 7/25/1981 | North of Craekside Way | Wm Bankson | 160 | Y | 154 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 134-154 | \#3-16 | 25 | 7/25/1981 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tabla - Existing and Historical Wall Details Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woll Identifier | Date Drilled | Lacation | Owner Name | Total Depth | $\underset{\text { Avallable }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Depth | Casing Dlamator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seal } \\ & \text { Dopth } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screan } \\ & \text { Typa } \end{aligned}$ | Scroen Interval | Fonmation Stabilizer | Depth to Groundwater | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { Yiald } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pump Rato. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Extstlng } \\ \text { Idlol } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feat bgs) | (YN) | (feel bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (faet bgs) |  | (feet begs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIMC) |
| D-56 | 4/25/1979 | End of Laurel Rd., $1 / 2$ mille east of Hwy 4 | Sleve Watty | 145 | , | 145 | 6 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(2 \times 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 115-145 | 3/8* gravel | 19 | 4/27/1979 | $60+$ |  |  |
| A.7 | 6/21/1978 | Cypress Rd South 100 ft from W Machado Ln. | Frank Stonebargar | 68 | Y | 65 | 3 |  | Stot (3/16") |  |  | 15 | 6/21/1978 | 100 |  |  |
| D. 57 | 7/23/1979 | 5 side of Cypress Rd 200 yards west of Sellars Ave | Stave Hinuran | 180 | Y | 159 | 6 | 50 | 45ih | 139-159 | \#3-16 | 13 | 7/23/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-58 | 3/27/1980 | 100ft E of Magaws Ln | Edward Rogers | 155 | $Y$ | 155 | 6 | 60 | 45ith | 135-155 | \#3-16 | 18 | 3/2711980 |  |  |  |
| D-59 | 4/10/1980 | NW corner of Creakslde Ct | Ruben Quesada | 152 | $Y$ | 152 | 6 | 45 | 45th | 132-152 | \#3-16 | 17 | 4/10/1980 |  |  |  |
| D. 60 | 11/24/1986 | Off Cypress Rd | Hogas Paulino | 155 | $Y$ | 120 | 8 | 50 | 4517 | 100-120 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sand \& } \\ & \text { Gravel } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 11/24/1986 |  |  |  |
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| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT \# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | $\qquad$ | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ishihara } \\ \text { (Pass/Fail) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 50 | 0 | pass |
| 2 | 3.5 | 14-17.5 | 0.84 | 14 | 3.5 | pass |
| 3 | 3.5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 7 | borderline |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 19-20 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 15-16.5 | 0.24 | 15 | 3 | pass |
|  | 2 | 22-23.5 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3.5 | 23-27.5 | 0.84 | 23 | 3.5 | pass |
| 6 | 3.5 | 17-20.5 | 0.84 | 17 | 3.5 | pass |
| 7 | 3 | 12.5-15.5 | 0.72 | 12.5 | 3 | pass |
| 8 | 1.5 | 16-17.5 | 0.36 | 16 | 1.5 | pass |
| 9 | 2.5 | 19.5-22 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 2.5 | pass |
| 10 | 3 | 15.5-18.5 | 0.72 | 15.5 | 3 | pass |
| 11 | 9.5 | 6-15.5 | 2.28 | 6 | 9.5 | fail |
| 12 | 8 | 6.5-14.5 | 1.92 | 6.5 | 10.5 | fail |
|  | 2.5 | 21-23.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 5 | $6.5-11.5$ | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
|  | 1 | 22-23 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 9 | 6-15 | 2.16 | 6 | 9 | fail |
| 15 | 2 | 18-20 | 0.48 | 18 | 2 | pass |
| 16 | 5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 5 | pass |
| 17 | 6 | 6.5-12.5 | 1.44 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
| 18 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 19 | 5.5 | 5-10.5 | 1.32 | 5 | 6.5 | fail |
|  | 1 | 11.5-12.5 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.7 | 5.2-5.9 | 0.17 | 5.2 | 9.5 | fail |
|  | 5 | $6.7-11.7$ | 1.2 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 12.6-11.3 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 14-17 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.5 | 14.2-15.7 | 0.36 | 14.2 | 1.5 | pass |

4603.4.100.01

March 4, 2005

| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT \# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | Cap Thickness (feet) | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | Ishihara (Pass/Fail) |
| 22 | 1 | 6-7 | 0.24 | 6 | 2.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 9.5-11 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 4 | 11-15 | 0.96 | 11 | 4 | pass |
| 24 | 3.2 | 5.3-8.5 | 0.77 | 5.3 | 3.2 | pass |
| 25 | 4 | 7.5-11.5 | 0.96 | 7.5 | 4 | pass |
| 26 | 13 | 6.5-19.5 | 3.12 | 6.5 | 13 | fail |
| 27 | 1 | 15-16 | 0.24 | 15 | 1 | pass |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | 14-15 | 0.24 | 14 | 1 | pass |
| 30 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | pass |
| 31 | 8 | 5-13 | 1.92 | 5 | 13 | fail |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 5 | 6.5-11.5 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 5 | borderline |
| 34 | 7.4 | 6.2-13.6 | 1.78 | 6.2 | 7.4 | fail |
| 35 | 1 | 5-6 | 0.24 | 5 | 3.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 10.5-12 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 6 | 5.3-11.3 | 1.44 | 5.3 | 6.3 | fail |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 10 | 9-19 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 11 | fail |
| 39 | 3 | 11-14 | 0.72 | 11 | 6 | pass |
|  | 3 | 15-18 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 5 | 9-14 | 1.2 | 9 | 5 | pass |
| 4 I | 4.5 | 14.5-19 | 1.08 | 14.5 | 4.5 | pass |
| 42 | 1.5 | 18-19.5 | 0.36 | 18 | 1.5 | pass |
| 43 | 4 | 9.5-13.5 | 0.96 | 9.5 | 6 | pass |
|  | 2 | 15-17 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 8.5 | 5.5-14 | 2.04 | 5.5 | 8.5 | fail |
| 45 | 2 | 11-13 | 0.48 | 11 | 2 | pass |
| 46 | 9 | 6.5-15.5 | 2.16 | 6.5 | 9 | fail |
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Soll betawor type and assed on data from UBC-1 983

## VBI In-Situ resting

92: 20 50-51-90 CPT Dalar CPT-5
Job Number. 4603.4100.01
$\dot{z}$
$\stackrel{5}{5}$

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand ( ${ }^{\circ}$ (7)

ioll behavior type and ased on data from USC-1983




10 gravelly sand to sand
國 11 very stif fine grained (
12 sand to clayay sand ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (

soil behavior type anc sased on data from UBC-1983

## VBI In-Situ resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator: Mike Roberison } & \text { CPT Date/Tlme: } 06-15-0416: 34 \\ \text { Sounding: 04WO62 } & \text { Locatlon: CPT-11 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO738TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$


10 gravelly sand so sand
11 very stif fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
(") sand to clayey sand (")



| Operator：Mike Robertsan | CPT DaterTime：06－16－04 07：27 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding： 04 WO63 | Lacation：CPT－12 |
| Cona Usad：HOB39TC | Job Number． 4603.4100 .01 |


Depth Incrament $=0.16$ feet
国 7 silty sand to sandy silt
8 sand to silly sand
10 gravelly sand to sand





10 gravally sand to sand
闌 11 vary stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")


10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained（＂） （．）pues Ke人民p of pues Zᅡ 药

10 gravelly ssand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")





silly sand to sandy silt


10 gravelly aland to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")

 CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 07:25 Location: CPT-17 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sounding: 04W068 } & \text { Localion: CPT-17 } \\ \text { Cone Used: H0839TC } & \text { Job Number. 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$

Depth increment $=0.18$ feat



Soll behavtar type an based on data from UEC-1 993


Sali behavor type ant sased on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravally sand to sand
[0. 11 very stiff fine gralned (")
12 sand to clayay sand (")




Soll behavior type and ased an date from UBC-1 9B3


 <br> \section*{VBI In-Situ iesting <br> \section*{VBI In-Situ iesting <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$} <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$}

Depth Increment $=0.16$ feel
7 silly sand to sandy silt
9 sand
10 gravally sand to sand
俎 11 very stiff fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
[in sand to clayey sand (

Soll behavior type anc based on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")



Soil behavior type ank. based on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very sulff fine grained (") 12 sand to clayey sand (*)

Soll behavior type ant dased on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 vary stiff fine grained (")


## VBI In-Situ resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator; Mike Roberson } & \text { CPT Datertime: 07-14-04 15:10 } \\ \text { Sounding: O4w091 } & \text { Location: CPT-30 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB56TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$


[^21]
Depth Increment $=0.16$ feel
筑 7 silty sand to sandy slitt
8
8
8
8
a sand

Soll behavlor type anL based on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
略 11 very stiff fine grained (*)
12 sand to clayey sand (*)






10 gravelly sand to sand
目 11 very stiff fine grained（＂） （．）pues kakejo of pues $2 t$ 路




## $\sqrt{V I} \mid n-\infty i t u$ PSting

 Cone Used：HO日[^22]湖







Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
sility sand to sandy silt
图 7
8
10 gravally sand to sand
焉 11 very stiff fine grained (") (.) pues kexep 이 pues zle
$\begin{array}{rc}\therefore 8 & \text { sand to silty gand } \\ 9 & \text { sand }\end{array}$

10 gravelly sand to sand
(120) 11 very stlff flne grained (")
and 12 sand to clayey sand (")
Dapth increment $=0.16$ feet
7 silty sand to sandy silt
8 sand to sitty sand



## VBI In-Situ 'resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-15-04 10:24 } \\ \text { Sounding: } 04 \text { W096 } & \text { Location: CPT-35 } \\ & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$
Gone Used: HOB56TC





$\begin{array}{ll}\qquad \text { B|In-Situ iesting } \\ \text { Operator. Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-15-04 13:27 } \\ \text { Sounding: Ofw098 } & \text { Location: CPT-37 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO856TC } & \text { Job Number. 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$


Depth increment $=0.16$ feet
slliy sand to sandy silt


 (.) pues Rokej ol pues 21 薪




Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
SPTN


(.) pubs kekejp ol puess 21 自


behavior type and ased on data from UBC－1 983

## VBI In－Situ＇resting

CPT DaterTime：07－16－04 08：26
Location：CPT－41
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operalor：Mike Robentson } & \text { Location：CPT－41 } \\ \text { Sounding：O4wi02 } & \text { Job Number：} 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$
Cone Used：HOB5GTC


Deplh Incrament $=0.16 \mathrm{fee}$
蝋 7 silty send to sandy silit
8
9



Cone Used．HOB




H0856TC

 (.) pues кокерр о이 pues 21 .
Depth increment $=0.16$ faet
형
7
a silty sand to sandy silt
sand to silty sand
9 sand
Operator:



## VBI In-Situ 「esting

 Location: CPT-43
10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stif fine grained (")



Site: KB HOMES
Location: CPT-3

Engineer:S.HARRIS
Date: 07: 18:05 15: 25

Rf (\%)

SBT: Soil Behavior
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Data Categary:
Goographlc Area: Ground Water California

## Ground-water Site Inventory for California

## Site Selection Results -- $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ sites found

## Site type $=$ Spring, Ground Water

County $=$ Contra Costa
Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
Data for individual sites can be obtained by selecting the site number below


| USGS | 374632121482801 | \|002S002E12H001M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374635121492401 | 002S001E11H001M |
| USGS | 374635121494501 | 002S001E11G001M |
| USGS | 374638121513801 | 002S001E09H001M |
| USGS | 374649121514501. | $002 S 001 \mathrm{E} 09 \mathrm{~A} 001 \mathrm{M}$ |
| USGS | 374655121534201 | 002S001E06R002M |
| USGS | 374659121534501 | 002S001E06J006M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374701121534301 | 002S001E06R006M |
| USGS | 374702121534401 | 002S001E06R004M |
| USGS | 374708121460101 | 002S002E04M001M |
| USGS | 374711121460701 | 002S002E04M002M |
| USGS | 374712121514001 | 002S001E04J006M |
| USGS | 374713121513101 | $002 \mathrm{SO01E04J001M}$ |
| USGS | 374713121513501 | 002S001E04J005M |
| USGS | 374713121533601 | 002S001E05M001M |
| USGS | 374719121510301 | 002S001E03F004M |
| USGS | 374722121510001 | 002S001E03L001M |
| USGS | 374726121535001 | 002S001E06H008M |
| USGS | 374727121513001 | 002S001E03E001M |
| USGS | 374727121513401 | 002S001E04H002M |
| USGS | 374727121532701 | 002S001E05E001M |
| USGS | 374727121535001 | 002S001E06H006M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374728121535001 | 002S001E06H001M |
| USGS | 374730121532401 | 002 S001E05E002M |
| USGS | 374732121513701 | 002S001E04A006M |
| USGS | 374735121532401 | 002S001E05F001M |
| USGS | 374735121534801 | 002S001E06A001M |
| USGS | 374735121535301 | 002S001E06A002M |
| USGS | 374736121535301 | 002S001E06A011M |
| USGS | 374737121533801 | 002S001E05D002M |
| USGS | 374737121535101. | 002S001E06A007M |
| USGS | 374738121534801 | 002S001E06A008M |
| USGS | 374742121535001 | 002S001E06A009M |
| USGS | 374743121512501 | 002S002E03D001M |
| USGS | 374743121513201. | 002S001E04A001M |


| USGS | 374746121513501 | 001S001E33R002M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374746121545001 | 002S001W13R002M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374747121463201 | 001S002E32Q001M |
| USGS | 374748121513501 | 001S001E33R003M |
| USGS | 374748121513601 | 001S001E33R001M |
| USGS | 374748121514801 | 001S001E33Q001M |
| USGS | 374752121512801 | 001S001E34N001M |
| USGS | 374753121525501 | 001 S 001 E 32 Q 001 M |
| USGS | 374754121525501 | 001S001E32Q002M |
| USGS | 374755121530001 | 001S001E32Q003M |
| USGS | 374756121512501 | 001S001E34N005M |
| USGS | 374756121513701 | 001S001E33R008M |
| USGS | 374757121540401 | 001S001E31Q001M |
| USGS | 374758121534201 | $001 \mathrm{S001E31R001M}$ |
| USGS | 374759121534801 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 008 M |
| USGS | 374800121540001 | 001S001E31K001M |
| USGS | 374801121510201 | 001S001E34L001M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374802121465101 | 001S002E32L001M |
| USGS | 374802121505801 | 001S001E34K003M |
| USGS | 374802121505901 | 001S001E34L002M |
| USGS | 374802121534901 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 002 M |
| USGS | 374803121465101 | 001S002E32L004M |
| USGS | 374803121505801 | 001S001E34K001M |
| USGS | 374803121510201 | $001 \mathrm{S001E34L010M}$ |
| USGS | 374803121535701 | 001S001E31J007M |
| USGS | 374804121534801 | 001S001E31J003M |
| USGS | 374804121553201 | 001S001W36L005M |
| USGS | 374805121553601 | 001S001W36L001M |
| USGS | 374806121553602 | 001S001W36L002M |
| USGS | 374806121553603 | 001S001W36L003M |
| USGS | 374807121465101 | 001S002E32M001M |
| USGS | 374808121465501 | 001S002E32L003M |
| Agency Site Number <br> USGS 374808121505901 <br>   |  | Site Name |
|  |  | $001 \mathrm{S001E34L003M}$ |
|  |  |  |


| USGS | 374809121534301 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 005 M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374809121534501 | 001S001E31J006M |
| USGS | 374810121535101 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 004 M |
| USGS | 374812121513101 | 001 S 001 E 33 H 001 M |
| USGS | 374812121513201 | 001 S 001 E 33 H 002 M |
| USGS | 374812121534901 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 001 M |
| USGS | 374813121471001. | 001 S 002 E 31 H 003 M |
| USGS | 374815121535201 | 001 S 001 E 31 H 001 M |
| USGS | 374816121443601 | 001 S 002 E 34 F 001 M |
| USGS | 374817121442501 | 001 S 002 E 34 G 001 M |
| USGS | 374817121471501 | 001 S 002 E 31 H 002 M |
| USGS | 374818121471601 | 001S002E31H001M |
| USGS | 374827121442101 | 001S002E34B001M |
| USGS | 374830121512201 | 001S001E27M001M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374858121511901 | 001S001E27M002M |
| USGS | 374902121541401 | 001S001E30K001M |
| USGS | 374908121474001 | 001S002E30G002M |
| USGS | 374910121474001 | 001S002E30G001M |
| USGS | 374916121511901 | 001S001E27E001M |
| USGS | 374923121512601 | 001 S 001 E 27 D 001 M |
| USGS | 374952121545501 | 001S001W24J001M |
| USGS | 375034121501601 | 001S001E14M002M |
| USGS | 375045121501301. | 001S001E14M001M |
| USGS | 375106121372201 | 001 S 003 E 15 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375115121501601 | 001S001E10Q001M |
| USGS | 375150121510801 | 001 S 001 E 10 F 001 M |
| USGS | 375153121375901 | 001S003E10C001M |
| USGS | 375153121511001 | 001 S 001 E 10 C 003 M |
| USGS | 375155121511001 | 001S001E10C002M |
| Agency | Y Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375200121511301 | 0015001 E 10 C 004 M |
| USGS | 375201121151301 | 001S001E10C005M |
| USGS | 375201121511501 | 001S001E10C001M |
| USGS | 375202121383101 | 001 S 003 E 09 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375203121511001 | 001S001E10C007M |
| USGS | 375203121511101 | 001 S 001 E 10 C 006 M |


| USGS | 375204121380101 | 001S003E03P001M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 375211121364701 | 001S003E02P001M |
| USGS | 375224121513001 | 001S001E04J001M |
| USGS | 375228121382001 | 001S003E03M001M |
| USGS | 375230121512301 | 001 S 001 E 03 E 001 M |
| USGS | 375231121521101 | 001 S 001 E 04 F 002 M |
| USGS | 375232121513001 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375236121372201 | 001 S 003 E 03 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375236121513901 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 002 M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375237121513901 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 003 M |
| USGS | 375240121513801 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 004 M |
| USGS | 375241121520701 | 001 S 001 E 04 F 001 M |
| USGS | 375244121514201 | 001S001E04A004M |
| USGS | 375245121515801 | 001 S 001 E 04 B 004 M |
| USGS | 375248121515201 | $001 \mathrm{~S} 001 \mathrm{E} 04 \mathrm{B003M}$ |
| USGS | 375249121514201 | 001 S 001 E 04 B 001 M |
| USGS | 375249121514202 | $001 \mathrm{~S} 001 \mathrm{E} 04 \mathrm{B002M}$ |
| USGS | 375254121512801 | 001S001E04A001M |
| USGS | 375255121512801 | 001S001E04A002M |
| USGS | 375257121390701 | 001 N003E33P001M |
| USGS | 375300121515001 | 001 N 001 E 33 K 001 M |
| USGS | 375315121515001 | 001N001E33K002M |
| USGS | 375317121515501 | 001 N 001 E 33 K 003 M |
| USGS | 375317121521301 | 001 N 001 E 33 L 001 M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375322121515301 | 001 N 001 E 33 G 001 M |
| USGS | 375323121385001 | 001 N 003 E 33 G 001 M |
| USGS | 375324121383601 | 001 N 003 E 33 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375337121372001 | 001 N 003 E 34 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375347121372201. | 001N003E2TR001M |
| USGS | 375349121412701 | 001 N 003 E 30 P 001 M |
| USGS | 375352121520501 | 001 N 001 E 28 P 002 M |
| USGS | 375353121373701 | 001 N 003 E 27 Q 001 M |
| USGS | 375410121412401 | 001N003E30L001M |
| USGS | 375412121360401 | 001N003E25M001M |
| USGS | 375416121522901 | 001 N 001 E 28 E 001 M |





| USGS | 380208121372903 | 002N003E10H003M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380216121573801 | 002N001W10C001M |
| USGS | 380218121380901 | 002N003E10D001M |
| USGS | 380221121590801 | 002 N 001 W 09 D 002 M |
| USGS | 380226121591201 | 002N001W09D001M |
| USGS | 380226121591202 | 002N001W09D003M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 380231121403201 | 002N003E05N001M |
| USGS | 380239121583801 | 002N001W04Q001M |
| USGS | 380817121520101 | 003 N 001 E 14 B 002 M |
| USGS | 380917121582601 | 004N001W33A001M |
| USGS | 381129122075001 | 004N002W18M001M |
| USGS | 381230122045601 | 004N002W09H001M |
| USGS | 381235121531701 | 004 N 001 E 08 F 001 M |
| USGS | 381302122062501 | 004N002W05Q002M |
| USGS | 381342122055701 | O04N002W04D001M |
| USGS | 381348122041402 | 005N002W34P004M |
| USGS | 381438121585501 | 005N001W28P001M |
| USGS | 381443121550301 | 005N001W25R001M |

Questions about data California NWISWeb Data Inquiries Feedback on this websiteCaliformia NWISWeb Maintainer Ground-water Site Inventory - 282 sites found http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/gwsi?
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Water Resources
Data Category:
Geographic Area: Ground Water United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found <br> Search Criteria <br> site_no list $=$ - 375810121401601

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375810121401601 002N003E32P001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Contra-Costa-County, California <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 <br> Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 <br> Gage datum 30.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 <br> The depth of the well is. 113 feet below land surface. <br> The depth of the hole is 126 feet below land surface. | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Table of data. |
|  | Tab-separated data |
|  | Graph of data. |
|  | Reselect period. |


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

Water Resources $\quad$ Data Category：Geagraphic Area：

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375810121414001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375810121414001 002N003E31N001M


Contra－Gosta－County，Galifornia
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 57.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 93.0 feet below．land surface． The depth of the hole is 132 feet below land surface．

USGS 3758181214140日1 8日2R8日3E31M日81H

｜Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．

Water Resources
Ground Water
Geographic Area:
United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\text { • } 375825121405301
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375825121405301002 N 003 E 31 K 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Gontra-Gosta County Galifornia | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 | Table of data |
| Latitude 37058'25', Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 53{ }^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 | Tab-separated data |
| Gage datum 35.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 150 feet below land surface. | Graph of data. |
| The depth of the hole is 155 feet below land surface. | Reselect period |


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375826121402601
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375826121402601002 N 003 E 32 M 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

## Contra-Costa-County, Galifornia- <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003

Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 27.00 feet above sea level NGVD29
The depth of the well is 105 feet below land surface.
The depth of the hole is 125 feet below land surface.

Reselect period

USG5 375826121482681 ввгнввзезанв日1н

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.|

Water Resources

Data Category: $\quad$| Geographic Area: |
| :--- |
| Ground Water |
| United States |

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375858121394601
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375858121394601 002N003E32A001M


Contra-Costa-County-California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 8.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 105 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 110 feet below land surface.

## Output formats

Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect.period

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

Data Category: Geographic Area: Ground Water United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375916121382301
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375916121382301 002N003E27J001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Contra-Costa-County; Galiformia-_ | Output formats |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 |  |
| Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 | Table of data |
| Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 | Tab-separated data |
| The depth of the well is 162 feet below land surface. | Graph of data |
| The depth of the hole is 182 feet below land surface. | Reselect period |



Data Category：
Geographic Area：
Water Resources
Ground Water
United States
go

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375916121403401
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375916121403401 002N003E29M001M

## Available data for this site <br> Ground－water：Levels

Contra Costa County；California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 12.00 feet above sea level NGVD29
The depth of the well is 88.0 feet below land surface．
The depth of the hole is 100 feet below land süface．
This well is completed in ALLUVIAL－FAN DEPOSITS（l11ALVF）

USGS 375916121483481 日в2N8日3E29He61H


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．
\#
\# This file contains water quality sample data
\# for stations in the water quality database.
\#
\# This information includes the following fields:
\#
gency_cd
\# The following parameters are included:
\# 00010 - Temperature, water, degrees Celsius
\# 0002日 - Agency analyzing sample, code
\# 00095 - Specific conductance, water, unfiltered, microsiemens per centimeter at
\# 00400 - pH, water, unfiltered, field, standard units
\# 00410 - Acid neutralizing capacity, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint (pH 4.5) $t$
\# 0061日 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter as nitrogen
\# 00660 - Orthophosphate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00671 - Orthophosphate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter as phosphorus
\# 00900 - Hardness, water, milligrams per liter as calcium carbonate
\# 00915 - Calcium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00925 - Magnesium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00930 - Sodium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00931 - Sodium adsorption ratio, water, number
\# 00932 - Sodium, water, percent in equivalents of major cations
\# 00935 - Potassium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00940 - Chloride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00945 - Sulfate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00950 - Fluoride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00955 - Silica, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 01000 - Arsenic, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01020 - Boron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01046 - Iron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01056 - Manganese, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01106 - Aluminum, water, filtered, microgramb per liter
\# 70300 - Residue on evaporation, dried at 180 degrees Celsius, water, filtered, m
\# 71851 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\#
\# Description of remark_cd column
\# < - Actual value is known to be less than the value shown.
\# > - Actual value is known to be greater than the value shown.
\# A - Average value
\# E - Estimated value
\# M - Presence of material verified but not quantified


Water Resources
Data Category: Ground Water

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375928121394901
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375928121394901 002N003E29G001M

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels
GO

Contra Costa County Galifornia-
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 237 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 245 feet below land surface.

Output formats

## Table of data

Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period

||Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category:
Geographic Area; Ground Water

United States
i:i go


## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 380055121374001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380055121374001 002N003E15Q001M

## Available data for this site

Contra Costa County, California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
The depth of the well is 165 feet below land surface.
The depth of the hole is 236 feet below land surface.
This well is completed in FLOOD-BASIN DEPOSITS (111FLDB)

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

| 5в | $15 s$ 10d | $4 \mathrm{~d} \quad 5 \mathrm{~s}$ | 12 n | 1 s | 1 s | 15 | 18 | 1 s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00010 | 25.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00095 | 1330 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00400 | 8.1 |  |  | 7 |
| JSGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00410 | 270 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00618 | . 02 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00900 | 300 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00915 | 63.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00925 | 35.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00930 | 140 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00931 | 4 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00932 | 50 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00935 | 3.80 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00940 | 200 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00945 | 73.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00950 | . 10 | $<$ |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00955 | 34.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 01020 | 500 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 70300 | 768 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 71851 | . 1.00 |  |  | 7 |

\# This file contains water quality sample data
\# for stations in the water quality database.
- Sodium, water, percent in equivalents of major cations
00935 - Potassium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00940 - Chloride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00945 - Sulfate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00950 - Fluoride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00955 - Silica, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
01020 - Boron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
70300 - Residue on evaporation, dried at 180 degrees Celsius, water, filtered, m
71851 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# Description of remark_cd column
$\#$ < - Actual value is known to be leas than the value shown.
\# > - Actual value is known to be greater than the value shown.
\# A - Average value
\# E - Estimated value
\# M - Presence of material verified but not quantified
\# N - Presumptive evidence of presence of material
\# $s$ - Most probable value
\# U - Material specifically analyzed for but not detected
\# V - Value affected by contamination
\#
\# site_no sample_dt sample_tm parameter_cd result_va

Data Category:
Geographic Area:
Ground Water
United States
90

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site_no list $=$ • 380108121391001

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380108121391001002 N 003 E 16 L 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

Contra Costa County, California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 The depth of the well is 255 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 270 feet below land surface.

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period

USGS 388188121391881 882HE日3E16L8B1M

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

```
Agency code \(=\) usgs
    site_no list \(=\quad\) • 380122121390101
```

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380122121390101 002N003E16F002M

|  | Available data for this site | Ground-water: Levels |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $G O$ |  |


| Contra Costa County, California <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 <br> Latitude $38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 <br> The depth of the well is 50.0 feet below land surface. <br> The depth of the hole is 61.0 feet below land surface. | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Table of data |
|  | Tab-separated data |
|  | Graph of data |
|  | Reselect period |



Data Category:
Geographic Area: Ground Water H United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- $\mathbf{1}$ sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375728121400901
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375728121400901 001N003E05P001M

Avallable data for this site
Ground-water. Levels
GO

Contta Cöstä Cöūñty, Cälifornia
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 39.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 65.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 95.0 feet below land surface.

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 375728121488981 B81H8日3E85P881M

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.
are

Water Resources
Data Category: Geographic Area:
 United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- $\mathbf{1}$ sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375807121381201
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375807121381201 001N003E03D001M

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels


Contra-Costa County; California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 147 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 255 feet below land surface.

## Output formats

## Table of data

Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 375887121381281 BE1NG日3E日3D881M


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category: Ground Water $\qquad$ Geographic Area: United States 90

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site_no list $=\quad 375806121393001$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375806121393001 001N003E04D001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water. Levels
GO

## Contra Costa County; California

Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 23.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 75.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 90.0 feet below land surface.

| Output formats |
| :--- |
| Table of data |
| Tab-separated data |
| Graph of data |
| Reselect period |



Water Resolirces

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 380055121374001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

# USGS 380055121374001 002N003E15Q001M 

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels


Contra Costa County; California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 The depth of the well is 165 feet below land surface.

The depth of the hole is 236 feet below land surface. This well is completed in FLOOD-BASIN DEPOSITS (111FLDB)

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 388e55121374881 日82H803E15q801M


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category: Ground Water

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results - 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375809121383401
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375809121383401 001N003E04A001M

Available data for this site Ground-water: Levels GO

Contra Costa County; California Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 8.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 65.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 80.0 feet below land surface.

Oụtput formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data.
Graph of data
Reselect period


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.


| 55 | 15s 10d | 4 d 5s | 12n | 18 | 15 | 15 | $1 s$ | $1 s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00010 | 25.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00095 | 1330 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00400 | 8.1 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00410 | 270 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00618 | . 02 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00900 | 300 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00915 | 63.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00925 | 35.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00930 | 140 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00931 | 4 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00932 | 50 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00935 | 3.80 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00940 | 200 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00945 | 73.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00950 | . 10 | $<$ |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00955 | 34.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 01020 | 500 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 70300 | 768 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 71851 | . 100 |  |  | 7 |

Water Resources
Data Category：Geographic Area： Ground Water United States

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site＿no list $=$ • 380011121375501

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380011121375501 002N003E22L001M D－B

Available data for this site
Ground－water Levels
GO

San Joaquin County，California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NAD} 27$
The depth of the well is 170 feet below land surface．
The depth of the hole is 180 feet below land surface．

## Outpat formats

## Table of data

Tab－separated data．
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 3888111213755日1 日82ヶ803E22L8日1M

｜Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．｜

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY) WEST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| $\underline{\text { Date }}$ | Parameter |  | Result | Units | MCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/20/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
|  | .. . .. | Small ... ${ }^{\text {明 }}$ | 包 | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder \| Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
Well Data Graph
State Well Number: 0706048-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
$\qquad$

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/20/2003 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normaliz | Redraw |  |
|  |  | Small .. | 國 |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker H.ome | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
Well Data Graph
DELTA IGDS CENTER (OAKLEY)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: $0706048-001$

Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualiner | $\underline{\text { Result }}$ | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/20/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.6 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ L | 45 |
| From Date: $\quad$ To Date: |  | $\frac{\text { Graph Size }}{\text { Small IV }}$ | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{\text { 㽣 }}$ | Redraw |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETHEL MISSIONARY BAPTIST (OAKLEY) <br> WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0706032-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals $\quad$ Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 10/3/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 46 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | 穵 |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1080 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 7/13/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1120 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/8/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1020 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/4/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 930 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 10/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 970 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/20/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1030 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 920 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/6/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1040 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/13/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1090 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 1/7/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 940 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | $\cdots$To Date:   <br> $\cdots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ | Graph Size Small 霓 | Normalized娄 |  |  |



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

GREG'S MOTEL \& HARBOR (OAKLEY)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707526-001
Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information $\mid$ DHS Water Quality Data $\mid$ PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)
Date
10/15/2002
Parameter
Qualifier
$\frac{\text { Result }}{0}$

| $\underline{\text { Units }}$ | $\underline{\text { MCL }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY)
EAST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | ugh | 1000 |
| From Date: | -... .. .... | Graph Sixe | Normalized |  |  |
|  |  | Small | 閶 |  | Redraw |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility_Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY)
WEST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-002
Well Details $\mid$ Geograpluic Information $\mid$ DHS Water Quality Data $\mid$ PWS Detailed Information



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO．（OAKLEY） WEST WELL <br> State Well Number：0706004－002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details｜Geographic Information｜DHS Water Quality Data｜PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note：You may select up to 6 chemicals． |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （All Data）］（Most Recent）｜（M） | ximum Concentrations） |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier Result | Units |  | Plot |
| 3／20／2002 | ALKALINTTY（TOTAL）AS CACO3 | 290 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 回 |
| 3／20／2002 | ALUMINUM | 0 | ug／L | 1000 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | ARSENIC | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 0 |
| 3／20／2002 | BARIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | 圂 |
| 3／20／2002 | CADMIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | 园 |
| 3／20／2002 | CALCIUM | 60 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 圂 |
| 3／2072002 | CHLORIDE | 85 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | 囫 |
| 3／20／2002 | CHROMIUM（TOTAL） | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 㘢 |
| 3／20／2002 | COLOR | 7 | UNITS | 15 | 芧 |
| 3／20／2002 | COPPER | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | － |
| 3／20／2002 | FLUORDE（TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT） | 0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | 0 |
| 3／20／2002 | FOAMING AGENTS（MBAS） | 0 | ug／L | 500 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | HARDNESS（TOTAL）AS CACO3 | 260 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 围 |
| 3／20／2002 | ［RON | 140 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 300 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | LEAD | 0 | ugl | NA | 20 |
| 3／20／2002 | MAGNESIUM | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 回 |
| 3／20／2002 | MANGANESE | 170 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 因 |
| 3／20／2002 | MERCURY | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | Q |
| 6／19／2003 | METHYL－TERT－BUTYL－ETHER（MTBE） | 0 | ug／L | 5 | 雨 |
| 3／20／2002 | NITRATE（AS NO3） | $<2$ | mgL | 45 | ， |
| 3／20／2002 | NITRITE（AS N） | 0 | ugl | 1000 | 圂 |
| 3／20／2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD＠ 60 C | 2 | TON | 3 | 成 |
| 3／20／2002 | PH，LABORATORY | 7.9 |  | NA | 5 |
| 3／20／2002 | POTASSIUM | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SELENIUM | 0 | ug／L | 50 | \％ |
| 3／20／2002 | SILVER | 0 | ug／L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SODIUM | 110 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SOURCE TEMPERATUREC | 19.5 | C | NA | $\square$ |


| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE | 960 | US | 2200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SULFATE | 100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TOTAL, DISSOLVED SOLDS | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.46 | NTU |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | ZINC | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |
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| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINDQUUST LANDING MARINA SWS (KNIGHTSEN) WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0707534-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 200 | 䀯 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1.1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | 0 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,I-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| -4/2911986 | 1-2-DTCFLOROETHANE | < | 0-5 | ugt | $0: 5$ | - |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | 2 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$. | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BENOMYL | < | 100 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BENZENE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMOFORM (THM) | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMOMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | < | 0.5 | ugh | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | [] |
| 4/29/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 0 |
| 4/29/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 700 | 3 |
| 4/29/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 4/29/1986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | \% |


| $12 / 31 / 2002$ | NTIRATE (ASNO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 / 29 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 |
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## Well Data Graph

LINDQUIST LANDING MARINA SWS (KNIGHTSEN) WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707534-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infonmation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/28/2001 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normaliz |  |  |
|  |  | Small | 围 | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MIBE/Case Reports
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| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RED COACE DELY (KNIGHTSEN) <br> LPA REPORTED PRIMARY SOURCE <br> State Well Number: 0706043-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals $\quad$ Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Date }}$ | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| No water quality data for this well has been reported to Department of Health Services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Wells Owned By W0600707556 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND) SANDMOUND MUTUAL BETHEL ISLAND , CA 94511 |  |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |  |
| 0707556-001 | SANDMOUND RD WELL | Show on Map Report |
| 0707556-002 | STONE ROAD WELL | Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN FAC | 0707556 | Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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DHS Water Quality
SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)
SANDMOUND RD WELL
State Well Number: 0707556-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
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Public Water System Information
SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)STONE ROAD WELLState Well Number: 0707556-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
SANDMOUND MUTUAL
Water System Address: ..... PWS Class:SANDMOUND MUTUALBETHEL ISLAND, CA 94511
Ownership/Regulation
Ownership:
Regulating Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: ..... System Status:Deactivation Date:Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: ..... Population Served:30175

- List all wells for this Public Water System
- Geotracker Home |Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


## DHS Water Quality

SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)
STONE ROAD WELL
State Well Number: 0707556-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation
Plot Selected Chemicals
Reset Boxes
Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/26/2003 | ALUMINUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | B |
| 2/26/2003 | ANTIMONY |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | Q |
| 2/26/2003 | ARSENTC |  | 15 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | ASBESTOS |  | 0 | MFL | 7 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | BARIUM | $<$ | 160 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | E |
| 2/26/2003 | BERYLLIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 4 | B |
| 2/26/2003 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | पg/L | 5 | 5 |
| 2/26/2003 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | GROSS ALPHA |  | 1.04 | PCI/L | $15^{*}$ | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | GROSS ALPHA COUNTING ERROR |  | 2.05 | PCI/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | LEAD |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NICKEL |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | mg/L | 45 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | THALLIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 2 | $\square$ |

Geootracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

ISLAND PARK TRAILER COURT (BETHEL ISLAND)
WELL 01
State Well Number: 0707574-001
Well Details | Geographic Information DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
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| Wells Owned By W0600710004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD) 161 Sycamore Avenue BRENTWOOD , CA 94516 |  |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |  |
| $0710004001$ | WELL 01 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-002 | WELL 02 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-003 | WELL 03 - ABANDONED ; | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-004 | WELL 04 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-005 | WELL 05 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-006 |  | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-007 | WELL 07 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-008 | WELL 08 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-009 | WELL 10A - IRRIGATION - AGRICULTURAL | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-010 | WELL 11 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-011 | WELL 12 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-012 | WELL 13 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-013 | DIABLO WD PS/RANDALL BOLD WTP | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-014 | WELL 14 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-015 | WELL 10A-IRRIGATION-TREATED-INACTIVE | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-016 | WELL 11 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-017 | WELL 12 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-018 | WELL 14-TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-019 | WELL 06 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-020 | WELL 07 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-021 | WELL 08 -TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-022 | WELL 13 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-023 | DIABLO WD/RANDALL-BOLD - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-024 |  | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-025 |  | Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN F | C 0710004 | Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder / Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 07
State Well Number: 0710004-007
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
$\qquad$

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 760 | mg L | 1500 |
| 9/11/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 790 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/1/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 740 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/20/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 720 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/30/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 650 | mg/ | 1500 |
| 1/7/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 620 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/30/1991 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 608 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/16/1990 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 660 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1988 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
| From Date: | To Date: | Small | 圈 | Redr |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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| Well Data Graph |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD) WELL 11 <br> State Well Number: 0710004-010 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| - $4 / 17 / 2003$ | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 960 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/24/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/10/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/1/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 950 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/20/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 980 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/30/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 850 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/26/1995 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 688 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1995 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 645 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size Small | malized <br> 固 |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

## CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)

WELL 13
State Well Number: 0710004-012
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 490 | mg L | 1500 |
| 8/21/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 480 | mg / | 1500 |
| 8/29/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 460 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 490 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/7/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 470 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/17/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 440 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: |  | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
|  | 1. | Small \|is | $\square$ | Red |  |

Geotracker Hone | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 06
State Well Number: 0710004-006
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 17.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 9/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 17.7 | mg/L | 45 |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 16.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/26/2000 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 15.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/30/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/1994 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/7/1993 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/30/1991 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 13 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/16/1990 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 13.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized | hedraw |  |
|  |  | Small |  |  |  |
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 07
State Well Number: 0710004-007
Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 9/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/20/2000 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/30/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/1994 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/7/1993 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/30/1991 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/16/1990 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 9.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/5/1988 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 12 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normali | Redraw |  |
|  |  | Smalin | $\ldots$ |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

## CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)

WELL 08
State Well Number: 0710004-008
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| $8 / 21 / 2002$ | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 4.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 3/6/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.4 | mg/L |  |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 4.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 7/7/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 12/20/1994 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | < | 4.52 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 2/15/1994 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.9 | mg/L |  |
| 6/14/1993 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| From Date: | To Date: | $\frac{\text { Graph Size }}{\text { Small }}$ | Norma |  |  |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $/$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 10A - IRRIGATION - AGRICULTURAL
State Well Number: 0710004-009
Well Deiails | Geographic. Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 11
State Well Number: 0710004-010
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/5/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/22/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/17/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/8/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38 | mp / | 45 |
| 3/27/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 3/13/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/11/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 43 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/28/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/9/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/14/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 31 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/13/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 35 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/24/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 32.8 | mg/L | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NITRATE (ASNO3) |  | 34 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/22/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 34.7 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/8/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/26/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/12/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/22/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 43 | mg/ | 45 |
| 1/8/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/18/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 46 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |

Well'Report


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
http://www.geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov/reports/well_plot2.asp?GLOBAL_ID=W0600710004... 8/3/2005

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 12
State Well Number: 0710004-011
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/14/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/24/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/21/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/8/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/20/2000 | N[TRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/7/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/18/1997 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normali | Sedrw |  |
|  | - | Small | $\Gamma$ |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 13
State Well Number: 0710004-012
Well Details |Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRIENTWOOD)
WELL 14
State Well Number: 0710004-014
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
$\square$ Mis

## Yahool Maps - Oakley, CA 94561-5085

《 Back to Map
H Casa del Rio Motel \& Marina, 3295 Wells Rd Oakley, CA 94561 -5085 (925) 684-2242


When using any driving directions or map, it's a gand idea to do a really check and make sure the road still exists, watcl out for construction, and follow all traffic safety precautions. This is onty to be used as an aid in planning.

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY) WEST WELL <br> State Well Number: 0706004-002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 3/20/2002 | ALKALINITY (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 290 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | B |
| 3/20/2002 | ALUMINUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | ARSENTC |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | BARIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | 䀯 |
| 3/20/2002 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | \% |
| 3/20/2002 | CALCIUM |  | 60 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | S |
| 3/20/2002 | CHLORIDE |  | 85 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | COLOR |  | 7 | UNITS | 15 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | COPPER |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) |  | 0 | ug/L | 500 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 260 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | $\underline{\text { IRON }}$ |  | 140 | ug/L | 300 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 170 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 6/19/2003 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug / | 5 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | $<$ | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@ 60 C |  | 2 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | PH. LABORATORY |  | 7.9 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | SILVER |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | SODIUM |  | 110 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 雨 |
| 3/20/2002 | SOURCE TEMPERATURE C |  | 19.5 | C | NA | 0 |


| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE | 960 | US | 2200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SULFATE | 100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TOTALDISSOLVED SOLIDS | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.46 | NTU |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | $\underline{Z L N C}$ | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |

[^23]BACK TO SEARCH I GEOTRACKER HOME

| Well Search Results |  | 42 records found |  | Page 1 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE NAME | ADDRESS | Cily | COUNTY |  |
| ANGEL MARINE CENTER | P.O. BOX 1870 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS WELLS |
| ANGLER'S RANCH \#3 | ANGLER'S RANCH \#3 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION \#4 | ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION 4 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH | BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BETHEL HARBOR | BETHEL HARBO | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| BETHEL ISLAND GOLF \& RESORT | BETHEL ISLAND GOLF \& RESORT | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELL |
| BETHEL ISLAND LODGE | BETHEL ISLAND LODGE ** | BETHEL | CONTRA | YIEWP |
| BETHEL ISLAND MUTUAL WATER CO. |  |  | COSTA |  |
|  | BETHEL ISLAND MUTUAL | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  | WATER CO | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| BETHEL MARKET ** | BETHEL MARKET ** | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BILLECI'S | - PO BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BOAT HOUSE LOUNGE | BOATHOUSELOUNGE** | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BONNIE \& CLYDE SALOON | BONNE \& CLYDE SALOON | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| CALIENTE ISLE | CALIENTE ISLE | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS WELLS |
| DELTA MUTUAL WATER | DELTA MUTUAL WATER | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| COMPANY | COMPANY | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| DELTA SPORTSMAN | DELTA SPORTSMAN | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| DOC'S MARINA | DOC'S MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW.PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| DUTCH SLOUGH WATER WORKS FARRAR PARK PROPERTY OWNERS | DUTCH SLOUGH WATER WORKS <br> FARRAR PARK PROPERTY OWNERS | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| FLAMINGO MOBLLE MANOR | FLAMINGO MOBILE MANOR | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| FRANK'S MARINA INC | FRANK'S MARINA INC | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| HARRIS MARINA | HARRIS MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| HENNIS MARINA - INACTIVE | P O BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| ISLAND PARK TRAILER <br>  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| KELLER LIQUORS ¢ | P O BOX 5 | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| LEISURE LANDING MARINA | LEISURE LANDING MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| UNDBORG LANDING | LUNDBORG LANDING. | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| INA MOBILE MANO | MARINA MOBILE MANOR | BETHEL | CONTRA COSTA | VIEW PWS |
| MARINE EMPORIUM RICHARDS YACHT | MARINE EMPORIUM | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  | RICHARDS YACHT | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |


|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIIWPWS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MARS HARBOR | MARS HARBOR | ISLAND | COSTA | WEWS |
| NEW ANCHOR MARINA | NEW ANCHOR MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELSS |
| Jump to page: $1 \underline{2}$ |  |  |  | Next 30 |

BACK TO SEARCH I GEOTRACKER HOME

| Well Search Results |  | 42 records found |  | Page 2 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE NAME | ADDRESS | CITY | COUNTY |  |
| PARK MARINA | PARK MARINA. | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA <br> CONTRA |  |
| PLEASANTIMES MUTUAL | PLEASANTIMES MUTUAL WATER CO | BETHEL <br> ISLAND | CONTRA. COSTA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIEW PWS } \\ & \hline \text { WELLS } \end{aligned}$ |
| WATER CO. |  |  | COSTA |  |
| RIVERVIEW MARINA SWS | RTVERVIEW MARINA. SWS | BETHEL | CONTRA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VEW PWS } \\ & \text { WELLS } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| RIVERVIEW WA.TER ASSN | RIVERVIEW WATER ASS | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  | P O BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| R | POBOX | ISLAND | COSTA | MELLS |
|  | SANDMOUND MUTUAL | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| SANDMOUND MUTUAL | SANDMOUND MUIUAL | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| SANDY POINT MOBLE HOME | SANDYPOINT MOBLE HOME | 覅ETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| PARK | PARK 5625 Sandmexme | ISLAND | COSTA. | WELLS |
| SUGAR BARGE RV PARK \& | SUGAR BARGE RV PARK \& | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| MARNA | MARINA | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  | SUNSET HARBOR | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| SUNSET HARBOR | SUNSET HARBOR | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| TONYS FAMILY | TONY'S FAMILY | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIENPWS |
| RESTAURANT | RESTAURANT | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| WALLY'SRESTAURANT.\& | WALLY'SRESTAURANT \& | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| DELI** | DELI** | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| WILLOW PARK MARINA | WILLOW PARK MARNA. | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |

Prev 30 Jump to page: 12

## DHS Water Quality

ISLAND PARK TRAILER COURT (BETHEL ISLAND) WELL 01
State Well Number: 0707574-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) | (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)


| 4/28/1998 | ATRAZINE | 0 | ug/L | 3 | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/1/2002 | BARTUM | 0 | ug/L | 1000 |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BENZENE | 0 | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BERYLLIUM | 0 | ug/L | 4 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BICARBONATE ALKALINITY | 760 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | BROMACL | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOBENZENE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOCHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOFORM (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | BUTACHLOR | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CADMIUM | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CALCIUM | 24 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CARBONATE ALKALINITY | 0 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLORIDE | 220 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | 0.72 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | COLOR | 1 | UNITS | 15 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | COPPER | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | DIAZINON | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIBROMOMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $]$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DICHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIISOPROPYL ETHER | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | DIMETHOATE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 1 |
| 8/1/2002 | ETHYL-TERT-BUTYL ETHER | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ETHYLBENZENE | 0 | ug/L | 700 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | FLUORDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) | 2.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) | 0 | ug/L | 500 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 | 180 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |


| 8/1/2002 | HYDROXIDE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | mg / | NA | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/1/2002 | IRON | $<$ | 100 | ug/L | 300 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | M,P-XYLENE |  | 0 | ug/ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | ug L | 2 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | METHYLISOBUTYL IKETONE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | METOLACHLOR | < | 0.5 | $\underline{u g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | METRIBUZIN | $<$ | 0.25 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | MOLINATE |  | 0 | ug/L | 20 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 70 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | N-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | INAPHTḢALENE |  | 0 | ugL | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | NICKEL |  | 20 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/28/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | NITRITE(AS N) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | O-XYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@60C |  | 1 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | PH, LABORATORY |  | 7.8 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 1.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | PROMETRYN |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | PROPACHLOR |  | 0 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SEC-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SILVER |  | 0 | ugl | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | SIMAZINE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SODIUM |  | 430 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE |  | 2000 | US | 2200 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | STYRENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SULFATE |  | 160 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-AMYL-METHYL ETHER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-BUTYL ALCOHOL |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |


| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | THALLIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| $4 / 28 / 1998$ | THIOBENCARB | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOLUENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS | 1300 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES | 0.72 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY | 0 | NTU | 5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | VINYL CHLORIDE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | XXLENES (TOTAL) | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | ZINC | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5000 | $\square$ |


| Wells Owned By W0600707569 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION \#4 (BETHEL ISLAND) <br> ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION 4 <br> BETHEL ISLAND , CA 94511 |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |
| 0707569-001 | WELL 1-1696 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| 0707569-002 | WELL 2 - 1398 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| 0707569-003 | WELL 3-1698 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN FAC | 0707569 Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder / Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

FRANKS MARINA INC (BETHEL ISLAND) WELL HEAD

0
State Well Number: 0707575-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Date 12/18/2002
From Date:
Parameter
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
To Date:

|  | Qualifier $\frac{\text { Result }}{720}$ $\underline{\text { Units }}$ $\underline{\text { ML }}$ <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ 1500   <br> Graph Size    | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | $\square$ | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder \| MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

FRANK'S MARINA INC (BETHEL ISLAND)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707575-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,1,2-TETRACHLORETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1.J-TRICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE |  | 0. | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1200 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,J-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2.3-TRICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.2-DICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square]$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | $u g / L$ | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.3-DICHLOROPROPENE (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.4-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1-PHENYLPROPANE (N-PROPYLBENZENE) |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 2.2-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 2/27/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 2-CHLOROTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 4-CHLOROTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ALKALINITY (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 200 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ALUMTNUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ANTIMONY |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 |  |


| 12/18/2002 | ARSENIC |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | ASBESTOS |  | 0 | MFL | 7 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BARIUM |  | 130 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BERYLLIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BICARBONATE ALKALINITY |  | 250 | mg/L | NA | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOCHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOFORM (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CALCIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CARBON TETRACHLORDE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CARBONATE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLORIDE |  | 180 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROETHIANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROFORM (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL CR-CRVI SCREEN) |  | 1.3 | UG/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 2/27/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | COLOR |  | 1 | UNITS | 15 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | COPPER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIBROMOCFLOROMETHANE (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIBROMOMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DICHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIISOPROPYL ETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ETHYL-TERT-BUTYL ETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 700 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) |  | 0 | ug/L | 500 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 130 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HYDROXIDE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | E |


| 12/18/2002 | $\underline{\text { RON }}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 300 | 园 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | \% |
| 12/18/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | M,P-XYLENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 15 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 140 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 2/27/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | METHYLISOBUTYL KETONE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | N-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NAPHTHALENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NICKEL |  | 20 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NTTRTE (AS N) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | O-XYLENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@60 C |  | 1 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | E-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | PH. LABORATORY |  | 8.1 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 2.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SEC-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SLLVER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SODIUM |  | 230 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE |  | 1200 | US | 2200 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | STYRENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SULFATE |  | 130 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-AMYL-METHYLETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-BUTYL ALCOHOL |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | THALLIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TOLUENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 720 | mg/L | 1500 | \% |
| 12/18/2002 | TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 10 | \% |


| 2/27/1986 | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | TRICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | $u g / L$ | 5 | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | IRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 150 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.12 | NTU | 5 | 0 |
| 12/18/2002 | VINYL CHLORDE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | - |
| 12/18/2002 | XYLENES (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | ZINC | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5000 | 0 |

## Public Water System Information

HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA (KNIGETSEN) WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0706034-001

## 

Well Details | Geographic [formation | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA
Water System Address:
PWS Class:
HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA
KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548

## Ownership/Regulation

Ownership:
Regulating Entity:
Date Entered System:
Deactivation Date:
Service Area:
System Status:
Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: $\quad$ Population Served:
255
25

- List all wells for this Public Water System

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA (KNIGHTSEN) <br> WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0706034-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geograghic Information |DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) (Most Recent) \| Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 5/71986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | [] |
| 5/71986 | 1,1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugh | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1.1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 6 | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | 1.2-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugh | 600 | $\square$ |
| -5/71986 | 12-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugit | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 13-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | 14-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | BENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | BROMOFORM (THM | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5771986 | BROMOMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | $u g / 2$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 577/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 700 | [] |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/ | NA | [] |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/31/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | 团 |


| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TOLUENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | VINYLCHLORIDE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | XYLENES (TOTAL) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARLNA (ISNIGHTSEN)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0706034-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/28/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| Frompate: | To Date: <br> ! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Graph.Size } \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{\square}$ | Re |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
Public Water System Information
HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA (KNIGHTSEN)
WELL 2
State Well Number: 0706034-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA.
Water System Address: PWS Class: HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548
Ownership/Regulation
Ownership:
Regulating.Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: System Status:Deactivation Date:Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: ..... Population Served:
255 ..... 25

- List all wells for this Public Water System


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home | Site/facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)
NORTH WELL
State Well Number: 0706028-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals
Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result |  |  | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,2.2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1.1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | I,2-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 12-DICHLORÖETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L |  | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1.4-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMOFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMOMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 57/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 700 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL LSOBUTYL KETONE | < | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 1/18/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |

Report

|  |  |  | 0 | mg/L | 45 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29' 3 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| $7 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 150 | $\square$ |
| 771986 | TOLUENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 10 | [] |
| 7/1986 | IRANS-1.2-DICHLOROETHYLE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 7/1986 | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 171986 | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug $/$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| 1711986 | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square]$ |
| ;7/1986 | VINYL CHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |

5/7/1986 XYLENES (TOTAL)
Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

## KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)

 NORTH WELLState Well Number: 0706028-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infonnation


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
DHS Water Quality
KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)
SOUTH WELL
State Well Number: 0706028-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $1 / 18 / 2002$ | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| $4 / 29 / 2003$ | NITRATE(AS NO3) | 25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |  |

Gcotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph <br> KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN) SOUTH WELL <br> State Well Number: 0706028-002

Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Public Water System Information

## KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS (KNIGHTSEN)

WELL BEAD
State Well Number: 0707547-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information

```
Public Water System KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS
Water System Address:
```



```
KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS
KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548
```


## Ownership/Regulation

Ownership:
Regulating Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: System Status:
Deactivation Date:
Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: . Population Served:
21

- List all wells for this Public Water System

Geotracker Home \| Site/Facility Finder \| Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

NoUS

| Wells Owned By W0600710007 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY) |  |
| 5325 P.O. Box 127 |  |
| OAKLEY, CA. 94513 |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |
| 0710007-001 | CONTRA COSTA CANAL-OAKLEY WTP-TRTD, ABND Show on Map Report |
| 0710007-002 | WELL 01 - STANDBY |
| 0710007-003 | RANDALL-BOLD WTP - TREATED |
| 0710007-004 | WELL 01 - TREATED - STANDBY |
| PWS MAIN FAC | O710007 |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

DLABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY)
WELL 01 - STANDBY
State Well Number: 0710007-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1080 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 7/13/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1120 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/8/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1020 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/4/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 930 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 970 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/20/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1030 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 920 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/6/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1040 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/13/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1090 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/7/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 940 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized | Redraw |  |
|  | + | Small | E |  |  |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

DLABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY)
CONTRA COSTA CANAL-OAKLEY WTP-TRTD, ABND
State Well Number: 0710007-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/2/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLYED SOLIDS |  | 600 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1989 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 240 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/6/1987 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 460 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/13/1986 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 199 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Graph Size } \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | Normalized |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\square$ | Red |  |

# GROUNDWATER STUDY EMERSON AND BURROUGHS PROPERTIES CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA 

October 27, 2005
Mr. Jeff Schroeder
Ponderosa Homes
6671 Owens Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Subject: Emerson and Burroughs Properties Contra Costa County, California

## GROUNDWATER STUDY

Dear Mr. Schroeder:
With your authorization, we have conducted a groundwater study for the subject property, located on the north side of Cypress Road in Contra Costa County, California. The accompanying report presents the results of our study of hydrogeologic conditions beneath the proposed development. Based on our study, it is our opinion that groundwater can be used for evaporation make up requirements of the currently proposed lakes within the development from a hydrogeologic standpoint; provided the recommendations included in this report are followed.

We are pleased to provide our services to you on this project and look forward to consulting further with you and your design team.

Very truly yours,


Reviewed by:


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { cc: } & \text { - Mr. Steve Garrett, Castle Companies, Inc. } \\
\text { 1 - Alexandra Barry, Centex Homes } \\
\text { l - Reed Onate, Trumark Companies } \\
\text { 2 - Sandra Pellegrino, Carlson Barbee \& Gibson } \\
\text { 1 - Cindy Gnos, Raney Planning and Management }
\end{array}
$$
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Development is planned on the southern portions of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties (Assessor's Parcel Numbers [APN] 032-270-809, 032-081-016 and 032-270-801 totaling 305 acres) that includes construction of two lakes that would be supplied by stormwater runoff and groundwater resources for the purpose of establishing storage capacity. Groundwater resources would be utilized to maintain the water level of the lakes and also supply turf irrigation water for perimeter green spaces. This report is intended to provide an assessment of groundwater conditions in light of future land use changes. This assessment considers the cumulative affects on groundwater supply and demand contributed from other nearby planned developments for which additional lakes and community park and turf space are planned. This assessment has included research of available data on groundwater quantity and quality, site reconnaissance and interviews, development of a conceptual site model, and a comparison of historical to anticipated future groundwater needs.

The site is irregularly shaped and generally flat with some slight manmade and natural rises. Existing site elevations range from approximately 14 to 4 feet above mean sea level (msl). The northern and southern majority of the site consists of undeveloped agricultural land. Existing driveway, trees, irrigation pipelines and several residential structures with associated buildings are located on the site. Several canals and sloughs exist adjacent to and in the vicinity of the site.

It has been necessary to evaluate groundwater conditions over a much broader area than the site (approximately 12 square miles herein referred to as the "study area") to provide an adequate and cumulative assessment of the potential for impacts to result from land use changes. The groundwater flow direction is northeast at an estimated rate of approximately one (1) gallon per day per square foot based on a hydraulic gradient of 0.1 . The primary aquifers in the area are within the upper 500 feet of unconsolidated alluvial sediments of the Great Valley comprised of interlayered sequences of sand, silt and clay. While aquifer characteristics are best determined by aquifer
pumping test data, for the purposes of assessing potential environmental impacts, a pumping test may be omitted in favor of theoretical modeling of aquifer performance. Specific recommendations are presented at the end of this report to conduct pumping tests for well design purposes.

In general, this assessment concludes that planned land use changes for the site and surrounding area should not have significant impacts to groundwater conditions. While significant amounts of groundwater recharge, such as infiltration from rainfall and irrigation, may be reduced due to the affects of urbanization, the reduction appears to be greatly counteracted by a reduction in historical groundwater pumpage rates and a potential increase in streambed recharge, such that an overall change in the groundwater budget is not expected. A balanced groundwater budget is expected with the assumption that groundwater resources will meet the future water demands created by the cumulative amount of evaporation from surface water in the lakes and from irrigation water applied to common area parks and street landscape planned in the study area that is estimated to be 540 and 290 acre-feet per year, respectively.

This study has also concluded that groundwater in the site and study area is of sufficient quality for the purpose of landscape irrigation and lake evaporation loss makeup. In addition, it appears plausible for the quality of groundwater in the area to improve due to urbanization primarily due to less infiltration of nitrate-laden irrigation water from agricultural activities and a greater component of recharge from streams and sloughs having lower concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) than either recharge of irrigation water or the lateral flow of higher TDS groundwater sourced from the Coast Ranges located to the west of the study area.

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Proposed development on the southern portions of the Emerson and Burroughs Properties (Assessor's Parcel Numbers [APN] 032-270-809, 032-081-016 and 032-270-801 totaling 305 acres) includes planned construction of lakes (two are planned at approximately 5 acres each in size) that would be supplied by stormwater runoff and groundwater resources for the purpose of establishing storage capacity. Groundwater resources would be utilized to maintain the lakes' water level (i.e. account for make up losses due to evaporation) and also supply turf irrigation water for perimeter green spaces (total of approximately 16 acres). A CEQA study of the proposed lakes currently in progress recently revealed a need to complete an assessment of potential hydrogeologic impacts resulting from incorporating lake operations into the planned development. This report is intended to provide the needed assessment. This assessment also includes the cumulative affects on groundwater supply and demand contributed from other nearby planned developments for which additional lakes (approximately 167 total acres) and community park and turf space (up to 58 acres) are planned that would also utilize groundwater resources.

### 1.2 Purpose

This groundwater study is being conducted to assess current and historical groundwater conditions at the site, and in the general vicinity, to provide background data useful in assessing the affects, if any, of planned groundwater use at the property.

### 1.3 Scope

The scope of the study consists of:

- Review of existing surface and groundwater databases.
- Field reconnaissance to identify wells and locations where more detailed groundwater data can be collected in the future.
- Compiling information that will allow for modeling of the current groundwater use rates.
- Evaluation of the hydrogeologic cycle under current agricultural-use in the site's low-lying delta setting.
- Research and evaluation of regional water resource critical issues such as, Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) goals for adjacent surface water bodies to assess the potential for contributing to brackish water intrusion that could result from groundwater removal.
- Constructing a groundwater balance for the site vicinity that will assist in determining estimates of current and future groundwater use.
- Develop a conceptual site groundwater model including the following data types:
- Quantity and quality of applied water (evaluation of changes in salt concentrations in storage pond due to evaporation).
- Quantity and quality of percolating water (comparison to leaching factor available from similarly situated projects in the vicinity of the subject site).
- Develop data to assist with modeling the aquifer conditions and predicting the effects of operation of the proposed lakes.
- Identify any accessible well suitable for conducting a short-duration pump test with water quality testing.
- Compare water demand with historical groundwater pumping.

Aquifer characteristics are, in the long term, determined by aquifer pumping test data. Such data are best collected from a pumping well that is screened across the entire shallow aquifer thickness and monitoring wells that are screened at the same depths as the pumping well and that are constructed at specific distances and directions from the pumping well. However, for the purposes of assessing potential environmental impacts, a pumping test may be omitted in favor of theoretical modeling of aquifer performance. Additionally, pumping tests for the purpose of establishing a sustainable aquifer yield must be conducted on the actual well to be used and in
the late Fall, when groundwater levels are lowest due to low precipitation, low stream levels and high pumping demands. Specific recommendations are presented at the end of this report to conduct pumping tests for well design purposes.

### 1.4 Site Location and Description

The site consists of approximately 305 acres, located in Contra Costa County, California east of Oakley. The site is generally bound by the Contra Costa Canal to the north and east, Cypress Road to the south and the Emerson Property boundary to the west (north-south trending line approximately one-half mile west of Sellers Avenue as shown on Figures 1 and 2. The site consists collectively of portions of properties also referred to as the Emerson and Burroughs properties. Figure 2 also shows the area covered by this study.

The site is irregularly shaped and generally flat with some slight manmade and natural rises. Existing site elevations range from approximately 14 to 4 feet above mean sea level (msl).

The northern and southern majority of the site consists of undeveloped agricultural land which was fallow or disced at the time of our exploration. The central eastern portion of the site consists of an east-to-west-trending strip of land which varies up to approximately 8 feet higher in elevation than the remainder of the site. An existing driveway, trees, and several residential structures with associated buildings are located in this area. There is a system of irrigation pipelines that run east-west across the southernmost portion, east-west in the central portion of the site, and another that runs north-south approximately thirty feet west of Sellers Avenue.

The Contra Costa County Canal, which borders the property to the north, consists of an approximately 12 -foot-high berm and a relatively shallow canal. At the time of our field activities, the flow line in the canal was lower than the ground surface of the subject property.

There has been minor grading on the property to construct roads, dikes, and level areas for buildings and other improvements.

### 1.5 Proposed Development

Although specific development plans have not been prepared as of the date of this report, it is our understanding that the proposed development will consist of single-family residential housing. Two surface water impoundments (lakes) are proposed in the central portions of the site. Approximately 10 acres of lakes are proposed on the site and an additional 167.3 acres of lakes are proposed within the rest of the study area of this report. Therefore, a total of approximately 177.3 acres of lakes are planned within the study area. Additional site improvements will likely consist of streets and underground utility construction. It is our understanding that the site grading for this project will likely include minor cutting and filling to establish building pads and streets. To improve ground stability, geotechnical recommendations call for over-excavation and recompaction of compressible soils to depths of six to ten feet below existing grade (based on final site elevations of 3 feet below seal level). Excavation for the lakes may involve cuts up to 15 feet deep and will likely require dewatering to that depth for construction purposes due to shallow groundwater conditions. A perimeter dike system surrounding the site is also proposed that will involve substantial thickness of fill placement (up to at least 10 feet thick).

### 2.0 GEOLOGY, BASIN AND SUBBASIN BOUNDARIES, AND HYDROGEOLOGY

### 2.1 Geology

The site is located at the margin of the Great Valley Geomorphic Province and the Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province. The Great Valley Geomorphic Province consists of an elongated structural trough that has been filled with a sequence of sedimentary deposits ranging from Jurassic to recent in age. In the San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta, sedimentary deposits are up to six miles in thickness (Atwater, 1982) and consist of marine and non-marine deposits. Based on generalized geologic cross sections prepared by the California Division of Oil and Gas from oil and gas well logs, it is estimated that undifferentiated non-marine sedimentary deposits, which are of primary importance with respect to the freshwater aquifer systems, extend to depths of approximately 1,000 to 3,000 feet in the study area and beneath the San Joaquin River (DOG, 1982). These deposits rise to the west and pinch out as they meet the flank of the Coast Ranges geomorphic province. Geophysical evidence suggests that the Great Valley is underlain at depth with granitic rocks of similar origin to those of the Sierra Nevada Province. The adjacent Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province consists of the Franciscan Assemblage rocks which also likely underlie a portion of the Great Valley and the study area.

The San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta lies at the junction of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, the two major waterways that drain the Central Valley. The Delta area currently consists of a braided pattern of brackish to freshwater tidally-influenced channels and sloughs encircling a series of low-lying islands.

### 2.1.1 Site Geology

The near-surface sediments across the site consist of eolian (wind-blown), Iacustrine (lake-deposited) and alluvial deposits. These sediments are typically irregularly-stratified, poorly consolidated deposits of clay, silt, sand, and minor gravel.

The surficial geology of the Delta has been mapped by Atwater (1982) (Figure 3) which shows that the surficial deposits on the site have been largely influenced by changes in sea level during the Late Pleistocene. Most of the high-standing areas in the site vicinity are the crests of old sand dunes and are underlain by sandy eolian deposits deposited during later stages of the most recent low-stand of sea level. According to Atwater, these eolian deposits formerly extended across most of the surface of the site but are now buried in low-lying areas by younger sediments.

The alluvial fan of Marsh Creek extends across the site and Atwater's map and text imply that alluvium of Marsh Creek typically overlies the sandy eolian deposits in low-lying areas. According to Atwater (1982), much of the alluvium in the site vicinity consists of gray silt and clay deposited in near sea-level flood basins and ephemeral lakes.

### 2.1.2 Soil Survey Maps

The site and study area is mapped by the Soil Survey of Contra Costa County (1977). The predominant soil types across the site are the Marcuse Clay (Mb) and Delhi Sand (DaC) with lesser amounts of Piper Loamy Sands (Pe). Sycamore Silty Clay Loam (So) appears south and southwest of the site. Sacramento Clays ( Sa and Sb ) and Egbert Mucky Clay Loam (Ea) along with some other muck soils appear east of the site but within the study area boundary delineated on Figure 2.

### 2.2 Groundwater Basin and Subbasin

The site is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Central District of the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) as shown on Figure 4. According to DWR classification, the site is situated in the northemmost portion of the Tracy Subbasin (No. 5-22.15) of the San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region as shown on Figure 5 (DWR, 2003). Groundwater aquifers at the site and surrounding area have subsurface recharge from converging sources that included the western alluvial plain deposits of the Central Valley, chiefly the Marsh Creek alluvial fan deposits, and the San Joaquin Valley interbasin deposits that are more prevalent to the southeast. According to DWR, the Tracy Subbasin is defined by the areal extent of unconsolidated to semi-consolidated sedimentary deposits that are bounded by the Diablo Range on the west; the Mokelumne and San Joaquin Rivers on the north; the San Joaquin River to the east; and the San Joaquin-Stanislaus County line on the south. The Tracy Subbasin is located adjacent to the Eastern San Joaquin Subbasin on the east and the Delta-Mendota Subbasin on the south. All of the above-mentioned subbasins are located within the larger San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin. The Tracy Subbasin also lies to the south of the Solano Subbasin that is within the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin (DWR, 2004).

The basin boundaries shown in Figure 5 are approximately the same as those used in previous groundwater investigations. These boundaries generally follow the edge of the relatively young alluvial deposits that comprise the valley floor areas. However, the young sedimentary formations exposed in hills adjacent to some of the valley floor areas to the west also extend beneath the site, where they may contribute to some of the thickness of the groundwater basin. The young sedimentary formations exposed in the hills are thought to be fault displaced to their positions beneath the valley floor. In those areas, the true boundaries of the groundwater flow system are defined by faults (for example, the Midland fault and other faults thought to be a part of the Coast Ranges - Sierran Block fault system).

### 2.3 Regional Hydrogeology

In 1999, Luhdorff and Scalmanini Consulting Engineers (LSCE) completed an investigation of the groundwater conditions in the east Contra Costa County area for the East County Water Management Association, a collection of the major water and irrigation districts in the area (LSCE, 1999). In this study, LSCE characterized four general hydrogeologic regions based on a deposition model of alluvium in the study area and surrounding region as follows:

- Fluvial Plain - An area east and south of the study area along the floor of the San Joaquin Valley comprised of several thick beds ( 20 to 30 feet) of sands and gravels at distinct levels separated by intervening clay to silt beds.
- Delta Islands - An area within the northeast portion of the study area and to the northeast comprised of sand and gravel beds correlated to the sand and gravel beds of the Fluvial Plain region but with greater thickness and increased net sand thickness to the north. Sand is reportedly finer with lesser occurrences of gravels.
- Marginal Delta Dunes - An area mostly within the study area but also to the west of the study area comprised of numerous thin to thick sand beds having net sand thicknesses of generally greater than 30 feet per 100 feet. The sand beds are generally finer grained than the Delta Islands region and have areas of locally thick (greater than 30 feet) beds.
- Alluvial Plain - An area generally south of the study area that includes the Brentwood area comprised of discontinuous thin sand and gravel with generally low net sand thickness of less than 20 feet per 100 feet. The Alluvial Plain deposits thin westward to pinch-out against the Coastal Range foothills and thicken eastward where they probably interbed with the flood plain deposits of the Fluvial Plain deposits.

To further evaluate the hydrogeology specific to the study area, available Water Well Drillers Reports (WWDRs) were obtained from DWR for a 12 -square-mile area surrounding the site (primarily to the south and east of the site). In addition, a reconnaissance of the area was conducted to identify groundwater wells for which WWDRs were not on file. Figure 6 presents a graphical summary of the groundwater wells identified through both research activities. Table 1 presents a summary of some of the data presented on the WWDRs reviewed. Most of the wells in the study
area were screened between 100 and 250 feet below the ground surface (bgs), while some of the boreholes extended as deep as 610 feet bgs.

Based on the information contained in the WWDRs, several simplified geologic cross sections were constructed and are presented in Figure 7. These cross sections are in general agreement with the cross section presented in the LSCE study, although the LSCE cross section covers a much larger area. Since the sediment descriptions on lithologic logs of the WWDRs generally only made the distinction as to whether the sediment was "clay", "sand" or "gravel", these cross sections are equally simplified and only generally represent the depth and thickness of more permeable sediments relative to less permeable sediments. In general, the cross sections indicate that the deposits beneath the site and sumounding area consist of discontinuous, interlayered deposits of varying thickness that have highly contrasting permeabilities. This characterization is supported by more detailed subsurface information contained in previous geotechnical studies for the site (ENGGEO, 2005 and 1993; data excerpts in Appendix A) which indicated a high degree of variability up to depths of 50 feet. The degree of variability can be interpolated to also extend to greater depths.

Recent work conducted by LSCE in 2005 involved the installation of two wells within the study area and included detailed lithologic logging of samples collected from depths as much as 460 feet bgs, as well as down-hole geophysical surveys to depths as much as 250 feet bgs (Appendix B). These two test holes are an appreciable distance apart; the northern test hole is located approximately $1 / 2$ mile east of the intersection of Cypress and Bethel Island Roads and the southern test hole is located approximately 2,000 feet further south. Logs for these two test holes indicated some larger-scale similarities at both sites with moderate to high permeable sediments encountered at the depth intervals of 140 to 180,210 to 260 and 270 to 295 feet bgs. This level of similarity between the two test holes suggests that aquifer boundaries are defined, on a larger scale, by the generalized occurrences of mostly sand beds versus mostly clay and silt beds. In other words, sequences of predominantly sand beds (higher permeable layers) can represent an aquifer while sequences of predominantly clay and silt beds (lower permeable layers) can represent boundaries to
vertical groundwater flow. Based on the WWDRs, work by Luhdorff and Scalmanini, and the geologic cross sections, the subsurface hydrogeologic conditions can be characterized as semi-confined aquifers having moderate to high water yield.
4603.4.101.02

### 3.0 GROUNDWATER USE

### 3.1 Sources of Water

The site and near vicinity have a rural setting and, as such, rely strictly on groundwater for a source of domestic water. Groundwater use also includes some industrial and agricultural water supply uses. However, these uses appear limited. The vast majority of agricultural irrigation water is supplied to the area by the surrounding sloughs via lift pumps and sluice gates. Some irrigation water in the south and southwestern portions of the study area is also supplied by the East Contra Costa Inrigation District (ECCID) that conveys surface water from the south through a series of concrete-lined open ditches and buried concrete pipes. The main source of ECCD's water is surface water diverted from Indian Slough and groundwater pumped regionally and introduced into the irrigation system. ECCID does not appear to operate groundwater wells in the study area. In general, ECCDD irrigation water from Indian Slough does not reach the study area. Instead, irrigation water is delivered to a large portion of the northem half of the study area via gravity feed from Little Dutch Slough (slough aligned with Knightsen Avenue).

In 1994, the City of Brentwood commissioned the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) to conduct a groundwater isotope study in the Brentwood area (Davisson and Campell, 1994). The study cites that 50 years of historical water table maps compiled by ECCD indicate that the change in groundwater storage in the region is essentially zero as there have been no substantial changes in the water table elevation and slope. This appears to be generally true even based on limited information on depth to groundwater recorded in WWDRs for the area which usually indicated a very shallow depth to groundwater of between 5 and 10 feet. Major points regarding sources of water that are presented in the LLNL study include:

- ECCD's irrigation water is chiefly sourced from surface water diverted at Indian, Little Dutch and Rock Sloughs, but is also supplied from groundwater on demand.
- Most of the groundwater extracted by ECCID is related to drainage tile water removal in the low-lying areas east of the site and is exported from the basin.
- Irrigation water delivered to the area has averaged approximately 37,550 acre-feet (ac-ft) per year for the service area of at least 17,000 acres (approximately 26.5 square miles).
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from ECCID agricultural irrigation water is estimated to be approximately $11,265 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ( 30 percent of applied water).
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from stream beds is approximately 550 ac -ft per year.
- Recharge to the groundwater basin from rainfall is approximately $2,550 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year.
- ECCID's average groundwater pumpage is 3,750 ac-ft per year.
- Domestic pumpage in the region (includes Brentwood, Knightsen and rural sites) was estimated to be approximately 950 ac-ft per year using population figures and an assumed consumption rate of 164 gallons per capita per day.
- Safe yield from groundwater for the Brentwood area was estimated by the LLNL study to be 300 to $1,800 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year. This safe yield is based on the assumption that 90 percent of available recharge from over irrigation and rainfall is lost due to urbanization, which makes the estimate conservatively low.
- Elevated nitrate levels in groundwater are primarily located in shallow groundwater (less than 100 feet bgs ) in the Brentwood area located to the south of the site.

It is reasonable to assume that available groundwater recharge from irrigation in the subject Oakley study area is less than that for the Brentwood area due to the study area being about one-half of that used for the Brentwood area study ( 12 square miles compared to 26 square miles) and due to the differences in agricultural practices (cattle feed versus orchard and row crops). For a 12 -square-mile ( 7,680 acre) study area, the irrigation water applied to the study area would amount to approximately 17,000 ac-ft per year (based on 2.21 ac -ft applied per year per acre). If it is assumed that the differences in agricultural practices between the two areas reduce the amount of imigation water applied by 33 percent, recharge to the study area groundwater basin would be 20 percent ( 30 percent x 67 percent) of the applied irrigation water or approximately $3,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ( 0.4 ac -ft per year per acre). Water used for irrigation in
the study area is primarily delivered by gravity flow from Little Dutch and Emerson Sloughs and, to a lesser extent, from Rock Slough. Lift pumps also help deliver water from the sloughs to the area. Assuming 10 square miles are agricultural land use and about 30 percent of irrigated water reaches the groundwater table, irrigation rates would be approximately 1.8 feet per year ${ }^{1}$ which is about 50 percent the average rate for Califomia of 3.6 feet per year. The 1.8 feet per year rate is reasonable given that the vast majority of agricultural land in the study area is farmed for cattle feed or pasture that is irrigated much less than conventional crops (usually only irrigated during the peak hot weather season). Shallow groundwater conditions also lessen the amount of crop water demand.

Assuming rainfall of 1 foot per year over a 7,680-acre area (very little of the study area is urbanized) and an infiltration rate to groundwater of 20 percent (same as used in the LLNL study), recharge from rainfall would amount to approximately $1,550 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year.

Stream recharge for the study area is generally not that significant because streams do not cross the area. However, major waterways do include the Contra Costa Canal and Emerson, Sand Mound and Dutch Sloughs. It is reasonable to estimate that the Sloughs do not currently contribute to significant groundwater recharge in the study area because drainage tiles and lift pumps used to dewater the lands below sea level exist adjacent to these sloughs that provide a point of hydraulic control with a zero net effect. In other words, the amount of water recharges from the sloughs equals, or is less than, the amount of water being removed by the drainage tiles and drainage lift pumps. Conversely, the Contra Costa Canal likely provides groundwater recharge because it is unlined and typically has a water level greater than the groundwater table. Using Darcy's Law governing hydraulic flow in the subsurface and assuming the water level in the canal is 10 feet above the underlying groundwater table, the distance between bottom of the canal and the water table is 5 feet, the intervening sediments are primarily silty sand having an approximate hydraulic conductivity of 0.1 gPd per square foot (gPd/sf) (Freeze and Cherry, 1979,

[^24]Table 2.2), and the areal extent of the canal affecting the study area is 1.5 million sf $(20,000$ feet long by 75 feet wide), the amount of recharge available from the canal is approximately $335 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ${ }^{2}$.

Domestic and agricultural pumping in the study area is quite different than in the Brentwood area primarily because the City of Oakley uses very little groundwater for domestic water and agricultural groundwater pumping is significantly less in the study area (based on area reconnaissance and observed agricultural practices). In general, agricultural groundwater wells were not identified in the area. WWDRs only identified 7 agricultural supply wells and 62 domestic supply wells in the study area. Reconnaissance of the study area identified at least 150 additional domestic water supply wells. Applying a similar ratio of WWDR-identified to reconnaissance-identified domestic water wells to the WWDR-identified agricultural wells, it is estimated that approximately 25 agricultural wells exist in the study area.

Assuming that the daily use for all private domestic water supply wells is approximately 700 gallons $^{3}$, private domestic water pumping in the area is approximately 166 ac-ft per year ${ }^{4}$.

The aforementioned amount of domestic water pumpage does not include pumpage from several community well supplies identified by the area reconnaissance and records review (e.g. Knightsen Community Water System, Sandmound Mutual, Riverview Water Association, Pleasant Times Mutual Water Company, Dutch Slough Water Works, Angler's Ranch, Bethel Island Mutual Water Company, Delta Mutual Water Company, Big Oak Mobile Park, Belle Vista Trailer Court and Island Park Trailer Court). Except for the Knightsen Community Water System, these community water supply systems service recreational developments that would be expected to consume much less (conservatively, about half of full-time residences) the amount of

[^25]water private non-recreational development domestic water wells produce. Each of the 11 community supply systems likely averages not more than 25 connections or 150 people for half the year because the wells primarily serve vacation homes. The Knightsen water system is reported to serve a population of 150 with 21 service connections that serve full-time residences. Based on the aforementioned information, it is estimated that the community water systems in the study area result in approximately 165 ac-ft per year of additional domestic water pumpage ${ }^{5}$. Including the pumpage from other identified wells in the area, total domestic water pumpage in the study area is estimated to be approximately 330 ac-ft per year.

Estimating agricultural pumpage in the study area requires much more generalized assumptions because inrigation practices appear to vary greatly from farm to farm without accurate flow measurements being collected and it is uncertain how much of the irrigation demand is supplied by groundwater. A reasonable approach to estimating agricultural pumpage would be to estimate the average use for each well. Assuming each agricultural well ( 25 are estimated to exist) only operates one-third the time during the two months of July and August to supplement irrigation water or improve water quality when irrigation demand is the highest and that each well produces, on average, a 1,000 gallons per minute (gpm), an estimation of agricultural pumpage in the study area is approximately $2,260 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year ${ }^{6}$. This amount seems reasonable given that the LLNL study estimated 4,500 ac-ft per year of agricultural pumpage for the Brentwood area. It appears that much of the difference in agricultural pumpage in the study area compared to the Brentwood area is attributable to the fact that the subject study area is about half of the Brentwood study area and irrigating fields for pasture or cattle feed (predominant in the study area) with pumped groundwater is generally discouraged due to higher operating costs and the availability of slough water.

[^26]Another approach to estimating agricultural pumpage is to estimate crop demand and make an assumption on how much of that demand is met by groundwater pumpage. Alfalfa is a common crop used for dairy cattle feed that appears to be the primary agricultural activity in the study area covering approximately 6 square miles. Orchards do exist in the southwest quadrant of the study area and cover about 4 square miles, but it is believed that the water demands for these orchards are chiefly supplied by ECCDD ditch water and groundwater pumpage in this area can be ignored. Alfalfa requires approximately 60 inches of water per year while com, another common cattle feed crop, requires much less, about 34 inches per year. As mentioned above, agricultural pumpage in the study area likely only occurs during the summer months of July and August when water demand is highest. During these two months, alfalfa could demand as much as 16 inches of water. Assuming that groundwater supplies as much as 50 percent of the crop demand and a cultivated area of approximately 6 square miles, agricultural pumpage for the two months (and year) is estimated to be 2,560 ac-ft per year ${ }^{7}$. This estimate ( $2,560 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year) agrees well with the estimate derived from the estimated number of wells ( $2,260 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft per year) such that, a reasonable estimate of groundwater agricultural pumpage in the area is set at $2,500 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.

Other types of water uses in the study area included limited industrial uses (e.g. commercial, dairy operations, light industrial, etc.). While these uses are incrementally minor in terms of the overall groundwater balance in the region, their local affects on groundwater flow and supply can not be overlooked, especially in light of major land use changes.

Based on site reconnaissance and interviews with property owners, the Emerson Dairy operated just north of the site (within the study area) up until September 2003 and used significant amounts of groundwater for continuous washdown of the milking parlor. Based on discussions with Mr. Stan Emerson who managed the dairy for many years and grew up in the study area, the dairy started in about 1970 and significantly increased the size of its operation with the

[^27]In summary, groundwater uses in the study area are given below.

## Study Area Groundwater Recharge

Rainfall Infiltration $=1,550$ ac-ft per year Irrigation Infiltration $=3,400$ ac-ft per year Waterway Infiltration $=335 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year

## Study Area Groundwater Pumpage

Domestic Water $=330$ ac-ft per year Agricultural Water = 2,500 ac-ft per year Industrial Water $=750 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year Commercial Water $=250 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year

The above listing indicates that groundwater recharge exceeds the groundwater pumpage by 1,455 ac-ft per year.

### 3.2 Types of Groundwater Use

Based on the findings of the LLNL study, review of the WWDRs, area surveillance, and planned future needs, groundwater uses in the region include:

- Domestic
- Agricultural
- Industrial
- Commercial
- Environmental (i.e. wetland and tidal marsh preservation, slough and river water quality, lake water levels, green space irrigation etc.)


### 3.3 Water Use Pattems and Trends

According to the City of Oakley's September 13, 2002, General Plan Draft EIR, groundwater is a source of water in the East Contra Costa County, mostly in rural areas. Several small public and private water companies extract underground water through wells and convey it to nearby
customers ${ }^{8}$. These include Bethel Island, Knightsen, Byron, and Discovery Bay. Whereas the City of Oakley does not significantly use groundwater for its municipal water supply, there are many private wells in the area as the review of WWDRs and area surveillance suggest (Figure 6). Sources not served by Diablo Water District (DWD) that use water wells are located primarily south of Laurel Road and east of Main Street. Wells are primary water sources in some rural areas, and could be a source of water for Oakley and its sphere-of-influence areas. However, the feasibility of utilizing well water is dependent on the quality and quantity of the groundwater supplies. The City of Oakley has suggested in its General Plan that a major problem with groundwater quality is the concentration of nitrates in the water supply.

Limited groundwater quality data available from DWR's online Water Data Library ${ }^{9}$ (Appendix C) does not suggest prevalent nitrate groundwater impact, particularly to the east of Oakley and along Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs. However, a certain percentage of the wells in the area (e.g. around Knightsen and Brentwood) have nitrate levels at or just above drinking water standards. Also, according to the LLNL study, the elevated nitrate levels are attributed to groundwater recharge on agricultural land. The highest nitrate levels are found in water less than 100 feet deep in the area southeast of Brentwood where row crop farming and orchards are predominant.

In contrast to the City of Oakley that does not currently depend on groundwater as a municipal water source, the City of Brentwood operates entirely on groundwater. Data for fourteen wells owned by the City of Brentwood were reviewed on Geotracker (Appendix C) that indicated that, of the 14 groundwater supply wells, five have been abandoned. The data included historical water quality data as far back as 1988 for some of the wells. The data indicated recent total dissolved solids (TDS) levels ranging from 490 to 1,230 milligrams per liter (mg/l). Historical TDS trends have generally increased over the last 10 to 15 years. Some of the City of Brentwood wells have had elevated nitrate levels that have approached or exceeded the drinking

[^28]water standard for Nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ of $45 \mathrm{mg} / l$, including a few that have been abandoned. However, most of the newer wells have recent nitrate levels ranging from 4.5 to $11.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, suggesting they are either screened deeper or are located in areas less impacted with nitrates from agricultural practices.

Water use trends in the area are expected to change from agricultural to domestic as urban development moves into predominantly agricultural areas. With this change, it is anticipated that groundwater recharge from irrigation will be reduced while recharge from yard and green space landscape irrigation will increase. Estimating the overall affect of this change would be speculative. However, one expectation is that the there will be a net reduction of groundwater recharge. Another expectation is that the quality of the recharge water should be improved as the use of agrichemicals (added nutrients that are the primary source of elevated nitrate levels in the area) is reduced.

### 3.4 Groundwater Levels and Trends

Groundwater level data compiled by ECCD and contained on WWDRs strongly support the idea that groundwater levels in the area have remained shallow and constant for a long period. Groundwater occurs beneath the site at depths of approximately 4 to 6 feet bgs (elevations of 10 to -2 feet NGVD). Within the study area boundaries, groundwater levels are between approximately 10 and -10 feet NGVD. Throughout the region, groundwater generally is encountered at depths of less than 20 feet. The study area does not appear to be in a state of overdraft as demonstrated by the shallow groundwater levels.

One of the better records of historic groundwater levels in the area exists for a well (State $\mathbb{D}$ NO. 01N03E17E001M) located approximately one mile east of Brentwood and approximately 2.5 miles south of the southem study area boundary and 3 miles south of the site. A graph of the

[^29]data from this well is presented on Figure 8 and shows a moderately stable history of water levels (water surface elevations vary less than 10 feet over 8 years) and shows a slight upward trend (from season high elevations of 42 feet NGVD in 1976 to 45 feet in 1983). The increasing groundwater table elevations are more likely attributable to changes in climatic patterns (i.e. period of increased rainfall) versus an increase in ECCID irrigation water deliveries to the area (ECCID deliveries are assumed to have reached capacity by 1972 when 100 percent of available land was being irrigated). Additionally, the rising water table levels do not appear to be attributable to a reduction of groundwater pumpage in the area (ECCDD pumpage was significantly reduced by 1970 and was chiefly comprised of drainage tile pumpage, the degree of which is not expected to have changed since that time).

In general, the overall groundwater levels have been very stable and are expected to remain that way irrespective of whether recharge from irrigation or rainfall increase or decreases over the area due to urbanization. It appears that the stability of groundwater levels in the study area are due to influences of the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers Delta. Groundwater levels have the potential to be lowered only a relatively small amount as a result of urbanization for the following reasons:

- The surface water levels in the adjoining sloughs and river will remain constant, thereby providing a significant recharge source for the area.
- While urbanization has the potential of reducing available groundwater recharge from irrigation and rainfall by up to 90 percent (from 3,400 to $340 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year), an increase in available recharge from slough and river course stream beds would occur. The potential end result could be a lowering of the groundwater table by as much as 10 feet in the northeastern portion of the study area and 30 feet in the southwestern portions of study areas.
- A removal of groundwater pumpage in the study area due to land use changes (e.g. removal of industrial groundwater use like that which formerly existed at the Emerson Dairy, located north of the site, the Lesher Property, east of the site, and other agricultural irrigation pumping in surrounding areas) would counteract the affects of lost recharge from urbanization. The amount of gain in the groundwater budget by stopping industrial and commercial groundwater pumpage is conservatively estimated at $1,000 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.
- The 90 percent recharge loss rate due to urbanization used in the LLNL study appears overly conservative given the layout of the planned development which will have significant park and street landscaping areas. The lakes are planned to be lined and would not contribute significant quantities to recharge. A more accurate estimate of the recharge loss rate might be 60 percent based on the current planned development for the area. However, for this study, 90 percent is used as a more conservative estimate.
- Planned increases in groundwater demand as a result of completion of the planned developments in the study area only consist of providing makeup water due to evaporation losses off the planned lakes and turf irrigation of certain common spaces. Groundwater is not intended to be used for domestic-use water supplies. Some of the groundwater wells in the study area may also provide emergency municipal water supply to the developments in the event of a failure of the transmission line that will be providing municipal water for the developments from the Randall-Bold Water Treatment Plant located in Oakley. However, groundwater use for emergency water supply should be considered temporary, not affecting the long-term groundwater budget, and therefore can be ignored. The estimated water demand for evaporation makeup for the lakes (total area of 177.3 acres in the study area) is estimated to be approximately 540 ac-ft per year ${ }^{10}$. Of this amount, the direct site demands are only 30 ac-ft per year since only 10 acres of lakes are planned on the site.

The amount of irrigation demand for the site parks and street landscape on the Emerson portion of the site has been estimated by vanderToolen Associates Inc. (vTA), the project landscape design professionals, to be approximately 40 ac-ft per year. According to vTA, this is a conservative estimate. It is reasonable to assume that a similar amount of irrigation demand is planned for the remaining Burroughs portion of the site. Therefore, a total site irrigation demand is estimated to be $80 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year.

The amount of turf irrigation demand in other portions of the study area is conservatively estimated to be approximately 210 ac-ft per year ${ }^{11}$ based on planting cool season turf over approximately 72 percent of the approximate 58 acres available for the large community parks planned at the intersection of East Cypress and Bethel Island Roads. This is a very conservative, worse-case scenario that considers poor irrigation efficiency of 75 percent and planting turf over a vast majority of the available space. For comparison purposes, a more realistic assumption would result in only 30 percent of the available space being planted with cool season turf and an irrigation efficiency of 90 percent; thus yielding a turf irrigation

[^30]demand of approximately 72 ac-ft per year. If warm season turf was to be planted, the irrigation demand would be further reduced to 58 ac-ft per year, an overall reduction of 150 ac-ft per year over the worse-case scenario.

The lake evaporation makeup water and turf irrigation demand (540 and 290 ac-ft per year, respectively) therefore conservatively totals approximately 830 ac-ft per year which is a little more than half of the overall current excess flux of groundwater through the study area estimated to be approximately 1,455 ac-ft per year (based on current recharge amounts less the pumpage amounts given in Section 3.1). It is important to recognize that an additional amount of groundwater flows into the study area from the southwest. The total amount of groundwater flux into the study area from the southwest is conservatively estimated to be approximately $1,600 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ${ }^{12}$.

It is uncertain how much water DWD will pump from the two groundwater wells that have recently been constructed at a location east of the site near the intersection of Cypress and Bethel Island Roads. In addition to lake makeup water and turf irrigation demands, the wells are also planned to supply groundwater for initial filling of the lakes. However, filling of the lakes should be considered to only have a temporal affect and to not affect the long-term groundwater budget. Each well appears capable of producing up to 1,200 gallons per minute or 1.73 million gallons per day on a continuous basis. If both wells were run continuously, approximately 3,900 ac-ft per year of groundwater could be pumped. It is not unreasonable to expect DWD to utilize the wells to the greatest capacity should they be able to demonstrate no adverse impacts in doing so. However, for the purposes of this study, groundwater demands are assumed to only consist of lake makeup and irrigation water demands.

[^31]
### 4.0 GROUNDWATER BUDGETS

### 4.1 Regional Groundwater Budgets

It is our opinion that the data presented in the LLNL study provides an adequate characterization of groundwater budgets in the adjacent area and can be used as a basis for the current study. The LLNL study concluded that the groundwater storage in the area is in a steady state. The LLNL study estimated groundwater flow exiting the Brentwood area to the east to be approximately $7,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year. For comparison purposes, in Section 3.4 of this study, it is estimated that 1,600 ac-ft per year are entering the study area. The differences can be attributed to the smaller size of the study area compared to the LLNL Brentwood study area. The LLNL study indicates their estimate is based solely on comparison of groundwater recharge occurring within their studied area to groundwater pumpage within the same area. The excess groundwater is the result of recharge from irrigation, streams and rainfall, totaling approximately $12,100 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year, exceeding agricultural and domestic pumping of approximately 4,700 ac-ft per year. On this basis, it is estimated the study area has groundwater recharge exceeding pumpage by $1,455 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year (see Section 3.1 of this report).

The excess groundwater quantified for the Brentwood area by LLNL suggests that up to 7,400 ac-ft per year of groundwater could be pumped from the Brentwood area before an overdraft condition would occur. However, the study concluded that only 300 to 1,800 ac-ft per year could be safely pumped from the area primarily due to perceived future affects of retiring agricultural land, estimated to provide 67 to 84 percent of the available groundwater recharge in the area, and replacing it with urbanized areas that have limited availability for sources of recharge. The reduction in groundwater recharge amounts are attributed to urbanization causing increases of impervious areas (e.g. more rooftops and pavement) and creating landscape areas that utilize more water efficient vegetation than orchards and row crops that otherwise cause a significant amount of irrigation water infiltration to the groundwater table. As previously
mentioned, the LLNL study cites that it is estimated that the urbanization of agricultural land causes over a 90 percent reduction in groundwater recharge. A similar scenario for the study area is presented in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 to estimate the affect to groundwater resources from cumulative land use changes.

### 4.2 Study Area Groundwater Budgets

The high percent reduction in groundwater recharge postulated in the LLNL study is suspect and is not necessarily applicable to the site and surrounding area where irrigation practices are not necessarily similar to that in the Brentwood area. The study area has historically been primarily used to grow cattle feed and the majority of the land has been irrigated less than in the Brentwood area where row crops or orchards have been cultivated. In addition, cultivation in the study area relies heavily on rainfall (i.e. is often dry-farmed and is not irrigated land). In the case of "pasture" land that is not heavily irrigated, urbanization may actually contribute greater amounts of recharge to groundwater due to a significant increase in yard landscape and green space watering. In addition, much of the areas inclusive of the study area historically have not been irrigated with ECCD water from outside the study area, but rather with water from nearby sloughs. The LLNL study did not account for the irrigation water captured from Emerson, Little Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs because these water sources were consider outside of the LLNL study area. It has not been determined to what degree historic irrigation water locally derived from the sloughs will continue to be a contributing factor to groundwater recharge.

If, in fact, recharge to groundwater from irrigation and rainfall in the study area is reduced due to urbanization, groundwater levels will likely experience only a relatively small change. Reduced recharge may cause the direction of groundwater flow to change from flowing northeast to flowing southwest, particularly in the northeastem portion of the study area. This change results from loss of available groundwater recharge and the onset of groundwater pumping for lake makeup water and community park irrigation which could cause the groundwater table to
become lower in the southwest than in the northeast. It can be expected that if, at some point, the water table is lowered, a reduction in pumpage would arise as the need for drainage tile pumping along the sloughs is reduced due to a lower water table. However, to be conservative, the reduction in drainage tile pumping (that essentially removes groundwater) is ignored in the assessment of future changes in the groundwater budget.

Based on the amount of available streambed recharge along Dutch and Sand Mound Sloughs (approximately 5 miles of wetted perimeter having an approximate width of 60 feet), a hydraulic gradient of 10 feet of head over a 100-foot flow path, and an assumed hydraulic conductivity of 10 gallons per day, per square-foot (typical for silty sand considered to be the limiting aquifer material type), it is estimated stream bed recharge from the sloughs and river could increase to 1,700 ac-ft per year ${ }^{13}$. This estimate is based on very crude assumptions and only provides a rough estimate of the potential increase in available streambed recharge that could result from depression of the water table in the study area. However, keep in mind that if the water table were to depress further, say 20 feet, due to a greater imbalance in the groundwater budget, the hydraulic head and groundwater flow velocity at the stream bed boundary would increase, streambed recharge would increase and a steady state in the groundwater table would ultimately be achieved (e.g. a hydraulic head difference of 20 feet versus 10 feet would increase the streambed recharge amount by a factor of 2 to $3,400 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year). The considerations listed below should mitigate concerns over impacts from increased stream bed recharge in the form of changes in groundwater quality, a potential loss of available water to the sloughs or a change in slough water quality:

- In general, the quality of slough water is better than groundwater (lower total dissolved solids and nitrate levels).

[^32]- The rate of potential stream bed recharge (estimated above to potentially be 1,700 ac-ft per year), and therefore, lost from the sloughs, would be much less than the historic rate of irrigation water withdrawal from the sloughs and applied on land (estimated in footnote \#15 to be 9,650 ac-ft per year). Therefore, salinity in the sloughs should not be expected to increase under a future urbanized land use scenario because much less water will be removed from the sloughs causing a lower propensity for upstream migration of more brackish downstream water (in other words, there will be greater amounts of flushing with fresh water from upstream thus improving the slough water quality).
- The sloughs would not experience less flushing with high quality river water or changes in water levels for the same reason as stated in the second bullet above. The main slough affected, Dutch Slough, receives water upstream from the San Joaquin River.


### 4.3 Groundwater Available for Lake Makeup Water and Community Park Turf Irrigation

The planned developments within the study area include a total of 177.3 acres of surface water lakes to provide recreational and aesthetic amenities, stormwater quality management and storage for irrigation during the dry season. It is our understanding that the ponds will have a synthetic liner to eliminate water loss due to infiltration at the base of the ponds. Even if the lakes were to have a clay liner that had some component of leakage, the leakage would return to the groundwater table and would not affect the overall groundwater budget since the volume of water introduced by leakage would be equaled by groundwater removed by pumpage to maintain the lake water levels. Because of the synthetic lining proposed for the surface water lakes, supplemental water requirements are only comprised of free-surface evaporation. Work being conducted by Balance Hydrologics, Inc. for design of the lakes has estimated their annual makeup requirements based on pan evaporation rates, coefficients for lake evaporation precipitation, runoff and runoff to the lakes. Based on this work, Balance Hydrologics have estimated an annual makeup requirement for the lakes of 36.5 inches per year. The 36.5 -inch-per-year rate is reasonable based on review of a generalized map of evapotranspiration rates for California which indicates a evapotranspiration rate of 57 inches per year for the study area (CIMIS, 1999) and the fact that the transpiration component of evapotranspiration is absent of free-surface evaporation. Based on a total surface area of the lakes of 177.3 acres, it is estimated
that the amount of water needed for the makeup requirement is approximately 540 ac - ft per year (see footnote \#10). Again, for the site irrigation demands, lake make up water is on approximately 30 ac-ft per year.

It is currently planned that groundwater will provide 100 percent of the water losses for the lakes due to evaporation (i.e. makeup requirement of $540 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year) and the irrigation demand for the site parks, site street landscaping and the large planned community parks east of the site. In addition, the peak lake makeup requirement occurs during the month of August when evaporation is greatest and there is no runoff to the lakes. During this peak month, approximately 95 ac-ft of groundwater will be needed or approximately $690 \mathrm{gpm}^{14}$ collectively for all the lakes.

As previously mentioned in Sections 2.3 and 3.4, two groundwater supply wells have been recently installed by LSCE for the Shea Homes development within the study area in anticipation of using groundwater to provide lake makeup water and community park turf irrigation demands, emergency backup domestic-use water and initial filling of the lakes. Upon completion of the wells, short-duration, step-drawdown pump tests were conducted for the purpose of sizing pumps for the wells. The pumping flow rates for each well were $800,1,200$ and $1,500 \mathrm{gpm}$ for the north well; and $1,000,1,500$ and $1,800 \mathrm{gpm}$ for the south well. After each well's step-drawdown tests, 8 -hour pump tests were conducted at $1,200 \mathrm{gpm}$ for each well. The pump test durations were limited to eight hours due to restrictions on construction noise and do not necessarily represent sustainable well yields. At the end of the 8 -hour pump tests, maximum drawdowns were 36.99 feet (pumping water level went from 11.26 to 48.25 feet bgs) and 40.50 feet (from 11.85 to 52.35 feet bgs ) for the north and south wells, respectively. At the end of each test, the rate of drawdown was approximately 0.40 and 0.37 foot per hour for the north and south wells, respectively. Based on the information from these pump tests, it appears that the installed wells

[^33]are be capable of producing the high flow rates required for lake free-surface evaporation during the peak demand month of August.

With respect to the sustainability of these flow rates and the affects of loss recharge and pumpage due to urbanization, the following points indicate that the groundwater basin is capable of providing groundwater for lake makeup and turf irrigation requirements. The total loss of irrigation and rainfall recharge due to urbanization is estimated to be approximately $4,455 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year ( 90 percent of the total recharge of 4,950 ac-ft per year available from irrigation and rainfall). This amount needs to be further reduced because only about 75 percent of the agricultural land use will be urbanized. Therefore, 4,455 ac-ft per year is reduced to approximately $3,340 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year of lost recharge. In conjunction with this lost recharge, irrigation pumpage of 2,500 ac-ft per year and industrial use pumpage of 750 ac -ft per year would likely also be reduced by 75 percent. Therefore, groundwater pumpage for agricultural and industrial uses will be reduced by approximately 2,440 ac-ft per year due to urbanization. This leaves a net loss of groundwater supply of approximately 900 ac-ft per year (3,340 ac-ft per year lost recharge; 2,440 ac-ft per year lost pumpage). Along with urbanization and the availability of municipal water from outside of the area, comes the opportunity of providing higher quality treated water to existing private and commercial domestic water users. Combined private and commercial domestic water use in the study area is estimated to be approximately 580 ac-ft per year. It is reasonable to assume that 50 percent ( 290 ac -ft per year) of these users will switch from their own private groundwater wells to a municipal water supply that imports water from outside the area. This still leaves a net loss to the existing groundwater supply due to urbanization of 610 ac-ft per year ( 900 ac-ft less 290 ac-ft per year). This amount should be coupled with the 830 ac- ft per year required for the lake makeup water and turf irrigation demands to yield a net negative change in the groundwater budget of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year. It is important to consider that this net negative difference can be partially or entirely offset by utilizing surface water (e.g., water from the sloughs) to provide lake makeup water and turf irrigation requirements.

As previous discussed, the probable net affect of lost recharge due to urbanization and future groundwater pumpage for lake makeup water and turf irrigation requirements is a shift of groundwater flow from northeastward to southwestward in the northeastern portion of the study area as the loss recharge is replaced by stream bed infiltration along Emerson, Sand Mound, Little Dutch and Dutch Sloughs. The potential available rate of infiltration from the sloughs to groundwater is estimated to be between 1,700 to $3,400 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year depending on the degree of hydraulic gradient reversal that may occur. The net negative difference of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year due to urbanization is less than the minimum 1,700 ac-ft per year potentially available from infiltration of slough water. Under this hypothetical scenario, it is very important to keep in mind that much of the land in the study area is currently irrigated through lift pumps or sluice gates that take water from the sloughs and disperse it on the land. The amount of irrigation water sourced from the sloughs is conservatively estimated to be approximately 9,650 ac-ft per year ${ }^{15}$. Under an urbanization scenario, 75 percent of the $9,650 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year of water pumped from the sloughs will cease and that water will become available for indirect recharge to the groundwater basin through slough bed recharge. Given that $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year is relatively small compared to approximately 7,240 ac-ft per year ( 75 percent of the estimated 9,650 ac-ft per year historically derived from the sloughs for irrigation purposes), it appears that there will be a net beneficial affect of increased amounts of water in the sloughs once the area is urbanized.

[^34]
### 5.0 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

Whereas groundwater quality data is very limited for the site and surrounding area, sufficient groundwater quality information exists to provide a general sense of the water quality and what changes to water quality may arise from changes in land use. Sources of groundwater quality data include DWR's Water Data Library, Geotracker and site-specific studies (Appendix C).

### 5.1 Groundwater Quality

The study area has a generally low occurrence of chemical release sites because of its rural agricultural setting. Previous phase one environmental site assessments by ENGEO for the site did not reveal significant contaminated sites that would indicate potential to impact deep groundwater.

### 5.1.1 Nitrates

Groundwater in the some areas within the study area and to the south contain elevated nitrate levels. The LLNL study conducted for the Brentwood area to the south of the study area indicated that high nitrate levels in groundwater less than 100 feet deep are due to agricultural practices (i.e. infiltration of inigation water laden with agrichemicals). LSCE (1999) also noted differences in groundwater quality with respect to high nitrate levels being limited to the upper sequence of aquifer materials and that nitrate levels decreased appreciably in wells screened below 200 feet. Review of a map of the aerial distribution of nitrate concentrations at selected wells shows pronounced differences between the Brentwood, Discovery Bay, Oakley and Delta areas. In general, nitrate concentrations were low to below detection limits for wells within the study area.

Additional data available from GeoTracker and the USGS Water Data Library (WDL) websites (Appendix C) suggests that some conditions similar to the Brentwood area exist in
the southwestern quadrant of the study area, but, in general, do not exist elsewhere in the study area. Generally, detectable nitrate levels trend lower across the study area from the southwest to the northwest. In the northeastern and eastern portions of the study area, closer to Emerson, Little Dutch, Dutch and Rock Sloughs, nitrate is generally not detectable in groundwater. This pattern of lower nitrate levels in the northeast may be attributable to the local groundwater recharge conditions that are comprised of significant amounts of irrigation with higher quality river water and without much use of agrichemicals (fertilizers and pesticides). The river water is expected to have non-detectable nitrate levels. Nitrate was not detected in groundwater that services the communities located on Sandmound Road, Bethel Island, and Holland Tract.

An example of the localized and sporadic occurrence of elevated nitrate levels occurs in the vicinity of Delta Road and State Highway 4. A groundwater well at the Bethel Mission Baptist Church located just west of Highway 4 had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ level of $46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ while the groundwater well at Delta Kids Center located less than a 1,000 feet to the east of the church on Delta Road does not have detectable levels of nitrate. One well, located at the intersection of Sellers Avenue and Cypress Road is only 90 feet deep and had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ level of $93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. A large area of orchards is shown on aerial photographs of the study area (USGS, 1998) in the immediate vicinity and upgradient of this well that may have a localized affect on the groundwater quality less than 100 feet deep.

In contrast, in the vicinity of the City of Oakley where less farming occurs, nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ levels are low, ranging from less than $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at Diablo Water District's Contra Costa Canal Pumping Station (well likely greater than 100 feet deep) to $12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at State Well Number 002N002E036M001M (a well that is 130 feet deep) located approximately $1 / 2$ mile further west of the Bethel Mission Baptist Church.

In July 2003, ENGEO conducted a focused investigation on shallow groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the Emerson Dairy north of the site that indicated nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ levels
were below analytical detection levels in six of the seven samples collected. One sample had a nitrate as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ concentration of $320 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ that was in close proximity to the wash water disposal pond for the dairy operation and was considered a localized affect since nitrate levels were below detection levels at locations less than 500 feet away.

### 5.1.2 Total Dissolved Solids

Review of the available data regarding total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in groundwater presented in the LSCE report and online at Geotracker and the USGS WDL sites indicate a slightly different trend in the distribution of concentrations in the study area with respect to surrounding areas like Brentwood and Discovery Bay. Higher concentrations (generally above $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) are evident in the Brentwood compared to the study area and Discovery Bay (generally between 500 and $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ). It can not be concluded that TDS concentrations show the same trend with depth as nitrate concentrations. It appears that the groundwater beneath Brentwood has a higher component of groundwater recharge from the Coast Ranges geomorphic providence (notorious for having high TDS concentrations) than the Great Valley or Sierra Nevada providences to the east that may have a stronger influence on groundwater beneath the study area than in Brentwood. Another plausible explanation for the lower TDS concentrations beneath the study area and the Discovery Bay area is their closer proximity to the San Joaquin River and Delta resulting in a greater component of stream bed recharge of higher quality surface water, generally having low TDS concentrations, to their underlying aquifers than recharge from the Coast Ranges. The lithologic profile in the study area indicates generally thicker and shallower sequences of sand that would increase the chances for surface water infiltration to reach the underlying aquifer.

### 5.1.3 Chloride

Review of the available data regarding chloride concentrations in groundwater presented in the LSCE report and online at Geotracker and the USGS WDL sites again indicate lower chloride concentrations in the study area compared to the areas to the south and west (e.g., the Brentwood and central Oakley areas). However, in general, chloride concentrations are below $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, well below concentrations considered brackish (e.g., $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ).

The data collected in and surrounding the study area suggest that, in general, groundwater in the study area is less impacted with nitrates than in the Brentwood area where farming of row crops that use agrichemicals more heavily appears to have contributed significantly to nitrate in groundwater. The study area has primarily been used to raise cattle feed and, in general, application of fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides is not done, and therefore, irrigation infiltration in the study area has a lower propensity to cause nitrate contamination in the groundwater.

Finally, water quality in the sloughs appears to be of higher quality (i.e. lower TDS and no nitrates) than agricultural runoff water that also infiltrates to the groundwater table (high TDS and nitrates). Figure 9 provides graphs of river stage and electrical conductivity (EC) for a monitoring station on Rock Slough (available from the California Data Exchange Center [CDEC]) located upstream of the intake for the Contra Costa Canal. The EC values essentially represent water quality at the mixing point between: (1) agricultural runoff and groundwater base flow into the slough from the heavily farmed upland areas to the south and west with (2) the San Joaquin River water that flows to the slough from the southern Central Valley of Califomia. A key observation of the charts is that EC drops as the river stage rises during higher flows of the San Joaquin River, which represents an improvement in water quality. Parallels in water quality can be drawn between the surface water at this location and groundwater under a future urbanized scenario. With the onset of urbanization and a
reversal of the groundwater flow direction, water quality is expected to improve as a result of slough water recharge, much like the water quality improves at the Rock Slough CDEC monitoring station when the river stage is high and there is an influx of river water to the area.

### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 6.1 General Conclusions

Based on information gathered for this study, the following conclusions regarding current groundwater conditions can be made:

- The study area groundwater conditions are static with a current excess flux of $1,455 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year through the area exiting to the north and east due to recharge amounts exceeding pumpage amounts.
- Groundwater quality in the area is generally suitable for domestic water supplies but has been marginally impacted with nitrates to depths of up to 100 feet bgs in the southwest portions due to agricultural practices in that area.
- Significant differences in groundwater quantity and quality exist between the study area and the Brentwood area to the south.

The planned development on the site is part of an overall urbanization in the entire study area. The combined affects of this urbanization will result in a change in the sources of recharge and pumpage within the overall groundwater budget. While these changes are appreciable in quantity, they have a tendency to counteract each other and will not result in a significant overall loss of groundwater supply or a significant drop in the groundwater table elevation. In addition, the changes in sources of recharge and pumpage in the groundwater beneath the study area has the potential to improve the general quality of the groundwater by changing the groundwater flow direction. The following points support these conclusions:

- Urbanization can cause significant loss of available recharge, in some cases up to 90 percent, but this affect is not expected to reach that rate in the study area due to the nature of the planned development (e.g. extensive green spaces will continue to be imigated and large parcels [25 percent of the overall land developed] will remain as agricultural land use). However, for the purpose of this study and to be conservative, a 90 percent recharge loss rate was used.
- It is estimated that urbanization will have an overall affect of removing $1,455 \mathrm{ac}$-ft per year of current excess inflow to groundwater in the area (i.e. current recharge minus current pumpage in the area) and creating a groundwater deficit of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ per year (i.e. future recharge minus future pumpage). However, at the same time, up to 7,240 less ac-ft per year of irrigation water will be diverted from the sloughs adjacent to the study area while the deficit of $1,440 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft per year may be replaced by recharge from the base of the sloughs as a result of lower groundwater table conditions.
- With the onset of a potential change in the groundwater flow direction due to urbanization in the study area (from northeastward to southwestward in the area adjacent to the sloughs), a potential beneficial effect of improving groundwater quality in the region may be realized. This is because future slough water that is capable of recharging the groundwater is of higher quality than a substantial portion of the irrigation water currently recharging groundwater. The poorer quality irrigation water that appears to currently affect groundwater is limited to a four-square-mile region around the communities of Knightsen and Sand Hill in the southwest portion of the study area.


### 6.2 Recommendations

As stated in Section 1 of this report, a specific need exists to perform one or more pumping tests to determine the aquifer characteristics for the site for the purpose of designing water supply wells. Based on pervious ENGEO studies and site reconnaissance observations, a high-production well exists at the Emerson Dairy (Well I-1 on Figure 6) that would be suitable for conducting a long-term pump test. WWDRs exist for other nearby wells and well lithology and geophysical logs are available for the DWD wells to the east (LSCE, 2005) (DWD-North and DWD-South on Figure 6) that adequately characterize the lithologic conditions at the proposed pump test well site. Construction characteristics of the proposed pump test well can be investigated prior to the pump test to determine the well's water bearing depth for correlation to the available logs. Therefore, it is recommended that a one- to two-week pump test be conducted on the well. The actual duration of the pump test is dependent on the changes in the rate of drawdown and should be determined during the pump test. Prior to conducting the pump test, short-duration, step-drawdown tests need to be conducted to determine a suitable pumping rate for the well that will provide for adequate stress to the aquifer while not exceeding the sustainable well yield during the test. In addition, four
observation monitoring wells, two at approximately 100 and 250 feet downgradient of the pumping well and two at approximately 50 and 100 feet in a cross gradient direction, should be installed in the same production zone of the pumping well ( 150 to 180 feet bgs). These observation wells, as well as the pumping well, should be ouffitted with automated water level data recorders to measure the changes in water levels during the pump test and recovery period. An accurate flow meter and flow control valve also may need to be installed on the discharge line to the pumping well and monitored to maintain a constant flow rate during the pump test. A water level transducer should also be installed at the end of Little Dutch Slough lateral to determine if the slough is hydraulically connected with the groundwater table and affected by the pumping well.

Alternatively, a new well could be constructed with a design based on existing well designs in the area that would be sited adjacent to infrastructure planned to have future groundwater demands (i.e., adjacent to surface water lakes and street landscaping) with the intention of serving the future groundwater demands for the site. Once installed, a long-duration pump test, similar to the one described above could be conducted to determine this well's safe yield. In any event, a pump test must be performed on the actual wells to be used to establish their sustainable aquifer yield.

Multiple groundwater quality samples should also be collected at set intervals during the test to determine if changes in water quality are experienced as a result of changes in the flow field during the test. The samples should be analyzed for general minerals and nitrates. It does not appear to be necessary at this time to assess surface water quality from adjacent sloughs during the test.

### 7.0 LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner to transmit the information and recommendations of this report to developers, contractors, buyers, architects, engineers and designers for the project so that the necessary steps can be taken by the contractors and subcontractors to carry out such recommendations in the field. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are solely professional opinions.

The professional staff of ENGEO Incorporated strives to perform its services in a proper and professional manner with reasonable care and competence but is not infallible. There are risks of earth movement and property damages inherent in land development. We are unable to eliminate all risks or provide insurance; therefore, we are unable to guarantee or warrant the results of our work.

This report is based upon field and other conditions discovered at the time of preparation of ENGEO's work. This document must not be subject to unauthorized reuse, that is, reuse without written authorization of ENGEO. Such authorization is essential because it requires ENGEO to evaluate the document's applicability given new circumstances, not the least of which is passage of time. Actual field or other conditions will necessitate clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes to ENGEO's work. Therefore, ENGEO must be engaged to prepare the necessary clarifications, adjustments, modifications or other changes before construction activities commence or further activity proceeds. If ENGEO's scope of services does not include on-site construction observation, or if other persons or entities are retained to provide such services, ENGEO cannot be held responsible for any or all claims, including, but not limited to claims arising from or resulting from the performance of such services by other persons or entities, and any or all claims arising from or resulting from clarifications, adjustments, modifications, discrepancies or other changes necessary to reflect changed field or other conditions.
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| Table 1-Existing and Histor\|cal Well Detalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Identifier | Date Drilled | Location | Owner Name | Total Depth | Log <br> Available | Casing Dapth | Casing Dlamator | Soal Dopth | Scroen Typo | Scroen Interval | Formatlon Stabllizor | Dapth to Groundwatar | Date Mansured | Well Yield | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exlsting } \\ \text { Idlef } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feel bgs) | (YIN) | (feet bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feat bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIID) |
| 1-1 | 9/5/1880 | South side of Emerson second milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emersan Dalry | 200 | Y | 180 | 10 | 153 | Slat (0.45") | 155-180 | \#3-16 | 20 | 9/5/1980 |  | 80-160 | E |
| I-2D | 1/11/1982 | North side of Emerson second milk bam at end of Sallars Avanue | Emersan Dairy | 198 | Y | 190 | 10 | 153 | Slat (1/32") | 160-190 | \#3-16 | 22 | 1/12/1982 |  |  | D; 5/21/1993 |
| 1-3 | 6/23/1993 | North slde of Emerson secand millk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emersan Dairy | 191 | Y | 190 | 10 | 153 | Slot (1/32") | 160-190 | 1/4* Gravel | 30 | 6/24/1993 |  | 20 | E |
| 1-4D | 1/1/1965 | Northeast side of Emerson first milk bam at and of Sallars Avenue | Etrerson Daity | 85 | N | 85 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| 1-5D | 1/1/1965 | Northeast side of Emerson first milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emerson Dairy | 170 | $N$ | 170 | 3 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| 1-60 | 1/1/1965 | Northeasl side of Emerson first milk bam at end of Sellers Avenue | Emerson Dairy | 160 | N | 160 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 3/6/1998 |
| D-1 | 1/1/1905 | Emiarsan Residence west of Sellars Ave | Stan Emersan |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| D-2 | 4/15/1984 | West of Jersey Island Road north of Cypress Rd | Vaughn Hummal | 240 | Y | 235 | 6 | 210 | Slot (0.45") | 215-235 | \#3-16 | 11 | 4/15/1984 |  |  | E? |
| A-1 | $\begin{gathered} B / 10 / 1959 \\ 10 \\ 12 / 19 / 1959 \end{gathered}$ | 5/8 ml N and 100 fl E of Cypress and Sellers Ave | Burroughs Brothers | 610 | Y | 333 | 18 | 31 | Torch $(1 / 4 *\}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 161-185 ; \\ 209-233_{i} \\ 257-333 \end{array}\right\|$ | $3 / 4^{n}$ gravel |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-2 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 13 / 1961 \\ \text { to } \\ 11 / 16 / 1961 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 mil N and $1 / 4 \mathrm{ml}$ W from Cypress and Jersey Island Roads | Burroughs Brothers | 428 | Y | 350 | 22 | 200 | Torch (1/4") | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 206-254 ; \\ 302-305 \end{array}\right\|$ | 3/4" gravel |  | . |  |  |  |
| M-1 | 7/6/1985 | On Dutch Slaugh Road (south side) $3 / 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{E}$ of Jersey Island | Dutch Slough Water Works | 300 | $Y$ | 245 | 6 | 215 | Slot (0.45") | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 22 | 7/6/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-3 | 10/4/1979 | 4220 Sand Mound Road | Morgan Fong | 240 | $Y$ | 240 | 2 | 50 | Slot (1/16") | 180-220 | 1/8* | 11 | 10/4/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-4 | 2/13/1981 | 5372 Tule Tree Lane | B. Adams | 200 | $Y$ | 200 | 2.5 | 40 | Saw (1/8") | 160-180 | $1 / \mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | 12 | 2/13/1981 |  |  |  |
| 0-5 | 7/71985 | Sand Mound Road (southwest side) | Ellis Sidney | 220 | $Y$ | 210 | 6 | 175 | SIOㅇ ( $0.45^{\circ}$ ) | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 18 | 7П/1985 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Exlsting and Hilstorical Well Detalls Eased on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wall Idontifiar | Date Drllled | Location | Owner Name | Total Depth | Log Avallable | Casing Dopth | Casing Diameter | Seal Depth | Screen Туре | Screen Interval | Fommation Stabilizer | Depth to Groundwater | Date Measured | Woll Yloid | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Pump } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Existlng <br> Ildle! <br> Destroyed? |
|  |  |  |  | (feat hgs) | (Y/N) | (feet bgs) | (Inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (feat bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EI/ID) |
| D-61 | 1/10/1977 | 3600 Slone Road | Sand Mound Mutual Water Co. | 201 | $Y$ | 155 | 8 | 50 | Screen | 145-155 | pea gravel | 2 | 1/10/1977 |  |  |  |
| D.62 | 8/1/1983 | 2566 Taylor Road | Farrar Park Water Co. | 520 | $Y$ | 265 | 6 | 230 | Slat (0.45") | 245-265 | \#3-16 | 5 | 8/1/1983 |  |  |  |
| M-4 | 9/1/1983 | 3600 Stone Road | Sand Mound Mulual Water Co. | 240 | Y | 152 | 6 | 120 | Siot (0.45") | 220-240 | 3/8" gravel | 10 | 9/1/1983 |  |  |  |
| D-6 | 9/26/1983 | Sandmaund Road 1/2 mil east of Bethel Island Road | John Dunn | 300 | Y | 300 | 6 | 240 | Stot (0.45") | 280-300 | 3/8" gravel | 10 | 9/26/1983 |  |  |  |
| D-7 | 6/23/1985 | 3460 Sandmound Road | Monros Long | 252 | $Y$ | 252 | 2.5 | 60 | Saw (1/8") | 232-252 | $1 / 8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | 6/23/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-8D |  | [5332 Tule Tree Lane | Dlane Maybee | 80 | $N$ |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Di 1/31/1990 |
| D-9 | 3/9/1989 | West side of Aspen Road | Batty Bunting | 270 | $Y$ | 220 | 6 | 190 | Slot (1/16") | 200-220 | $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 3/9/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-10 | 7/3/1989 | 3500 Sandmound Road (south sida) | Greg Nordine | 320 | $Y$ | 300 | 4.5 | 260 | Slot (1/16") | 280-300 | 1/4** | 4 | 7/3/1989 |  |  |  |
| 0-110 |  | Wells Ratd at Mariner | Willow Park Marina H.O.A. | 167 | $N$ |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 121/89 |
| D-12D |  | Wells Road at Mariner | Willow Park Marina H.O.A. | 190 | $N$ |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D; 121/89 |
| M-5 | 9/24/1996 | 5998 Bethel IsIand Road (200 yds in to aast) | Mark Gilbert | 320 | Y | 300 | 6 | 240 | Screen <br> (0.40") | 240-300 | 1/4" gravel | 10 | 9/26/1997 |  |  | . |
| M-6 | 2/3/1972 | $\begin{aligned} & 3600 \text { Stane Road (1/2 } \\ & \mathrm{mit} \text { SW of Eetheyl } \\ & \text { Island Road) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sand Maund Mutual Watar Co. | 197 | Y | 161 | 8 5 18 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{"} \times 1 / 8^{n}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 140-161 | pea graval | 5 | 2/3/1972 |  |  |  |
| D-13 | 6/11/1973 | Tule Tree Lane | Cand Jackson | 180 | $Y$ | 170 | 6.5 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 156-170 | pea graval | 10 | 6/11/1973 |  |  |  |
| D-14 | 57/1986 | End of Sandmound Road | Darrell Edwards | 320 | $Y$ | 312 | 6 | 230 | Slot (1/16") | 282-312 | \#3-16 | 8 | 5/7/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-61 | 7/18/1984 | Cypress Road 1 mi East of Kightson Road | Pacific Water Systems | 260 | $Y$ | 260 | 6 | 70 | Screen | 240-260 | Sand \& Gravel | 8 | 7/18/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-62 | 7/23/1984 | Cypress Road 1 ml East of Kightson Road | Pacilic Watar Systems | 187 | Y | 187 | 4 | 40 | Screbn | 169-187 |  <br> Gravel | 8 | 7/23/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-15 | 6/17/1986 | Belhel Island Road | Manuel Bonilla | 113 | $Y$ | 113 | 5 | 50 | Slot (0.05*) | 93-113 | $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 8 | 6/19/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-16 | 7/3/1989 | 3761 Cypress Rd. | Charles Pringle | 260 | $Y$ | 250 | 6 | 195 | Slot (1/16") | 230-250 | $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ gravel | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| D-17 | 3/30/1981 | Bethel Island Road | E\&C Gorpas/Bruce Their | 215 | $Y$ | 215 | 8 | 175 | Slot (1/8x6") | 175-215 | 3/8" pea gravel | B | 4/15/1981 |  | 30 after 4 hours |  |
| D-18 | 10/9/1989 | 1751 Tule Lane | Robert Llayd | 160 | $Y$ | 140 | 6 | 55 |  | 120-160 | Sand |  | 10/9/1989 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Existing and Historical Well Datalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Well } \\ \text { Identifier } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dato } \\ & \text { Cillad } \end{aligned}$ Drillad | Locaton | Owner Name | Total Doplh | $\underset{\text { Avallablo }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Depth | Casing Diamater | Seal Dopth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { Typı } \end{gathered}$ | Scraon Interval | Formation Stabilizer | Dopth to Groundwator | Date Measured | Woll Yield | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exlsting } \\ \text { /dlal } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feet bgs) | (Y/N) | (feet bgs) | (1nches) | (feel bgs) |  | (feel'bgs) |  | (feet bgs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIID) |
| D-19 | 12/121989 | Sellars Ave | Fred \& Jan Grexton | 180 | $Y$ | 120 | 5 | 80 | Stot (1/16") | 100-120 | 1/4* Gravel | 20 | 12/13/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-20 | 11/30/1989 | End of Sandmound Road | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { James Aberer } \\ \text { Jr. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 320 | Y | 255 | 4.5* | 200 | Slat (1/16") | 235-255 | 1/4* Gravel | 5 | 1221989 |  |  |  |
| A-3 | 10/19/1984 | Defta Road in Contra Costa County | Rich Fuller | 260 | $Y$ | 245 | 日 | 70 | 45th | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 11 | 10/19/1984 |  |  |  |
| D-21 | 4/11/1978 | ```of Crismore Rd., Parce! C``` | Travis Cinsmore | 139 | Y | 135 | 6 | 20 | Slot (1116") | 115-135 | \#3-16 | 15 | 4/17/1978 |  | 250 |  |
| D-22 | 1/2711978 | 5 miles south of Bethel Island on Sandmound RD. | E.J Brown | 180 | $Y$ | 180 | 3 | 20 | Slot ( $1 / 155^{*}$ ) | 162-182 |  | 5 | 1/27/1878 |  |  |  |
| D-23 | 8/11/1981 | Delta Road in Contra Costa Counly | A Fuller | 240 | $Y$ | 240 | 6 | 70 | 45th | 220-240 | \#3-16 | 10 | 8/11/1981 |  |  |  |
| D-24 | 8/121981 | Delta Road in Contra Costa County | Rich Fuller | 260 | Y | 245 | 6 | 70 | 45th | 225-245 | \#3-15 | 12 | 8/12/1981 |  |  |  |
| 0-25 | 2/21/1973 | Delta and Eden Plalns Rd., past school | Car Jackson | 110 | $Y$ | 75 | 6.5 |  | Slot (1/8x3") | 65-75 | peag gravel | 17 | 221/1873 |  |  |  |
| 0-25 | 1212/1997 | 2800 Delta Rd. | Zenalda Ramirez | 160 | $Y$ | 140 | 6 | 115 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { slot } \\ (40 / 1000 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 115-140 | 1/4" Gravel | 7 | 12/12/1997 |  |  |  |
| A-4 | 4/16/1987 | Tula Lane | Dante Massori | 180 | $Y$ | 141 | 6 | 80 | Slat (1/16") | 121-141 | 1/4* Gravel | 18 | 4/16/1987 |  |  |  |
| 0-27 | 7/19/1989 | 4019 Meadows Lana Oakley | Mr. Showaker | 160 | Y | 155 | 6 | 125 | Slot (1/160) | 135-155 | 1/4* Gravel | 30 | 7/20/1989 |  |  |  |
| A-5 | 11/24/1987 | North end of sellers Ave on West side down road | Emarsan Dairy | 180 | $Y$ | 180 | 10 | 155 | Slat (1/16") | 155-185 | 1/4" Gravel | 20 | 11/28/1987 |  |  |  |
| D-28 | 11/6/1985 | Machado Ln, Oakley | L.H. Bannet | 113 | $Y$ | 113 | 5 | 30 | 0.04 | 103-113 | 1/8" Gravel | 19 | 11/6/1985 |  |  |  |
| 0-29 | Jun-83 | Machado Ln., Oakley | Paulfino Hajas | 100 | Y | 80 | 6 | 48 | 45th | 70-90 | \#3-16 | 27 | Jun-83 |  |  |  |
| D.30 | Aug-82 | Sellars Ave., $1 / 2 \mathrm{mil}$. south of Cypress, wast side | D.C. Ellison | 180 | Y | 165 | 6 | 60 | 45th | 145-165 | \#3-16 | 15 | Aug-82 |  |  |  |
| 0.31 | 9/28/1984 | Sallars Ave. | Gary Frost | 240 | $Y$ | 235 | 5 | 175 | 45th | 195-235 | \#3-16 | 17 | 9/28/1984 |  |  |  |
| 0.32 | 5/1/1987 | 300 Yards South of Cypress Rd. on West side of Sellars Ave. | Juan Nodal | 122 | $Y$ | 122 | 4.5 | 50 | 0.02 | 102-122 | pea graval | 18 | 5/1/1987 |  |  |  |
| 0.33 | 7/25/1985 | North Sellars Ave | Mantel Gansalves | 175 | Y | 163 | 6 | 130 | 0.045 | 143-163 | \#3-16 | 18 | 7/25/1985 |  |  |  |
| 0-34 | 6/26/1985 | Laurel Rd. | Jim Nabas | 140 | $Y$ | 134 | 6 | 112 | 0.045 | 114-134 | \#3-16 | 26 | 6/27/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-35 | 1/24/1978 | Cypress Road 2 mi south of bethel island | Flayd Duegon | 230 | $Y$ |  | 6 | 20 | Slot (1/46") | 212-232 | pea gravel | 10 | 29/1978 |  |  |  |
| D-36 | 12/26/1984 | $\text { \|RI } 2 \text { Box 210-a Oakley }$ | Donald Durst | 230 | Y | 210 | 6 | 150 | 0.045 | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 5 | 12/26/1985 |  |  |  |
| D-37 | 12/23/1996 | 2901 E Cypress Road | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milan } \\ \text { Petrovich } \end{gathered}$ | 240 | $Y$ | 200 | 5 | 165 | Slot (1/32") | 180-200 | 1/4* Gravel | 日 | 12/23/1996 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMERSON PROPERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1-Existing and Historlcal Well Detalls Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Well } \\ \text { Idontiner } \end{array}\right\|$ | Dato Drllled | Locallon | Ownor Name | Total Dopth | $\underset{\text { Avallable }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Dopth | Casing Dlameter | Seal Depth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scraen } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Scraen Interval | Formation Stabillzer | Dapth to Groundwater | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Woll } \\ & \text { Yield } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pump Rate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Existing } \\ \text { Ildele/ } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feel bgs) | (YIN) | (feel bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (feel bgs) |  | (feet bug) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIV) |
| D-38 | 12/1/1997 | 2521 E Cypress Road | Pam Boyce | 240 | $Y$ | 217 | 6 | 195 | 0.04 | 197-217 | 1/4* Gravel | 4 | 12/28/1997 |  |  |  |
| D-39 | 9/28/1980 | South side of cypress. betwean sellers \& knightsen | George Ferrelra | 140 | Y | 120 | 6 | 45 | 45th | 100-120 | \#3-16 | 8 | 9/28/1980 |  |  |  |
| D-40 | 11/21981 | Knightsen Ave $1 / 2$ mile south of cypress rd. | James Eaca | 140 | Y | 135 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 115-435 | \#3-16 | 13 | 11/2/1981 |  |  |  |
| D-41 | 7/121978 | Sellars Rd 1000' south of cypress rd, east slde | John Moore | 100 | Y | 100 | 6 | 20 | "screen" | 80-100 | Birdseya | 11 | 7/121978 |  |  |  |
| D-42 | 3/14/1979 | Knightsen Ave onta mile south of Cypress on West side of road | Don Kirkpalrick | 138 | Y |  | 6 | 37 | 45th | 118-138 | 1/4" Gravel | 20 | 3/44/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-43 | 4/18/1979 | East side of Knightsen Ave 0.3 milles south of Cypress Ave | Bill Perkhurat | 146 | Y | 125 | 6 | 38 | 45th | 105-125 | \#3-16 | 6 | 4/18/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-44 | 7110/1979 | $1 / 4$ mille south of Cypress Ave on E side of Broadway st. | Louls Hemandez | 240 | $Y$ | 215 | 6 | 155 | 451h | 195-215 | \#3-16 | 12 | 7/11/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-45 | 8/3/1979 | 100 Yds W of Jersey Istand Rd. on N side of Cypress Rd | Arley Reeves | 240 | Y | 210 | 6 | 140 | 45th | 190-210 | \#3-15 | 10 | 8/3/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-46 | 5/26/1976 | Sellars Ave, $1 / 4$ mille 5 of Cypress Ave, E side | Charles Marin | 100 | Y | 88 | 6 | 20 |  | 78-88 | pea gravel | 12 | 5/26/1976 |  |  |  |
| D-47 | 6/22/1976 | Cypress Rd between Jersey Istand \& Knightsen Rd. | Emest Burroughs | 245 | Y | 237 | 6-5/8" | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stot } \\ \left(3^{4} \times 1 / 0^{-4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205-215, } \\ & \text { 222-237 } \end{aligned}$ | Airdsaye | 15 | 6/22/1976 |  |  |  |
| D-48 | 4/25/1986 | Cypress Rd. Oakley | Russel Hooper | 260 | $Y$ | 245 | 6 | 216 | Slot (1/16") | 225-245 | \#3-16 | 5 | 4/26/1986 |  |  |  |
| D-49 | Sep-84 | South of Cypress Rd. | Jim Owens | 140 | $Y$ | 118 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 118-198 | \#3-16 | 10 | Sep-84 |  |  |  |
| A.E | 12/29/1982 | $1 / 4$ milie S of Cypress Rd on Knlghtsen Ave | Wayne West | 139 | $Y$ | 138 | 8 | 70 | 45th | 119-139 | \#3-16 | 15 | 12229/1982 |  |  |  |
| D.50 | 10/3/1989 | Cypress Rd/Broadway | Bill Koanig | 220 | $Y$ | 200 | 6-3/4" | 50 | 0.02 | 200-220 | 1/8" рөа |  | 10/8/1989 |  |  |  |
| D-51 | 10/6/1973 | Broadway St. off Cypress Ave. Oakley | Gelasia Velez | 212 | Y | 212 | 6.5 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{*} \times 1 / 0^{n}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 202-212 | pea gravel | 10 | 10/6/1973 |  |  |  |
| 0-52 | 5/81979 | $\begin{aligned} & 4002 \text { CreaksIde Dr., } \\ & \text { Daksey } \end{aligned}$ | Bob Buchanan | 100 | $Y$ |  | 6 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(2 \times 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 50-100 | 1/4* Gravel | 15 | 5/9/1979 | 150+ |  |  |
| D-53 | 9/13/1978 | Oakley | Ken Grunstad | 152 | Y | 152 | 6-5/8* | 40 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(3^{4} \times 1 / 6^{n}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 122-142 | 1/4* Gruval | 25 | 9/15/1978 |  |  |  |
| D.54 | 7/25/1980 | North of Meadow Ln. | Edward Rogers | 160 | Y | 154 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 134-154 | \#3-16 | 25 | 7/25/1980 |  |  |  |
| D-55 | 7/25/1981 | North of Craekside Way | Wm Bankson | 160 | Y | 154 | 6 | 50 | 45th | 134-154 | \#3-16 | 25 | 7/25/1981 |  |  |  |


| GROUNDWATER STUDY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tabla - Existing and Historical Wall Details Based on Water Well Drillers Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woll Identifier | Date Drilled | Lacation | Owner Name | Total Depth | $\underset{\text { Avallable }}{\text { Log }}$ | Casing Depth | Casing Dlamator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seal } \\ & \text { Dopth } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screan } \\ & \text { Typa } \end{aligned}$ | Scroen Interval | Fonmation Stabilizer | Depth to Groundwater | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { Yiald } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pump Rato. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Extstlng } \\ \text { Idlol } \\ \text { Destroyed? } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (feat bgs) | (YN) | (feel bgs) | (inches) | (feet bgs) |  | (faet bgs) |  | (feet begs) |  | (gpm) | (gpm) | (EIMC) |
| D-56 | 4/25/1979 | End of Laurel Rd., $1 / 2$ mille east of Hwy 4 | Sleve Watty | 145 | , | 145 | 6 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slot } \\ \left(2 \times 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 115-145 | 3/8* gravel | 19 | 4/27/1979 | $60+$ |  |  |
| A.7 | 6/21/1978 | Cypress Rd South 100 ft from W Machado Ln. | Frank Stonebargar | 68 | Y | 65 | 3 |  | Stot (3/16") |  |  | 15 | 6/21/1978 | 100 |  |  |
| D. 57 | 7/23/1979 | 5 side of Cypress Rd 200 yards west of Sellars Ave | Stave Hinuran | 180 | Y | 159 | 6 | 50 | 45ih | 139-159 | \#3-16 | 13 | 7/23/1979 |  |  |  |
| D-58 | 3/27/1980 | 100ft E of Magaws Ln | Edward Rogers | 155 | $Y$ | 155 | 6 | 60 | 45ith | 135-155 | \#3-16 | 18 | 3/2711980 |  |  |  |
| D-59 | 4/10/1980 | NW corner of Creakslde Ct | Ruben Quesada | 152 | $Y$ | 152 | 6 | 45 | 45th | 132-152 | \#3-16 | 17 | 4/10/1980 |  |  |  |
| D. 60 | 11/24/1986 | Off Cypress Rd | Hogas Paulino | 155 | $Y$ | 120 | 8 | 50 | 4517 | 100-120 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sand \& } \\ & \text { Gravel } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 11/24/1986 |  |  |  |
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| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT \# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | $\qquad$ | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ishihara } \\ \text { (Pass/Fail) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 50 | 0 | pass |
| 2 | 3.5 | 14-17.5 | 0.84 | 14 | 3.5 | pass |
| 3 | 3.5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 7 | borderline |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 19-20 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 15-16.5 | 0.24 | 15 | 3 | pass |
|  | 2 | 22-23.5 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3.5 | 23-27.5 | 0.84 | 23 | 3.5 | pass |
| 6 | 3.5 | 17-20.5 | 0.84 | 17 | 3.5 | pass |
| 7 | 3 | 12.5-15.5 | 0.72 | 12.5 | 3 | pass |
| 8 | 1.5 | 16-17.5 | 0.36 | 16 | 1.5 | pass |
| 9 | 2.5 | 19.5-22 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 2.5 | pass |
| 10 | 3 | 15.5-18.5 | 0.72 | 15.5 | 3 | pass |
| 11 | 9.5 | 6-15.5 | 2.28 | 6 | 9.5 | fail |
| 12 | 8 | 6.5-14.5 | 1.92 | 6.5 | 10.5 | fail |
|  | 2.5 | 21-23.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 5 | $6.5-11.5$ | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
|  | 1 | 22-23 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 9 | 6-15 | 2.16 | 6 | 9 | fail |
| 15 | 2 | 18-20 | 0.48 | 18 | 2 | pass |
| 16 | 5 | 7-12 | 1.2 | 7 | 5 | pass |
| 17 | 6 | 6.5-12.5 | 1.44 | 6.5 | 6 | fail |
| 18 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 19 | 5.5 | 5-10.5 | 1.32 | 5 | 6.5 | fail |
|  | 1 | 11.5-12.5 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.7 | 5.2-5.9 | 0.17 | 5.2 | 9.5 | fail |
|  | 5 | $6.7-11.7$ | 1.2 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 12.6-11.3 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 14-17 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.5 | 14.2-15.7 | 0.36 | 14.2 | 1.5 | pass |

4603.4.100.01

March 4, 2005

| LIQUEFACTION ZONES WITHIN CPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPT \# | Thickness of Layer (feet) | Depth of Layer (feet) | Settlement (inch) | Cap Thickness (feet) | Total Liquifiable Thickness (feet) | Ishihara (Pass/Fail) |
| 22 | 1 | 6-7 | 0.24 | 6 | 2.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 9.5-11 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 4 | 11-15 | 0.96 | 11 | 4 | pass |
| 24 | 3.2 | 5.3-8.5 | 0.77 | 5.3 | 3.2 | pass |
| 25 | 4 | 7.5-11.5 | 0.96 | 7.5 | 4 | pass |
| 26 | 13 | 6.5-19.5 | 3.12 | 6.5 | 13 | fail |
| 27 | 1 | 15-16 | 0.24 | 15 | 1 | pass |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | 14-15 | 0.24 | 14 | 1 | pass |
| 30 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | pass |
| 31 | 8 | 5-13 | 1.92 | 5 | 13 | fail |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 5 | 6.5-11.5 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 5 | borderline |
| 34 | 7.4 | 6.2-13.6 | 1.78 | 6.2 | 7.4 | fail |
| 35 | 1 | 5-6 | 0.24 | 5 | 3.5 | pass |
|  | 1.5 | 10.5-12 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 13-14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 6 | 5.3-11.3 | 1.44 | 5.3 | 6.3 | fail |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 10 | 9-19 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 11 | fail |
| 39 | 3 | 11-14 | 0.72 | 11 | 6 | pass |
|  | 3 | 15-18 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 5 | 9-14 | 1.2 | 9 | 5 | pass |
| 4 I | 4.5 | 14.5-19 | 1.08 | 14.5 | 4.5 | pass |
| 42 | 1.5 | 18-19.5 | 0.36 | 18 | 1.5 | pass |
| 43 | 4 | 9.5-13.5 | 0.96 | 9.5 | 6 | pass |
|  | 2 | 15-17 | 0.48 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 8.5 | 5.5-14 | 2.04 | 5.5 | 8.5 | fail |
| 45 | 2 | 11-13 | 0.48 | 11 | 2 | pass |
| 46 | 9 | 6.5-15.5 | 2.16 | 6.5 | 9 | fail |

4603.4.100.01

March 4, 2005








Soll betawor type and assed on data from UBC-1 983

## VBI In-Situ resting

92: 20 50-51-90 CPT Dalar CPT-5
Job Number. 4603.4100.01
$\dot{z}$
$\stackrel{5}{5}$

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand ( ${ }^{\circ}$ (7)

ioll behavior type and ased on data from USC-1983




10 gravelly sand to sand
國 11 very stif fine grained (
12 sand to clayay sand ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (

soil behavior type anc sased on data from UBC-1983

## VBI In-Situ resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator: Mike Roberison } & \text { CPT Date/Tlme: } 06-15-0416: 34 \\ \text { Sounding: 04WO62 } & \text { Locatlon: CPT-11 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO738TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$


10 gravelly sand so sand
11 very stif fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
(") sand to clayey sand (")



| Operator：Mike Robertsan | CPT DaterTime：06－16－04 07：27 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding： 04 WO63 | Lacation：CPT－12 |
| Cona Usad：HOB39TC | Job Number． 4603.4100 .01 |


Depth Incrament $=0.16$ feet
国 7 silty sand to sandy silt
8 sand to silly sand
10 gravelly sand to sand





10 gravally sand to sand
闌 11 vary stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")


10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained（＂） （．）pues Ke人民p of pues Zᅡ 药

10 gravelly ssand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")





silly sand to sandy silt


10 gravelly aland to sand
11 very stiff fine grained (")

 CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 07:25 Location: CPT-17 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sounding: 04W068 } & \text { Localion: CPT-17 } \\ \text { Cone Used: H0839TC } & \text { Job Number. 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$

Depth increment $=0.18$ feat



Soll behavtar type an based on data from UEC-1 993


Sali behavor type ant sased on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravally sand to sand
[0. 11 very stiff fine gralned (")
12 sand to clayay sand (")




Soll behavior type and ased an date from UBC-1 9B3


 <br> \section*{VBI In-Situ iesting <br> \section*{VBI In-Situ iesting <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$ <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$} <br> <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oparator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 06-17-04 14:33 } \\ \text { Sounding: 04W073 } & \text { Location: CPT-22 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB39TC } & \text { Job Number. } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$}

Depth Increment $=0.16$ feel
7 silly sand to sandy silt
9 sand
10 gravally sand to sand
俎 11 very stiff fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
[in sand to clayey sand (

Soll behavior type anc based on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stiff fine grained ( ${ }^{\circ}$ (")
12 sand to clayey sand (")



Soil behavior type ank. based on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very sulff fine grained (") 12 sand to clayey sand (*)

Soll behavior type ant dased on data from UBC-1983

10 gravelly sand to sand
11 vary stiff fine grained (")


## VBI In-Situ resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator; Mike Roberson } & \text { CPT Datertime: 07-14-04 15:10 } \\ \text { Sounding: O4w091 } & \text { Location: CPT-30 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HOB56TC } & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$


[^35]
Depth Increment $=0.16$ feel
筑 7 silty sand to sandy slitt
8
8
8
8
a sand

Soll behavlor type anL based on data from UBC-1 983

10 gravelly sand to sand
略 11 very stiff fine grained (*)
12 sand to clayey sand (*)






10 gravelly sand to sand
目 11 very stiff fine grained（＂） （．）pues kakejo of pues $2 t$ 路




## $\sqrt{V I} \mid n-\infty i t u$ PSting

 Cone Used：HO日[^36]湖







Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
sility sand to sandy silt
图 7
8
10 gravally sand to sand
焉 11 very stiff fine grained (") (.) pues kexep 이 pues zle
$\begin{array}{rc}\therefore 8 & \text { sand to silty gand } \\ 9 & \text { sand }\end{array}$

10 gravelly sand to sand
(120) 11 very stlff flne grained (")
and 12 sand to clayey sand (")
Dapth increment $=0.16$ feet
7 silty sand to sandy silt
8 sand to sitty sand



## VBI In-Situ 'resting

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operator: Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-15-04 10:24 } \\ \text { Sounding: } 04 \text { W096 } & \text { Location: CPT-35 } \\ & \text { Job Number: } 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$
Gone Used: HOB56TC





$\begin{array}{ll}\qquad \text { B|In-Situ iesting } \\ \text { Operator. Mike Robertson } & \text { CPT Date/Time: 07-15-04 13:27 } \\ \text { Sounding: Ofw098 } & \text { Location: CPT-37 } \\ \text { Cone Used: HO856TC } & \text { Job Number. 4603.4100.01 }\end{array}$


Depth increment $=0.16$ feet
slliy sand to sandy silt


 (.) pues Rokej ol pues 21 薪




Depth Increment $=0.16$ feet
SPTN


(.) pubs kekejp ol puess 21 自


behavior type and ased on data from UBC－1 983

## VBI In－Situ＇resting

CPT DaterTime：07－16－04 08：26
Location：CPT－41
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operalor：Mike Robentson } & \text { Location：CPT－41 } \\ \text { Sounding：O4wi02 } & \text { Job Number：} 4603.4100 .01\end{array}$
Cone Used：HOB5GTC


Deplh Incrament $=0.16 \mathrm{fee}$
蝋 7 silty send to sandy silit
8
9



Cone Used．HOB




H0856TC

 (.) pues кокерр о이 pues 21 .
Depth increment $=0.16$ faet
형
7
a silty sand to sandy silt
sand to silty sand
9 sand
Operator:



## VBI In-Situ 「esting

 Location: CPT-43
10 gravelly sand to sand
11 very stif fine grained (")



Site: KB HOMES
Location: CPT-3

Engineer:S.HARRIS
Date: 07: 18:05 15: 25

Rf (\%)

SBT: Soil Behavior
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Data Categary:
Goographlc Area: Ground Water California

## Ground-water Site Inventory for California

## Site Selection Results -- $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ sites found

## Site type $=$ Spring, Ground Water

County $=$ Contra Costa
Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
Data for individual sites can be obtained by selecting the site number below


| USGS | 374632121482801 | \|002S002E12H001M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374635121492401 | 002S001E11H001M |
| USGS | 374635121494501 | 002S001E11G001M |
| USGS | 374638121513801 | 002S001E09H001M |
| USGS | 374649121514501. | $002 S 001 \mathrm{E} 09 \mathrm{~A} 001 \mathrm{M}$ |
| USGS | 374655121534201 | 002S001E06R002M |
| USGS | 374659121534501 | 002S001E06J006M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374701121534301 | 002S001E06R006M |
| USGS | 374702121534401 | 002S001E06R004M |
| USGS | 374708121460101 | 002S002E04M001M |
| USGS | 374711121460701 | 002S002E04M002M |
| USGS | 374712121514001 | 002S001E04J006M |
| USGS | 374713121513101 | $002 \mathrm{SO01E04J001M}$ |
| USGS | 374713121513501 | 002S001E04J005M |
| USGS | 374713121533601 | 002S001E05M001M |
| USGS | 374719121510301 | 002S001E03F004M |
| USGS | 374722121510001 | 002S001E03L001M |
| USGS | 374726121535001 | 002S001E06H008M |
| USGS | 374727121513001 | 002S001E03E001M |
| USGS | 374727121513401 | 002S001E04H002M |
| USGS | 374727121532701 | 002S001E05E001M |
| USGS | 374727121535001 | 002S001E06H006M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374728121535001 | 002S001E06H001M |
| USGS | 374730121532401 | 002 S001E05E002M |
| USGS | 374732121513701 | 002S001E04A006M |
| USGS | 374735121532401 | 002S001E05F001M |
| USGS | 374735121534801 | 002S001E06A001M |
| USGS | 374735121535301 | 002S001E06A002M |
| USGS | 374736121535301 | 002S001E06A011M |
| USGS | 374737121533801 | 002S001E05D002M |
| USGS | 374737121535101. | 002S001E06A007M |
| USGS | 374738121534801 | 002S001E06A008M |
| USGS | 374742121535001 | 002S001E06A009M |
| USGS | 374743121512501 | 002S002E03D001M |
| USGS | 374743121513201. | 002S001E04A001M |


| USGS | 374746121513501 | 001S001E33R002M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374746121545001 | 002S001W13R002M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374747121463201 | 001S002E32Q001M |
| USGS | 374748121513501 | 001S001E33R003M |
| USGS | 374748121513601 | 001S001E33R001M |
| USGS | 374748121514801 | 001S001E33Q001M |
| USGS | 374752121512801 | 001S001E34N001M |
| USGS | 374753121525501 | 001 S 001 E 32 Q 001 M |
| USGS | 374754121525501 | 001S001E32Q002M |
| USGS | 374755121530001 | 001S001E32Q003M |
| USGS | 374756121512501 | 001S001E34N005M |
| USGS | 374756121513701 | 001S001E33R008M |
| USGS | 374757121540401 | 001S001E31Q001M |
| USGS | 374758121534201 | $001 \mathrm{S001E31R001M}$ |
| USGS | 374759121534801 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 008 M |
| USGS | 374800121540001 | 001S001E31K001M |
| USGS | 374801121510201 | 001S001E34L001M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374802121465101 | 001S002E32L001M |
| USGS | 374802121505801 | 001S001E34K003M |
| USGS | 374802121505901 | 001S001E34L002M |
| USGS | 374802121534901 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 002 M |
| USGS | 374803121465101 | 001S002E32L004M |
| USGS | 374803121505801 | 001S001E34K001M |
| USGS | 374803121510201 | $001 \mathrm{S001E34L010M}$ |
| USGS | 374803121535701 | 001S001E31J007M |
| USGS | 374804121534801 | 001S001E31J003M |
| USGS | 374804121553201 | 001S001W36L005M |
| USGS | 374805121553601 | 001S001W36L001M |
| USGS | 374806121553602 | 001S001W36L002M |
| USGS | 374806121553603 | 001S001W36L003M |
| USGS | 374807121465101 | 001S002E32M001M |
| USGS | 374808121465501 | 001S002E32L003M |
| Agency Site Number <br> USGS 374808121505901 <br>   |  | Site Name |
|  |  | $001 \mathrm{S001E34L003M}$ |
|  |  |  |


| USGS | 374809121534301 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 005 M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 374809121534501 | 001S001E31J006M |
| USGS | 374810121535101 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 004 M |
| USGS | 374812121513101 | 001 S 001 E 33 H 001 M |
| USGS | 374812121513201 | 001 S 001 E 33 H 002 M |
| USGS | 374812121534901 | 001 S 001 E 31 J 001 M |
| USGS | 374813121471001. | 001 S 002 E 31 H 003 M |
| USGS | 374815121535201 | 001 S 001 E 31 H 001 M |
| USGS | 374816121443601 | 001 S 002 E 34 F 001 M |
| USGS | 374817121442501 | 001 S 002 E 34 G 001 M |
| USGS | 374817121471501 | 001 S 002 E 31 H 002 M |
| USGS | 374818121471601 | 001S002E31H001M |
| USGS | 374827121442101 | 001S002E34B001M |
| USGS | 374830121512201 | 001S001E27M001M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 374858121511901 | 001S001E27M002M |
| USGS | 374902121541401 | 001S001E30K001M |
| USGS | 374908121474001 | 001S002E30G002M |
| USGS | 374910121474001 | 001S002E30G001M |
| USGS | 374916121511901 | 001S001E27E001M |
| USGS | 374923121512601 | 001 S 001 E 27 D 001 M |
| USGS | 374952121545501 | 001S001W24J001M |
| USGS | 375034121501601 | 001S001E14M002M |
| USGS | 375045121501301. | 001S001E14M001M |
| USGS | 375106121372201 | 001 S 003 E 15 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375115121501601 | 001S001E10Q001M |
| USGS | 375150121510801 | 001 S 001 E 10 F 001 M |
| USGS | 375153121375901 | 001S003E10C001M |
| USGS | 375153121511001 | 001 S 001 E 10 C 003 M |
| USGS | 375155121511001 | 001S001E10C002M |
| Agency | Y Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375200121511301 | 0015001 E 10 C 004 M |
| USGS | 375201121151301 | 001S001E10C005M |
| USGS | 375201121511501 | 001S001E10C001M |
| USGS | 375202121383101 | 001 S 003 E 09 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375203121511001 | 001S001E10C007M |
| USGS | 375203121511101 | 001 S 001 E 10 C 006 M |


| USGS | 375204121380101 | 001S003E03P001M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 375211121364701 | 001S003E02P001M |
| USGS | 375224121513001 | 001S001E04J001M |
| USGS | 375228121382001 | 001S003E03M001M |
| USGS | 375230121512301 | 001 S 001 E 03 E 001 M |
| USGS | 375231121521101 | 001 S 001 E 04 F 002 M |
| USGS | 375232121513001 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375236121372201 | 001 S 003 E 03 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375236121513901 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 002 M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375237121513901 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 003 M |
| USGS | 375240121513801 | 001 S 001 E 04 H 004 M |
| USGS | 375241121520701 | 001 S 001 E 04 F 001 M |
| USGS | 375244121514201 | 001S001E04A004M |
| USGS | 375245121515801 | 001 S 001 E 04 B 004 M |
| USGS | 375248121515201 | $001 \mathrm{~S} 001 \mathrm{E} 04 \mathrm{B003M}$ |
| USGS | 375249121514201 | 001 S 001 E 04 B 001 M |
| USGS | 375249121514202 | $001 \mathrm{~S} 001 \mathrm{E} 04 \mathrm{B002M}$ |
| USGS | 375254121512801 | 001S001E04A001M |
| USGS | 375255121512801 | 001S001E04A002M |
| USGS | 375257121390701 | 001 N003E33P001M |
| USGS | 375300121515001 | 001 N 001 E 33 K 001 M |
| USGS | 375315121515001 | 001N001E33K002M |
| USGS | 375317121515501 | 001 N 001 E 33 K 003 M |
| USGS | 375317121521301 | 001 N 001 E 33 L 001 M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 375322121515301 | 001 N 001 E 33 G 001 M |
| USGS | 375323121385001 | 001 N 003 E 33 G 001 M |
| USGS | 375324121383601 | 001 N 003 E 33 H 001 M |
| USGS | 375337121372001 | 001 N 003 E 34 A 001 M |
| USGS | 375347121372201. | 001N003E2TR001M |
| USGS | 375349121412701 | 001 N 003 E 30 P 001 M |
| USGS | 375352121520501 | 001 N 001 E 28 P 002 M |
| USGS | 375353121373701 | 001 N 003 E 27 Q 001 M |
| USGS | 375410121412401 | 001N003E30L001M |
| USGS | 375412121360401 | 001N003E25M001M |
| USGS | 375416121522901 | 001 N 001 E 28 E 001 M |





| USGS | 380208121372903 | 002N003E10H003M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380216121573801 | 002N001W10C001M |
| USGS | 380218121380901 | 002N003E10D001M |
| USGS | 380221121590801 | 002 N 001 W 09 D 002 M |
| USGS | 380226121591201 | 002N001W09D001M |
| USGS | 380226121591202 | 002N001W09D003M |
| Agency | Site Number | Site Name |
| USGS | 380231121403201 | 002N003E05N001M |
| USGS | 380239121583801 | 002N001W04Q001M |
| USGS | 380817121520101 | 003 N 001 E 14 B 002 M |
| USGS | 380917121582601 | 004N001W33A001M |
| USGS | 381129122075001 | 004N002W18M001M |
| USGS | 381230122045601 | 004N002W09H001M |
| USGS | 381235121531701 | 004 N 001 E 08 F 001 M |
| USGS | 381302122062501 | 004N002W05Q002M |
| USGS | 381342122055701 | O04N002W04D001M |
| USGS | 381348122041402 | 005N002W34P004M |
| USGS | 381438121585501 | 005N001W28P001M |
| USGS | 381443121550301 | 005N001W25R001M |

Questions about data California NWISWeb Data Inquiries Feedback on this websiteCaliformia NWISWeb Maintainer Ground-water Site Inventory - 282 sites found http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/gwsi?
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Water Resources
Data Category:
Geographic Area: Ground Water United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found <br> Search Criteria <br> site_no list $=$ - 375810121401601

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375810121401601 002N003E32P001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Contra-Costa-County, California <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 <br> Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 <br> Gage datum 30.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 <br> The depth of the well is. 113 feet below land surface. <br> The depth of the hole is 126 feet below land surface. | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Table of data. |
|  | Tab-separated data |
|  | Graph of data. |
|  | Reselect period. |


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

Water Resources $\quad$ Data Category：Geagraphic Area：

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375810121414001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375810121414001 002N003E31N001M


Contra－Gosta－County，Galifornia
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 57.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 93.0 feet below．land surface． The depth of the hole is 132 feet below land surface．

USGS 3758181214140日1 8日2R8日3E31M日81H

｜Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．

Water Resources
Ground Water
Geographic Area:
United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\text { • } 375825121405301
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375825121405301002 N 003 E 31 K 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Gontra-Gosta County Galifornia | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 | Table of data |
| Latitude 37058'25', Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 53{ }^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 | Tab-separated data |
| Gage datum 35.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 150 feet below land surface. | Graph of data. |
| The depth of the hole is 155 feet below land surface. | Reselect period |


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375826121402601
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375826121402601002 N 003 E 32 M 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

## Contra-Costa-County, Galifornia- <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003

Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 27.00 feet above sea level NGVD29
The depth of the well is 105 feet below land surface.
The depth of the hole is 125 feet below land surface.

Reselect period

USG5 375826121482681 ввгнввзезанв日1н

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.|

Water Resources

Data Category: $\quad$| Geographic Area: |
| :--- |
| Ground Water |
| United States |

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375858121394601
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375858121394601 002N003E32A001M


Contra-Costa-County-California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 8.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 105 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 110 feet below land surface.

## Output formats

Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect.period

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.||

Data Category: Geographic Area: Ground Water United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375916121382301
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375916121382301 002N003E27J001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

| Contra-Costa-County; Galiformia-_ | Output formats |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 |  |
| Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 | Table of data |
| Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 | Tab-separated data |
| The depth of the well is 162 feet below land surface. | Graph of data |
| The depth of the hole is 182 feet below land surface. | Reselect period |



Data Category：
Geographic Area：
Water Resources
Ground Water
United States
go

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375916121403401
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375916121403401 002N003E29M001M

## Available data for this site <br> Ground－water：Levels

Contra Costa County；California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 12.00 feet above sea level NGVD29
The depth of the well is 88.0 feet below land surface．
The depth of the hole is 100 feet below land süface．
This well is completed in ALLUVIAL－FAN DEPOSITS（l11ALVF）

USGS 375916121483481 日в2N8日3E29He61H


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．
\#
\# This file contains water quality sample data
\# for stations in the water quality database.
\#
\# This information includes the following fields:
\#
gency_cd
\# The following parameters are included:
\# 00010 - Temperature, water, degrees Celsius
\# 0002日 - Agency analyzing sample, code
\# 00095 - Specific conductance, water, unfiltered, microsiemens per centimeter at
\# 00400 - pH, water, unfiltered, field, standard units
\# 00410 - Acid neutralizing capacity, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint (pH 4.5) $t$
\# 0061日 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter as nitrogen
\# 00660 - Orthophosphate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00671 - Orthophosphate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter as phosphorus
\# 00900 - Hardness, water, milligrams per liter as calcium carbonate
\# 00915 - Calcium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00925 - Magnesium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00930 - Sodium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00931 - Sodium adsorption ratio, water, number
\# 00932 - Sodium, water, percent in equivalents of major cations
\# 00935 - Potassium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00940 - Chloride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00945 - Sulfate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00950 - Fluoride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 00955 - Silica, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# 01000 - Arsenic, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01020 - Boron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01046 - Iron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01056 - Manganese, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
\# 01106 - Aluminum, water, filtered, microgramb per liter
\# 70300 - Residue on evaporation, dried at 180 degrees Celsius, water, filtered, m
\# 71851 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\#
\# Description of remark_cd column
\# < - Actual value is known to be less than the value shown.
\# > - Actual value is known to be greater than the value shown.
\# A - Average value
\# E - Estimated value
\# M - Presence of material verified but not quantified


Water Resources
Data Category: Ground Water

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375928121394901
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375928121394901 002N003E29G001M

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels
GO

Contra Costa County Galifornia-
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 237 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 245 feet below land surface.

Output formats

## Table of data

Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period

||Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category:
Geographic Area; Ground Water

United States
i:i go


## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 380055121374001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380055121374001 002N003E15Q001M

## Available data for this site

Contra Costa County, California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
The depth of the well is 165 feet below land surface.
The depth of the hole is 236 feet below land surface.
This well is completed in FLOOD-BASIN DEPOSITS (111FLDB)

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

| 5в | $15 s$ 10d | $4 \mathrm{~d} \quad 5 \mathrm{~s}$ | 12 n | 1 s | 1 s | 15 | 18 | 1 s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00010 | 25.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00095 | 1330 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00400 | 8.1 |  |  | 7 |
| JSGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00410 | 270 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00618 | . 02 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00900 | 300 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00915 | 63.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00925 | 35.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00930 | 140 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00931 | 4 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00932 | 50 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00935 | 3.80 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00940 | 200 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00945 | 73.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00950 | . 10 | $<$ |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00955 | 34.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 01020 | 500 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 70300 | 768 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 71851 | . 1.00 |  |  | 7 |

\# This file contains water quality sample data
\# for stations in the water quality database.
- Sodium, water, percent in equivalents of major cations
00935 - Potassium, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00940 - Chloride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00945 - Sulfate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00950 - Fluoride, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
00955 - Silica, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
01020 - Boron, water, filtered, micrograms per liter
70300 - Residue on evaporation, dried at 180 degrees Celsius, water, filtered, m
71851 - Nitrate, water, filtered, milligrams per liter
\# Description of remark_cd column
$\#$ < - Actual value is known to be leas than the value shown.
\# > - Actual value is known to be greater than the value shown.
\# A - Average value
\# E - Estimated value
\# M - Presence of material verified but not quantified
\# N - Presumptive evidence of presence of material
\# $s$ - Most probable value
\# U - Material specifically analyzed for but not detected
\# V - Value affected by contamination
\#
\# site_no sample_dt sample_tm parameter_cd result_va

Data Category:
Geographic Area:
Ground Water
United States
90

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site_no list $=$ • 380108121391001

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380108121391001002 N 003 E 16 L 001 M
Available data for this site
Ground-water: Levels
GO

Contra Costa County, California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 The depth of the well is 255 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 270 feet below land surface.

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data.
Reselect period

USGS 388188121391881 882HE日3E16L8B1M

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

```
Agency code \(=\) usgs
    site_no list \(=\quad\) • 380122121390101
```

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380122121390101 002N003E16F002M

|  | Available data for this site | Ground-water: Levels |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $G O$ |  |


| Contra Costa County, California <br> Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 <br> Latitude $38^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 <br> The depth of the well is 50.0 feet below land surface. <br> The depth of the hole is 61.0 feet below land surface. | Output formats |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Table of data |
|  | Tab-separated data |
|  | Graph of data |
|  | Reselect period |



Data Category:
Geographic Area: Ground Water H United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- $\mathbf{1}$ sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375728121400901
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375728121400901 001N003E05P001M

Avallable data for this site
Ground-water. Levels
GO

Contta Cöstä Cöūñty, Cälifornia
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27
Gage datum 39.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 65.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 95.0 feet below land surface.

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 375728121488981 B81H8日3E85P881M

|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.
are

Water Resources
Data Category: Geographic Area:
 United States

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- $\mathbf{1}$ sites found

Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 375807121381201
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 375807121381201 001N003E03D001M

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels


Contra-Costa County; California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 5.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 147 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 255 feet below land surface.

## Output formats

## Table of data

Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 375887121381281 BE1NG日3E日3D881M


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category: Ground Water $\qquad$ Geographic Area: United States 90

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site_no list $=\quad 375806121393001$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375806121393001 001N003E04D001M

Available data for this site
Ground-water. Levels
GO

## Contra Costa County; California

Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 23.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 75.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 90.0 feet below land surface.

| Output formats |
| :--- |
| Table of data |
| Tab-separated data |
| Graph of data |
| Reselect period |



Water Resolirces

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results -- 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { • } 380055121374001
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

# USGS 380055121374001 002N003E15Q001M 

## Available data for this site

Ground-water: Levels


Contra Costa County; California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 The depth of the well is 165 feet below land surface.

The depth of the hole is 236 feet below land surface. This well is completed in FLOOD-BASIN DEPOSITS (111FLDB)

Output formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 388e55121374881 日82H803E15q801M


Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.

Data Category: Ground Water

## Ground-water levels for the Nation

## Search Results - 1 sites found

## Search Criteria

$$
\text { site_no list }=\quad \text { - } 375809121383401
$$

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

## USGS 375809121383401 001N003E04A001M

Available data for this site Ground-water: Levels GO

Contra Costa County; California Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003 Latitude $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $121^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ NAD27 Gage datum 8.00 feet above sea level NGVD29 The depth of the well is 65.0 feet below land surface. The depth of the hole is 80.0 feet below land surface.

Oụtput formats
Table of data
Tab-separated data.
Graph of data
Reselect period


|Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points.


| 55 | 15s 10d | 4 d 5s | 12n | 18 | 15 | 15 | $1 s$ | $1 s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00010 | 25.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00095 | 1330 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00400 | 8.1 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00410 | 270 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00618 | . 02 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00900 | 300 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00915 | 63.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00925 | 35.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00930 | 140 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00931 | 4 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00932 | 50 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00935 | 3.80 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00940 | 200 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00945 | 73.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00950 | . 10 | $<$ |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 00955 | 34.0 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 01020 | 500 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 70300 | 768 |  |  | 7 |
| USGS | 380055121374001 | 1979-06-05 |  | 71851 | . 100 |  |  | 7 |

Water Resources
Data Category：Geographic Area： Ground Water United States

## Ground－water levels for the Nation

## Search Results－－ 1 sites found

Search Criteria
site＿no list $=$ • 380011121375501

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload
USGS 380011121375501 002N003E22L001M D－B

Available data for this site
Ground－water Levels
GO

San Joaquin County，California
Hydrologic Unit Code 18040003
Latitude $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ ，Longitude $121^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NAD} 27$
The depth of the well is 170 feet below land surface．
The depth of the hole is 180 feet below land surface．

## Outpat formats

## Table of data

Tab－separated data．
Graph of data
Reselect period

USGS 3888111213755日1 日82ヶ803E22L8日1M

｜Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one calendar year between two consecutive points．｜

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY) WEST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| $\underline{\text { Date }}$ | Parameter |  | Result | Units | MCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/20/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
|  | .. . .. | Small ... ${ }^{\text {明 }}$ | 包 | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder \| Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
Well Data Graph
State Well Number: 0706048-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
$\qquad$

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/20/2003 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normaliz | Redraw |  |
|  |  | Small .. | 國 |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker H.ome | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
Well Data Graph
DELTA IGDS CENTER (OAKLEY)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: $0706048-001$

Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualiner | $\underline{\text { Result }}$ | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/20/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.6 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ L | 45 |
| From Date: $\quad$ To Date: |  | $\frac{\text { Graph Size }}{\text { Small IV }}$ | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{\text { 㽣 }}$ | Redraw |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETHEL MISSIONARY BAPTIST (OAKLEY) <br> WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0706032-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals $\quad$ Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 10/3/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 46 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | 穵 |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1080 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 7/13/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1120 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/8/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1020 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/4/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 930 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 10/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 970 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/20/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1030 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 920 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/6/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1040 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/13/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 1090 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 1/7/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 940 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | $\cdots$To Date:   <br> $\cdots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ | Graph Size Small 霓 | Normalized娄 |  |  |



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

GREG'S MOTEL \& HARBOR (OAKLEY)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707526-001
Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information $\mid$ DHS Water Quality Data $\mid$ PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)
Date
10/15/2002
Parameter
Qualifier
$\frac{\text { Result }}{0}$

| $\underline{\text { Units }}$ | $\underline{\text { MCL }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY)
EAST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | ugh | 1000 |
| From Date: | -... .. .... | Graph Sixe | Normalized |  |  |
|  |  | Small | 閶 |  | Redraw |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility_Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY)
WEST WELL
State Well Number: 0706004-002
Well Details $\mid$ Geograpluic Information $\mid$ DHS Water Quality Data $\mid$ PWS Detailed Information



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO．（OAKLEY） WEST WELL <br> State Well Number：0706004－002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details｜Geographic Information｜DHS Water Quality Data｜PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note：You may select up to 6 chemicals． |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （All Data）］（Most Recent）｜（M） | ximum Concentrations） |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier Result | Units |  | Plot |
| 3／20／2002 | ALKALINTTY（TOTAL）AS CACO3 | 290 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 回 |
| 3／20／2002 | ALUMINUM | 0 | ug／L | 1000 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | ARSENIC | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 0 |
| 3／20／2002 | BARIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | 圂 |
| 3／20／2002 | CADMIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | 园 |
| 3／20／2002 | CALCIUM | 60 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 圂 |
| 3／2072002 | CHLORIDE | 85 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | 囫 |
| 3／20／2002 | CHROMIUM（TOTAL） | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 㘢 |
| 3／20／2002 | COLOR | 7 | UNITS | 15 | 芧 |
| 3／20／2002 | COPPER | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | － |
| 3／20／2002 | FLUORDE（TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT） | 0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | 0 |
| 3／20／2002 | FOAMING AGENTS（MBAS） | 0 | ug／L | 500 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | HARDNESS（TOTAL）AS CACO3 | 260 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 围 |
| 3／20／2002 | ［RON | 140 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 300 | Q |
| 3／20／2002 | LEAD | 0 | ugl | NA | 20 |
| 3／20／2002 | MAGNESIUM | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 回 |
| 3／20／2002 | MANGANESE | 170 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | 因 |
| 3／20／2002 | MERCURY | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | Q |
| 6／19／2003 | METHYL－TERT－BUTYL－ETHER（MTBE） | 0 | ug／L | 5 | 雨 |
| 3／20／2002 | NITRATE（AS NO3） | $<2$ | mgL | 45 | ， |
| 3／20／2002 | NITRITE（AS N） | 0 | ugl | 1000 | 圂 |
| 3／20／2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD＠ 60 C | 2 | TON | 3 | 成 |
| 3／20／2002 | PH，LABORATORY | 7.9 |  | NA | 5 |
| 3／20／2002 | POTASSIUM | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SELENIUM | 0 | ug／L | 50 | \％ |
| 3／20／2002 | SILVER | 0 | ug／L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SODIUM | 110 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3／20／2002 | SOURCE TEMPERATUREC | 19.5 | C | NA | $\square$ |


| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE | 960 | US | 2200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SULFATE | 100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TOTAL, DISSOLVED SOLDS | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.46 | NTU |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | ZINC | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports


| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINDQUUST LANDING MARINA SWS (KNIGHTSEN) WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0707534-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 200 | 䀯 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1.1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | 0 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,I-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| -4/2911986 | 1-2-DTCFLOROETHANE | < | 0-5 | ugt | $0: 5$ | - |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | 2 |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$. | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BENOMYL | < | 100 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BENZENE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMOFORM (THM) | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | BROMOMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | < | 0.5 | ugh | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | [] |
| 4/29/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 0 |
| 4/29/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 700 | 3 |
| 4/29/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA. | $\square$ |
| 4/29/1986 | METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 4/29/1986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | \% |


| $12 / 31 / 2002$ | NTIRATE (ASNO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 / 29 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

LINDQUIST LANDING MARINA SWS (KNIGHTSEN) WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707534-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infonmation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/28/2001 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normaliz |  |  |
|  |  | Small | 围 | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MIBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RED COACE DELY (KNIGHTSEN) <br> LPA REPORTED PRIMARY SOURCE <br> State Well Number: 0706043-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals $\quad$ Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Date }}$ | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| No water quality data for this well has been reported to Department of Health Services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Wells Owned By W0600707556 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND) SANDMOUND MUTUAL BETHEL ISLAND , CA 94511 |  |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |  |
| 0707556-001 | SANDMOUND RD WELL | Show on Map Report |
| 0707556-002 | STONE ROAD WELL | Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN FAC | 0707556 | Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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DHS Water Quality
SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)
SANDMOUND RD WELL
State Well Number: 0707556-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
Public Water System Information
SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)STONE ROAD WELLState Well Number: 0707556-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
SANDMOUND MUTUAL
Water System Address: ..... PWS Class:SANDMOUND MUTUALBETHEL ISLAND, CA 94511
Ownership/Regulation
Ownership:
Regulating Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: ..... System Status:Deactivation Date:Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: ..... Population Served:30175

- List all wells for this Public Water System
- Geotracker Home |Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


## DHS Water Quality

SANDMOUND MUTUAL (BETHEL ISLAND)
STONE ROAD WELL
State Well Number: 0707556-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation
Plot Selected Chemicals
Reset Boxes
Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/26/2003 | ALUMINUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | B |
| 2/26/2003 | ANTIMONY |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | Q |
| 2/26/2003 | ARSENTC |  | 15 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | ASBESTOS |  | 0 | MFL | 7 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | BARIUM | $<$ | 160 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | E |
| 2/26/2003 | BERYLLIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 4 | B |
| 2/26/2003 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | पg/L | 5 | 5 |
| 2/26/2003 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | GROSS ALPHA |  | 1.04 | PCI/L | $15^{*}$ | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | GROSS ALPHA COUNTING ERROR |  | 2.05 | PCI/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | LEAD |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NICKEL |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | mg/L | 45 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 2/26/2003 | THALLIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 2 | $\square$ |

Geootracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

ISLAND PARK TRAILER COURT (BETHEL ISLAND)
WELL 01
State Well Number: 0707574-001
Well Details | Geographic Information DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| Wells Owned By W0600710004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD) 161 Sycamore Avenue BRENTWOOD , CA 94516 |  |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |  |
| $0710004001$ | WELL 01 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-002 | WELL 02 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-003 | WELL 03 - ABANDONED ; | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-004 | WELL 04 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-005 | WELL 05 - ABANDONED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-006 |  | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-007 | WELL 07 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-008 | WELL 08 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-009 | WELL 10A - IRRIGATION - AGRICULTURAL | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-010 | WELL 11 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-011 | WELL 12 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-012 | WELL 13 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-013 | DIABLO WD PS/RANDALL BOLD WTP | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-014 | WELL 14 | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-015 | WELL 10A-IRRIGATION-TREATED-INACTIVE | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-016 | WELL 11 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-017 | WELL 12 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-018 | WELL 14-TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-019 | WELL 06 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-020 | WELL 07 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-021 | WELL 08 -TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-022 | WELL 13 - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-023 | DIABLO WD/RANDALL-BOLD - TREATED | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-024 |  | Show on Map Report |
| 0710004-025 |  | Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN F | C 0710004 | Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder / Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 07
State Well Number: 0710004-007
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
$\qquad$

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 760 | mg L | 1500 |
| 9/11/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 790 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/1/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 740 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/20/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 720 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/30/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 650 | mg/ | 1500 |
| 1/7/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 620 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/30/1991 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 608 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/16/1990 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 660 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1988 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
| From Date: | To Date: | Small | 圈 | Redr |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

| Well Data Graph |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD) WELL 11 <br> State Well Number: 0710004-010 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| - $4 / 17 / 2003$ | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLDS |  | 960 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/24/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/10/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/1/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 950 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/20/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 980 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/30/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 850 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/26/1995 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 688 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1995 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 645 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size Small | malized <br> 固 |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

## CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)

WELL 13
State Well Number: 0710004-012
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 490 | mg L | 1500 |
| 8/21/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 480 | mg / | 1500 |
| 8/29/2001 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 460 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 6/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 490 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/7/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 470 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/17/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 440 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: |  | Graph Size | Normalized |  |  |
|  | 1. | Small \|is | $\square$ | Red |  |

Geotracker Hone | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
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## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 06
State Well Number: 0710004-006
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 17.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 9/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 17.7 | mg/L | 45 |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 16.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/26/2000 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 15.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/30/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/1994 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 14 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/7/1993 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/30/1991 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 13 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/16/1990 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 13.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized | hedraw |  |
|  |  | Small |  |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 07
State Well Number: 0710004-007
Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 9/11/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/20/2000 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 6/30/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/2/1994 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/7/1993 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/30/1991 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 8.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/16/1990 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 9.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/5/1988 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 12 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normali | Redraw |  |
|  |  | Smalin | $\ldots$ |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

## CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)

WELL 08
State Well Number: 0710004-008
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| $8 / 21 / 2002$ | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 4.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 3/6/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.4 | mg/L |  |
| 8/1/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 4.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 7/7/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 12/20/1994 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | < | 4.52 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 2/15/1994 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.9 | mg/L |  |
| 6/14/1993 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| From Date: | To Date: | $\frac{\text { Graph Size }}{\text { Small }}$ | Norma |  |  |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $/$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 10A - IRRIGATION - AGRICULTURAL
State Well Number: 0710004-009
Well Deiails | Geographic. Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 11
State Well Number: 0710004-010
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/5/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/22/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/17/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 4/8/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38 | mp / | 45 |
| 3/27/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 3/13/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/27/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/11/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 43 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/28/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/9/2003 | NTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/14/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 31 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/13/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 35 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/24/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 32.8 | mg/L | 45 |
| 6/11/2002 | NITRATE (ASNO3) |  | 34 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/22/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 34.7 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 5/8/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 38.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/26/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/12/2002 | NTTRATE (AS NO3) |  | 42 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 1/22/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 43 | mg/ | 45 |
| 1/8/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 41 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/18/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 46 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |

Well'Report


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
http://www.geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov/reports/well_plot2.asp?GLOBAL_ID=W0600710004... 8/3/2005

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 12
State Well Number: 0710004-011
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/17/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/14/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/24/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 2/21/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 6.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 8/8/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/20/2000 | N[TRATE (AS NO3) |  | 7.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 7/7/1999 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/18/1997 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 5.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normali | Sedrw |  |
|  | - | Small | $\Gamma$ |  |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRENTWOOD)
WELL 13
State Well Number: 0710004-012
Well Details |Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

CITY OF BRENTWOOD (BRIENTWOOD)
WELL 14
State Well Number: 0710004-014
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports



Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
$\square$ Mis

## Yahool Maps - Oakley, CA 94561-5085

《 Back to Map
H Casa del Rio Motel \& Marina, 3295 Wells Rd Oakley, CA 94561 -5085 (925) 684-2242


When using any driving directions or map, it's a gand idea to do a really check and make sure the road still exists, watcl out for construction, and follow all traffic safety precautions. This is onty to be used as an aid in planning.

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OAKLEY MUTUAL WATER CO. (OAKLEY) WEST WELL <br> State Well Number: 0706004-002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) \| (Most Recent) | (Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 3/20/2002 | ALKALINITY (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 290 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | B |
| 3/20/2002 | ALUMINUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | ARSENTC |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | BARIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | 䀯 |
| 3/20/2002 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | \% |
| 3/20/2002 | CALCIUM |  | 60 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | S |
| 3/20/2002 | CHLORIDE |  | 85 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | COLOR |  | 7 | UNITS | 15 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | COPPER |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) |  | 0 | ug/L | 500 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 260 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | $\underline{\text { IRON }}$ |  | 140 | ug/L | 300 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 170 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 6/19/2003 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug / | 5 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | $<$ | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | NITRITE (AS N) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@ 60 C |  | 2 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | PH. LABORATORY |  | 7.9 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 0 |
| 3/20/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | SILVER |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 3/20/2002 | SODIUM |  | 110 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 雨 |
| 3/20/2002 | SOURCE TEMPERATURE C |  | 19.5 | C | NA | 0 |


| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE | 960 | US | 2200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | SULFATE | 100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TOTALDISSOLVED SOLIDS | 580 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.46 | NTU |
| $3 / 20 / 2002$ | $\underline{Z L N C}$ | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |

[^37]BACK TO SEARCH I GEOTRACKER HOME

| Well Search Results |  | 42 records found |  | Page 1 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE NAME | ADDRESS | Cily | COUNTY |  |
| ANGEL MARINE CENTER | P.O. BOX 1870 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS WELLS |
| ANGLER'S RANCH \#3 | ANGLER'S RANCH \#3 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION \#4 | ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION 4 | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH | BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BETHEL HARBOR | BETHEL HARBO | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| BETHEL ISLAND GOLF \& RESORT | BETHEL ISLAND GOLF \& RESORT | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELL |
| BETHEL ISLAND LODGE | BETHEL ISLAND LODGE ** | BETHEL | CONTRA | YIEWP |
| BETHEL ISLAND MUTUAL WATER CO. |  |  | COSTA |  |
|  | BETHEL ISLAND MUTUAL | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  | WATER CO | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| BETHEL MARKET ** | BETHEL MARKET ** | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BILLECI'S | - PO BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BOAT HOUSE LOUNGE | BOATHOUSELOUNGE** | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| BONNIE \& CLYDE SALOON | BONNE \& CLYDE SALOON | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| CALIENTE ISLE | CALIENTE ISLE | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS WELLS |
| DELTA MUTUAL WATER | DELTA MUTUAL WATER | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| COMPANY | COMPANY | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| DELTA SPORTSMAN | DELTA SPORTSMAN | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| DOC'S MARINA | DOC'S MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW.PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| DUTCH SLOUGH WATER WORKS FARRAR PARK PROPERTY OWNERS | DUTCH SLOUGH WATER WORKS <br> FARRAR PARK PROPERTY OWNERS | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| FLAMINGO MOBLLE MANOR | FLAMINGO MOBILE MANOR | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| FRANK'S MARINA INC | FRANK'S MARINA INC | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| HARRIS MARINA | HARRIS MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| HENNIS MARINA - INACTIVE | P O BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| ISLAND PARK TRAILER <br>  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA |  |
| KELLER LIQUORS ¢ | P O BOX 5 | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| LEISURE LANDING MARINA | LEISURE LANDING MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| UNDBORG LANDING | LUNDBORG LANDING. | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| INA MOBILE MANO | MARINA MOBILE MANOR | BETHEL | CONTRA COSTA | VIEW PWS |
| MARINE EMPORIUM RICHARDS YACHT | MARINE EMPORIUM | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  | RICHARDS YACHT | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |


|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIIWPWS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MARS HARBOR | MARS HARBOR | ISLAND | COSTA | WEWS |
| NEW ANCHOR MARINA | NEW ANCHOR MARINA | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA | WELSS |
| Jump to page: $1 \underline{2}$ |  |  |  | Next 30 |

BACK TO SEARCH I GEOTRACKER HOME

| Well Search Results |  | 42 records found |  | Page 2 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE NAME | ADDRESS | CITY | COUNTY |  |
| PARK MARINA | PARK MARINA. | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
|  |  | ISLAND | COSTA <br> CONTRA |  |
| PLEASANTIMES MUTUAL | PLEASANTIMES MUTUAL WATER CO | BETHEL <br> ISLAND | CONTRA. COSTA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIEW PWS } \\ & \hline \text { WELLS } \end{aligned}$ |
| WATER CO. |  |  | COSTA |  |
| RIVERVIEW MARINA SWS | RTVERVIEW MARINA. SWS | BETHEL | CONTRA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VEW PWS } \\ & \text { WELLS } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| RIVERVIEW WA.TER ASSN | RIVERVIEW WATER ASS | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  | P O BOX | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| R | POBOX | ISLAND | COSTA | MELLS |
|  | SANDMOUND MUTUAL | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| SANDMOUND MUTUAL | SANDMOUND MUIUAL | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| SANDY POINT MOBLE HOME | SANDYPOINT MOBLE HOME | 覅ETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| PARK | PARK 5625 Sandmexme | ISLAND | COSTA. | WELLS |
| SUGAR BARGE RV PARK \& | SUGAR BARGE RV PARK \& | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| MARNA | MARINA | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  | SUNSET HARBOR | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEW PWS |
| SUNSET HARBOR | SUNSET HARBOR | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| TONYS FAMILY | TONY'S FAMILY | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIENPWS |
| RESTAURANT | RESTAURANT | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
| WALLY'SRESTAURANT.\& | WALLY'SRESTAURANT \& | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| DELI** | DELI** | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |
|  |  | BETHEL | CONTRA | VIEWPWS |
| WILLOW PARK MARINA | WILLOW PARK MARNA. | ISLAND | COSTA | WELLS |

Prev 30 Jump to page: 12

## DHS Water Quality

ISLAND PARK TRAILER COURT (BETHEL ISLAND) WELL 01
State Well Number: 0707574-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) | (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)


| 4/28/1998 | ATRAZINE | 0 | ug/L | 3 | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/1/2002 | BARTUM | 0 | ug/L | 1000 |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BENZENE | 0 | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BERYLLIUM | 0 | ug/L | 4 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BICARBONATE ALKALINITY | 760 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | BROMACL | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOBENZENE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOCHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOFORM (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 |  |
| 8/1/2002 | BROMOMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | BUTACHLOR | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CADMIUM | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CALCIUM | 24 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CARBONATE ALKALINITY | 0 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLORIDE | 220 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | 0.72 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | COLOR | 1 | UNITS | 15 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | COPPER | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | DIAZINON | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIBROMOMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $]$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DICHLOROMETHANE | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | DIISOPROPYL ETHER | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | DIMETHOATE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | 1 |
| 8/1/2002 | ETHYL-TERT-BUTYL ETHER | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ETHYLBENZENE | 0 | ug/L | 700 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | FLUORDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) | 2.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) | 0 | ug/L | 500 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 | 180 | mg/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |


| 8/1/2002 | HYDROXIDE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | mg / | NA | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/1/2002 | IRON | $<$ | 100 | ug/L | 300 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | M,P-XYLENE |  | 0 | ug/ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | ug L | 2 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | METHYLISOBUTYL IKETONE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | METOLACHLOR | < | 0.5 | $\underline{u g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | METRIBUZIN | $<$ | 0.25 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | MOLINATE |  | 0 | ug/L | 20 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 70 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | N-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | INAPHTḢALENE |  | 0 | ugL | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | NICKEL |  | 20 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/28/2003 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | NITRITE(AS N) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | O-XYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@60C |  | 1 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | PH, LABORATORY |  | 7.8 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 1.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | PROMETRYN |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | PROPACHLOR |  | 0 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SEC-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SILVER |  | 0 | ugl | 100 | $\square$ |
| 4/28/1998 | SIMAZINE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SODIUM |  | 430 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE |  | 2000 | US | 2200 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | STYRENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | SULFATE |  | 160 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-AMYL-METHYL ETHER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-BUTYL ALCOHOL |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 8/1/2002 | TERT-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |


| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | THALLIUM | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| $4 / 28 / 1998$ | THIOBENCARB | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOLUENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS | 1300 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES | 0.72 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY | 0 | NTU | 5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | VINYL CHLORIDE | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | XXLENES (TOTAL) | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2002$ | ZINC | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5000 | $\square$ |


| Wells Owned By W0600707569 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION \#4 (BETHEL ISLAND) <br> ANGLER'S SUBDIVISION 4 <br> BETHEL ISLAND , CA 94511 |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |
| 0707569-001 | WELL 1-1696 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| 0707569-002 | WELL 2 - 1398 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| 0707569-003 | WELL 3-1698 TAYLOR Show on Map Report |
| PWS MAIN FAC | 0707569 Show on Map Report |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder / Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

FRANKS MARINA INC (BETHEL ISLAND) WELL HEAD

0
State Well Number: 0707575-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Date 12/18/2002
From Date:
Parameter
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
To Date:

|  | Qualifier $\frac{\text { Result }}{720}$ $\underline{\text { Units }}$ $\underline{\text { ML }}$ <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ 1500   <br> Graph Size    | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | $\square$ | Redraw |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder \| MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

FRANK'S MARINA INC (BETHEL ISLAND)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0707575-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,1,2-TETRACHLORETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1.J-TRICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE |  | 0. | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1200 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,J-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2.3-TRICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.2-DICHLOROETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square]$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | $u g / L$ | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.3-DICHLOROPROPENE (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | 1.4-DICHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 1-PHENYLPROPANE (N-PROPYLBENZENE) |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 2.2-DICHLOROPROPANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 2/27/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 2-CHLOROTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | 4-CHLOROTOLUENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ALKALINITY (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 200 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ALUMTNUM |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ANTIMONY |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 |  |


| 12/18/2002 | ARSENIC |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | ASBESTOS |  | 0 | MFL | 7 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BARIUM |  | 130 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BERYLLIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BICARBONATE ALKALINITY |  | 250 | mg/L | NA | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOCHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOFORM (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | BROMOMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CADMIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CALCIUM |  | 28 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CARBON TETRACHLORDE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CARBONATE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLORIDE |  | 180 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROETHIANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROFORM (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL CR-CRVI SCREEN) |  | 1.3 | UG/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | CHROMIUM (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 50 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 2/27/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | COLOR |  | 1 | UNITS | 15 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | COPPER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1000 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIBROMOCFLOROMETHANE (THM) |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIBROMOMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DICHLOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | DIISOPROPYL ETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ETHYL-TERT-BUTYL ETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | ETHYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 700 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | FLUORIDE (TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.7 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | FOAMING AGENTS (MBAS) |  | 0 | ug/L | 500 |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HARDNESS (TOTAL) AS CACO3 |  | 130 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |  |
| 12/18/2002 | HYDROXIDE ALKALINITY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | E |


| 12/18/2002 | $\underline{\text { RON }}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 300 | 园 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | \% |
| 12/18/2002 | LEAD |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | M,P-XYLENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MAGNESIUM |  | 15 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MANGANESE |  | 140 | ug/L | 50 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MERCURY |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 2/27/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | METHYLISOBUTYL KETONE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | N-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NAPHTHALENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NICKEL |  | 20 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | NTTRTE (AS N) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1000 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | O-XYLENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | ODOR THRESHOLD@60 C |  | 1 | TON | 3 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | E-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | PH. LABORATORY |  | 8.1 |  | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | POTASSIUM |  | 2.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SEC-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SELENIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 50 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SLLVER |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SODIUM |  | 230 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE |  | 1200 | US | 2200 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | STYRENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | SULFATE |  | 130 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 600 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-AMYL-METHYLETHER |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-BUTYL ALCOHOL |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TERT-BUTYLBENZENE |  | 0 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | THALLIUM |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TOLUENE |  | 0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 720 | mg/L | 1500 | \% |
| 12/18/2002 | TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES |  | 0 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | ug/L | 10 | \% |


| 2/27/1986 | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/18/2002 | TRICHLOROETHYLENE |  | 0 | $u g / L$ | 5 | [] |
| 12/18/2002 | IRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE |  | 0 | ug/L | 150 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | TURBIDITY, LABORATORY |  | 0.12 | NTU | 5 | 0 |
| 12/18/2002 | VINYL CHLORDE |  | 0 | ug/L | 0.5 | - |
| 12/18/2002 | XYLENES (TOTAL) |  | 0 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |
| 12/18/2002 | ZINC | $<$ | 50 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5000 | 0 |

## Public Water System Information

HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA (KNIGETSEN) WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0706034-001

## 

Well Details | Geographic [formation | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA
Water System Address:
PWS Class:
HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA
KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548

## Ownership/Regulation

Ownership:
Regulating Entity:
Date Entered System:
Deactivation Date:
Service Area:
System Status:
Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: $\quad$ Population Served:
255
25

- List all wells for this Public Water System

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

| DHS Water Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA (KNIGHTSEN) <br> WELL HEAD <br> State Well Number: 0706034-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Details \| Geograghic Information |DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (All Data) (Most Recent) \| Maximum Concentrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| 5/71986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | [] |
| 5/71986 | 1,1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugh | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 1.1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 6 | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | 1.2-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugh | 600 | $\square$ |
| -5/71986 | 12-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugit | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 13-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | 14-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ugl | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/711986 | BENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | BROMOFORM (THM | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5771986 | BROMOMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | $u g / 2$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 577/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/ | 700 | [] |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/ | NA | [] |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/ | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/71986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugl | 70 | $\square$ |
| 12/31/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | 团 |


| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TOLUENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | VINYLCHLORIDE | $<$ | 1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| $5 / 7 / 1986$ | XYLENES (TOTAL) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1750 | $\square$ |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARLNA (ISNIGHTSEN)
WELL HEAD
State Well Number: 0706034-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/2002 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| 12/28/2001 | NITRATE (AS NO3) |  | 0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 |
| Frompate: | To Date: <br> ! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Graph.Size } \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Normalized }}{\square}$ | Re |  |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
Public Water System Information
HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA (KNIGHTSEN)
WELL 2
State Well Number: 0706034-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Public Water System
HOLLAND RIVERSIDE MARINA.
Water System Address: PWS Class: HOLLAND RIVERSDE MARINA KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548
Ownership/Regulation
Ownership:
Regulating.Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: System Status:Deactivation Date:Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: ..... Population Served:
255 ..... 25

- List all wells for this Public Water System


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports


Geotracker Home | Site/facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## DHS Water Quality

KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)
NORTH WELL
State Well Number: 0706028-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals
Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) |(Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result |  |  | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,2.2-TETRACHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1.1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 6 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | I,2-DICHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 600 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 12-DICHLORÖETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L |  | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 1.4-DICHLOROBENZENE | < | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | 2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 1 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMODICHLORMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMOFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | BROMOMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROFORM (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | ugL | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | CHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 57/1986 | CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE (THM) | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | DICHLOROMETHANE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | ETHYLBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 700 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | METHYL LSOBUTYL KETONE | < | 1 | ug/L | NA | $\square$ |
| 1/18/2002 | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 5/7/1986 | MONOCHLOROBENZENE | $<$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70 | $\square$ |

Report

|  |  |  | 0 | mg/L | 45 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29' 3 | NITRATE (AS NO3) | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| $7 / 1986$ | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 150 | $\square$ |
| 771986 | TOLUENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 10 | [] |
| 7/1986 | IRANS-1.2-DICHLOROETHYLE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square$ |
| 7/1986 | TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| 171986 | TRICHLOROETHYLENE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug $/$ | 150 | $\square$ |
| 1711986 | TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE | $<$ | 1 | ug/L | 0.5 | $\square]$ |
| ;7/1986 | VINYL CHLORIDE | $<$ | 0.5 | ug/L | 1750 | $\square$ |

5/7/1986 XYLENES (TOTAL)
Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

## KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)

 NORTH WELLState Well Number: 0706028-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infonnation


Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports
DHS Water Quality
KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN)
SOUTH WELL
State Well Number: 0706028-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information
Plot Selected Chemicals Reset Boxes Note: You may select up to 6 chemicals.
(All Data) $\mid$ (Most Recent) $\mid$ (Maximum Concentrations)

| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL | Plot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $1 / 18 / 2002$ | METHYL-TERT-BUTYL-ETHER (MTBE) |  | 0 | ug/L | 5 | $\square$ |
| $4 / 29 / 2003$ | NITRATE(AS NO3) | 25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45 | $\square$ |  |

Gcotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph <br> KNIGHTSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (KNIGHTSEN) SOUTH WELL <br> State Well Number: 0706028-002

Well Details $\mid$ Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Public Water System Information

## KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS (KNIGHTSEN)

WELL BEAD
State Well Number: 0707547-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information

```
Public Water System KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS
Water System Address:
```



```
KNIGHTSEN COMMUNITY WATER SYS
KNIGHTSEN, CA 94548
```


## Ownership/Regulation

Ownership:
Regulating Entity: Service Area:
Date Entered System: System Status:
Deactivation Date:
Last Revised:
Connection Information
Number of Service Connections: . Population Served:
21

- List all wells for this Public Water System

Geotracker Home \| Site/Facility Finder \| Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

NoUS

| Wells Owned By W0600710007 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY) |  |
| 5325 P.O. Box 127 |  |
| OAKLEY, CA. 94513 |  |
| State Well No. | Well Common Name |
| 0710007-001 | CONTRA COSTA CANAL-OAKLEY WTP-TRTD, ABND Show on Map Report |
| 0710007-002 | WELL 01 - STANDBY |
| 0710007-003 | RANDALL-BOLD WTP - TREATED |
| 0710007-004 | WELL 01 - TREATED - STANDBY |
| PWS MAIN FAC | O710007 |

Geotracker Home | Site/Facility Finder | Case Finder | MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

DLABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY)
WELL 01 - STANDBY
State Well Number: 0710007-002
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Information


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/8/2000 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1080 | mg/L | 1500 |
| 7/13/1999 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1120 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 12/8/1997 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1020 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 890 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/4/1994 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 930 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 970 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/20/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1030 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 4/5/1993 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 920 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 10/6/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1040 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 7/13/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 1090 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 1/7/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 940 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | Graph Size | Normalized | Redraw |  |
|  | + | Small | E |  |  |

Geotracker Home $\mid$ Site/Facility Finder $\mid$ Case Finder $\mid$ MTBE/Case Reports

## Well Data Graph

DLABLO WATER DISTRICT (OAKLEY)
CONTRA COSTA CANAL-OAKLEY WTP-TRTD, ABND
State Well Number: 0710007-001
Well Details | Geographic Information | DHS Water Quality Data | PWS Detailed Infornation


| Date | Parameter | Qualifier | Result | Units | MCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/2/1992 | TOTAL DISSOLYED SOLIDS |  | 600 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 5/5/1989 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 240 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/6/1987 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 460 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| 8/13/1986 | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS |  | 199 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1500 |
| From Date: | To Date: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Graph Size } \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | Normalized |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\square$ | Red |  |
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose

This report presents the Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) for the proposed Emerson project in the City of Oakley, Contra Costa County, California.

Ponderosa Homes requested that Balance Hydrologics, Inc. prepare a preliminary SWMP at an early stage of the project planning process to help guide the design in a manner that directly addresses potential impacts related to hydrology and water quality. This plan will be refined during subsequent design stages to provide a high level of protection to the significant beneficial uses of the local Delta waters.

This SWMP is based on a review of specific conditions both on-site and downstream. The associated opportunities and constraints for stormwater management have been evaluated and combined with newly-revised regional water quality recommendations to guide the selection, design, construction and maintenance of stormwater infrastructure and Best Management Practices (BMPs) at the site.

### 1.2 Objectives of the Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan

Management strategies that are being pursued by a number of agencies, including the City of Oakley, the Contra Costa Clean Water Program (CCCWP) and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) have recognized the importance of a watershed-based perspective in assessing and addressing potential impacts related to stormwater runoff. This implies that both the local and regional hydrologic context of each site will have a direct bearing on specific plans for development as well as the type and location of BMPs to be employed. The respective BMPs need to address issues related to stormwater quantity (for example peak flow rates and the potential for on- and off-site flooding), as well as the water quality of runoff discharged into the municipal storm drain system and, ultimately in this case, into the Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta.

These considerations framed the selection of specific objectives for the Preliminary SWMP, which include:

- assembling reference meteorological, geological and soils information needed to describe hydrologic conditions of the site, as well as previous studies related to the project;
- utilizing this information to identify opportunities and constraints for dealing with stormwater quantity and quality on the site;
- identifying and modeling stormwater management infrastructure to be included in the project design that mitigates for potential increases in peak discharge and safely discharges the runoff from all storms to the Delta over the surrounding levee system;
- developing a hierarchy of BMPs that reflect the standards and goals of the City of Oakley, the Contra Costa Clean Water Program, and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board with a special emphasis on providing a plan that is consistent with the recent requirements promulgated by the CCCWP and RWQCB regarding treatment requirements and avoiding impacts due to hydromodification;
- providing all pertinent regulatory agencies with reasonable certainty that appropriate measures adequate to address urban runoff impacts and to comply with regulatory requirements will be implemented;
- establishing systems that are self-maintaining and self-perpetuating to the greatest extent possible.


## 2. HYDROLOGIC SETTING

### 2.1 Geographic Description of the Emerson Property Site

The Emerson project site is located immediately to the north of Cypress Road and west of Sellers Avenue in the northeast of the City of Oakley, approximately 0.8 miles east of State Route 4 (Figure 1). The Contra Costa Canal, a major water supply canal for the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) and Diablo Water District (DWD) borders the project to the north. The site comprises a portion of the Cypress Corridor planning area as identified in the Oakley 2020 General Plan (City of Oakley, 2002) and covers an area of approximately 142 acres, essentially all of which would be impacted by grading to construct the proposed project.

Currently the site consists of former agricultural lands that have been used for both dry-land and irrigated crop production. As such, the predominant land cover is fallow crop lands. The preliminary project plan would construct approximately 624 medium density residential units, a small 9.4 acre commercial area, and an associated neighborhood park space along with a central lake feature that plays an integral role in the stormwater management strategy.

The site is located near the Delta fringe just east of the mouth of Marsh Creek at Big Break and lies atop the distal portions of the large alluvial fan built up by the creek in eastern Contra Costa County. Therefore, the terrain is generally low-lying with a gentle slope to the northeast. The minimum elevation is approximately 3.2 feet (NGVD) along the northern edge of the property.

### 2.2 Climate Characteristics of the Site

The area encompassing Emerson Property is located in the Mediterranean climate zone typical of transitional areas between the coastal and interior climates of central California. This local climate is characterized by cool, relatively dry winters and hot, dry summers.

The lower elevation areas in eastern Contra Costa County lie within the rain shadow of the coastal mountain ranges that remove much of the moisture from incoming storm systems. In fact, the City of Oakley is located in one of the driest regions in the county and one of the driest regions in the State of California outside of the deserts. Mean seasonal rainfall maps prepared by Contra Costa County indicate that the mean seasonal rainfall at the site is on the order of
11.5 inches per year. This is markedly lower than areas in the western portion of the county that receive more than twice this annual average. Although the average rainfall is quite low, the site does experience the wide range in annual precipitation that accompany drought years and wet years such as those related to the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Excellent longterm precipitation records are available for the Antioch Pumping Plant, located roughly 3.1 miles west of Emerson Property. The minimum annual precipitation recorded at the Antioch Pumping Plant was 5.6 inches (in Water Year 1976) and the maximum was 27.1 inches (in Water Year 1983). ${ }^{1}$ Table 1 illustrates the monthly rainfall distribution based on the data from the Antioch Pumping Plant.

Annual temperature patterns are typical of interior areas of the state, although somewhat tempered by cooling breezes originating at sea and in the San Francisco Bay system. Evaporation rates are quite high in summer. In fact, evaporation rates exceed rainfall in all but the wettest winter months. Table 1 includes data that show mean annual pan evaporation is on the order of 71 inches, or over five times mean annual precipitation, based on the record from the Antioch Pumping Plant for the period from 1955 to 1978.

### 2.3 Soil Characteristics

The soil survey for Contra Costa County (Welch, 1977) identifies a total of four different soil types at the project site as shown in Figure 2. The hydrologic properties of these soils are summarized in Table 2.

The most widespread is the Sycamore silty clay loam (So), which underlies approximately 50 percent of the site. This soil is placed in hydrologic soil group $C$ and is described as poorly drained, formed in alluvium from sedimentary rock on lloodplains. The hydrologic soil groups range from $A$ to $D$, with $D$ having the highest runoff potential.

Approximately 20 percent of the site is underlain by Marcuse clay (Mb) which extends in a single plume from the south eastern edge of the site. This soil is described as consisting of very poorly drained soils that formed an alluvium from sedimentary rock. This soil type is categorized in hydrologic soil group D.

[^38]Delhi sand ( DaC ) covers approximately $19 \%$ of the project site and is described as somewhat excessively drained soils that formed in wind-modified stream deposit. The Delhi sands are the only hydrologic soil group A soils found at the project.

A small portion of the site, roughly 11 percent, is underlain by Piper loamy sand (Pe) soil type, described as consisting of poorly drained soils formed on low aeolian mounds and ridges that have become more prominent as the surrounding organic soils subsided. This soil is categorized in hydrologic soil group $C$.

### 2.4 Surface Water Drainage

### 2.4.1 Existing drainage patterns

The Emerson project site has historically been served by a conventional agricultural drainage system (see Figure 3). Storm water and irrigation return flows for the site are directed via a series of shallow ditches. The site is split into two main drainage areas - 52.9 acres flows into a ditch running from west to east on the northern perimeter and 88.6 acres flows into a separate ditch running from west to east through the middle of the property. Both of these main drainage ditches divert flow towards the north eastern corner of the site, with the point of discharge being via culverts to Emerson Slough.

The only other drainage area that needs to be considered in the description of existing conditions is the southern parcel of land across Cypress Road from the project site. This land is bordered by Cypress Road to the north, Sellers Avenue to the east, the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad to the south, and the Cypress Grove project to the west. Runoff on this site drains towards its northeast corner, where it can collect during large storms in the broad shallow depression found there. Presently, the runoff from the southern area drains from this northeast depression, under Cypress Road, through an 18-inch storm drain, and is carried to Emerson Slough in a largely unmaintained open ditch, which runs along Sellers Avenue. It is important to note that no runoff from the south side area actually enters the proposed project site, but this extra drainage does depend on the roadside ditch along Seller's Avenue to reach Emerson Slough. In addition, the limited capacity of the 18 -inch pipeline to drain the entire 184 acres of the south side area to the other side of Cypress Road can cause flooding in the northeast section. The depression located at this corner can pool during large storms until the water surface reaches the crown of Cypress Road to the north, where it can then spill over the road and flow into the drainage ditch leading to Emerson Slough. Therefore, large flood events

### 2.5 Floodplain Mapping and Levees

As shown in Figure 5, the site is not in a designated floodplain as mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA, 2002). It is important to note that, with the exception of the dune areas, the entire site is currently protected from flooding by the levees that run along the Contra Costa Canal. The properties to the north of the Canal are presently mapped in Special Flood Hazard Area Zone A, indicating that they are subject to flooding during a 100year event in the Delta. The base flood elevation from Delta flooding is shown as 7.0 feet.

Per FEMA and CCFCD regulations, areas lower than this elevation must be protected by levees with a minimum of 3.0 feet of freeboard above the base flood elevation, a level of protection that FEMA recognizes as presently provided by the Contra Costa Canal levees. However, CCWD is pursuing plans to underground all or part of the canal in the vicinity of the project and the District has indicated that the material in the levee may be needed as part of that project. In any case, the Emerson project site will be provided further protection by a new levee system that will be built along the east, west, north and south perimeters of the project to FEMA urban standard levee specifications. This new levee will tie into the higher grades of Cypress Road to the south.

### 2.6 Ground Water

A detailed description of the ground-water hydrology at the Emerson site is beyond the scope of this report. For a comprehensive analysis, see the report prepared by ENGEO, Inc.

However, a number of characteristics of the overall ground-water system underlying the area are important to bear in mind. Data prepared by CCWD shows that the project site overlies a regional aquifer that is characterized by flow to the north and east. Recharge of this aquifer from rainfall at the site is likely to be minimal given the very low mean annual precipitation at Emerson Property. Infiltration of irrigation water applied during the summer months represents a more important potential recharge source, but is expected to be relatively small compared to other recharge sources such as that from higher elevation areas on the Marsh Creek and Kellogg Creek alluvial fans. This, coupled with the overall low elevations within the area, explains, in large part, the presence of seasonally high ground-water levels at various locations within the site as observed by Balance staff during field visits and routinely documented by others. The maximum upper limit of the shallow ground-water table during wet periods is likely defined by the release point elevations of the system of drainage ditches that drain the site.

The majority of the wells used to supply groundwater for domestic uses in the Cypress Corridor area draw from relatively deep water-bearing layers. These wells are generally from 150 to 350 feet deep, although some shallower wells exist (County of Contra Costa, 1992).

Studies are being conducted by the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) to investigate the potential impacts to shallow groundwater from development along the Contra Costa Canal. These studies are intended to identify and quantify the degree of connection between the unlined canal and the surrounding shallow ground-water system. Mean tide levels in the Canal (see Section 2.4.1) are such that water may seep into or out of the Canal, depending on the season and annual rainfall characteristics. This reflects the fact that the Canal is not lined and is directly connected to Rock Slough at its entrance further to the east. During the summer months, average water levels in the Canal are higher than the typical water table at Emerson Property. However, in mid to late winter, shallow ground-water elevations come nearly to the surface, at which point some seepage into the Canal is theoretically possible. Considerations related to potential impacts to the shallow ground-water system are an integral part of the stormwater management strategy at the site as described in Sections 4 and 5.

### 2.7 Regional Water-quality Context

The receiving waters for runoff from Emerson Property are located far enough upstream in the Delta that the surrounding channels are typically freshwater environments. Higher salinity water generally moves toward the Delta from San Francisco Bay once the higher runoff associated with the spring and early summer snowmelt has diminished. Incursions of high salinity water have occurred during prolonged dry periods, such as the severe drought of water years 1976 and 1977.

Detailed long-term water-quality monitoring data for the slough channels immediately adjacent to Emerson Property is limited in the range of consituents analyzed and time period covered, with the notable exception of Rock Slough where Contra Costa Water District regularly tests the raw water inflow to the Contra Costa Canal. However, no runoff will be discharged to Rock Slough from the project. One set of representative data for evaluating potential impacts to the local sloughs is that from the Regional Monitoring Program (RMP) carried out by the San Francisco Estuary Institute. The RMP has monitored a wide range of constituents throughout the estuary since its inception in 1993 and includes a monitoring station on the San Joaquin River just east of Antioch. Table 5 summarizes the long-term data from this site, which will be generally representative of expected conditions at the points where
runoff from Emerson Property discharge to the sloughs. The data has been selected to include those monitoring events that occurred during the wet season, in this case November through April, as this is the period when stormwater runoff will occur.

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) has established waterquality objectives for a number of trace elements and other constituents (RWQCB, 1998). Where applicable, these objectives have been included in Table 5. The RMP data show that the numerical objectives were met. Additional objectives exist in the western Delta for electrical conductivity, a measure of salinity, which influences fish and wildlife. However, the objectives vary with the amount of unregulated flow that would occur in the system (a measure of drought conditions).

## 3. REGULATORY SETTING

The California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB or State Board) and the nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCB or Regional Board) have the authority in California to protect and enhance water quality, both through their designation as the lead agencies in implementing the Section 319 nonpoint source program of the federal Clean Water Act, and from the state's primary water-pollution control legislation, the Porter-Cologne Act. The RWQCB Region 5 office guides and regulates water quality in streams and aquifers of the Central Valley, Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins, through designation of beneficial uses, establishment of water-quality objectives, administration of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program for stormwater and construction site runoff, and 401 water-quality certification where development results in fill of jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the United States, under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

### 3.1 Central Valley Water Quality Control Plan

In addition to the NPDES permitting program, the RWQCB regulates water quality for the region in accordance with the Water Quality Control Plan or 'Basin Plan' (RWQCB, 1998). The Basin Plan presents the beneficial uses that the Regional Board has designated for local aquifers, streams, marshes, rivers and the Delta as well as the water-quality objectives and criteria that must be met to protect these uses. Multiple beneficial uses have been designated for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, the receiving waters for runoff from Emerson Property. These are summarized in Table 4 and include municipal, domestic and agricultural supply, industrial process and service supply, contact and non-contact water recreation, warm and cold freshwater habitat and fish migration, warm water fish spawning, wildlife habitat, and navigation.

### 3.2 NPDES Municipal Stormwater Permit

The 1987 amendments to the Clean Water Act [Section 402(p)] provided for U.S. EPA regulation of several new categories of nonpoint pollution sources within the existing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Program (NPDES). In Phase 1, NPDES permits were issued for urban runoff discharges from municipalities of over 100,000 people, from plants in industries recognized by the EPA as being likely sources of stormwater pollutants, and from construction activities that disturb more than 5 acres. Phase 2 implementation, effective March 10, 2003,
extended NPDES urban runoff discharge permitting to cities of 50,000 to 100,000 people, and to construction sites that disturb between 1 and 5 acres. The EPA has delegated management of California's NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit program to the State and Regional Boards.

Control of stormwater runoff is a major current focus of the Central Valley RWQCB. Urbanized counties and cities that implement a comprehensive control program for urban runoff management, meeting Regional Board standards, can apply to the RWQCB for a joint city-county NPDES permit. Upon acceptance, the authority to regulate storm runoff discharges from municipal storm drain systems is transferred to the permit holders, allowing them to more effectively integrate the storm-water control program with other nonpoint source control programs. The City of Oakley is covered under the Region 5 city-county MS4 permit issued June 16, 2000 to Contra Costa County (Christine Palisoc, RWQCB, personal communication).

The NPDES permit program for Contra Costa County is implemented by the Contra Costa Clean Water Program, an agency representing the County, its incorporated cities and the CCCFCD. Important new initiatives to meet the conditions of the NPDES permits have recently been summarized in the Stormwater C. 3 Guidebook (CCCWP, 2005). This guidebook identifies appropriate BMPs and sets forth sizing criteria to be used for BMP implementation in the County.

### 3.3 NPDES General Permit for Construction Activities

Construction of the Emerson project will disturb more than one acre of land and will be required to submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) to the State Board and apply for coverage under the NPDES Construction General Permit. Administration of these permits has not been delegated to cities, counties, or Regional Boards but remains with the State Board. Enforcement of permit conditions, however, is the responsibility of Regional Board staff, assisted by local municipal or county staff. Once grading begins, the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) must be kept on-site and updated as needed while construction progresses. The SWPPP details the site-specific BMPs to control erosion and sedimentation and maintain water quality during the construction phase. The SWPPP also contains a summary of the structural and non-structural BMPs to be implemented during the post-construction period, pursuant to the nonpoint source practices and procedures encouraged by the Contra Costa Clean Water Program and the Public

Works and Engineering Division of the Community Development Department of the City of Oakley.

### 3.4 General Plan Elements Related to Hydrology and Water Quality

A number of policies relating to hydrology and water quality have been included in the recently completed Oakley 2020 General Plan. It is important that the project comply with these elements for consistency with other areas of the City and to assure that the General Plan goals are achieved. The most important elements of the General Plan relating to drainage are identified in Appendix A.

## 4. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

### 4.1 Stormwater Management Constraints

The background information presented in Section 2 alluded to several of the most significant constraints with regard to stormwater management at the site. These include several important considerations, including:

- Need to collect and pump stormwater runoff. As described in Section 2.5, the entire project site is surrounded by levees that protect against flooding associated with large storms in the Central Valley and Sierra Nevada Mountains that occasionally result in high flood elevations in the Delta. The Flood Insurance Study for the City of Oakley (FEMA, 2002) identifies the base flood elevation as 7.0 feet in the adjacent Delta waters. The base flood elevation is such that local drainage systems will not be able to drain by gravity to the sloughs unless the surrounding ground elevations are well above 7.0 feet. This elevation is markedly higher than the existing ground elevations on roughly 100 acres of the site. Therefore, a gravity storm drain system cannot reliably convey stormwater to the Delta in all circumstances, and it will be necessary to pump stormwater runoff from the site over the levee system to Emerson Slough.
- Seasonally high ground water levels and potential seepage to or away from the Contra Costa Canal. The issue of seasonal ground-water levels was discussed briefly in Section 2.6. The potential for flow to or away from the Contra Costa Canal will need to be explicitly addressed in all site planning, and especially with respect to ground-water management. The seasonally high ground-water table will limit the use of infiltrationbased BMPs unless they are underdrained, with a positive release to the storm drain system. Equally important, any stormwater storage (such as the proposed lake) will need to be physically separated from local shallow groundwater by liners or other appropriate means.
- Need to capture south side drainage. As mentioned in Section 2.4, the area immediately to the south of the Emerson Project has historically drained towards the shallow depression in its northeast comer. From there it has drained under Cypress Road and along Sellers Avenue to discharge finally into Emerson Slough. Because the Emerson Project will include regrading parts of both Cypress Road and Sellers Avenue, the south side drainage will need to be captured at its northeast corner in a new set of storm drain lines. Due to the low grade along Sellers Avenue, it is preferable to not install gravity drains the entire distance to Emerson Slough, but instead to take this drainage first to the Emerson lake where it will be pumped to Emerson Slough along with all of the project site runoff.


### 4.2 Stormwater Management Opportunities

The significant constraints outlined above are compensated by a number of distinct opportunities presented by the physical characteristics of the site. These opportunities should be exploited to the greatest extent possible in the selection and design of BMPs and include the following:

- Relatioely low rainfall totals and intensities. The mean annual precipitation (MAP) at the site is roughly 11.5 inches as discussed previously. This is among the lowest MAP values found in Contra Costa County. This reflects the project's location at low elevations inland from ranges to the west. The volume of rainfall in individual storm events, as well as the peak rainfall intensities, will be substantially lower than other locations in the County. The net result is that less volume and lower flow rates will need to be accommodated to meet annual runoff treatment goals.
- Gentle topography. The gentle topography that characterizes the site is conducive to the use a number of BMPs. This is particularly true of micro-ponding/bioretention type structural BMPs that are discussed in detail in the Stormwater C.3. Guidebook


### 4.3 Preliminary Project Storm Drainage Plan

### 4.3.1 Drainage plan watershed boundaries

The hydrologic parameters associated with each sub-watershed for both pre-project and postproject conditions are summarized in Table 6. It is important to note that the project is part of a larger drainage area that is part of the City of Oakley's master drainage planning efforts. This larger area includes the approximately 184 acre watershed adjacent to Emerson Property, which extends out to the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad tracks approximately one mile south of Cypress Road. While this drainage is included in the storm water plans for the Emerson site, it is assumed that when new development occurs at this large adjacent watershed the future landowners and developers will include a detention basin or its equivalent, so as not to overwhelm the Emerson system with new runoff.

### 4.3.2 Gravity-flow storm drain

The primary conveyance component of the system will consist of a conventional gravity-flow storm drain network that will collect stormwater runoff and convey it in underground pipes to the lake. Storm drain trunk lines will ultimately be connected to the northeast corner of the adjacent south of Cypress Road drainage via a new 48 inch storm drain.

### 4.3.3 Multi-purpose lake

The focal point of the stormwater management strategy is the central lake feature on the Emerson property. The physical characteristics of the proposed lake are summarized in Table 7 and a typical lake cross-section is illustrated in Figure 6. The lake is a multi-purpose feature that will provide water-quality and peak runoff control benefits, serve as an integral part of the commons-area irrigation system and provide aesthetic benefits to the project.

Several of the most important aspects of the pond design include the following:

1. Normal water surface elevation. The normal water surface elevation in the pond will be set at least 5.0 feet below the elevation of adjacent streets. For the purposes of the analyses in this report, the normal water surface was taken to be 2.0 feet NGVD, although other elevations would work equally well as long as there is at least 5.0 feet of separation to the lowest adjacent street level. ${ }^{2}$
2. Lake lining. The lake bottom will be lined to separate the stored water from the adjacent and/or underlying water table. This is a common practice in constructed lakes throughout California where separation from the water table is desired.
3. Grading considerations. The lake depth will be a minimum of 10.0 feet below the normal water surface elevation to enhance water quality and discourage the growth of emergent aquatic plants. The interior slopes will feature a safety bench at the lake edge just below the normal water surface. Exterior slopes adjacent to the lake will generally be graded at slopes no greater than 4:1.
4. Storage volume. The lake will be able to temporarily store water above its normal water surface. In fact, assuming a maximum allowable water surface elevation of 6.0 feet, the lake can provide up to 19.7 acre-feet of active storage volume. The lowermost part of this volume would be used to store and slowly release the runoff from the small to moderate storm events that generate 80 percent of the mean annual rainfall. The volume above this water-quality elevation is reserved to accommodate the runoff from large events up to and including the CCCFCD 100-year design storms.
5. Recovery of storage volume. Per Contra Costa County guidelines, the storage volume in the pond must be recovered (in this case by pumping) in a timely manner to provide storage for subsequent storms. The guidelines stipulate that the entire volume should be recovered within 48 hours of the peak storm runoff and 70 percent of that in the first 24 hours.

[^39]6. Irrigation water storage. The lake will also serve as part of the irrigation system for commons areas in the project. This irrigation system will pump from the lake, thereby markedly improving turnover rates with associated benefits to maintaining a high level of water quality in the lake itself. This also makes it possible to use runoff water that is stored in the lake as additional irrigation supply under appropriate conditions.

### 4.3.4 Stormwater pump system and force main

The lake will be drained by a stormwater pump station equipped with reserve pumps and emergency power generation equipment, such that the pumps can operate through extreme events. The pumps will send the outflow from the lake to the ultimate outfall at the end of Emerson Slough through a storm drain force main. Important aspects of the pump system include:

1. Water-quality pump operation. The pump or pumps used to drain the water-quality volume will initially be programmed to discharge the treatment volume over a period of 48 hours. A preliminary estimate is that the required pumping rate will be roughly $1.4 \mathrm{cfs}(540 \mathrm{gpm})$, commencing when the water level rises above the normal pond surface elevation of 2.0 feet and continuing until the normal pond water surface elevation is restored.
2. Stormwater pump operation. The pump system will feature much larger stormwater pumps to handle runoff from storms that push the water surface elevation above the normal water surface elevation. The total capacity of this pump (or pumps) will be 34 cfs $(15,300 \mathrm{gpm})$, such that the combined discharge from the water-quality and stormwater pumps does not exceed $35 \mathrm{cfs}(15,700 \mathrm{gpm})$ at the outfall. The stormwater pumps will be programmed to start at the water-quality elevation of 2.9 feet and will operate until that elevation is restored.

### 4.3.5 Storm drain outfall at Emerson Slough

The outfall location for the water pumped from the Emerson Property lake is located at the south end of Emerson Slough, just north of the Contra Costa Canal at the end of Sellers Avenue. The proposed outfall structure was discussed in detail in the Stormwater Management Plan for the Cypress Grove Project (Brulotte and others, 2004) and in the report, Anticipated Water Quality and Hydrologic Impacts of the Cypress Grove Project (Mallory and Ballman, 2003) that formed part of the essential fish habitat assessment work that was completed as part of the outfall permitting process.

The outfall structure was designed, analyzed for impacts and permitted as part of the previous Cypress Grove project. To minimize impacts over time, the outfall was designed with four pipe outlets: one for Cypress Grove, one for the Emerson project, one for drainage from areas south of Cypress Road, and one for the Emerson project. Therefore, there will be no new permitting required for the ultimate outfall from Emerson Property.

## 5. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AT THE EMERSON PROPERTY SITE

In light of the opportunities and constraints that exist at the project site, developing an effective BMP framework will require implementing a number of practices specific to the site conditions. The BMP framework will be based on a hierarchical approach advocated by stormwater quality regulators (e.g. see BASMAA, 1999 and CCCWP, 2005). The hierarchical approach has the following levels:

- Level I - Site Design. One of the key elements of the SWMP for the project will be incorporating appropriate site design elements that enhance efforts to limit water quality impacts. Properly implemented features in essence "set the stage" for an effective plan by establishing a land use pattern that limits the amount of directly connected impervious areas (DCLAs) to the greatest extent practicable.
- Level II-Source Control. Another of the primary focuses of this plan is a strong and broad-based source control program. This approach capitalizes on the fact that it is generally more effective, both in impact and cost, to prevent or limit constituents of concern from being released than it is to remove them from the environment once they have been mobilized (BASMAA, 1999).
- Level III-Treatment Controls. The term "treatment controls" refers to those BMPs that are designed to reduce constituents of concern once they have been mobilized in stormwater runoff. They are generally seen as a "last line of defense" in the overall suite of BMPs that are employed. Treatment controls are generally considered necessary BMPs since even the most aggressive site design and source control programs cannot guarantee that constituents of concern will not be mobilized from the site. In sites with low infiltration soils, such as at the Emerson site, treatment controls will be practically essential to mitigate for potential waterquality and peak flow impacts from development.


### 5.1 Site Design Elements

An often-cited goal of water-quality sensitive site design is to limit the amount of directly connected impervious area (DCIA), and this is particularly challenging in higher density project sites. In concept, limiting DCIA promotes infiltration (though modestly in areas with low permeability and potentially high ground-water elevations), increases times of concentration within sub-basins and reduces runoff volumes. Additionally, lower impervious area generally leads to increased amounts of space that can be dedicated to landscaping and open space uses that limit the introduction of pollutants to the environment and can filter out pollutants that already have been mobilized.

Specific site design features that will be included to the maximum extent practicable include the following:

- Reduced street widths. The project proposes to make use of private alleyways as an entrance point for some of the residences. These roads will average 20 feet in width and are much narrower than typical residential roads.
- Designated open-space. The proposed project includes several park areas that will reduce overall impervious area.


### 5.2 Source Control Elements

The source control program will incorporate a number of strategies:

- Education and outreach. The City of Oakley has several outreach strategies designed to engage residents in the need to control nompoint source pollution. One proven tactic in this regard is the marking of storm drain inlets and collection points to indicate that runoff can directly impact receiving waters. At this site, such markings may be along the lines of "Drains to the Delta" or "Drains to Emerson Slough".
- Regular street sweeping. Regular street sweeping can have a significant impact on the control of such constituents of concern as trash and debris, particulates, and heavy metals. The City of Oakley coordinates a regular street sweeping program that will include the project area.


### 5.3 Guidelines for Treatment Control Elements

Treatment control is generally considered necessary as a final element in water-quality protection even when the use of approved site planning and source control BMPs is maximized. Pollutants typically found in urban runoff include household and lawn-care chemicals (insecticides, herbicides, fungicides and rodenticides), heavy metals (i.e., copper, lead, zinc, cadmium, mercury), oils and greases, nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus), and coliform bacteria.

### 5.3.1 Basis of design for flow-based treatment controls

The CCCWP and RWQCB generally require that BMPs be implemented so as to reduce stormwater pollution to the maximum extent practical. In order to meet this goal, minimum hydraulic sizing design criteria are specified in the Stormwater C. 3 Guidebook and these criteria represent the basis of design for the on-site treatment controls.

Flow-based treatment controls include bioretention areas, bioswales, and similar BMPs where the rate of runoff is the primary design criterion and not the total runoff volume (as would be the case with extended detention basins or wet ponds). The sizing criteria establishing design values for flow-based controls as set forth in the Stormwater C. 3 Guidebook and as also included in the new NPDES permits are for:

1. $10 \%$ of the 50 -year peak flow rate; or
2. the flow of runoff produced by a rain event equal to at least two times the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile hourly rainfall intensity for the applicable area, based on historical records of hourly rainfall depths; or
3. the flow of runoff resulting from a rain event equal to at least 0.2 inches per hour intensity.

The third standard is the one that will be applied to the sizing of the treatment control BMPs at the Emerson site.

### 5.3.2 Basis of design for volume-based treatment controls

The multi-purpose lake at the Emerson project will function as a volume-based treatment control providing additional treatment for runoff prior to leaving the site. Volume-based controls are those wherein the treatment volume is the primary design criterion. The lake will be sized to serve as an extended detention BMP for the entire contributing watershed (including the current watershed south of Cypress Road) and could therefore meet the C. 3 criteria as a stand-alone treatment control.

The sizing calculations for deriving the appropriate water-quality trealment volume were taken directly from the Stormwater C. 3 Guidebook and are summarized in Figure 7 based on a conservative estimate of the directly-connected impervious area in the contributing watershed. The required treatment volume is 4.7 acre-feet, corresponding to the lake filled to an elevation of 2.9 feet ( 0.9 feet above the assumed normal water surface of 2.0 feet). The lake would only fill to this elevation during moderately large storms or when a sustained series of storms resulted in more than 4.7 acre-feet of runoff in 48 hours.

### 5.4 Lake Management Considerations

In addition to its critical role in the stormwater management system, the proposed lake will be an important aesthetic element in the project. Regular care and maintenance will be essential to ensure that the lake will meet all of the design objectives and function as intended. The details of the required care and maintenance will be summarized in a separate Operations and Maintenance Manual (OMM) that will be compiled when the final lake design is developed. Several aspects related to lake management can be identified at a preliminary design stage and are discussed below.

### 5.4.1 Make-up water requirements

Although the lake will be lined, it will still lose volume during dry periods, primarily through evaporation during the hot summer months. During these periods, the lake will need make-up water to maintain its normal water surface elevation. Calculations of the required make-up volume on a monthly basis are included in Table 8. These calculations show that the lake will likely need make-up water from May into October during average years, with the most significant demand in the June and July, a period when the required make-up water will average approximately 3 acre-feet/month.

The most likely source of this make-up water will be ground water pumped by a well or wells located near the lake itself. This is consistent with the lake as a central element in the commons area irrigation system, which would also use the same ground-water source. However, it is important to note that the property has traditionally used surface water from the local sloughs as an irrigation source. Therefore, selective withdrawals of slough water could be used as make-up water as long as the quality of the water is consistent with overall lake maintenance goals as described below. If the surface water were withdrawn from the traditional location, there would not be any adverse impacts to the sloughs, since the peak need for make-up water would coincide with the traditional peak months of agricultural irrigation in the recent past.

As mentioned previously, using the lake as storage for the irrigation system can have a number of benefits. These include enhanced turnover rates for the lake water, which will reduce filtration and nutrient control needs. In fact, this system would take advantage of nutrients in the lake water that would act as low-level fertilizers when applied to landscape areas. Additionally, Table 8 shows that the lake will receive more water from runoff and direct rainfall in seven of twelve months than it loses in evaporation. During these months, the

## 6. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND HYDROMODIFICATION IMPACTS

An important aspect of the Emerson Property SWMP is the consideration of potential hydromodification impacts in framing the stormwater management strategy. Hydromodification is the term used to describe the suite of changes (with respect to pre-project conditions) in key hydrologic parameters that can result from all types of development. Examples include increases in peak storm discharges; shifts in the magnitude, duration and frequency of channel forming flows; increases or decreases in annual ground water recharge; and increases in annual runoff volume. The project has been designed to minimize and avoid potential off-site impacts from hydromodification, primarily by using the large volume of the lake to reduce peak discharge rates to Emerson Slough.

Urban development can alter the hydrology of watersheds in a number of significant ways depending on both the nature of the watershed and the development. Perhaps the most significant of these impacts results from increasing the area of low or zero infiltration capacity in the watershed, and by increasing the speed with which runoff is delivered to channels. Such land surface changes typically result in increased storm runoff volumes and peak flows (Rantz 1971). Urbanization can also cause temporary increases in sediment yields to channels (Wolman 1967), and, in some instances, a reduction in the length of stream channels available to convey the increased runoff and sediment loads (Dunne and Leopold 1978).

The changes associated with development within a watershed are particularly noticeable in less urbanized upper watershed areas where stormwater runoff is conveyed through natural channel systems. However, the situation at the Emerson Property is markedly different since the primary point of discharge for runoff from the site is the Emerson Slough channel. As mentioned previously, the design and permitting of the outfall at that location has already explicitly considered potential changes in velocity and duration of flow. Overall susceptibility to hydromodification impacts at the outfall were found to be low given the wide channel, low slough channel gradients, and the existing vegetative cover.

## 7. CONTROL OF PEAK STORMWATER DISCHARGE RATES

### 7.1 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling

The modeling work focused on predicting the operation of the multi-purpose lake when subjected to Contra Costa County Flood Control District (CCCFCD) 100-year and 10-year design storms of various durations. Per standard practice in the County, the preliminary lake and pump station designs are based on the runoff hydrographs for the proposed project conditions using CCCFCD's Hydro-6 software. A full range of storm durations was modeled, since it was not clear which would be the most conservative with regard to sizing the infrastructure needed to regulate water surface elevations in the lake. Storm events with durations of $6-12-, 24$ and 96 -hours were evaluated. The depth-storage relationship for the pond was assumed from the lake surface area and surrounding side slopes of 4:1. The depthstorage relation for the pond is important since it sets how much runoff can be stored within the given water surface elevation targets. The depth-storage relationship for the preliminary lake configuration is shown in Figure 8.

The input data were used to construct a hydrologic model of the pond using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' HEC-HMS software platform. This is a standard hydrologic roubing program that includes the hydrographs produced by CCCFCD and allows for various pump station configurations to be tested.

### 7.2 Modeling Assumptions

As with all hydrologic modeling, a number of assumptions were needed to approximate the actual physical conditions that would prevail at the site. These include the following:

1. Beginning water surface elevation. It was assumed that the beginning water surface elevation in the lake will be 2.0 feet NGVD.
2. Water-quality volume and corresponding elevation. The required water-quality treatment volume was assumed to be 4.7 acre-feet per the calculations presented in Section 5.2. If the normal water surface elevation is set at 2.0 feet NGVD, the waterquality volume is achieved when the pond level rises to 2.9 feet NGVD.
3. Water-quality pump operation. As discussed previously, the water-quality volume will need to be drawn down over 48 hours using an auxiliary pump or a variable speed drive on the large stormwater pump system. The routing calculations include pumping of the water-quality volume during the design storm at the rate of $1.2 \mathrm{cfs}(540 \mathrm{gpm})$, commencing when the pond rises above the normal lake water surface elevation of 2.0 feet and conbinuing until the normal water surface elevation is achieved again.
4. Stormwater pump operation. It was assumed that stormwater will be removed from the lake with a pump station that ultimately discharges to Emerson Slough outfall. The pump system to control the lake water level during storm events was assumed to activate just above the water-quality elevation of 2.9 feet NGVD.
5. Maximum water surface elevation. The maximum allowable water surface was assumed to be 5.9 feet NGVD for the 100 -year storms and 3.7 feet for the 10 -year storms.
6. Output hydrograph. Unlike stormwater detention features that are designed to attenuate flow peaks and most often have some type of gravity outfall (e.g. weir, orifice, etc.); the outflow from the pond will be entirely controlled by the stormwater pump system. It was assumed that the pump system would discharge at a constant rate once it activates. Therefore, the output hydrograph will be a constant line at the pump capacity. This assumption will be conservative as long as the pump system is sized to provide the design discharge subject to the maximum lift (e.g. the maximum differential between pond level and the water surface in Emerson Slough).

### 7.3 Results of the Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling

The modeling for the 100-year storms is presented in Appendix B, while that for the 10 -year storms is included as Appendix C. Table 9 summarizes the predicted discharge values at selected points of concentration, both for pre-project and for post-project conditions. Table 10 presents the maximum predicted lake water surface elevations for the various design storms given the recommended pumping capacity of 35 cfs .

Other important observations from the modeling include:

1. Controlling criterion. The design criterion that is ultimately the most restrictive is the need to hold the maximum pumping rate to 35 cfs or less. In all cases, the lake drawdown criteria will be met if the pump is sized at this capacity.
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## TABLES

## Table 1. Mean monthly rainfall and evaporation (1956-2003) at the Antioch Pumping Plant, Contra Costa County

| Month | Precipitation <br> (inches) | Pan Evaporation <br> (inchos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | 0.67 | 4.91 |
| November | 1.68 | 2.07 |
| December | 1.93 | 1.21 |
| January | 2.76 | 1.17 |
| February | 2.37 | 1.99 |
| March | 1.94 | 4.25 |
| April | 0.87 | 6.27 |
| May | 0.38 | 8.96 |
| June | 0.10 | 10.84 |
| July | 0.02 | 11.60 |
| August | 0.05 | 10.06 |
| September | 0.22 | 7.77 |
| Mean | 12.91 | 70.99 |
|  | Water Year Basis |  |

Notes:
This gage is located at latitude $\mathrm{N} 37^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ and longitude $\mathrm{W} 121^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$, approximatley 3.1 miles west of Emerson Property. These values are presented to illustrate the relative monthly distribution of total rainfall and evaporation. Rainfall at Emerson Property is expected to be somewhat less, averaging approximately 11.5 inches on an annual basis.

Values for precipitation are from the record for the period from March 1955 to December 2003 with several months missing data.

Values for pan evaporation are for the period from March 1955 to July 1978 with several months missing data.

# Table 3. Tidal datum information for NOAA gages in the vicinity of Emerson Property, City of Oakley 

all values in feet, NGVD

| Tidal Datum | Dutch Slough <br> at Jersey Island | San Joaquin River <br> at Antioch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean higher high water (MHHW) | 3.22 | 3.52 |
| Mean high water (MHW) | 2.77 | 3.06 |
| Mean tide leve! (MTL) | 1.54 | 1.64 |
| Mean low water (MLW) | 0.30 | 0.23 |
| Mean lower low water (MLLW) | -0.22 | -0.35 |

Notes:
Data from NOAA PORTS tide information web page tidal benchmark sheets, updatedfor the most recent tidal epoch (1983-2001). Dutch Slough at Jersey Island station id9415053, San Joaquin River at Antioch stalion id 9415064.

## Table 4. Beneficial uses of receiving waters in the vicinity of the Emerson Property, City of Oakley, California



## Notes:

$E$ indicates an identified existing beneficial use and $P$ indicates an identified potential beneficial use.
Information taken from the Central Valley Region, Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins (Region 5) Water Quality Control Plan (RWQCB, 1998).

| Typical Urban <br> Runoff |
| :---: |
| (Median Urban Site) |
| $0.68\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2+3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ |
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Table 5. Existing water quality during the wet season in the San Joaquin River at Antioch,
basin objectives and typical urban runoff


-
1


Notes: ${ }^{2}$ Water Quality Control Plan, Central Valley Region, Sacramento River and San Joaquin Basins, 1888.
${ }^{2}$ Urban Runoff Quallty Management, Water Environment Federallon, ASCE, 1988.

| Table 6. |
| :--- |
| Existing Conditions |
| Emerson Ranch project site |
| Dost-project Conditions |
| Emerson Ranch project site of Cypress Road |
| Drainage South of Cypress Road |

Table 6. Existing and post-project hydrologic properites of sub-watersheds at

| Channel <br> length from <br> centroid |
| :---: |
| $(m i)$ |
| 0.51 |
| 0.48 |
|  |
| 0.13 |

0.4

142
No Change
enjen
$\mathrm{Nop} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{H}}$

空|

11.5
11.5
11.5

Table 7. Preliminary hydrologic properties of proposed lake at the Emerson Property, City of Oakley, California

| Water Surface Elevation (feet) | Surface Area |  | Storage Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left(f^{2}\right)$ | (acre) | $\left(\pi^{3}\right)$ | (ac-ft) |
| -8 | 129717 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| -7 | 136792 | 3.1 | 133255 | 3.1 |
| -6 | 143867 | 3.3 | 273584 | 6.3 |
| -5 | 150942 | 3.5 | 420988 | 9.7 |
| -4 | 158016 | 3.6 | 575467 | 13.2 |
| -3 | 165091 | 3.8 | 737021 | 16.9 |
| -2 | 172166 | 4.0 | 905649 | 20.8 |
| -1 | 179240 | 4.1 | 1081352 | 24.8 |
| 0 | 186315 | 4.3 | 1264130 | 29.0 |
| 1 | 193390 | 4.4 | 1453982 | 33.4 |
| 2 | 200515 | 4.6 | 1650934 | 37.9 |
| 3 | 207489 | 4.8 | 1854936 | 42.6 |
| 4 | 214564 | 4.9 | 2065963 | 47.4 |
| 5 | 221739 | 5.1 | 2284114 | 52.4 |

Table 8. Predicted make-up water requirements for the proposed lake at the Emerson Property

| Make-up Requirement <br> (inches) | -0.65 <br> (acre-feet) |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1.7 | -6.46 |
| -16.8 | -9.65 |
| -25.2 | -14.96 |
| -39.0 | -12.93 |
| -33.7 | -9.71 |
| -25.3 | -1.96 |
| -5.1 | 1.34 |
| 3.5 | 2.91 |
| 7.6 | 3.11 |
| 8.1 | 2.70 |
| 7.0 | 1.77 |
| 4.6 |  |

Summary of predicted peak discharge values at selected points of concentration， Emerson Property，City of Oakley，California

| Existing Conditions Flow（cfs） |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| aerson | South side of <br> Cypress Road | Flow to Dutch <br> Slough |
| 38 | 54 | 92 |
| 18 | 31 | 49 |
| 20 | 29 | 49 |
| 15 | 22 | 37 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 77 | 105 | 182 |
| 69 | 95 | 164 |
| 65 | 86 | 151 |
| 51 | 70 | 121 |
| 22 | 31 | 53 | Table 9.

## wJols ubiseg

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 10-year Storms } \\
\text { 10-year, 3-hour } \\
\text { 10-year, 6-hour } \\
\text { 10-year, 12-hour } \\
\text { 10-year, 24-hour } \\
\text { 10-year, } 96 \text {-hour } \\
\text { 100-year Storms } \\
\text { 100-year, 3-hour } \\
\text { 100-year, 6-hour } \\
\text { 100-year, } 12 \text {-hour } \\
\text { 100-year, } 24 \text {-hour } \\
\text { 100-year, } 96 \text {-hour }
\end{gathered}
$$

# Table 10. Maximum predicted lake water surface elevations for various design storms, Emerson Property, City of Oakley 

Design Storm
Maximum Water
Surface ..... (feet)
10-year Storms
3-hour ..... 3.58
6-hour ..... 3.67
12-hour ..... 3.69
24-hour ..... 3.50
96-hour ..... 2.92
100-year Storms
3-hour ..... 5.45
6-hour ..... 5.55
12-hour ..... 5.89
24-hour ..... 5.53
96-hour ..... 3.67
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Figure 1. Location map, Emerson Property
City of Oakley, Contra Costa County, California







## APPENDIX A

City of Oakley General Plan Elements Related to Hydrology and Water Quality

The following elements that pertain to hydrology and water quality issues in the Specific Plan area are taken from the Oakley 2002 General Plan.

There are a number of items in the Growth Management Element that apply. Selected portions of the Water Services section are pertinent, as follows:

## Goal 4.8 Assure the provision of potable water availability in quantities sufficient to serve existing and future residents.

## Policies

4.8.14 All proposals for development, including requests for building permits, within 1,000feet of the Contra Costa Canal property line shall be referred to Contra Costa Water District for comment to ascertain the District's standards for the proposed development project.

## Programs

4.8.C Cooperate with other regulatory agencies to control point and non-point water pollution sources to protect adopted beneficial uses of water.

The entire Drainage section potentially applies, with the items highlighted below having particular relevance to the East Cypress area.

Goal 4.10 Protect persons and property from the damaging impacts of flooding.

## Policies

4.10.1 Work cooperatively with Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (CFCWCD) to ensure and enhance flood protection in the City of Oakley.
4.10.2 Pursue and achieve compliance with all regional, State, and Federal regulations related to flood control, drainage, and water quality.
4.10.3 Recognize the unique flooding constraints of the areas north and east of the Contra Costa Canal.
4.10.4 Pursue responsible and adequate financing for implementation of the Drainage Plan.
4.10.5 Improve and expand the functionality of Marsh Creek as a major drainage corridor.
4.10.6 Develop new drainage facilities and/or improvements to existing facilities to provide additional recreational or environmental benefit, where possible.
4.10.7 Land use planning and zoning should be the primary means for flood management in preference to structural improvements, where possible.
4.10.8 Detention basins should be designed for multiple uses such as parks and playing fields when not used for holding water, where possible.
4.10.9 Detention basin design shall ensure that water entering the basin outflows completely within a specified time, thus minimizing standing water or long-term saturation within the basin.
4.10.10 In conjunction with Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (CFCWCD), develop and approve a Drainage Master Plan in the Cypress Corridor Area and the Cypress Corridor Expansion Area.
4.10.11 Develop open bypass channels, detention basins, and all drainage facility rights of way as an asset to the development of adjacent neighborhoods, e.g. as a secondary recreation use.
4.10.12 In conjunction with CFCWCD, develop flood control plans and identify discharge points for unincorporated areas annexed by the City of Oakley. Ensure that flood control implementation and maintenance are performed.

## Programs

4.10.A Implement and update, as necessary, the Contra Costa County Drainage Plan for formed drainage areas within the City of Oakley.
4.10.B Actively participate in the Joint Municipal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program with the City of Antioch, City of Brentwood and East Contra Costa County.
4.10.C Pursue improvement of existing levees within the City and, as appropriate, compliance and certification from the United States Army Corps of Engineers.
4.10.D Develop and adopt a Specific Drainage Plan for areas north and east of the BNSF Railroad, which includes the Cypress Corridor Area and the Cypress Corridor Expansion Area.
4.10.E Adopt and update, as necessary, development fees for drainage improvements for all new development in the City.
4.10.F Pursue funding from public agencies and other grant sources to plan, design, and implement flood control improvements.
4.10.G Require, upon development, the dedication of property or drainage easement adjacent to Marsh Creek to be used to increase width and capacity of the stream corridor.
4.10.H Coordinate a study of Marsh Creek to determine appropriate strategies for improving, expanding and managing the stream corridor to enhance aesthetic, biological and recreational qualities, as well as providing drainage and flood control
4.10.I For areas of proposed development that are not within an existing formed drainage area, require that Drainage Master Plans be prepared that include: detailed hydrologic modeling that considers land use, existing facilities, soil, and topographic data; descriptions of proposed flood control facilities; compliance with waste discharge requirements; cost estimates and construction schedule; and identification of which agency is responsible for facility design and construction, Clean Water Program compliance, and facility maintenance.

Several of the items in the Health and Safety Element are directly applicable, with the Flood Hazard section being most pertinent.

## Goal 8.2 Protect public safety and minimize the risk of life and property from flooding.

## Policies

8.2.1 Applications or development at urban or suburban densities in 100-year floodplain areas where there is a serious risk to life and property shall demonstrate appropriate solutions or be denied.
8.2.2 In mainland areas along the creeks and bays affected by water backing up into the watercourse, it shall be demonstrated prior to development that adequate protection exists through levee protection or change of elevation.

## Flooding

8.2.3 Buildings in urban development near the shoreline of the Delta and in flood-prone areas shall be protected from flood dangers, including consideration of rising sea levels.
8.2.4 Habitable areas of structures near the shoreline of the Delta and in flood-prone areas shall be sited above the highest water level expected during the life of the project, or shall be protected for the expected life of the project by levees of an adequate design.
8.2.5 Rights-of-way for levees protecting inland areas from tidal flooding shall be sufficiently wide on the upland side to allow for future levee widening to support additional levee height.
8.2.6 Review flooding policies in the General Plan every five years in order to incorporate any new scientific findings regarding the potential for flooding and projected increases in sea levels.
8.2.7 Review flooding policies as they relate to properties designated by FEMA as within the 100-year floodplains.
8.2.8 Development proposals near the shoreline of the Delta and within flood-prone areas shall be reviewed by the Flood Control District, as an advisory agency, prior to approval by the City.

## Subsidence

8.2.9 Development of lands subject to subsidence shall take into account and fully mitigate the potential impacts of flooding based on the best currently available techniques.
8.2.10 Any development approvals for areas subject to subsidence shall include conditions that account for the need to support Delta reclamation and irrigation districts, and to strengthen weak and low levees prior to development.
8.2.11 The pumping of substantial quantities of water, oil, and gas in an area protected by levees is inconsistent with new major development approvals.

## Levee, Dam Failure, or Tsunami

8.2.12 In order to protect lives and property, intensive urban and suburban development shall not be permitted in reclaimed areas subject to 100-year flooding, unless flood protection in such areas is constructed. Typically, levees shall meet the standards of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, although 'Dry levees' that supplement existing levees may be allowed at the discretion of the City.

## Programs

8.2.A Encourage the County Flood Control District to proceed with drainage improvements in areas subject to flooding from inadequate County flood control facilities.
8.2.B Draft and adopt a city drainage master plan to address localized areas affected by creeks, in accordance with the guidelines contained in the Health and Safety Element and the Open Space and Conservation Element of this General Plan.
8.2.C Establish a uniform set of flood damage prevention standards in cooperation with appropriate County, State, and federal agencies.
8.2.D Through the environmental review process, ensure that potential flooding impacts, due to new development, including on-site and downstream flood damage, subsidence, dam or levee failure, and potential inundation from tsunamis and seiches, are adequately addressed. Impose appropriate mitigation measures (e.g. flood proofing, levee protection, Delta reclamations, etc.).
8.2.E Participate in Delta levee rehabilitation plans in cooperation with County, State, federal agencies, and the private sector.
8.2.F Prohibit new structures that would restrict maintenance or future efforts to increase the height of the levees from being constructed on top of or immediately adjacent to the levees.
8.2.G All analysis of levee safety shall include consideration of the worse case situations of high tides coupled with storm-driven waves.

## APPENDIX B

100-year Hydrologic/hydraulic model output for post-project conditions, Emerson Property, City of Oakley, California

Table B1. Summary of results for the 100-year, 3-hour storm

```
    HMS * Summary of Regults for Regervoir-1
    Project : 204128 Run Name : Run 13
```



## Computed Resulta



Table B2. Table of results for the 100-year, 3-hour storm
HMS * Summary of Results for Reservoir-1

Project : 204128 Run Name : Run 13


| Date | Time | Reservoly <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservoly <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (c£ } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (cfes) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 Dec 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.460 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.424 | 7.465 | 2.550 | 1.571 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.442 | 7.469 | 2.550 | 1.850 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.454 | 7.472 | 2.550 | 2.050 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.463 | 7.474 | 2.550 | 2.193 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.823 | 7.553 | 42.440 | 7.984 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0130 | 31.402 | 7.680 | 39.000 | 17.323 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0145 | 31.902 | 7.789 | 52.000 | 25.362 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0200 | 32.704 | 7.961 | 86.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0215 | 34.057 | 8.236 | 115.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0230 | 36.846 | 8.805 | 225.000 | 35.000 |
| 1 Jan 05 | 0245 | 40.472 | 9.534 | 196.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0300 | 43.044 | 10.048 | 123.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0315 | 44.367 | 10.307 | 75.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0330 | 44.945 | 10.421 | 51.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0345 | 45.111 | 10.453 | 35.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0400 | 44.997 | 10.431 | 24.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0415 | 44.719 | 10.376 | 19.110 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0430 | 44.34 B | 10.304 | 15.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0445 | 43.915 | 10.219 | 13.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0500 | 43.439 | 10.125 | 11.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0515 | 42.933 | 10.026 | 10.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0530 | 42.406 | 9.921 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0545 | 41.869 | 9.814 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0600 | 41.322 | 9.704 | 8.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0615 | 40.764 | 9.593 | 8.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0630 | 40.196 | 9.479 | 7.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0645 | 39.607 | 9.361 | 6.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0700 | 38.997 | 9.239 | 5.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0715 | 38.367 | 9.113 | 4.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0730 | 37.716 | 8.983 | 3.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0745 | 37.055 | B. 848 | 3.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0800 | 36.384 | 8.711 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0815 | 35.702 | 8.572 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| ${ }^{1} 1 \mathrm{Jan} 05$ | 0830 | 35.020 | B. 433 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0845 | 34.332 | B. 292 | 1.440 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0900 | 33.639 | 8.151 | 1.440 | 35.000 |

```
Table B3. Summary of results for the 100-year, 6-hour storm
    HMS * Summary of Reaulta for Reservoir-1
    Profect : 20412日 Run Name : Run 15
Start of Run : 0lJan05 0000 Basin Model : Post Emerson
End of Run : OlJan05 1200 Met. Model : Met 1
Execution Time : 03Jun05 1309 Contral Specs : Rmeraon 6
```


## Computed Results



Table B4. Table of results for the 100-year, 6 -hour storm
higS * Summary of Reaulta for Reaervoir-1

Project : 20412 B Run Name : Rul 15


| Date | Time | Regervair <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reaerroir Elevation (£t) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (сfв) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (cfe) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 Dec 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.460 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.424 | 7.465 | 2.550 | 1.571 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.442 | 7.469 | 2.550 | 1.850 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.454 | 7.472 | 2.550 | 2.050 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.612 | 7.507 | 19.440 | 4.602 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.911 | 7.572 | 23.440 | 9.405 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0130 | 31.133 | 7.621 | 20.440 | 12.981 |
| 01 Jas | 0145 | 31.274 | 7.651 | 21.440 | 15.252 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0200 | 31.530 | 7.708 | 38.000 | 19.379 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0215 | 31.913 | 7.791 | 44.000 | 25.547 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0230 | 32.204 | 7.855 | 40.000 | 30.241 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0245 | 32.333 | 7.883 | 35.000 | 32.312 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0300 | 32.336 | 7.884 | 30.000 | 32.365 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0315 | 32.277 | 7.871 | 28.000 | 31.405 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0330 | 32.385 | 7.895 | 47.000 | 33.144 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0345 | 33.044 | B. 029 | 85.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0400 | 35.245 | 8.479 | 198.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0415 | 38.520 | 9.144 | 189.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0430 | 41.247 | 9.689 | 145.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0445 | 43.106 | 10.060 | 105.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0500 | 44.294 | 10.293 | 80.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0515 | 45.028 | 10.437 | 61.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0530 | 45.431 | 10.516 | 48.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0545 | 45.617 | 10.552 | 40.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0600 | 45.575 | 10.544 | 26.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0615 | 45.327 | 10.495 | 20.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0630 | 44.975 | 10.427 | 16.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0645 | 44.553 | 10.344 | 13.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0700 | 44.079 | 10.251 | 11.110 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0715 | 43.575 | 10.152 | 10.110 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0730 | 43.049 | 10.049 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0745 | 42.501 | 9.940 | 8.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0800 | 41.933 | 9.827 | 7.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0815 | 41.355 | 9.711 | 7.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0830 | 40.766 | 9.593 | 6.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0845 | 40.156 | 9.471 | 5.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0900 | 39.526 | 9.345 | 4.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0915 | 38.875 | 9.215 | 3.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0930 | 38.214 | 9.083 | 3.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0945 | 37.543 | 8.948 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1000 | 36.861 | 8.808 | 2.000 | 35.000 |


| Date | Time | Reservolx <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservoir <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{array}$ | Outflow (c£a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1015 | 36.179 | B. 669 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1030 | 35.497 | B. 530 | 2.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1045 | 34.810 | B. 390 | 1.440 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1100 | 34.116 | 8.248 | 1.440 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1115 | 33.423 | 8.107 | 1.440 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1130 | 32.730 | 7.966 | 1.440 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1145 | 32.102 | 7.833 | 1.440 | 28.596 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1200 | 31.621 | 7.728 | 1.440 | 20.849 |

## APPENDIX C

10-year Hydrologic/hydraulic model output for post-project conditions, Emerson Property, City of Oakley, California

Table C1. Summary of results for the 10 -year, 3 -hour storm

HNS : Sumary of Reaulta for Reservoir-1
Project : 204128 Run Name : Run 38

| Start of Run | : OIJan05 0000 | Basin Model : Past Emeraon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of Run | : 01Jan05 0900 | Met. Model : Met 1 |
| Bxecution Time | I6Jun05 1727 | Contral Space : Emerson 3 |

## Computed Results



Table C2. Table of results for the 10-year, 3-hour storm HMS * Summary of Resulte for Reservoir-1
Project : 20412B Run Name : Run 38


|  | Date | Time | Reservair <br> Storage $(\mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{Et})$ | Reservoir <br> Elevation <br> (Et) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Dac 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.4600 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.428 | 7.4664 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.457 | 7.4727 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.485 | 7.4791 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.513 | 7.4855 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.542 | 7.4918 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0130 | 30.610 | 7.5073 | 6.440 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0145 | 30.874 | 7.5666 | 21.440 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0200 | 31.478 | 7.7026 | 39.440 | 1.180 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0215 | 32.648 | 7.9496 | 110.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0230 | 33.878 | 0.1995 | 79.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0245 | 34.807 | 8. 3893 | 81.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0300 | 35.510 | 8.5326 | 57.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0315 | 35.747 | B.5811 | 36.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0330 | 35.644 | 8.5600 | 24.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0345 | 35.324 | 0.4947 | 15.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0400 | 34.881 | 8.4042 | 12.110 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0415 | 34.376 | 8.3012 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0430 | 33.828 | 8.1894 | 8.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0445 | 33.260 | 8.0735 | 7.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0500 | 32.671 | 7.9542 | 6.000 | 35.000 |
|  | Jan 05 | 0515 | 32.402 | 7.9102 | 5.000 | 2.012 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0530 | 32.416 | 7.9116 | 5.000 | 6.658 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0545 | 32.409 | 7.9109 | 5.000 | 4.080 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0600 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 4.000 | 4.733 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0615 | 32.407 | 7.9107 | 4.000 | 3.593 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0630 | 32.409 | 7.9109 | 4.000 | 4.226 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0645 | 32.408 | 7.9108 | 4.000 | 3.875 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0700 | 32.406 | 7.9106 | 3.000 | 3.292 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0715 | 32.405 | 7.9105 | 3.000 | 2.83B |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0730 | 32.403 | 7.9103 | 2.000 | 2.312 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0745 | 32.402 | 7.9102 | 2.000 | 1.827 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0800 | 32.403 | 7.9103 | 2.000 | 2.096 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0815 | 32.402 | 7.9102 | 2.000 | 1.947 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0830 | 32.403 | 7.9103 | 2.000 | 2.030 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0845 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.548 |
| 01 | Jan 05 | 0900 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.380 |

$$
\text { Table C3. Summary of results for the } 10 \text {-year, } 6 \text {-hour storm }
$$



## Computed Results



Table C4. Table of results for the 10 -year, 6 -hour storm
HMS * Summary of Resulta for Regervoix-l

Project : 204128 Run Name : Run 39



| Date | Time | Reservoir <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservair <br> slevation <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (c£b) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OutElow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Jan 05 | 1015 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.573 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1030 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.366 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1045 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.481 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1100 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.477 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1115 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.453 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1130 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.433 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1145 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.444 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1200 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.43 B |

Table C5. Summary of results for the 10-year, 12 -hour storm
HMS * Summary of Results for Reservoir-1

Project : 204128 Run Name : Run 40

| Start of Run : 01Jan05 0000 | Basin Model : Post Enerson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rnd of Run : Met 1 |  |
| Bxecution Time $=16 J U n 051734$ | Control Specs : Emerson 12 |

## Computed Results



Table C6. Table of results for the 10 -year, 12 -hour storm
HMS * Summary of Results for Reservoir-l
Project : $204128 \quad$ Run Name $=$ Run 40


| Date | Time | Reservoir <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservait Blevation (Et) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 Dac 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.4600 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.428 | 7.4664 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.457 | 7.4727 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.485 | 7.4791 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.513 | 7.4855 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.542 | 7.4918 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0130 | 30.570 | 7.4982 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0145 | 30.598 | 7.5046 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0200 | 30.626 | 7.5110 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0215 | 30.655 | 7.5173 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0230 | 30.683 | 7.5237 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0245 | 30.711 | 7.5301 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0300 | 30.740 | 7.5364 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0315 | 30.768 | 7.5428 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0330 | 30.796 | 7.5492 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0345 | 30.825 | 7.5555 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0400 | 30.853 | 7.5619 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0415 | 30.881 | 7.5683 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0430 | 30.910 | 7.5746 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0445 | 30.938 | 7.5810 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0500 | 30.966 | 7.5874 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0515 | 31.179 | 7.6353 | 20.440 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0530 | 31.711 | 7.7551 | 33.440 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0545 | 32.737 | 7.9674 | 102.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0600 | 34.018 | 8.2281 | 92.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0615 | 35.020 | 8.4326 | 75.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0630 | 35.691 | 8.5697 | 60.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0645 | 36.094 | 8.6519 | 49.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0700 | 36.291 | 8.6920 | 40.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0715 | 36.291 | 8.6920 | 30.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0730 | 36.125 | 8.6582 | 24.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0745 | 35.857 | 8.6034 | 20.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0800 | 35.516 | B.5338 | 17.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0815 | 35.123 | 8.4537 | 15.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0830 | 34.700 | 8.3673 | 14.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0845 | 34.255 | Q. 2766 | 13.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0900 | 33.780 | 8.1796 | 11.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0915 | 33.264 | 8.0742 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0930 | 32.727 | 7.9653 | 9.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0945 | 32.426 | 7.9126 | 7.000 | 10.066 |


| Date | Time | Reservoir <br> Storage $(a c-f t)$ | Reservoir Elevation (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ | Outflow (сf日) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! Jan 05 | 1015 | 32.418 | 7.9118 | 6.000 | 7.167 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1030 | 32.412 | 7.9112 | 6.000 | 5.352 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1045 | 32.413 | 7.9113 | 5.000 | 5.582 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1100 | 32.408 | 7.9108 | 4.000 | 3.900 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1115 | 32.409 | 7.9109 | 4.000 | 4.056 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1130 | 32.408 | 7.9108 | 4.000 | 3.969 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1145 | 32.406 | 7.9106 | 3.110 | 3.325 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1200 | 32.405 | 7.9105 | 3.110 | 2.991 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1215 | 32.405 | 7.9105 | 2.550 | 2.741 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1230 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.444 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1245 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.609 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1300 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.517 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1315 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.568 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1330 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.677 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1345 | 32.400 | 7.9100 | 1.440 | 1.309 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1400 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.513 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1415 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.400 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1430 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.462 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1445 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.428 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1500 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.447 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1515 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.436 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1530 | 32-401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.442 |
| 01 Јаa 05 | 1545 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.439 |
| 1 Jan 05 | 1600 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.441 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1615 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1630 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1645 | 32-401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1700 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1715 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1730 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1745 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1800 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |

## Table C7. Summary of results for the 10-year, 24-hour storm

 HMS * Summary of Results for Reservoir-1

## Computed Reaults



Table C8. Table of results for the 10-year, 24-hour storm
HiNS * Sumary of Results for Reservoir-1

Praject : 204128 Run Name : 41


| Date | Time | Reservair <br> Etorage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservair Blevation (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (c£a) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 Dec 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.4600 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.428 | 7.4664 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.457 | 7.4727 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.485 | 7.4791 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.513 | 7.4855 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.542 | 7.4918 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0130 | 30.570 | 7.4982 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0145 | 30.598 | 7.5046 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0200 | 30.625 | 7.5110 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0215 | 30.655 | 7.5173 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0230 | 30.683 | 7.5237 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0245 | 30.711 | 7.5301 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 1.1505 | 0300 | 30.740 | 7.5364 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0315 | 30.768 | 7.542 B | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0330 | 30.796 | 7.5492 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0345 | 30.825 | 7.5555 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0400 | 30.853 | 7.5619 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0415 | 30.881 | 7.5683 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0430 | 30.910 | 7.5746 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0445 | 30.938 | 7.5810 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0500 | 30.966 | 7.5874 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0515 | 30.994 | 7.5937 | 2.550 | 1. 180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0530 | 31.023 | 7.6001 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0545 | 31.051 | 7.6065 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0600 | 31.079 | 7.6129 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0615 | 31.108 | 7.6192 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0630 | 31.136 | 7.6256 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0645 | 31.164 | 7.6320 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0700 | 31.193 | 7.6383 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0715 | 31.221 | 7.6447 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0730 | 31.249 | 7.6511 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0745 | 31.277 | 7.6574 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0800 | 31.306 | 7.6638 | 2.550 | 1. 180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0815 | 31.334 | 7.6702 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0830 | 31.362 | 7.6765 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 11 Jan 05 | 0845 | 31.391 | 7.6829 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0900 | 31.419 | 7.6893 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0915 | 31.447 | 7.6956 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0930 | 32.032 | 7.8273 | 56.440 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0945 | 33.078 | B. 0364 | 81.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1000 | 33.905 | 日. 2051 | 69.000 | 35.000 |


| Date | Time | Reservoir <br> Storage $(a c-f t)$ | Reservoir Elevation (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inflow } \\ \text { (c£a) } \end{gathered}$ | Outflow <br> (cfe) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 05 | 1015 | 34.566 | 0.3400 | 65.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1030 | 35.052 | 8.4391 | 52.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1045 | 35.310 | 8.4918 | 43.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1100 | 35.361 | 8.5023 | 32.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1115 | 35.227 | B.4749 | 25.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1130 | 34.979 | 9.4243 | 21.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1145 | 34.669 | 8.3611 | 19.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1200 | 34.308 | 8.2873 | 16.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1215 | 33.895 | 8.2030 | 14.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1230 | 33.450 | 8.1123 | 13.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1245 | 32.996 | 8.0195 | 13.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1300 | 32.510 | 7.9220 | 10.000 | 35.000 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1315 | 32.343 | 7.8972 | 10.000 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1330 | 32.423 | 7.9123 | 8.000 | 9.056 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1345 | 32.418 | 7.9118 | 8.000 | 7.414 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1400 | 32.419 | 7.9119 | 7.000 | 7.548 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1415 | 32.416 | 7.9116 | 7.000 | 6.696 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1430 | 32.415 | 7.9115 | 6.000 | 6.391 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1445 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 5.000 | 5.005 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1500 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 5.000 | 4.997 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1515 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 5.000 | 5.002 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1530 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 5.000 | 4.999 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1545 | 32.411 | 7.9111 | 5.000 | 5.001 |
| , Jan 05 | 1600 | 32.409 | 7.9109 | 4.000 | 4.222 |
| u1 Jan 05 | 1615 | 32.407 | 7.9107 | 3.440 | 3.441 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1630 | 32.405 | 7.9105 | 2.550 | 2.747 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1645 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.440 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1700 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.611 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1715 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.516 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1730 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.569 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1745 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.540 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1800 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.556 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1815 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.547 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1830 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.552 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1845 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.549 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1900 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.551 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1915 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1930 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1945 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2000 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2015 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2030 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2045 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2100 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2115 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2130 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| ${ }^{2}$ ? Jan 05 | 2145 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| - Jan 05 | 2200 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2215 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2230 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2245 | 32.404 | $10: 2^{7.9104}$ | 2.550 | 2.550 |


| Date | Tyme | Reservoir <br> Storage $(a c-f t)$ | Reservoir <br> Elevation <br> (玉t) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { InElow } \\ & \text { (cfu) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (cfa) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 05 | 2300 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2315 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2330 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2345 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2400 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0015 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0030 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0045 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0100 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| $02 \operatorname{Jan~} 05$ | 0115 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0130 | 32.402 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.687 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0145 | 32.400 | 7.9100 | 1.440 | 1.303 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0200 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.516 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0215 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.398 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0230 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.463 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0245 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.427 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0300 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.447 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0315 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.436 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0330 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.442 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0345 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.439 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0400 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.441 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0415 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0430 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| $?$ Jan 05 | 0445 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| $\checkmark 2$ Jan 05 | 0500 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0515 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0530 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |
| 02 Jan 05 | 0545 | 32.401 | 7.9101 | 1.440 | 1.440 |

Table C10. Table of results for the 10 -year, 96 -hour storm
HKS * Summary of Regults for Reservoir-1
Project $=204128 \quad$ Run Name $:$ Run 45

| Start of Run : 01Jan05 0000 | Easin Model | Post Emerson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End of Run : 05Jan05 0600 | Met. Model | Met 1 |
| Execution Tima : $27 J u n 051800$ | Control Specs | : Enerson 96 |


| Date | Time | Reservoir <br> Storage <br> (ac-Et) | Regervoir Elevation (Et) | Inflow (cfe) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outflow } \\ & \text { (cfa) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 Dec 04 | 2400 | 30.400 | 7.4600 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0015 | 30.428 | 7.4664 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0030 | 30.457 | 7.4727 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0045 | 30.485 | 7.4791 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0100 | 30.513 | 7.4855 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0115 | 30.542 | 7.4918 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0130 | 30.570 | 7.4982 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0145 | 30.598 | 7.5046 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0200 | 30.626 | 7.5110 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0215 | 30.655 | 7.5173 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0230 | 30.683 | 7.5237 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0245 | 30.711 | 7.5301 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0300 | 30.740 | 7.5364 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0315 | 30.768 | 7.542 B | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0330 | 30.796 | 7.5492 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0345 | 30.825 | 7.5555 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0400 | 30.853 | 7.5619 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0415 | 30.881 | 7.5683 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0430 | 30.910 | 7.5746 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0445 | 30.938 | 7.5810 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0500 | 30.966 | 7.5874 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0515 | 30.994 | 7.5937 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0530 | 31.023 | 7.6001 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0545 | 31.051 | 7.6065 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0600 | 31.079 | 7.6129 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0615 | 31.108 | 7.6192 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0630 | 31.136 | 7.6256 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0645 | 31.164 | 7.6320 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0700 | 31.193 | 7.6383 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0715 | 31.221 | 7.6447 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0730 | 31.249 | 7.6511 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0745 | 31.277 | 7.6574 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0800 | 31.306 | 7.6638 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0815 | 31.334 | 7.6702 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0830 | 31.362 | 7.6765 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0845 | 31.391 | 7.6829 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0900 | 31.419 | 7.6893 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0915 | 31.447 | 7.6956 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0930 | 31.476 | 7.7020 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 0945 | 31.504 | 7.7084 | 2.550 | 1.180 |


| Data | Time | Rebervoir <br> Storage <br> (ac-ft) | Reservolr <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inflow } \\ & \text { (cfa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OutElow } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 05 | 1015 | 31.561 | 7.7211 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1030 | 31.589 | 7.7275 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1045 | 31.617 | 7.7339 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1100 | 31.645 | 7.7402 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1115 | 31.674 | 7.7466 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1130 | 31.702 | 7.7530 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1145 | 31.730 | 7.7593 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1200 | 31.759 | 7.7657 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1215 | 31.787 | 7.7721 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1230 | 31.815 | 7.7784 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1245 | 31.844 | 7.7848 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1300 | 31.872 | 7.7912 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1315 | 31.900 | 7.7975 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1330 | 31.929 | 7.8039 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1345 | 31.957 | 7.8103 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1400 | 31.985 | 7.8167 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1415 | 32.013 | 7.8230 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1430 | 32.042 | 7.8294 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1445 | 32.070 | 7.8358 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1500 | 32.098 | 7.8421 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1515 | 32.127 | 7.8485 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1530 | 32.155 | 7.8549 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1545 | 32.183 | 7.8612 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| - Jan 05 | 1600 | 32.212 | 7.8676 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| $\checkmark 1$ Jan 05 | 1615 | 32.240 | 7.8740 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1630 | 32.268 | 7.8803 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1645 | 32.296 | 7.8867 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1700 | 32.325 | 7.8931 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1715 | 32.353 | 7.8994 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1730 | 32.381 | 7.9058 | 2.550 | 1.180 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1745 | 32.402 | 7.9102 | 2.550 | 1.911 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1800 | 32.405 | 7.9105 | 2.550 | 2.905 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1815 | 32.403 | 7.9103 | 2.550 | 2.353 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1830 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.659 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1845 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.489 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1900 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.584 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1915 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.531 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1930 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.560 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 1945 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.544 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2000 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.553 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2015 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.548 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2030 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.551 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2045 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.549 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2100 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2115 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2130 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 11 Jan 05 | 2145 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 1 Jan 05 | 2200 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2215 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2230 | 32.404 | 7.9104 | 2.550 | 2.550 |
| 01 Jan 05 | 2245 | 32.404 | $: 2^{7.9104}$ | 2.550 | 2.550 |





# Senate Bill 610 WATER SUPPLY ASSESSMENT for the EMERSON PROPERTY PROJECT 

by the<br>DIABLO WATER DISTRICT

## Purpose of Report

The Diablo Water District (District) pursuant to the requirements of California Water Code §§ 10910 - 10915 prepared this Water Supply Assessment (WSA) Report for the Emerson Property Project (Project).

As set forth in Senate Bill 610 (SB610), this WSA examines existing water supply entitlements, water rights, and water service contracts relevant to the water supply for the proposed Project and water received in prior years pursuant to those entitlements.

The proposed Project has been identified by the limits set forth in Sections 10910 and 10912 of the California Water Code and is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Division 13 of the Public Resources Code) under Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code establishing the need for this Water Supply Assessment.

## Project Description

The proposed Emerson Property Project is located in Oakley, California in Contra Costa County. The Project site is located in the eastern portion of the City of Oakley (City). The regional location of the Project is presented in Figure 1. The Project site is bounded on the north by the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) / United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) Canal, on the east by Sellers Avenue, on the south by Cypress Road, and on the west by the partially developed Cypress Grove Project.

The proposed Project development totals 140 acres. The Project development consists of approximately 662 residential units, a 10.5-acre commercial area, a 5-acre stormwater pond, an approximately 3-acre park and an assortment of small trails and roads. The proposed land use plan for the Project is presented in Figure 2.

The existing Project site is generally flat with vegetated grasslands and a limited number of mostly non-native trees.

Figure 1: Emerson Property Regional Location Map
Source: Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (NOP), May 23, 2007


Figure 2: Conceptual Land Use Plan
Source: Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (NOP), May 23, 2007


## Project Water Demand

Water demand factors for the land use types are from the Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), (DWD, 2005), that provides water service to Oakley, California. These factors were applied to the individual uses of the proposed Project. The water demands for the proposed Project are presented in Table 1. The total estimated project water demand is 420.7 acre-feet per year (AFY).

| Land Use Type | Units | Water Demand Rate | Estimated Wa | er Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Family Home | 662 D.U. | $525 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{U}$. | 347,550 gpd | 389.3 AFY |
| Commercial | 10.5 acre | 2,250 gpd / acre | 23,625 gpd | 26.5 AFY |
| Parks | 3.0 acre | 1,450 gpd / acre | 4,350 gpd | 4.9 AFY |
| Total Estimated Project Water Demand = 420.7 AFY |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D.U. = Dwelling Units } \\ & \text { gpd = gallons per day } \\ & \text { AFY = acre-feet per yeal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

The stormwater pond is anticipated to serve as both a stormwater detention facility and an aesthetic feature of the development. The stormwater pond is anticipated to be partially filled throughout the year. To recharge the pond during the dry season, the Project proposes to obtain water from either the Emerson Slough or through the construction of a new groundwater well located onsite. The project owner - Emerson Homebuilders - currently has water entitlements to surface water supply from Emerson Slough. It is assumed as part of this WSA that the water supplied for the stormwater pond would be from the Emerson Slough and not a new groundwater well.

## Identification of Public Water System

The proposed Emerson Property Project is located entirely within the existing incorporated area of the City. The District is the water purveyor, owner, and operator of the water system within the City of Oakley. The District will therefore be the water purveyor to the proposed Project. The District obtains the majority of its water from the CCWD, which in turn obtains its water from the Central Valley Project (CVP). The District obtains a small amount of water supply from local groundwater.

The District has determined that the proposed Project is subject to CEQA. As the water purveyor, the District has prepared this WSA according to the 90-day schedule in Section § 10910 of the California Water Code.

## Urban Water Management Plan Review

The District adopted its UWMP, (DWD, 2005) in 2005. The entire Project area and its build-out land uses are included in the UWMP water demands. This WSA is based on the information in the adopted UWMP. The UWMP should be consulted as a reference for detailed information.

Relevant information in the UWMP includes the following:

- Build-out water usage was calculated using build-out land uses from the City General Plan, which includes the water demands for the proposed Project area.
- The District's primary water supply is raw water from CCWD, which in turn gets its water from the CVP managed by the USBR.
- The District has developed a groundwater supply system to provide additional supply reliability during dry years and emergencies. The District is currently using the Glen Park Well at approximately 0.55 million gallons per day (mgd). The maximum pumping capacity is estimated at approximately 2.0 mgd .
- Within the District service area, all wastewater treatment occurs at Ironhouse Sanitary District. Ironhouse Sanitary District produces recycled water that is currently used for irrigation of agricultural lands and is not planned for urban use in conjunction with potable water in the District's service area.
- The District has comprehensive water conservation plans in place to abate water shortages, if needed, in times of decreased supply.
- The UWMP demand projections assume full demands during single and multipledry years (3 consecutive dry years), i.e., that customers are not required to ration water use during these dry periods. This assumption provides a conservative comparison of supply versus demand.

Pursuant the UWMP, the District has adequate supply sources to meet future needs under all conditions for its entire future planning area, including the Project area. The future planning area consists of the Districts service area. The District's service area is presented as Figure 4. The District is entitled to and intends to purchase additional surface water treatment capacity from CCWD, when needed to meet future demands. Ultimately, the District expects to have treatment capacity of 15 mgd on an average annual basis; which will ultimately require treatment capacity of 30 mgd for maximum day demand conditions.

The District's groundwater supply is primarily an alternate to surface water supplies for increased reliability, to help provide peaking capacity in the summer during very hot (maximum demand) days, and to enhance flexibility for cost-effectively operating its system. Groundwater will also provide some of the total required annual supply to meet future demands after 2020. It should be noted that the Project would not rely on
groundwater as a source of water supply because the District has sufficient surface supplies to serve build-out of the Project, which is expected to occur in 2012.

According to the City's General Plan, City build-out is expected to occur in 2040. The amount of groundwater supply capacity needed to meet average annual demands at build-out under normal and single dry year conditions is about 2.5 mgd ; and under multiple-dry year conditions is about 4.5 mgd to supplement reduced surface water supply. It is anticipated that this 4.5 mgd capacity would be provided by the existing Glen Park Well and 2 planned future wells. Ultimately the District may construct additional wells to have up to 6 to 7 mgd of available groundwater supply to provide additional flexibility for cost-effective system operations and additional reliability during short-term emergencies, such as temporary outages of the surface water treatment plant.

The District may procure additional surface water supply from CCWD sooner than was estimated in the UWMP or may investigate other local supply sources, if future groundwater investigation and monitoring indicates that it will not be possible to provide the anticipated amount of groundwater supply in the anticipated timeframes. The timing for future purchases of additional surface water can be adjusted as needed to meet future conditions. With the UWMP estimated timing for future additional surface water supply, groundwater supply is not required to meet annual demands until 2020 even under multiple-dry year conditions. If the ultimate treatment capacity of surface water supply were purchased sooner than estimated in the UWMP, then groundwater would not be required to meet annual demands until 2035 even under multiple-dry year conditions. Thus, the further development of groundwater can be delayed through the purchasing of CCWD and CVP water.

Figure 4: Map of District Service Area
Source: 2005 District UWMP


## Urban Water Management Plan Supply and Demand Projections

The UWMP estimates the water supply and water demand during normal dry and multiple-dry years. A comparison of the normal year water supply and demand for the District is presented in Table 2.1, projected to 2040. Also in the tables is the groundwater needed to meet demands with the existing purchase schedule and an accelerated water purchase schedule from CCWD. Additional groundwater is needed to meet anticipated demands in a normal year starting in 2030 assuming the anticipated schedule in the UWMP for purchases of additional amounts of treatment capacity from CCWD, or by 2035 with an accelerated purchase schedule.

Table 2.1: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison for Normal Year (MG)

| Year | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Water from CCWD | 2,738 | 3,650 | 3,650 | 4,562 | 4,562 | 5,457 | 5,457 |
| DWD groundwater | 547 | 547 | 1,095 | 1,095 | 1,642 | 1,642 | 2,189 |
| Supply Total | 3,285 | 4,197 | 4,745 | 5,657 | 6,204 | 7,099 | 7,646 |
| Demand | 2,324 | 2,964 | 3,603 | 4,242 | 4,881 | 5,521 | 6,350 |
| Difference (Surplus of Supply) | 961 | 1,233 | 1,142 | 1,415 | 1,323 | 1,578 | 1,296 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundwater Needed to Meet Demand in Normal Year assuming anticipated schedule in UWMP for purchase of additional increments of surface water capacity (MG). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 64 | 893 |
| (MGD) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| Groundwater Needed if DWD purchases their full surface water capacity of 5457 MG by 2030. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 893 |
| (MGD) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.4 |

*MG=Million Gallons

A comparison of the single dry year water supply and demand for the District is presented in Table 2.2 projected to 2040. Groundwater is needed to meet the demands in a single dry year starting in year 2030 assuming anticipated schedule in UWMP for purchase of additional amounts of treatment capacity from CCWD, or by 2035 with an accelerated program.

| Table 2.2: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison for a Single Dry Year (MG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 |
| Surface Water from CCWD | 2,738 | 3,650 | 3,650 | 4,562 | 4,562 | 5,457 | 5,457 |
| DWD groundwater | 547 | 547 | 1,095 | 1,095 | 1,642 | 1,642 | 2,189 |
| Supply Total | 3,285 | 4,197 | 4,745 | 5,657 | 6,204 | 7,099 | 7,646 |
| Demand | 2,324 | 2,964 | 3,603 | 4,242 | 4,881 | 5,521 | 6,350 |
| Difference (Surplus of Supply) | 961 | 1,233 | 1,142 | 1,415 | 1,323 | 1,578 | 1,296 |
| Groundwater Needed to Meet Demand in Single Dry Year assuming anticipated schedule in UWMP for purchase of additional increments of surface water capacity (MG). <br> (MGD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 64 | 893 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| Groundwater Needed if DWD purchases their full surface water capacity of 5457 MG by 2030. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 893 |
| (MGD) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.4 |

A comparison of the multiple-dry year water supply and demand for the District is presented in Table 2.3 projected to 2040. Groundwater is needed to meet the demand in a multiple-dry year event sometime between 2015 and 2020 with the anticipated schedule in the UWMP for purchase of additional amounts of treatment capacity from CCWD, or between 2025 and 2030 with an accelerated purchase schedule.

Diablo Water District - SB 610 Water Supply Assessment Emerson Property Project

| Table 2.3: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison for a Multiple-Dry Year Event (MG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |  |  |
| Surface Water from CCWD | 2,738 | 2,738 | 3,103 | 3,103 | 3,878 | 3,878 | 4,638 | 4,638 |  |  |
| DWD groundwater | 0 | 547 | 547 | 1,095 | 1,095 | 1,642 | 1,642 | 2,189 |  |  |
| Supply Total | 2,738 | 3,285 | 3,650 | 4,198 | 4,973 | 5,520 | 6,280 | 6,827 |  |  |
| Demand | 1,685 | 2,324 | 2,964 | 3,603 | 4,242 | 4,881 | 5,521 | 6,350 |  |  |
| Difference (Surplus of Supply) | 1,053 | 961 | 686 | 595 | 731 | 639 | 759 | 477 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundwater Needed to Meet Demand in Multiple- <br> Dry Years assuming anticipated schedule in UWMP <br> for purchase of additional increments of surface <br> water capacity (MG). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 364 | 1,003 | 883 | 1,712 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MGD) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Groundwater Needed if DWD purchases their full <br> surface water capacity of 5457 MG by 2030. (The <br> multiple-dry year supply is 4,638 MG) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 883 | 1,712 |  |  |

Pursuant to the UWMP, the District water supply reliability is as presented in Table 2.4. The UWMP estimates an increase in the amount of groundwater pumped to meet reasonably anticipated deficiencies from its surface supply. The total supply is projected to meet the total demand through the year 2040 under drought conditions including single dry and multiple-dry years (3 consecutive dry years).

Table 2.4 Water Supply Source Reliability

| Supply Source | Average/Normal | Single Dry | Multiple Dry Water Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Year | Water Year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| CCWD Surface <br> Supply (1) | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ to 2010 <br> $85 \%$ after | $100 \%$ to 2010 <br> $85 \%$ after |
| DWD <br> Groundwater | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Table 6-1 Diablo Water District UWMP
(1) CCWD surface supply reliability estimate provided by CCWD to District.

Pursuant to the UWMP, CCWD will supply the District with $100 \%$ of its surface water supply during normal and single dry years. However, during multiple-dry years, surface water supplies from CCWD will be reduced to $85 \%$ of the normal supply.

## Water Supply Entitlements, Water Rights, or Service Contracts

The District's primary water supply for its service area is treated surface water from the CVP purchased from its wholesale provider, the CCWD. CCWD contracts with the USBR for water from the CVP. The CVP water is conveyed through the Contra Costa Canal, and treated at the Randall-Bold Water Treatment Plant (RBWTP) in Oakley, which is jointly owned by the District and CCWD. CCWD has a contract with the USBR for 195,000 AFY of water from the CVP.

The raw surface water is supplied via the Contra Costa Canal (at approximately mile post 7.1) that conveys water from Rock Slough in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The canal is owned by the USBR and operated by CCWD. The canal water can also be supplemented by surface water stored at CCWD's Los Vaqueros Reservoir. The Los Vaqueros Reservoir is a 100,000 acre-foot storage facility located 8 miles south of the City of Brentwood.

The District currently has a joint powers agreement with CCWD for 15 mgd of treated water from the RBWTP, with the right to purchase additional capacity up to a total of 30 mg . Accommodating build-out of the District's ultimate service area will require expansion of the existing RBWTP, which has a capacity of 40 mgd and is expandable to 80 mgd .

The District must purchase additional treatment capacity in 5 mgd increments. The District anticipates purchasing this additional capacity in years 2015, 2025, and 2035. However, this schedule can be adjusted to purchase additional capacity sooner, if needed (DWD, 2005).

The District also maintains emergency service ties to the neighboring City of Antioch. The City of Antioch currently obtains its water through CCWD. This connection, however, cannot be used as a water supply source.

## Groundwater Supply and Basin Description

The District's groundwater provides additional supplies to supplement surface water and to meet the projected demands of the service area. Groundwater from Glen Park wells is conveyed in a dedicated well supply pipeline to a blending facility located near the RBWTP. At the blending facility, the groundwater is treated and blended with treated surface water within the District's distribution system, prior to distribution; there are negligible impacts on water quality.

The first well, the Glen Park Well was put into service in August of 2006. The Glen Park Well has an estimated maximum pumping capacity of approximately 1,500 gallons per minute (gpm), approximately 2.0 mgd; and is currently operating at an average annual rate of approximately 0.55 mgd . There are no signs of drawdown at the Glen Park well. The District intends to construct additional wells in the future to provide more groundwater capacity. Expansion of the groundwater supply system will be based upon the performance of the Glen Park Well. The District will conduct ongoing data collection and monitoring of groundwater levels and groundwater quality, as well as consult with other well operators to monitor effects on other wells in the region.

The UWMP contains a description of the groundwater supply system and groundwater basin. The wells will be in a groundwater basin that has been studied since the late 1990's by Luhdorff \& Scalmanini Consulting Engineers (LSCE). A description of the groundwater basin is in the "Investigation of Groundwater Resources in East Contra Costa County" (LSCE, 1999). This investigation was supplemented by additional studies conducted by LSCE when the Glen Park Well was designed and constructed during 2003-2005.

The groundwater basin is not adjudicated (pumping rights have not been set by a court or Board decision) and has not been studied by the California Department of Water Resources. The groundwater basin is not in overdraft. The District is currently preparing a groundwater management plan, on a voluntary basis, according to the procedures outlined in the Groundwater Management Planning Act (Sections 1054610750 of the California Water Code AB 3030).

The District wells will be located within the region identified as the Marginal Delta Dunes region (LSCE, 1999). Historical conditions suggest that for much of this region, extraction activities have not exceeded the sustainable yield of the groundwater system. Sustainable yield is unlikely to be exceeded because of the general lack of groundwater development throughout much of these areas. In addition, areas in the vicinity of the river and Delta systems, such as the Marginal Delta Dunes region, have a large source of potential recharge, which could offset potential adverse impacts due to increased extraction.

Based on the groundwater investigations conducted to date, the potential appears low for adverse impacts on existing wells in the area. The Glen Park Well site was chosen to minimize impacts based on a regional groundwater investigation. The design of the Glen Park Well and future District wells is based on wide spacing of the wells from each other to minimize interference and localized impacts, and constructing deep wells with deep annular seals to both avoid impacts to shallow wells in the area and to isolate the District's wells from impacts that may be caused by those wells. Testing during seven
days of continuous pumping at the Glen Park Well in April 2004 had no measurable or discernable impact on water levels in nearby shallow wells (DWD, 2005).

The closest municipal well to the Glen Park site is the City of Brentwood Well 14. The April 2004 testing indicated that there would be approximately ten feet of drawdown at the Brentwood Well when pumping at 3 mgd for 30 days. At the Glen Park Well, the test pumping rate is greater than the anticipated 1.5 mgd average and greater than the anticipated 2 mgd maximum well pumping capacity. It was concluded that even pumping at 3 mgd would not be expected to adversely affect the operation of the Brentwood Well, i.e., the amount of the additional drawdown due to Glen Park pumping would not significantly affect the Brentwood pumping operations (DWD, 2005).

The ongoing monitoring will also consider potential water quality impacts. Well pumping could potentially cause water quality degradation if pumping were to induce vertical movement of groundwater from one aquifer to another, i.e., from shallow groundwater to deep groundwater. However, based on several groundwater investigations conducted from 1999 through 2004, the anticipated pumping rates of about 1 to 2 mgd at each well ( 1.5 mgd on average) are not expected to induce local groundwater quality degradation locally or regionally. Groundwater quality impacts are unlikely to occur due to the multiple clay layers between the aquifers, and the deep annular seals on the District wells (DWD, 2005).

In the event that local wells were to be adversely affected (i.e., lowering of groundwater below existing pumps or degradation of water quality), mitigating actions would be taken by the District on a case-by-case basis and could include supplying the project with a different source of water, lowering or replacing pumps, or installing new wells at alternate locations.

If the District determines that additional groundwater wells would adversely affect other wells in the local area, the District could accelerate the purchase of its next entitled installment of water supply from the CCWD's CVP water. The District currently purchases 15 mgd of treated water from CCWD. The District has the right to purchase additional capacity up a total of 30 mgd , to be purchased in 5 mgd increments (DWD, 2005). Although it is currently anticipated that the District will purchase the 5 mgd increments of additional capacity in 2015, 2025, and 2030, the District may exercise its rights to each 5 mgd increment at any time, provided that CCWD is notified at least three years prior. Thus, if the District purchases its full capacity of 30 mgd earlier than anticipated, it would not need to rely on additional groundwater supply in order to meet all projected demands for the next 20 years. The District may also seek out other alternative sources of water that could be available at a future date.

## Conclusion

The proposed Emerson Property Project (Project) would create a maximum estimated water demand of 420.7 acre-feet per year (AFY). The Diablo Water District (District) has included the development area and its build-out demands in its Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). The UWMP identifies the availability of sufficient water supply to meet future needs projected to build out at year 2040 under all conditions including normal, single dry year, and multiple-dry years.

The District concludes that sufficient water supply exists to support the proposed Project as described above. The District's 2006 Facilities Plan contains a detailed plan for major water system improvements that will be implemented to serve new development, including the Project area, and is based on the same demand projections of the UWMP. Existing potable water pipelines will be extended to the Project area for delivery of water; and the Project will participate in funding its share of storage, transmission and supply improvements. The specific facility requirements for the development will be determined when the specific development plans are prepared. All facility costs required to serve the development will be borne by the developers.
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[^9]:    13. Sellars Avenue follows the section line between Sections 19-20, 30-29, and 31-32 on the T2N R3E survey plat.
    14. Neither Cypress or Sellars is labeled.
[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ 3,400 ac-ft per year / 10 square miles / 640 acres per square mile / 0.3 infiltration rate

[^11]:    ${ }^{2} 1.5$ million sf $\times 10$ feet $/ 5$ feet $\times 0.1 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{sf} / 325,851$ gallons per ac-ft $\times 365$ days per year
    ${ }^{3}$ (4.3 residents per well or household $x 164$ gallons per day (gpd) per person
    ${ }^{4} 212$ wells $\times 700$ gpd $\times 365$ days per year / 325,851 gallons per ac-ft

[^12]:    ${ }^{5}$ ( 1,500 residents $\times 164$ gallons per day $\times 365$ days per year x 0.5 occupancy rate $/ 325,851$ gallons per ac-ft) $+(150$ residents in Knightsen x 164 gallons per day x 365 gallons per year / 325, 851 gallons per ac- ft )
    ${ }^{6} 25$ wells $\times 1,000$ gpm $\times 60$ minutes per hour $\times 24$ hours per day $\times 62$ days $\times 0.33$ rate of operation $/ 325,851$ gallons per ac-ft

[^13]:    ${ }^{7} 16$ inches / 12 inches per foot $\times 6$ square miles $\times 640$ acres per square mile $\times 0.5$ for groundwater share

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Geotracker (http://www.geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov)
    ${ }^{9}$ DWR Water Data Library, Historical Data Map Interface
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    ${ }^{11} 72$ percent x 58 acres x 45 inches per year (based on quarterly values for Brentwood area ETo and cool season turf crop coefficients) $/ 12$ inches per foot $/ 75$ percent irrigation efficiency $=208.8$ ac-ft per year

[^17]:    ${ }^{12} 22,000$ feet of cross sectional length along the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe railroad alignment $\times 350$ feet of saturated thickness $\times 30$ feet per 100 feet of net sand thickness $\times$ a hydraulic conductivity of 1,000 gallons per day per square foot [average for clean sand] x 365 days per year / 325,851 gallons per acre-foot x an average hydraulic gradient of 4 feet per 6,300 feet $=1,640$ ac-ft per year

[^18]:    ${ }^{13}$ Length of 5 miles $\times 5,280$ feet per mile $x$ a width of 60 feet $x$ a hydraulic gradient of 10 feet per 100 feet $x$ a hydraulic conductivity of 10 gallons per day per square-foot x 365 days per year / 325,851 gallons per acre-foot $=$ 1,774 ac-ft per year

[^19]:    ${ }^{14} 95$ ac-ft per month $\times 325,851$ gallons per ac-ft / 31 days in August / 24 hours per day / 60 minutes per hour $=693$ gpm

[^20]:    15 (50 inches per year average cattle fodder crop demand - 12 inches rainfall per year / 12 inches per foot $x 6$ square miles of land of cultivated land $x 640$ acres per square mile) $-2,500 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft per year supplied by groundwater $)=9,650$ ac-ft per year
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[^30]:    ${ }^{10} 177.3$ acres $\times 36.5$ inches per year / 12 inches per foot $=539$ ac-ft per year
    ${ }^{11} 72$ percent x 58 acres x 45 inches per year (based on quarterly values for Brentwood area ETo and cool season turf crop coefficients) $/ 12$ inches per foot $/ 75$ percent irrigation efficiency $=208.8$ ac-ft per year

[^31]:    ${ }^{12} 22,000$ feet of cross sectional length along the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe railroad alignment $\times 350$ feet of saturated thickness $\times 30$ feet per 100 feet of net sand thickness $\times$ a hydraulic conductivity of 1,000 gallons per day per square foot [average for clean sand] x 365 days per year / 325,851 gallons per acre-foot x an average hydraulic gradient of 4 feet per 6,300 feet $=1,640$ ac-ft per year
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[^38]:    1 The water year runs from October to September and is a common reporting basis for rainfall in California. For example, water year 1976 ran from October 1975 through September 1976.

[^39]:    ${ }_{2}$ NGVD refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929. All elevations refer to this datum unless otherwise noted.

[^40]:    Notes:
    All values based on the respective Contra Costa County Flood Control design storms. The maximum 10 -year water surface elevation is associated with the 12-hour storm, while the maximum predicted 100 -year level is found for the 12hour storm.

